

Formalisation of Ground Resolution and CDCL in Isabelle/HOL

Mathias Fleury and Jasmin Blanchette

January 20, 2020

Contents

0.0.1	Some Tooling for Refinement	8
0.0.2	More Notations	11
0.0.3	More Theorems for Refinement	12
0.0.4	Some Refinement	17
0.0.5	More declarations	17
0.0.6	List relation	18
0.0.7	More Functions, Relations, and Theorems	18
0.1	More theorems about list	22
0.1.1	Swap two elements of a list, by index	22
0.1.2	Sorting	28
0.1.3	Array of Array Lists	33
0.1.4	Array of Array Lists	40
0.1.5	More Setup for Fixed Size Natural Numbers	56
0.1.6	More about general arrays	67
0.1.7	Setup for array accesses via unsigned integer	67
0.1.8	Array of Array Lists of maximum length <i>uint64-max</i>	92
1	Two-Watched Literals	121
1.1	Rule-based system	121
1.1.1	Types and Transitions System	121
1.1.2	Definition of the Two-watched Literals Invariants	124
1.1.3	Invariants and the Transition System	132
1.2	First Refinement: Deterministic Rule Application	139
1.2.1	Unit Propagation Loops	139
1.2.2	Other Rules	142
1.2.3	Full Strategy	146
1.3	Second Refinement: Lists as Clause	157
1.3.1	Types	157
1.3.2	Additional Invariants and Definitions	169
1.3.3	Full Strategy	183
1.4	Third Refinement: Remembering watched	211
1.4.1	Types	211
1.4.2	Access Functions	211
1.4.3	The Functions	221
1.4.4	State Conversion	245
1.4.5	Refinement	245
1.4.6	Initialise Data structure	274
1.4.7	Initialisation	284
theory	Bits-Natural	
imports		

Refine-Monadic.Refine-Monadic
Native-Word.Native-Word-Imperative-HOL
Native-Word.Code-Target-Bits-Int Native-Word.Uint32 Native-Word.Uint64
HOL-Word.More-Word

begin

instantiation *nat* :: *bit-comprehension*
begin

definition *test-bit-nat* :: $\langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ **where**
 $\text{test-bit } i j = \text{test-bit } (\text{int } i) j$

definition *lsb-nat* :: $\langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ **where**
 $\text{lsb } i = (\text{int } i :: \text{int}) !! 0$

definition *set-bit-nat* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**
 $\text{set-bit } i n b = \text{nat } (\text{bin-sc } n b (\text{int } i))$

definition *set-bits-nat* :: $(\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**
 $\text{set-bits } f =$
 $(\text{if } \exists n. \forall n' \geq n. \neg f n' \text{ then}$
 $\quad \text{let } n = \text{LEAST } n. \forall n' \geq n. \neg f n'$
 $\quad \text{in } \text{nat } (\text{bl-to-bin } (\text{rev } (\text{map } f [0..<n])))$
 $\text{else if } \exists n. \forall n' \geq n. f n' \text{ then}$
 $\quad \text{let } n = \text{LEAST } n. \forall n' \geq n. f n'$
 $\quad \text{in } \text{nat } (\text{sbintrunc } n (\text{bl-to-bin } (\text{True } \# \text{rev } (\text{map } f [0..<n]))))$
 $\text{else } 0 :: \text{nat})$

definition *shiftl-nat* **where**
 $\text{shiftl } x n = \text{nat } ((\text{int } x) * 2^{\wedge} n)$

definition *shiftr-nat* **where**
 $\text{shiftr } x n = \text{nat } (\text{int } x \text{ div } 2^{\wedge} n)$

definition *bitNOT-nat* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**
 $\text{bitNOT } i = \text{nat } (\text{bitNOT } (\text{int } i))$

definition *bitAND-nat* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**
 $\text{bitAND } i j = \text{nat } (\text{bitAND } (\text{int } i) (\text{int } j))$

definition *bitOR-nat* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**
 $\text{bitOR } i j = \text{nat } (\text{bitOR } (\text{int } i) (\text{int } j))$

definition *bitXOR-nat* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**
 $\text{bitXOR } i j = \text{nat } (\text{bitXOR } (\text{int } i) (\text{int } j))$

instance $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

lemma *nat-shiftr[simp]*:

$m >> 0 = m$
 $\langle (\lambda : \text{nat}) >> m \rangle = 0$
 $\langle (m >> \text{Suc } n) = (m \text{ div } 2 >> n) \rangle$ **for** $m :: \text{nat}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma nat-shiftl-div:  $\langle m >> n = m \text{ div } (2^n) \rangle$  for  $m :: \text{nat}$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma nat-shiftl[simp]:
 $m << 0 = m$ 
 $\langle ((0::\text{nat}) << m) = 0 \rangle$ 
 $\langle (m << \text{Suc } n) = ((m * 2) << n) \rangle$  for  $m :: \text{nat}$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma nat-shiftr-div2:  $\langle m >> 1 = m \text{ div } 2 \rangle$  for  $m :: \text{nat}$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma nat-shiftr-div:  $\langle m << n = m * (2^n) \rangle$  for  $m :: \text{nat}$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition shiftl1 ::  $\langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \rangle$  where
 $\langle \text{shiftl1 } n = n << 1 \rangle$ 

definition shiftr1 ::  $\langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \rangle$  where
 $\langle \text{shiftr1 } n = n >> 1 \rangle$ 

instantiation natural :: bit-comprehension
begin

context includes natural.lifting begin

lift-definition test-bit-natural ::  $\langle \text{natural} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$  is test-bit  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lift-definition lsb-natural ::  $\langle \text{natural} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$  is lsb  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lift-definition set-bit-natural ::  $\text{natural} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \Rightarrow \text{natural}$  is
set-bit  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lift-definition set-bits-natural ::  $\langle (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{natural} \rangle$ 
is  $\langle \text{set-bits} :: (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{nat} \rangle$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lift-definition shiftl-natural ::  $\langle \text{natural} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{natural} \rangle$ 
is  $\langle \text{shiftl} :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \rangle$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lift-definition shiftr-natural ::  $\langle \text{natural} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{natural} \rangle$ 
is  $\langle \text{shiftr} :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \rangle$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lift-definition bitNOT-natural ::  $\langle \text{natural} \Rightarrow \text{natural} \rangle$ 
is  $\langle \text{bitNOT} :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \rangle$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lift-definition bitAND-natural ::  $\langle \text{natural} \Rightarrow \text{natural} \Rightarrow \text{natural} \rangle$ 
is  $\langle \text{bitAND} :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \rangle$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lift-definition bitOR-natural ::  $\langle \text{natural} \Rightarrow \text{natural} \Rightarrow \text{natural} \rangle$ 
is  $\langle \text{bitOR} :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \rangle$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lift-definition bitXOR-natural ::  $\langle \text{natural} \Rightarrow \text{natural} \Rightarrow \text{natural} \rangle$ 
is  $\langle \text{bitXOR} :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \rangle$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

```

```

instance ⟨proof⟩
end

context includes natural.lifting begin
lemma [code]:
  integer-of-natural ( $m >> n$ ) = (integer-of-natural  $m$ )  $>> n$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma [code]:
  integer-of-natural ( $m << n$ ) = (integer-of-natural  $m$ )  $<< n$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma bitXOR-1-if-mod-2: ⟨bitXOR L 1 = (if L mod 2 = 0 then L + 1 else L - 1)⟩ for  $L :: \text{nat}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bitAND-1-mod-2: ⟨bitAND L 1 =  $L \bmod 2$ ⟩ for  $L :: \text{nat}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma shiftl-0-uint32[simp]: ⟨ $n << 0 = n$ ⟩ for  $n :: \text{uint32}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma shiftl-Suc-uint32: ⟨ $n << \text{Suc } m = (n << m) << 1$ ⟩ for  $n :: \text{uint32}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-set-bit-0: ⟨set-bit x 0 b = nat ((bin-rest (int x)) BIT b)⟩ for  $x :: \text{nat}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-test-bit0-iff: ⟨ $n !! 0 \longleftrightarrow n \bmod 2 = 1$ ⟩ for  $n :: \text{nat}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma test-bit-2: ⟨ $m > 0 \implies (2 * n) !! m \longleftrightarrow n !! (m - 1)$ ⟩ for  $n :: \text{nat}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma test-bit-Suc-2: ⟨ $m > 0 \implies \text{Suc } (2 * n) !! m \longleftrightarrow (2 * n) !! m$ ⟩ for  $n :: \text{nat}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bin-rest-prev-eq:
  assumes [simp]: ⟨ $m > 0$ ⟩
  shows ⟨nat ((bin-rest (int w))) !! (m - Suc (0::nat)) = w !! m⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bin-sc-ge0: ⟨ $w \geq 0 \implies (0::\text{int}) \leq \text{bin-sc } n \ b \ w$ ⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bin-to-bl-eq-nat:
  ⟨bin-to-bl (size a) (int a) = bin-to-bl (size b) (int b) ⟹  $a = b$ ⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-bin-nth-bl:  $n < m \implies w !! n = \text{nth } (\text{rev } (\text{bin-to-bl } m \ (\text{int } w))) \ n$  for  $w :: \text{nat}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bin-nth-ge-size: ⟨nat na \leq n \implies 0 \leq na \implies \text{bin-nth } na \ n = False⟩

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *test-bit-nat-outside*: $n > size w \implies \neg w !! n$ **for** $w :: nat$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-bin-nth-bl'*:
 $\langle a !! n \longleftrightarrow (n < size a \wedge (rev (bin-to-bl (size a) (int a)) ! n)) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-set-bit-test-bit*: $\langle set-bit w n x !! m = (if m = n then x else w !! m) \rangle$ **for** $w n :: nat$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

theory *WB-More-Refinement*

imports *Weidenbach-Book-Base.WB-List-More*
HOL-Library.Cardinality
HOL-Library.Rewrite
HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach
Refine-Monadic.Refine-Basic
Automatic-Refinement.Automatic-Refinement
Automatic-Refinement.Relators
Refine-Monadic.Refine-While
Refine-Monadic.Refine-Foreach

begin

hide-const *Autoref-Fix-Rel.CONSTRAINT*

definition *fref* :: $('c \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('a \times 'c) set \Rightarrow ('b \times 'd) set$
 $\Rightarrow (('a \Rightarrow 'b) \times ('c \Rightarrow 'd)) set$
 $\langle [-]_f - \rightarrow - [0,60,60] 60 \rangle$
where $[P]_f R \rightarrow S \equiv \{(f,g). \forall x y. P y \wedge (x,y) \in R \longrightarrow (f x, g y) \in S\}$

abbreviation *freft* ($- \rightarrow_f - [60,60] 60$) **where** $R \rightarrow_f S \equiv ([\lambda -. True]_f R \rightarrow S)$

lemma *frefI[intro?]*:
assumes $\bigwedge x y. [P y; (x,y) \in R] \implies (f x, g y) \in S$
shows $(f,g) \in fref P R S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fref-mono*: $\llbracket \bigwedge x. P' x \implies P x; R' \subseteq R; S \subseteq S' \rrbracket$
 $\implies fref P R S \subseteq fref P' R' S'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *meta-same-imp-rule*: $(\llbracket PROP P; PROP P \rrbracket \implies PROP Q) \equiv (PROP P \implies PROP Q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *split-prod-bound*: $(\lambda p. f p) = (\lambda(a,b). f (a,b))$ $\langle proof \rangle$

This lemma cannot be moved to *Weidenbach-Book-Base.WB-List-More*, because the syntax *CARD('a)* does not exist there.

lemma *finite-length-le-CARD*:
assumes $\langle distinct (xs :: 'a :: finite list) \rangle$
shows $\langle length xs \leq CARD('a) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

0.0.1 Some Tooling for Refinement

The following very simple tactics remove duplicate variables generated by some tactic like *refine-recg*. For example, if the problem contains $(i, C) = (xa, xb)$, then only i and C will remain. It can also prove trivial goals where the goals already appears in the assumptions.

```
method remove-dummy-vars uses simps =
  ((unfold prod.inject)?; (simp only: prod.inject)?; (elim conjE)?;
   hypsubst?; (simp only: triv-forall-equality simps)?)
```

From → **to** ↓

```
lemma Ball2-split-def: ⟨(∀ (x, y) ∈ A. P x y) ←→ (∀ x y. (x, y) ∈ A → P x y)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma in-pair-collect-simp: (a,b)∈{(a,b)}. P a b ←→ P a b
  ⟨proof⟩
```

ML <

```
signature MORE-REFINEMENT = sig
  val down-converse: Proof.context -> thm -> thm
end
```

```
structure More-Refinement: MORE-REFINEMENT = struct
  val unfold-refine = (fn context => Local-Defs.unfold (context)
    @{thms refine-rel-defs nres-rel-def in-pair-collect-simp})
  val unfold-Ball = (fn context => Local-Defs.unfold (context)
    @{thms Ball2-split-def all-to-meta})
  val replace-ALL-by-meta = (fn context => fn thm => Object-Logic.rulify context thm)
  val down-converse = (fn context =>
    replace-ALL-by-meta context o (unfold-Ball context) o (unfold-refine context))
end
>
```

attribute-setup to-↓ = <

```
Scan.succeed (Thm.rule-attribute [] (More-Refinement.down-converse o Context.proof-of))
  > convert theorem from @{text →}-form to @{text ↓}-form.
```

```
method to-↓ =
  (unfold refine-rel-defs nres-rel-def in-pair-collect-simp;
   unfold Ball2-split-def all-to-meta;
   intro allI impI)
```

Merge Post-Conditions

```
lemma Down-add-assumption-middle:
```

```
assumes
  ⟨nofail U⟩ and
  ⟨V ≤ ↓ {(T1, T0). Q T1 T0 ∧ P T1 ∧ Q' T1 T0} U⟩ and
  ⟨W ≤ ↓ {(T2, T1). R T2 T1} V⟩
shows ⟨W ≤ ↓ {(T2, T1). R T2 T1 ∧ P T1} V⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma Down-del-assumption-middle:
```

```
assumes
  ⟨S1 ≤ ↓ {(T1, T0). Q T1 T0 ∧ P T1 ∧ Q' T1 T0} S0⟩
shows ⟨S1 ≤ ↓ {(T1, T0). Q T1 T0 ∧ Q' T1 T0} S0⟩
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma Down-add-assumption-beginning:

assumes

$\langle \text{nofail } U \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle V \leq \downarrow \{(T_1, T_0). P T_1 \wedge Q' T_1 T_0\} U \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle W \leq \downarrow \{(T_2, T_1). R T_2 T_1\} V \rangle$
shows $\langle W \leq \downarrow \{(T_2, T_1). R T_2 T_1 \wedge P T_1\} V \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma Down-add-assumption-beginning-single:

assumes

$\langle \text{nofail } U \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle V \leq \downarrow \{(T_1, T_0). P T_1\} U \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle W \leq \downarrow \{(T_2, T_1). R T_2 T_1\} V \rangle$
shows $\langle W \leq \downarrow \{(T_2, T_1). R T_2 T_1 \wedge P T_1\} V \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma Down-del-assumption-beginning:

fixes $U :: \langle 'a \text{ nres} \rangle \text{ and } V :: \langle 'b \text{ nres} \rangle \text{ and } Q \ Q' :: \langle 'b \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$

assumes

$\langle V \leq \downarrow \{(T_1, T_0). Q T_1 T_0 \wedge Q' T_1 T_0\} U \rangle$
shows $\langle V \leq \downarrow \{(T_1, T_0). Q' T_1 T_0\} U \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

method unify-Down-invs2-normalisation-post =

$((\text{unfold meta-same-imp-rule True-implies-equals conj-assoc})?)$

method unify-Down-invs2 =

$(\text{match premises in}$

— if the relation 2-1 has not assumption, we add True. Then we call out method again and this time it will match since it has an assumption.

$I: \langle S_1 \leq \downarrow R_{10} S_0 \rangle \text{ and}$
 $J[\text{thin}]: \langle S_2 \leq \downarrow R_{21} S_1 \rangle$
for $S_1 :: \langle 'b \text{ nres} \rangle \text{ and } S_0 :: \langle 'a \text{ nres} \rangle \text{ and } S_2 :: \langle 'c \text{ nres} \rangle \text{ and } R_{10} R_{21} \Rightarrow$
 $\langle \text{insert True-implies-equals[where } P = \langle S_2 \leq \downarrow R_{21} S_1 \rangle, \text{ symmetric,}$
 $\text{THEN equal-elim-rule1, OF } J \rangle$
 $| I[\text{thin}]: \langle S_1 \leq \downarrow \{(T_1, T_0). P T_1\} S_0 \rangle (\text{multi}) \text{ and}$
 $J[\text{thin}]: - \text{ for } S_1 :: \langle 'b \text{ nres} \rangle \text{ and } S_0 :: \langle 'a \text{ nres} \rangle \text{ and } P :: \langle 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle \Rightarrow$
 $\langle \text{match } J[\text{uncurry}] \text{ in}$
 $J[\text{curry}]: \langle - \Rightarrow S_2 \leq \downarrow \{(T_2, T_1). R T_2 T_1\} S_1 \rangle \text{ for } S_2 :: \langle 'c \text{ nres} \rangle \text{ and } R \Rightarrow$
 $\langle \text{insert Down-add-assumption-beginning-single[where } P = P \text{ and } R = R \text{ and}$
 $W = S_2 \text{ and } V = S_1 \text{ and } U = S_0, \text{ OF - } I J \rangle;$
 $\text{unify-Down-invs2-normalisation-post}$
 $| - \Rightarrow \langle \text{fail} \rangle$
 $| I[\text{thin}]: \langle S_1 \leq \downarrow \{(T_1, T_0). P T_1 \wedge Q' T_1 T_0\} S_0 \rangle (\text{multi}) \text{ and}$
 $J[\text{thin}]: - \text{ for } S_1 :: \langle 'b \text{ nres} \rangle \text{ and } S_0 :: \langle 'a \text{ nres} \rangle \text{ and } Q' \text{ and } P :: \langle 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle \Rightarrow$
 $\langle \text{match } J[\text{uncurry}] \text{ in}$
 $J[\text{curry}]: \langle - \Rightarrow S_2 \leq \downarrow \{(T_2, T_1). R T_2 T_1\} S_1 \rangle \text{ for } S_2 :: \langle 'c \text{ nres} \rangle \text{ and } R \Rightarrow$
 $\langle \text{insert Down-add-assumption-beginning[where } Q' = Q' \text{ and } P = P \text{ and } R = R \text{ and}$
 $W = S_2 \text{ and } V = S_1 \text{ and } U = S_0,$
 $\text{OF - } I J \rangle;$
 $\text{insert Down-del-assumption-beginning[where } Q = \langle \lambda S -. P S \rangle \text{ and } Q' = Q' \text{ and } V = S_1 \text{ and}$
 $U = S_0, \text{ OF } I \rangle;$
 $\text{unify-Down-invs2-normalisation-post}$
 $| - \Rightarrow \langle \text{fail} \rangle$

```

|  $I[thin]$ :  $\langle S1 \leq \Downarrow \{(T1, T0). Q T0 T1 \wedge Q' T1 T0\} S0 \rangle$  (multi) and
J: - for  $S1$ :  $\langle 'b nres \rangle$  and  $S0 :: \langle 'a nres \rangle$  and  $Q Q' \Rightarrow$ 
  ⟨match  $J[uncurry]$  in
     $J[curry]$ :  $\langle \neg \Rightarrow S2 \leq \Downarrow \{(T2, T1). R T2 T1\} S1 \rangle$  for  $S2 :: \langle 'c nres \rangle$  and  $R \Rightarrow$ 
      ⟨insert Down-del-assumption-beginning[where  $Q = \langle \lambda x y. Q y x \rangle$  and  $Q' = Q'$ , OF  $I$ ];
      unify-Down-invs2-normalisation-post⟩
    |  $\neg \Rightarrow \langle fail \rangle$ 
  )

```

Example:

```

lemma
assumes
  ⟨nofail  $S0$ ⟩ and
  1:  $\langle S1 \leq \Downarrow \{(T1, T0). Q T1 T0 \wedge P T1 \wedge P' T1 \wedge P''' T1 \wedge Q' T1 T0 \wedge P42 T1\} S0 \rangle$  and
  2:  $\langle S2 \leq \Downarrow \{(T2, T1). R T2 T1\} S1 \rangle$ 
shows ⟨ $S2$ 
   $\leq \Downarrow \{(T2, T1).$ 
     $R T2 T1 \wedge$ 
     $P T1 \wedge P' T1 \wedge P''' T1 \wedge P42 T1\}$ 
   $S1 \rangle$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Inversion Tactics

```

lemma refinement-trans-long:
  ⟨ $A = A' \Rightarrow B = B' \Rightarrow R \subseteq R' \Rightarrow A \leq \Downarrow R B \Rightarrow A' \leq \Downarrow R' B'$ ⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma mem-set-trans:
  ⟨ $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow a \in A \Rightarrow a \in B$ ⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma fun-rel-syn-invert:
  ⟨ $a = a' \Rightarrow b \subseteq b' \Rightarrow a \rightarrow b \subseteq a' \rightarrow b'$ ⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma fref-param1:  $R \rightarrow S = fref (\lambda \cdot. True) R S$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma fref-syn-invert:
  ⟨ $a = a' \Rightarrow b \subseteq b' \Rightarrow a \rightarrow_f b \subseteq a' \rightarrow_f b'$ ⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma nres-rel-mono:
  ⟨ $a \subseteq a' \Rightarrow \langle a \rangle nres-rel \subseteq \langle a' \rangle nres-rel$ ⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

method match-spec =
  (match conclusion in ⟨ $(f, g) \in R$ ⟩ for  $f g R \Rightarrow$ 
  ⟨print-term  $f$ ; match premises in  $I[thin]$ : ⟨ $(f, g) \in R'$ ⟩ for  $R'$ 
   $\Rightarrow$  ⟨print-term  $R'$ ; rule mem-set-trans[OF -  $I$ ]⟩⟩)

```

```

method match-fun-rel =
  ((match conclusion in
    ⟨ $\neg \rightarrow - \subseteq - \rightarrow - \Rightarrow \langle \text{rule fun-rel-mono} \rangle$ ⟩
    | ⟨ $\neg \rightarrow_f - \subseteq - \rightarrow_f - \Rightarrow \langle \text{rule fref-syn-invert} \rangle$ ⟩

```

```

| ⟨⟨-⟩nres-rel ⊆ ⟨-⟩nres-rel⟩ ⇒ ⟨rule nres-rel-mono⟩
| ⟨[-]_f - → - ⊆ [-]_f - → -⟩ ⇒ ⟨rule fref-mono⟩
)++)

```

lemma *weaken-SPEC2*: $\langle m' \leq \text{SPEC } \Phi \Rightarrow m = m' \Rightarrow (\bigwedge x. \Phi x \Rightarrow \Psi x) \Rightarrow m \leq \text{SPEC } \Psi \rangle$
(proof)

method *match-spec-trans* =
(match conclusion in $\langle f \leq \text{SPEC } R \rangle$ **for** $f :: \langle 'a \text{ nres} \rangle$ **and** $R :: \langle 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle \Rightarrow$
⟨print-term f; match premises in I: ⟨- ⟹ - ⟹ f' ≤ SPEC R'⟩ for f' :: ⟨'a nres⟩ and R' :: ⟨'a ⇒
bool⟩
⇒ ⟨print-term f'; rule weaken-SPEC2[of f' R' f R]⟩)

0.0.2 More Notations

abbreviation *uncurry2 f* \equiv *uncurry (uncurry f)*
abbreviation *curry2 f* \equiv *curry (curry f)*
abbreviation *uncurry3 f* \equiv *uncurry (uncurry2 f)*
abbreviation *curry3 f* \equiv *curry (curry2 f)*
abbreviation *uncurry4 f* \equiv *uncurry (uncurry3 f)*
abbreviation *curry4 f* \equiv *curry (curry3 f)*
abbreviation *uncurry5 f* \equiv *uncurry (uncurry4 f)*
abbreviation *curry5 f* \equiv *curry (curry4 f)*
abbreviation *uncurry6 f* \equiv *uncurry (uncurry5 f)*
abbreviation *curry6 f* \equiv *curry (curry5 f)*
abbreviation *uncurry7 f* \equiv *uncurry (uncurry6 f)*
abbreviation *curry7 f* \equiv *curry (curry6 f)*
abbreviation *uncurry8 f* \equiv *uncurry (uncurry7 f)*
abbreviation *curry8 f* \equiv *curry (curry7 f)*
abbreviation *uncurry9 f* \equiv *uncurry (uncurry8 f)*
abbreviation *curry9 f* \equiv *curry (curry8 f)*
abbreviation *uncurry10 f* \equiv *uncurry (uncurry9 f)*
abbreviation *curry10 f* \equiv *curry (curry9 f)*
abbreviation *uncurry11 f* \equiv *uncurry (uncurry10 f)*
abbreviation *curry11 f* \equiv *curry (curry10 f)*
abbreviation *uncurry12 f* \equiv *uncurry (uncurry11 f)*
abbreviation *curry12 f* \equiv *curry (curry11 f)*
abbreviation *uncurry13 f* \equiv *uncurry (uncurry12 f)*
abbreviation *curry13 f* \equiv *curry (curry12 f)*
abbreviation *uncurry14 f* \equiv *uncurry (uncurry13 f)*
abbreviation *curry14 f* \equiv *curry (curry13 f)*
abbreviation *uncurry15 f* \equiv *uncurry (uncurry14 f)*
abbreviation *curry15 f* \equiv *curry (curry14 f)*
abbreviation *uncurry16 f* \equiv *uncurry (uncurry15 f)*
abbreviation *curry16 f* \equiv *curry (curry15 f)*
abbreviation *uncurry17 f* \equiv *uncurry (uncurry16 f)*
abbreviation *curry17 f* \equiv *curry (curry16 f)*
abbreviation *uncurry18 f* \equiv *uncurry (uncurry17 f)*
abbreviation *curry18 f* \equiv *curry (curry17 f)*
abbreviation *uncurry19 f* \equiv *uncurry (uncurry18 f)*
abbreviation *curry19 f* \equiv *curry (curry18 f)*
abbreviation *uncurry20 f* \equiv *uncurry (uncurry19 f)*
abbreviation *curry20 f* \equiv *curry (curry19 f)*

abbreviation *comp4 (infixl oooo 55)* **where** $f\ oooo\ g \equiv \lambda x. f\ ooo\ (g\ x)$

```

abbreviation comp5 (infixl 0oooo 55)      where f 0oooo g ≡ λx. f 0ooo (g x)
abbreviation comp6 (infixl 0ooooo 55)     where f 0ooooo g ≡ λx. f 0oooo (g x)
abbreviation comp7 (infixl 0oooooo 55)    where f 0oooooo g ≡ λx. f 0ooooo (g x)
abbreviation comp8 (infixl 0ooooooo 55)   where f 0ooooooo g ≡ λx. f 0oooooo (g x)
abbreviation comp9 (infixl 0ooooooo0 55)  where f 0ooooooo0 g ≡ λx. f 0ooooooo (g x)
abbreviation comp10 (infixl 0ooooooo00 55) where f 0ooooooo00 g ≡ λx. f 0ooooooo0 (g x)
abbreviation comp11 (infixl o11 55)        where f o11 g ≡ λx. f 0ooooooooo (g x)
abbreviation comp12 (infixl o12 55)        where f o12 g ≡ λx. f o11 (g x)
abbreviation comp13 (infixl o13 55)        where f o13 g ≡ λx. f o12 (g x)
abbreviation comp14 (infixl o14 55)        where f o14 g ≡ λx. f o13 (g x)
abbreviation comp15 (infixl o15 55)        where f o15 g ≡ λx. f o14 (g x)
abbreviation comp16 (infixl o16 55)        where f o16 g ≡ λx. f o15 (g x)
abbreviation comp17 (infixl o17 55)        where f o17 g ≡ λx. f o16 (g x)
abbreviation comp18 (infixl o18 55)        where f o18 g ≡ λx. f o17 (g x)
abbreviation comp19 (infixl o19 55)        where f o19 g ≡ λx. f o18 (g x)
abbreviation comp20 (infixl o20 55)        where f o20 g ≡ λx. f o19 (g x)

```

notation

```

comp4 (infixl 000 55) and
comp5 (infixl 0000 55) and
comp6 (infixl 00000 55) and
comp7 (infixl 000000 55) and
comp8 (infixl 0000000 55) and
comp9 (infixl 00000000 55) and
comp10 (infixl 000000000 55) and
comp11 (infixl o11 55) and
comp12 (infixl o12 55) and
comp13 (infixl o13 55) and
comp14 (infixl o14 55) and
comp15 (infixl o15 55) and
comp16 (infixl o16 55) and
comp17 (infixl o17 55) and
comp18 (infixl o18 55) and
comp19 (infixl o19 55) and
comp20 (infixl o20 55)

```

0.0.3 More Theorems for Refinement

lemma *SPEC-add-information*: $\langle P \implies A \leq \text{SPEC } Q \implies A \leq \text{SPEC}(\lambda x. Q \ x \wedge P) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bind-refine-spec*: $\langle (\forall x. \Phi \ x \implies f \ x \leq \Downarrow R \ M) \implies M' \leq \text{SPEC } \Phi \implies M' \geqslant f \leq \Downarrow R \ M \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *intro-spec-iff*:
 $\langle (\text{RES } X \geqslant f \leq M) = (\forall x \in X. f \ x \leq M) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *case-prod-bind*:
assumes $\langle \bigwedge x1 \ x2. x = (x1, x2) \implies f \ x1 \ x2 \leq \Downarrow R \ I \rangle$
shows $\langle (\text{case } x \text{ of } (x1, x2) \Rightarrow f \ x1 \ x2) \leq \Downarrow R \ I \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in transfer*) *transfer-bool[refine-transfer]*:
assumes $\alpha \ fa \leq Fa$
assumes $\alpha \ fb \leq Fb$

shows α (*case-bool fa fb x*) \leq *case-bool Fa Fb x*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ref-two-step'*: $\langle A \leq B \implies \Downarrow R A \leq \Downarrow R B \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *RES-RETURN-RES*: $\langle RES \Phi \gg (\lambda T. RETURN (f T)) = RES (f ` \Phi) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *RES-RES-RETURN-RES*: $\langle RES A \gg (\lambda T. RES (f T)) = RES (\bigcup (f ` A)) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *RES-RES2-RETURN-RES*: $\langle RES A \gg (\lambda (T, T'). RES (f T T')) = RES (\bigcup (uncurry f ` A)) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *RES-RES3-RETURN-RES*:
 $\langle RES A \gg (\lambda (T, T', T''). RES (f T T' T'')) = RES (\bigcup ((\lambda (a, b, c). f a b c) ` A)) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *RES-RETURN-RES3*:
 $\langle SPEC \Phi \gg (\lambda (T, T', T''). RETURN (f T T' T'')) = RES ((\lambda (a, b, c). f a b c) ` \{ T. \Phi T \}) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *RES-RES-RETURN-RES2*: $\langle RES A \gg (\lambda (T, T'). RETURN (f T T')) = RES (uncurry f ` A) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bind-refine-res*: $\langle (\bigwedge x. x \in \Phi \implies f x \leq \Downarrow R M) \implies M' \leq RES \Phi \implies M' \gg f \leq \Downarrow R M \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *RES-RETURN-RES-RES2*:
 $\langle RES \Phi \gg (\lambda (T, T'). RETURN (f T T')) = RES (uncurry f ` \Phi) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

This theorem adds the invariant at the beginning of next iteration to the current invariant, i.e., the invariant is added as a post-condition on the current iteration.

This is useful to reduce duplication in theorems while refining.

lemma *RECT WHILEI-body-add-post-condition*:
 $\langle REC_T (WHILEI-body (\gg) RETURN I' b' f) x' =$
 $(REC_T (WHILEI-body (\gg) RETURN (\lambda x'. I' x' \wedge (b' x' \longrightarrow f x' = FAIL \vee f x' \leq SPEC I')) b'$
 $f) x' \rangle$
 $\langle \text{is } (REC_T ?f x' = REC_T ?f' x') \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *WHILEIT-add-post-condition*:
 $\langle (WHILEIT I' b' f' x') =$
 $(WHILEIT (\lambda x'. I' x' \wedge (b' x' \longrightarrow f' x' = FAIL \vee f' x' \leq SPEC I'))$
 $b' f' x') \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *WHILEIT-rule-stronger-inv*:

assumes
 $\langle wf R \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle I s \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle I' s \rangle$ **and**

$\langle \bigwedge s. I s \implies I' s \implies b s \implies f s \leq \text{SPEC } (\lambda s'. I s' \wedge I' s' \wedge (s', s) \in R) \rangle$ and
 $\langle \bigwedge s. I s \implies I' s \implies \neg b s \implies \Phi s \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{WHILE}_T^I b f s \leq \text{SPEC } \Phi \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma RES-RETURN-RES2:

$\langle \text{SPEC } \Phi \gg (\lambda(T, T'). \text{RETURN } (f T T')) = \text{RES } (\text{uncurry } f ` \{T, \Phi, T\}) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma WHILEIT-rule-stronger-inv-RES:

assumes

$\langle \text{wf } R \rangle$ and

$\langle I s \rangle$ and

$\langle I' s \rangle$

$\langle \bigwedge s. I s \implies I' s \implies b s \implies f s \leq \text{SPEC } (\lambda s'. I s' \wedge I' s' \wedge (s', s) \in R) \rangle$ and
 $\langle \bigwedge s. I s \implies I' s \implies \neg b s \implies s \in \Phi \rangle$

shows $\langle \text{WHILE}_T^I b f s \leq \text{RES } \Phi \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma fref-weaken-pre-weaken:

assumes $\bigwedge x. P x \longrightarrow P' x$

assumes $(f, h) \in \text{fref } P' R S$

assumes $S \subseteq S'$

shows $(f, h) \in \text{fref } P R S'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma bind-rule-complete-RES: $\langle (M \gg f \leq \text{RES } \Phi) = (M \leq \text{SPEC } (\lambda x. f x \leq \text{RES } \Phi)) \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma fref-to-Down:

$\langle (f, g) \in [P]_f A \rightarrow \langle B \rangle \text{nres-rel} \implies$
 $\langle \bigwedge x x'. P x' \implies (x, x') \in A \implies f x \leq \downarrow B (g x') \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma fref-to-Down-curried-left:

fixes $f :: \langle 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c \text{ nres} \rangle$ and

$A :: \langle (('a \times 'b) \times 'd) \text{ set} \rangle$

shows

$\langle (\text{uncurry } f, g) \in [P]_f A \rightarrow \langle B \rangle \text{nres-rel} \implies$
 $\langle \bigwedge a b x'. P x' \implies ((a, b), x') \in A \implies f a b \leq \downarrow B (g x') \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma fref-to-Down-curried:

$\langle (\text{uncurry } f, \text{uncurry } g) \in [P]_f A \rightarrow \langle B \rangle \text{nres-rel} \implies$
 $\langle \bigwedge x x' y y'. P (x', y') \implies ((x, y), (x', y')) \in A \implies f x y \leq \downarrow B (g x' y') \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma fref-to-Down-curried2:

$\langle (\text{uncurry2 } f, \text{uncurry2 } g) \in [P]_f A \rightarrow \langle B \rangle \text{nres-rel} \implies$
 $\langle \bigwedge x x' y y' z z'. P ((x', y'), z') \implies (((x, y), z), ((x', y'), z')) \in A \implies$
 $f x y z \leq \downarrow B (g x' y' z') \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma fref-to-Down-curried2':

$\langle (\text{uncurry2 } f, \text{uncurry2 } g) \in A \rightarrow_f \langle B \rangle \text{nres-rel} \Rightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge x x' y y' z z'. ((x, y), z), ((x', y'), z') \in A \Rightarrow$
 $f x y z \leq \Downarrow B (g x' y' z')) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fref-to-Down-curry3*:

$\langle (\text{uncurry3 } f, \text{uncurry3 } g) \in [P]_f A \rightarrow \langle B \rangle \text{nres-rel} \Rightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge x x' y y' z z' a a'. P (((x', y'), z'), a') \Rightarrow$
 $((((x, y), z), a), ((x', y'), z'), a') \in A \Rightarrow$
 $f x y z a \leq \Downarrow B (g x' y' z' a')) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fref-to-Down-curry4*:

$\langle (\text{uncurry4 } f, \text{uncurry4 } g) \in [P]_f A \rightarrow \langle B \rangle \text{nres-rel} \Rightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge x x' y y' z z' a a' b b'. P (((((x', y'), z'), a'), b') \Rightarrow$
 $(((((x, y), z), a), b), (((x', y'), z'), a'), b') \in A \Rightarrow$
 $f x y z a b \leq \Downarrow B (g x' y' z' a' b')) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fref-to-Down-curry5*:

$\langle (\text{uncurry5 } f, \text{uncurry5 } g) \in [P]_f A \rightarrow \langle B \rangle \text{nres-rel} \Rightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge x x' y y' z z' a a' b b' c c'. P ((((((x', y'), z'), a'), b'), c') \Rightarrow$
 $((((((x, y), z), a), b), c), (((((x', y'), z'), a'), b'), c') \in A \Rightarrow$
 $f x y z a b c \leq \Downarrow B (g x' y' z' a' b' c')) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fref-to-Down-curry6*:

$\langle (\text{uncurry6 } f, \text{uncurry6 } g) \in [P]_f A \rightarrow \langle B \rangle \text{nres-rel} \Rightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge x x' y y' z z' a a' b b' c c' d d'. P (((((((x', y'), z'), a'), b'), c'), d') \Rightarrow$
 $((((((x, y), z), a), b), c), d), (((((x', y'), z'), a'), b'), c'), d') \in A \Rightarrow$
 $f x y z a b c d \leq \Downarrow B (g x' y' z' a' b' c' d')) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fref-to-Down-curry7*:

$\langle (\text{uncurry7 } f, \text{uncurry7 } g) \in [P]_f A \rightarrow \langle B \rangle \text{nres-rel} \Rightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge x x' y y' z z' a a' b b' c c' d d' e e'. P (((((((x', y'), z'), a'), b'), c'), d'), e') \Rightarrow$
 $((((((x, y), z), a), b), c), d), (((((x', y'), z'), a'), b'), c'), d') \in A \Rightarrow$
 $f x y z a b c d e \leq \Downarrow B (g x' y' z' a' b' c' d' e')) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fref-to-Down-explode*:

$\langle (f a, g a) \in [P]_f A \rightarrow \langle B \rangle \text{nres-rel} \Rightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge x x' b. P x' \Rightarrow (x, x') \in A \Rightarrow b = a \Rightarrow f a x \leq \Downarrow B (g b x')) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fref-to-Down-curry-no-nres-Id*:

$\langle (\text{uncurry } (\text{RETURN oo } f), \text{uncurry } (\text{RETURN oo } g)) \in [P]_f A \rightarrow \langle \text{Id} \rangle \text{nres-rel} \Rightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge x x' y y'. P (x', y') \Rightarrow ((x, y), (x', y')) \in A \Rightarrow f x y = g x' y') \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fref-to-Down-no-nres*:

$\langle ((\text{RETURN o } f), (\text{RETURN o } g)) \in [P]_f A \rightarrow \langle B \rangle \text{nres-rel} \Rightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge x x'. P (x') \Rightarrow (x, x') \in A \Rightarrow (f x, g x') \in B) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fref-to-Down-curry-no-nres*:

$\langle (\text{uncurry} (\text{RETURN} \ oo \ f), \text{uncurry} (\text{RETURN} \ oo \ g)) \in [P]_f \ A \rightarrow \langle B \rangle \text{nres-rel} \Rightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge x \ x' \ y \ y'. \ P \ (x', y') \Rightarrow ((x, y), (x', y')) \in A \Rightarrow (f \ x \ y, g \ x' \ y') \in B) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma RES-RETURN-RES4:

$\langle \text{SPEC } \Phi \gg (\lambda(T, T', T'', T'''). \ \text{RETURN} \ (f \ T \ T' \ T'' \ T''')) =$
 $\text{RES} \ ((\lambda(a, b, c, d). \ f \ a \ b \ c \ d) \ ` \ {T, \Phi, T}) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare RETURN-as-SPEC-refine[refine2 del]

lemma fref-to-Down-unRET-uncurry-Id:

$\langle (\text{uncurry} (\text{RETURN} \ oo \ f), \text{uncurry} (\text{RETURN} \ oo \ g)) \in [P]_f \ A \rightarrow \langle \text{Id} \rangle \text{nres-rel} \Rightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge x \ x' \ y \ y'. \ P \ (x', y') \Rightarrow ((x, y), (x', y')) \in A \Rightarrow f \ x \ y = (g \ x' \ y')) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma fref-to-Down-unRET-uncurry:

$\langle (\text{uncurry} (\text{RETURN} \ oo \ f), \text{uncurry} (\text{RETURN} \ oo \ g)) \in [P]_f \ A \rightarrow \langle B \rangle \text{nres-rel} \Rightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge x \ x' \ y \ y'. \ P \ (x', y') \Rightarrow ((x, y), (x', y')) \in A \Rightarrow (f \ x \ y, g \ x' \ y') \in B) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma fref-to-Down-unRET-Id:

$\langle ((\text{RETURN} \ o \ f), (\text{RETURN} \ o \ g)) \in [P]_f \ A \rightarrow \langle \text{Id} \rangle \text{nres-rel} \Rightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge x \ x'. \ P \ x' \Rightarrow (x, x') \in A \Rightarrow f \ x = (g \ x')) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma fref-to-Down-unRET:

$\langle ((\text{RETURN} \ o \ f), (\text{RETURN} \ o \ g)) \in [P]_f \ A \rightarrow \langle B \rangle \text{nres-rel} \Rightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge x \ x'. \ P \ x' \Rightarrow (x, x') \in A \Rightarrow (f \ x, g \ x') \in B) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma fref-to-Down-unRET-uncurry2:

fixes $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow 'f'$
and $g :: 'a2 \Rightarrow 'b2 \Rightarrow 'c2 \Rightarrow 'g$
shows
 $\langle (\text{uncurry2} (\text{RETURN} \ ooo \ f), \text{uncurry2} (\text{RETURN} \ ooo \ g)) \in [P]_f \ A \rightarrow \langle B \rangle \text{nres-rel} \Rightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge (x :: 'a) \ x' \ y \ y' \ (z :: 'c) \ (z' :: 'c2).$
 $P \ ((x', y'), z') \Rightarrow (((x, y), z), ((x', y'), z')) \in A \Rightarrow$
 $(f \ x \ y \ z, g \ x' \ y' \ z') \in B) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma fref-to-Down-unRET-uncurry3:

shows
 $\langle (\text{uncurry3} (\text{RETURN} \ oooo \ f), \text{uncurry3} (\text{RETURN} \ oooo \ g)) \in [P]_f \ A \rightarrow \langle B \rangle \text{nres-rel} \Rightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge (x :: 'a) \ x' \ y \ y' \ (z :: 'c) \ (z' :: 'c2) \ a \ a'.$
 $P \ (((x', y'), z'), a') \Rightarrow (((((x, y), z), a), (((x', y'), z'), a')) \in A \Rightarrow$
 $(f \ x \ y \ z \ a, g \ x' \ y' \ z' \ a') \in B) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma fref-to-Down-unRET-uncurry4:

shows
 $\langle (\text{uncurry4} (\text{RETURN} \ ooooo \ f), \text{uncurry4} (\text{RETURN} \ ooooo \ g)) \in [P]_f \ A \rightarrow \langle B \rangle \text{nres-rel} \Rightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge (x :: 'a) \ x' \ y \ y' \ (z :: 'c) \ (z' :: 'c2) \ a \ a' \ b \ b'.$
 $P \ (((((x', y'), z'), a'), b') \Rightarrow ((((((x, y), z), a), b), (((x', y'), z'), a')), b') \in A \Rightarrow$
 $(f \ x \ y \ z \ a \ b, g \ x' \ y' \ z' \ a' \ b') \in B) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

More Simplification Theorems

lemma *nofail-Down-nofail*: $\langle \text{nofail } gS \implies fS \leq \Downarrow R \ gS \implies \text{nofail } fS \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

This is the refinement version of $\text{WHILE}_T ?I' ?b' ?f' ?x' = \text{WHILE}_T \lambda x'. ?I' x' \wedge (?b' x' \rightarrow ?f' x' = FAIL \vee ?f' x' \leq ?b' ?f' ?x')$.

lemma *WHILEIT-refine-with-post*:

assumes *R0*: $I' x' \implies (x, x') \in R$
assumes *IREF*: $\bigwedge x \ x'. \llbracket (x, x') \in R; I' x' \rrbracket \implies I x$
assumes *COND-REF*: $\bigwedge x \ x'. \llbracket (x, x') \in R; I x; I' x' \rrbracket \implies b x = b' x'$
assumes *STEP-REF*:
 $\bigwedge x \ x'. \llbracket (x, x') \in R; b x; b' x'; I x; I' x'; f' x' \leq \text{SPEC } I' \rrbracket \implies f x \leq \Downarrow R (f' x')$
shows $\text{WHILEIT } I b f x \leq \Downarrow R (\text{WHILEIT } I' b' f' x')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

0.0.4 Some Refinement

lemma *Collect-eq-comp*: $\langle \{(c, a). a = f c\} O \{(x, y). P x y\} = \{(c, y). P (f c) y\} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Collect-eq-comp-right*:

$\langle \{(x, y). P x y\} O \{(c, a). a = f c\} = \{(x, c). \exists y. P x y \wedge c = f y\} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *no-fail-spec-le-RETURN-itself*: $\langle \text{nofail } f \implies f \leq \text{SPEC}(\lambda x. \text{RETURN } x \leq f) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *refine-add-invariants'*:

assumes
 $f S \leq \Downarrow \{(S, S'). Q' S S' \wedge Q S\} gS$ **and**
 $y \leq \Downarrow \{((i, S), S'). P i S S'\} (f S)$ **and**
 $\langle \text{nofail } gS \rangle$
shows $\langle y \leq \Downarrow \{((i, S), S'). P i S S' \wedge Q S'\} (f S) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *weaken-Down*: $\langle R' \subseteq R \implies f \leq \Downarrow R' g \implies f \leq \Downarrow R g \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

method *match-Down* =
 $(\text{match conclusion in } \langle f \leq \Downarrow R g \rangle \text{ for } f g R \Rightarrow$
 $\langle \text{match premises in } I: \langle f \leq \Downarrow R' g \rangle \text{ for } R' \Rightarrow \langle \text{rule weaken-Down}[OF - I] \rangle \rangle)$

lemma *refine-SPEC-refine-Down*:
 $\langle f \leq \text{SPEC } C \longleftrightarrow f \leq \Downarrow \{(T', T). T = T' \wedge C T'\} (\text{SPEC } C) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

0.0.5 More declarations

notation *prod-rel-sym* (**infixl** \times_f 70)

lemma *diff-add-mset-remove1*: $\langle \text{NO-MATCH } \{\#\} N \implies M - \text{add-mset } a N = \text{remove1-mset } a (M - N) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

0.0.6 List relation

lemma *list-rel-take*:
 $\langle (ba, ab) \in \langle A \rangle \text{list-rel} \implies (\text{take } b ba, \text{take } b ab) \in \langle A \rangle \text{list-rel} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *list-rel-update'*:
fixes R
assumes $\text{rel}: \langle (xs, ys) \in \langle R \rangle \text{list-rel} \rangle$ **and**
 $h: \langle (bi, b) \in R \rangle$
shows $\langle (\text{list-update } xs ba bi, \text{list-update } ys ba b) \in \langle R \rangle \text{list-rel} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *list-rel-in-find-correspondanceE*:
assumes $\langle (M, M') \in \langle R \rangle \text{list-rel} \rangle$ **and** $\langle L \in \text{set } M \rangle$
obtains L' **where** $\langle (L, L') \in R \rangle$ **and** $\langle L' \in \text{set } M' \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

0.0.7 More Functions, Relations, and Theorems

definition *emptied-list* :: $\langle 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{emptied-list } l = [] \rangle$

lemma *Down-id-eq*: $\Downarrow \text{Id } a = a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Down-itself-via-SPEC*:
assumes $\langle I \leq \text{SPEC } P \rangle$ **and** $\langle \bigwedge x. P x \implies (x, x) \in R \rangle$
shows $\langle I \leq \Downarrow R I \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *RES-ASSERT-moveout*:
 $(\bigwedge a. a \in P \implies Q a) \implies \text{do } \{a \leftarrow \text{RES } P; \text{ASSERT}(Q a); (f a)\} =$
 $\text{do } \{a \leftarrow \text{RES } P; (f a)\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bind-if-inverse*:
 $\langle \text{do } \{$
 $S \leftarrow H;$
 $\text{if } b \text{ then } f S \text{ else } g S$
 $\} =$
 $(\text{if } b \text{ then do } \{S \leftarrow H; f S\} \text{ else do } \{S \leftarrow H; g S\})$
 $\rangle \text{for } H :: \langle 'a \text{ nres} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Ghost parameters

This is a trick to recover from consumption of a variable (A_{in}) that is passed as argument and destroyed by the initialisation: We copy it as a zero-cost (by creating a $()$), because we don't need it in the code and only in the specification.

This is a way to have ghost parameters, without having them: The parameter is replaced by () and we hope that the compiler will do the right thing.

definition *virtual-copy* **where**
 $\langle\text{simp}\rangle: \langle\text{virtual-copy} = \text{id}\rangle$

definition *virtual-copy-rel* **where**
 $\langle\text{virtual-copy-rel} = \{(c, b). c = ()\}\rangle$

lemma *bind-cong-nres*: $\langle(\forall x. g x = g' x) \implies (\text{do } \{a \leftarrow f :: 'a \text{ nres}; g a\}) = (\text{do } \{a \leftarrow f :: 'a \text{ nres}; g a\})\rangle$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *case-prod-cong*:
 $\langle(\forall a b. f a b = g a b) \implies (\text{case } x \text{ of } (a, b) \Rightarrow f a b) = (\text{case } x \text{ of } (a, b) \Rightarrow g a b)\rangle$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *if-replace-cond*: $\langle(\text{if } b \text{ then } P b \text{ else } Q b) = (\text{if } b \text{ then } P \text{ True else } Q \text{ False})\rangle$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *foldli-cong2*:
assumes
 $le: \langle\text{length } l = \text{length } l'\rangle \text{ and}$
 $\sigma: \langle\sigma = \sigma'\rangle \text{ and}$
 $c: \langle c = c'\rangle \text{ and}$
 $H: \langle\forall \sigma x. x < \text{length } l \implies c' \sigma \implies f(l ! x) \sigma = f'(l' ! x) \sigma\rangle$
shows $\langle\text{foldli } l c f \sigma = \text{foldli } l' c' f' \sigma'\rangle$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *foldli-foldli-list-nth*:
 $\langle\text{foldli } xs c P a = \text{foldli } [0..\text{length } xs] c (\lambda i. P(xs ! i)) a\rangle$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *RES-RES13-RETURN-RES*: $\langle\text{do } \{$
 $(M, N, D, Q, W, vm, \varphi, clvls, cach, lbd, outl, stats, fast-ema, slow-ema, ccount,$
 $vdom, avdom, lcount) \leftarrow \text{RES } A;$
 $\text{RES } (f M N D Q W vm \varphi clvls cach lbd outl stats fast-ema slow-ema ccount$
 $vdom avdom lcount)$
 $\} = \text{RES } (\bigcup (M, N, D, Q, W, vm, \varphi, clvls, cach, lbd, outl, stats, fast-ema, slow-ema, ccount,$
 $vdom, avdom, lcount) \in A. f M N D Q W vm \varphi clvls cach lbd outl stats fast-ema slow-ema ccount$
 $vdom avdom lcount)\rangle$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *RES-SPEC-conv*: $\langle\text{RES } P = \text{SPEC } (\lambda v. v \in P)\rangle$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *add-invar-refineI-P*: $\langle A \leq \Downarrow \{(x,y). R x y\} B \implies (\text{nofail } A \implies A \leq \text{SPEC } P) \implies A \leq \Downarrow \{(x,y). R x y \wedge P x\} B\rangle$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma (**in** $-$) *WHILEIT-rule-stronger-inv-RES'*:
assumes

$\langle wf R \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle I s \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle I' s \rangle$
 $\langle \bigwedge s. I s \implies I' s \implies b s \implies f s \leq SPEC (\lambda s'. I s' \wedge I' s' \wedge (s', s) \in R) \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle \bigwedge s. I s \implies I' s \implies \neg b s \implies RETURN s \leq \downarrow H (RES \Phi) \rangle$
shows $\langle WHILE_T^I b f s \leq \downarrow H (RES \Phi) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *same-in-Id-option-rel*:

$\langle x = x' \implies (x, x') \in \langle Id \rangle option\text{-}rel \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *find-in-list-between* :: $\langle ('a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{nat option nres} \rangle$ **where**

$\langle find\text{-}in\text{-}list\text{-}between P a b C = do \{$
 $(x, -) \leftarrow WHILE_T \lambda(\text{found}, i). i \geq a \wedge i \leq \text{length } C \wedge i \leq b \wedge (\forall j \in \{a..<i\}. \neg P(C!j)) \wedge (\forall j. \text{found} = \text{Some } j \longrightarrow ($
 $(\lambda(\text{found}, i). \text{found} = \text{None} \wedge i < b)$
 $(\lambda(-, i). \text{do } \{$
 $\text{ASSERT}(i < \text{length } C);$
 $\text{if } P(C!i) \text{ then RETURN } (\text{Some } i, i) \text{ else RETURN } (\text{None}, i+1)$
 $\})$
 $(\text{None}, a);$
 $\text{RETURN } x$
 $\}$

lemma *find-in-list-between-spec*:

assumes $\langle a \leq \text{length } C \rangle$ **and** $\langle b \leq \text{length } C \rangle$ **and** $\langle a \leq b \rangle$
shows

$\langle find\text{-}in\text{-}list\text{-}between P a b C \leq SPEC(\lambda i. (i \neq \text{None} \longrightarrow P(C!i) \wedge \text{the } i \geq a \wedge \text{the } i < b) \wedge (i = \text{None} \longrightarrow (\forall j. j \geq a \longrightarrow j < b \longrightarrow \neg P(C!j)))) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nfoldli-cong2*:

assumes
 $le: \langle \text{length } l = \text{length } l' \rangle$ **and**
 $\sigma: \langle \sigma = \sigma' \rangle$ **and**
 $c: \langle c = c' \rangle$ **and**
 $H: \langle \bigwedge \sigma. x < \text{length } l \implies c' \sigma \implies f(l ! x) \sigma = f'(l' ! x) \sigma \rangle$
shows $\langle nfoldli l c f \sigma = nfoldli l' c' f' \sigma' \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nfoldli-nfoldli-list-nth*:

$\langle nfoldli xs c P a = nfoldli [0..<\text{length } xs] c (\lambda i. P(xs ! i)) a \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *list-mset-rel* $\equiv br mset (\lambda -. \text{True})$

lemma

Nil-list-mset-rel-iff:
 $\langle [] , aaa \rangle \in \text{list-mset-rel} \longleftrightarrow aaa = \{\#\}$ **and**
empty-list-mset-rel-iff:
 $\langle (a, \{\#\}) \in \text{list-mset-rel} \longleftrightarrow a = [] \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *list-rel-mset-rel* **where** *list-rel-mset-rel-internal*:
 $\langle R \rangle \text{list-rel-mset-rel} \equiv \lambda R. \langle R \rangle \text{list-rel} O \text{list-mset-rel}$

lemma *list-rel-mset-rel-def*[refine-rel-defs]:
 $\langle R \rangle \text{list-rel-mset-rel} = \langle R \rangle \text{list-rel} O \text{list-mset-rel}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *list-rel-mset-rel-imp-same-length*: $\langle (a, b) \rangle \in \langle R \rangle \text{list-rel-mset-rel} \implies \text{length } a = \text{size } b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *while-upr-while-direct1*:

$$\begin{aligned} b &\geq a \implies \\ &\text{do} \{ \\ &\quad (-,\sigma) \leftarrow \text{WHILE}_T (\text{FOREACH-cond } c) (\lambda x. \text{do} \{ \text{ASSERT} (\text{FOREACH-cond } c x); \text{FOREACH-body} \\ &\quad f x \}) ([a..< b],\sigma); \\ &\quad \text{RETURN } \sigma \\ &\} \leq \text{do} \{ \\ &\quad (-,\sigma) \leftarrow \text{WHILE}_T (\lambda(i, x). i < b \wedge c x) (\lambda(i, x). \text{do} \{ \text{ASSERT} (i < b); \sigma' \leftarrow f i x; \text{RETURN } (i+1, \sigma') \}) (a,\sigma); \\ &\quad \text{RETURN } \sigma \\ &\} \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *while-upr-while-direct2*:

$$\begin{aligned} b &\geq a \implies \\ &\text{do} \{ \\ &\quad (-,\sigma) \leftarrow \text{WHILE}_T (\text{FOREACH-cond } c) (\lambda x. \text{do} \{ \text{ASSERT} (\text{FOREACH-cond } c x); \text{FOREACH-body} \\ &\quad f x \}) ([a..< b],\sigma); \\ &\quad \text{RETURN } \sigma \\ &\} \geq \text{do} \{ \\ &\quad (-,\sigma) \leftarrow \text{WHILE}_T (\lambda(i, x). i < b \wedge c x) (\lambda(i, x). \text{do} \{ \text{ASSERT} (i < b); \sigma' \leftarrow f i x; \text{RETURN } (i+1, \sigma') \}) (a,\sigma); \\ &\quad \text{RETURN } \sigma \\ &\} \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *while-upr-while-direct*:

$$\begin{aligned} b &\geq a \implies \\ &\text{do} \{ \\ &\quad (-,\sigma) \leftarrow \text{WHILE}_T (\text{FOREACH-cond } c) (\lambda x. \text{do} \{ \text{ASSERT} (\text{FOREACH-cond } c x); \text{FOREACH-body} \\ &\quad f x \}) ([a..< b],\sigma); \\ &\quad \text{RETURN } \sigma \\ &\} = \text{do} \{ \\ &\quad (-,\sigma) \leftarrow \text{WHILE}_T (\lambda(i, x). i < b \wedge c x) (\lambda(i, x). \text{do} \{ \text{ASSERT} (i < b); \sigma' \leftarrow f i x; \text{RETURN } (i+1, \sigma') \}) (a,\sigma); \\ &\quad \text{RETURN } \sigma \\ &\} \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *while-nfoldli*:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{do} \{ \\ &\quad (-,\sigma) \leftarrow \text{WHILE}_T (\text{FOREACH-cond } c) (\lambda x. \text{do} \{ \text{ASSERT} (\text{FOREACH-cond } c x); \text{FOREACH-body} \\ &\quad f x \}) (l,\sigma); \\ &\quad \text{RETURN } \sigma \end{aligned}$$

```

} ≤ nfoldli l c f σ
⟨proof⟩
lemma nfoldli-while: nfoldli l c f σ
    ≤
    ( WHILETI
      (FOREACH-cond c) (λx. do {ASSERT (FOREACH-cond c x); FOREACH-body f x}) (l, σ)
    ≈
    (λ(-, σ). RETURN σ)
⟨proof⟩

lemma while-eq-nfoldli: do {
  (-,σ) ← WHILET (FOREACH-cond c) (λx. do {ASSERT (FOREACH-cond c x); FOREACH-body f x}) (l,σ);
  RETURN σ
} = nfoldli l c f σ
⟨proof⟩

end
theory WB-More-Refinement-List
imports Weidenbach-Book-Base.WB-List-More Automatic-Refinement.Automatic-Refinement
HOL-Word.More-Word — provides some additional lemmas like ?n < length ?xs ⇒ rev ?xs ! ?n
= ?xs ! (length ?xs - 1 - ?n)
  Refine-Monadic.Refine-Basic
begin

```

0.1 More theorems about list

This should theorem and functions that defined in the Refinement Framework, but not in *HOL.List*. There might be moved somewhere eventually in the AFP or so.

0.1.1 Swap two elements of a list, by index

definition swap where $\text{swap } l \ i \ j \equiv l[i := l!j, j := l!i]$

lemma swap-nth[simp]: $\llbracket i < \text{length } l; j < \text{length } l; k < \text{length } l \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{swap } l \ i \ j!k = ($
 $\quad \text{if } k=i \text{ then } l!j$
 $\quad \text{else if } k=j \text{ then } l!i$
 $\quad \text{else } l!k$
 $)$

⟨proof⟩

lemma swap-set[simp]: $\llbracket i < \text{length } l; j < \text{length } l \rrbracket \implies \text{set } (\text{swap } l \ i \ j) = \text{set } l$

⟨proof⟩

lemma swap-multiset[simp]: $\llbracket i < \text{length } l; j < \text{length } l \rrbracket \implies \text{mset } (\text{swap } l \ i \ j) = \text{mset } l$

⟨proof⟩

lemma swap-length[simp]: $\text{length } (\text{swap } l \ i \ j) = \text{length } l$

⟨proof⟩

lemma swap-same[simp]: $\text{swap } l \ i \ i = l$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *distinct-swap*[simp]:
 $\llbracket i < \text{length } l; j < \text{length } l \rrbracket \implies \text{distinct} (\text{swap } l i j) = \text{distinct } l$
(proof)

lemma *map-swap*: $\llbracket i < \text{length } l; j < \text{length } l \rrbracket \implies \text{map } f (\text{swap } l i j) = \text{swap} (\text{map } f l) i j$
 $\implies \text{map } f (\text{swap } l i j) = \text{swap} (\text{map } f l) i j$
(proof)

lemma *swap-nth-irrelevant*:
 $\langle k \neq i \implies k \neq j \implies \text{swap } xs i j ! k = xs ! k \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *swap-nth-relevant*:
 $\langle i < \text{length } xs \implies j < \text{length } xs \implies \text{swap } xs i j ! i = xs ! j \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *swap-nth-relevant2*:
 $\langle i < \text{length } xs \implies j < \text{length } xs \implies \text{swap } xs j i ! i = xs ! j \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *swap-nth-if*:
 $\langle i < \text{length } xs \implies j < \text{length } xs \implies \text{swap } xs i j ! k =$
 $\quad (\text{if } k = i \text{ then } xs ! j \text{ else if } k = j \text{ then } xs ! i \text{ else } xs ! k) \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *drop-swap-irrelevant*:
 $\langle k > i \implies k > j \implies \text{drop } k (\text{swap } \text{outl}' j i) = \text{drop } k \text{ outl}' \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *take-swap-relevant*:
 $\langle k > i \implies k > j \implies \text{take } k (\text{swap } \text{outl}' j i) = \text{swap} (\text{take } k \text{ outl}') i j \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *tl-swap-relevant*:
 $\langle i > 0 \implies j > 0 \implies \text{tl } (\text{swap } \text{outl}' j i) = \text{swap} (\text{tl } \text{outl}') (i - 1) (j - 1) \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *swap-only-first-relevant*:
 $\langle b \geq i \implies a < \text{length } xs \implies \text{take } i (\text{swap } xs a b) = \text{take } i (xs[a := xs ! b]) \rangle$
(proof)

TODO this should go to a different place from the previous lemmas, since it concerns *Misc.slice*, which is not part of *HOL.List* but only part of the Refinement Framework.

lemma *slice-nth*:
 $\langle \llbracket \text{from} \leq \text{length } xs; i < \text{to} - \text{from} \rrbracket \implies \text{Misc.slice from to } xs ! i = xs ! (\text{from} + i) \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *slice-irrelevant*[simp]:
 $\langle i < \text{from} \implies \text{Misc.slice from to } (xs[i := C]) = \text{Misc.slice from to } xs \rangle$
 $\langle i \geq \text{to} \implies \text{Misc.slice from to } (xs[i := C]) = \text{Misc.slice from to } xs \rangle$
 $\langle i \geq \text{to} \vee i < \text{from} \implies \text{Misc.slice from to } (xs[i := C]) = \text{Misc.slice from to } xs \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *slice-update-swap*[simp]:
 $\langle i < \text{to} \implies i \geq \text{from} \implies i < \text{length } xs \implies$

Misc.slice from to (xs[i := C]) = (Misc.slice from to xs)[(i – from) := C]
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *drop-slice*[simp]:

$\langle drop n (Misc.slice from to xs) = Misc.slice (from + n) to xs \rangle$ **for** $from \leq n$ to xs
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *take-slice*[simp]:

$\langle take n (Misc.slice from to xs) = Misc.slice from (min to (from + n)) xs \rangle$ **for** $from \leq n$ to xs
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *slice-append*[simp]:

$\langle to \leq length xs \Rightarrow Misc.slice from to (xs @ ys) = Misc.slice from to xs \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *slice-prepend*[simp]:

$\langle from \geq length xs \Rightarrow Misc.slice from to (xs @ ys) = Misc.slice (from - length xs) (to - length xs) ys \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *slice-len-min-If*:

$\langle length (Misc.slice from to xs) =$
 $(if from < length xs then min (length xs - from) (to - from) else 0) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *slice-start0*: $\langle Misc.slice 0 to xs = take to xs \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *slice-end-length*: $\langle n \geq length xs \Rightarrow Misc.slice to n xs = drop to xs \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *slice-swap*[simp]:

$\langle l \geq from \Rightarrow l < to \Rightarrow k \geq from \Rightarrow k < to \Rightarrow from < length arena \Rightarrow$
 $Misc.slice from to (swap arena l k) = swap (Misc.slice from to arena) (k - from) (l - from) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *drop-swap-relevant*[simp]:

$\langle i \geq k \Rightarrow j \geq k \Rightarrow j < length outl' \Rightarrow drop k (swap outl' j i) = swap (drop k outl') (j - k) (i - k) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *swap-swap*: $\langle k < length xs \Rightarrow l < length xs \Rightarrow swap xs k l = swap xs l k \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *list-rel-append-single-iff*:

$\langle (xs @ [x], ys @ [y]) \in \langle R \rangle list-rel \longleftrightarrow$
 $(xs, ys) \in \langle R \rangle list-rel \wedge (x, y) \in R \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nth-in-sliceI*:

$\langle i \geq j \Rightarrow i < k \Rightarrow k \leq length xs \Rightarrow xs ! i \in set (Misc.slice j k xs) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *slice-Suc*:

$\langle \text{Misc.slice } (\text{Suc } j) k xs = \text{tl } (\text{Misc.slice } j k xs) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma slice-0:
 $\langle \text{Misc.slice } 0 b xs = \text{take } b xs \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma slice-end:
 $\langle c = \text{length } xs \Rightarrow \text{Misc.slice } b c xs = \text{drop } b xs \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma slice-append-nth:
 $\langle a \leq b \Rightarrow \text{Suc } b \leq \text{length } xs \Rightarrow \text{Misc.slice } a (\text{Suc } b) xs = \text{Misc.slice } a b xs @ [xs ! b] \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma take-set: set (take n l) = { l!i | i. i < n \wedge i < length l }
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun delete-index-and-swap **where**
 $\langle \text{delete-index-and-swap } l i = \text{butlast}(l[i := \text{last } l]) \rangle$

lemma (in -) delete-index-and-swap-alt-def:
 $\langle \text{delete-index-and-swap } S i =$
 $\quad (\text{let } x = \text{last } S \text{ in } \text{butlast } (S[i := x])) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma swap-param[param]: $\llbracket i < \text{length } l; j < \text{length } l; (l', l) \in \langle A \rangle \text{list-rel}; (i', i) \in \text{nat-rel}; (j', j) \in \text{nat-rel} \rrbracket$
 $\Rightarrow (\text{swap } l' i' j', \text{swap } l i j) \in \langle A \rangle \text{list-rel}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma mset-tl-delete-index-and-swap:
assumes
 $\langle 0 < i \rangle$ and
 $\langle i < \text{length } outl' \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{mset } (\text{tl } (\text{delete-index-and-swap } outl' i)) =$
 $\quad \text{remove1-mset } (outl' ! i) (\text{mset } (\text{tl } outl')) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition length-l :> 'a list list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat **where**
 $\langle \text{length-l } l i = \text{length } (l!i) \rangle$

definition delete-index-and-swap-l :> 'a list list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat **where**
 $\langle \text{delete-index-and-swap-l } xs i j =$
 $\quad xs[i := \text{delete-index-and-swap } (xs!i) j] \rangle$

definition append-l :> 'a list list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a list list **where**
 $\langle \text{append-l } xs i x = \text{list-update } xs i (xs ! i @ [x]) \rangle$

definition (in -)length-uint32-nat **where**
 $[\text{simp}]: \langle \text{length-uint32-nat } C = \text{length } C \rangle$

definition (in -)length-uint64-nat **where**
 $[\text{simp}]: \langle \text{length-uint64-nat } C = \text{length } C \rangle$

```

definition nth-rll :: 'a list list ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a where
  ⟨nth-rll l i j = l ! i ! j⟩

definition reorder-list :: ('b ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list nres) where
  ⟨reorder-list - removed = SPEC (λremoved'. mset removed' = mset removed)⟩

end
theory WB-More-IICF-SML
  imports Refine-Imperative-HOL.IICF WB-More-Refinement WB-More-Refinement-List
begin

no-notation Sepref-Rules.fref ([ ]_f - → - [ 0,60,60] 60)
no-notation Sepref-Rules.freft (- →_f - [ 60,60] 60)
no-notation prod-assn (infixr ×_a 70)
notation prod-assn (infixr *a 70)

hide-const Autoref-Fix-Rel.CONSTRAINT IICF-List-Mset.list-mset-rel

lemma prod-assn-id-assn-destroy:
  fixes R :: '- ⇒ - ⇒ assn'
  shows ⟨Rd *a id-assnd = (R *a id-assn)d⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

definition list-mset-assn where
  list-mset-assn A ≡ pure (list-mset-rel O ⟨the-pure A⟩mset-rel)
declare list-mset-assn-def[symmetric,fcomp-norm-unfold]
lemma [safe-constraint-rules]: is-pure (list-mset-assn A) ⟨proof⟩

lemma
  shows list-mset-assn-add-mset-Nil:
    ⟨list-mset-assn R (add-mset q Q) [] = false⟩ and
  list-mset-assn-empty-Cons:
    ⟨list-mset-assn R {#} (x # xs) = false⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma list-mset-assn-add-mset-cons-in:
  assumes
    assn: ⟨A ⊨ list-mset-assn R N (ab # list)⟩
  shows ⟨∃ ab'. (ab, ab') ∈ the-pure R ∧ ab' ∈# N ∧ A ⊨ list-mset-assn R (remove1-mset ab' N) (list)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma list-mset-assn-empty-nil: ⟨list-mset-assn R {#} [] = emp⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma is-Nil-is-empty[sepref-fr-rules]:
  ⟨(return o is-Nil, RETURN o Multiset.is-empty) ∈ (list-mset-assn R)k →a bool-assn⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma list-all2-remove:
  assumes
    uniq: ⟨IS-RIGHT-UNIQUE (p2rel R)⟩ ⟨IS-LEFT-UNIQUE (p2rel R)⟩ and
    Ra: ⟨R a aa⟩ and

```

```

all: ⟨list-all2 R xs ys⟩
shows
⟨ $\exists xs'. mset\ xs' = remove1\ mset\ a\ (mset\ xs) \wedge$ 
 $(\exists ys'. mset\ ys' = remove1\ mset\ aa\ (mset\ ys) \wedge list-all2\ R\ xs'\ ys')$ ⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma remove1-remove1-mset:
assumes uniq: ⟨IS-RIGHT-UNIQUE R⟩ ⟨IS-LEFT-UNIQUE R⟩
shows ⟨(uncurry (RETURN oo remove1), uncurry (RETURN oo remove1-mset)) ∈
R ×r (list-mset-rel O ⟨R⟩ mset-rel) →f
⟨list-mset-rel O ⟨R⟩ mset-rel⟩ nres-rel⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma Nil-list-mset-rel-iff:
⟨[], aaa⟩ ∈ list-mset-rel ↔ aaa = {#}⟩ and
empty-list-mset-rel-iff:
⟨⟨a, {#}⟩ ∈ list-mset-rel ↔ a = []⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma snd-hnr-pure:
⟨CONSTRAINT is-pure B ⇒ (return o snd, RETURN o snd) ∈ Ad *a Bk →a B⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

This theorem is useful to debug situation where sepref is not able to synthesize a program (with the “[unify_trace_failure]” to trace what fails in rule rule and the *to-hnr* to ensure the theorem has the correct form).

```

lemma Pair-hnr: ⟨(uncurry (return oo (λa b. Pair a b)), uncurry (RETURN oo (λa b. Pair a b))) ∈
Ad *a Bd →a prod-assn A B⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

This version works only for *pure* refinement relations:

```

lemma the-hnr-keep:
⟨CONSTRAINT is-pure A ⇒ (return o the, RETURN o the) ∈ [λD. D ≠ None]a (option-assn A)k
→ A⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition list-rel-mset-rel where list-rel-mset-rel-internal:
⟨list-rel-mset-rel ≡ λR. ⟨R⟩ list-rel O list-mset-rel⟩

```

```

lemma list-rel-mset-rel-def[refine-rel-defs]:
⟨⟨R⟩ list-rel-mset-rel = ⟨R⟩ list-rel O list-mset-rel⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma list-mset-assn-pure-conv:
⟨list-mset-assn (pure R) = pure (⟨R⟩ list-rel-mset-rel)⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma list-assn-list-mset-rel-eq-list-mset-assn:
assumes p: is-pure R
shows ⟨hr-comp (list-assn R) list-mset-rel = list-mset-assn R⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *id-ref*: $\langle (\text{return } o \text{id}, \text{RETURN } o \text{id}) \in R^d \rightarrow_a R \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

This functions deletes all elements of a resizable array, without resizing it.

definition *emptied-arl* :: $\langle 'a \text{ array-list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ array-list} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{emptied-arl} = (\lambda(a, n). (a, 0)) \rangle$

lemma *emptied-arl-refine*[*sepref-fr-rules*]:
 $\langle (\text{return } o \text{emptied-arl}, \text{RETURN } o \text{emptied-list}) \in (\text{arl-assn } R)^d \rightarrow_a \text{arl-assn } R \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bool-assn-alt-def*: $\langle \text{bool-assn } a \ b = \uparrow (a = b) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nempty-list-mset-rel-iff*: $\langle M \neq \{\#\} \Rightarrow$
 $(xs, M) \in \text{list-mset-rel} \longleftrightarrow (xs \neq [] \wedge \text{hd } xs \in \# M \wedge$
 $(\text{tl } xs, \text{remove1-mset } (\text{hd } xs) M) \in \text{list-mset-rel}) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation *ghost-assn* **where**
 $\langle \text{ghost-assn} \equiv \text{hr-comp unit-assn virtual-copy-rel} \rangle$

lemma [*sepref-fr-rules*]:
 $\langle (\text{return } o (\lambda-.()), \text{RETURN } o \text{virtual-copy}) \in R^k \rightarrow_a \text{ghost-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *id-mset-list-assn-list-mset-assn*:
assumes $\langle \text{CONSTRAINT is-pure } R \rangle$
shows $\langle (\text{return } o \text{id}, \text{RETURN } o \text{mset}) \in (\text{list-assn } R)^d \rightarrow_a \text{list-mset-assn } R \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

0.1.2 Sorting

Remark that we do not *prove* that the sorting is correct, since we do not care about the correctness, only the fact that it is reordered. (Based on wikipedia's algorithm.) Typically R would be ($<$)

definition *insert-sort-inner* :: $\langle ('b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('a \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list}$
nres **where**

```

⟨insert-sort-inner R f xs i = do {
  (j, ys) ← WHILET λ(j, ys). j ≥ 0 ∧ mset xs = mset ys ∧ j < length ys
  (λ(j, ys). j > 0 ∧ R (f ys j) (f ys (j - 1)))
  (λ(j, ys). do {
    ASSERT(j < length ys);
    ASSERT(j > 0);
    ASSERT(j - 1 < length ys);
    let xs = swap ys j (j - 1);
    RETURN (j - 1, xs)
  })
  (i, xs);
  RETURN ys
}⟩

```

lemma $\langle \text{RETURN} [\text{Suc } 0, 2, 0] = \text{insert-sort-inner} (<) (\lambda \text{remove } n. \text{remove} ! n) [2::\text{nat}, 1, 0] 1 \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\text{insert-sort} :: \langle ('b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('a \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \text{nres}) \text{ where}$
 $\langle \text{insert-sort } R f xs = \text{do} \{$
 $(i, ys) \leftarrow \text{WHILE}_T \lambda(i, ys). (ys = [] \vee i \leq \text{length } ys) \wedge \text{mset } xs = \text{mset } ys$
 $(\lambda(i, ys). i < \text{length } ys)$
 $(\lambda(i, ys). \text{do} \{$
 $\text{ASSERT}(i < \text{length } ys);$
 $ys \leftarrow \text{insert-sort-inner } R f ys i;$
 $\text{RETURN } (i+1, ys)$
 $\})$
 $(1, xs);$
 $\text{RETURN } ys$
 $\}$
 \rangle

lemma $\text{insert-sort-inner}:$
 $\langle (\text{uncurry } (\text{insert-sort-inner } R f), \text{uncurry } (\lambda m m'. \text{reorder-list } m' m)) \in$
 $[\lambda(xs, i). i < \text{length } xs]_f \langle \text{Id}: ('a \times 'a) \text{ set} \rangle \text{list-rel} \times_r \text{nat-rel} \rightarrow \langle \text{Id} \rangle \text{nres-rel} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{insert-sort-reorder-list}:$
 $\langle (\text{insert-sort } R f, \text{reorder-list } vm) \in \langle \text{Id} \rangle \text{list-rel} \rightarrow_f \langle \text{Id} \rangle \text{nres-rel} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition arl-replicate **where**
 $\text{arl-replicate init-cap } x \equiv \text{do} \{$
 $\text{let } n = \text{max init-cap minimum-capacity};$
 $a \leftarrow \text{Array.new } n \ x;$
 $\text{return } (a, \text{init-cap})$
 $\}$

definition $\langle \text{op-arl-replicate} = \text{op-list-replicate} \rangle$
lemma $\text{arl-fold-custom-replicate}:$
 $\langle \text{replicate} = \text{op-arl-replicate} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{list-replicate-arl-hnr}[\text{sepref-fr-rules}]:$
assumes $p: \langle \text{CONSTRAINT is-pure } R \rangle$
shows $\langle (\text{uncurry arl-replicate}, \text{uncurry } (\text{RETURN oo op-arl-replicate})) \in \text{nat-assn}^k *_a R^k \rightarrow_a \text{arl-assn } R \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{option-bool-assn-direct-eq-hnr}:$
 $\langle (\text{uncurry } (\text{return oo } (=)), \text{uncurry } (\text{RETURN oo } (=))) \in$
 $(\text{option-assn bool-assn})^k *_a (\text{option-assn bool-assn})^k \rightarrow_a \text{bool-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

This function does not change the size of the underlying array.

definition take1 **where**
 $\langle \text{take1 } xs = \text{take } 1 \ xs \rangle$

lemma $\text{take1-hnr}[\text{sepref-fr-rules}]:$
 $\langle (\text{return o } (\lambda(a, -). (a, 1::\text{nat})), \text{RETURN o take1}) \in [\lambda xs. xs \neq []]_a (\text{arl-assn } R)^d \rightarrow \text{arl-assn } R \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The following two abbreviation are variants from λf . *WB-More-Refinement.uncurry2* (*WB-More-Refinement.uncurry2 f*) and λf . *WB-More-Refinement.uncurry2* (*WB-More-Refinement.uncurry2 (WB-More-Refinement.uncurry2 f)*). The problem is that *WB-More-Refinement.uncurry2* (*WB-More-Refinement.uncurry2 f*) and *WB-More-Refinement.uncurry2* (*WB-More-Refinement.uncurry2 (WB-More-Refinement.uncurry2 f)*) are the same term, but only the latter is folded to λf . *WB-More-Refinement.uncurry2* (*WB-More-Refinement.uncurry2 f*).

abbreviation *uncurry4'* **where**

$$\text{uncurry4}' f \equiv \text{uncurry2} (\text{uncurry2} f)$$

abbreviation *uncurry6'* **where**

$$\text{uncurry6}' f \equiv \text{uncurry2} (\text{uncurry4}' f)$$

definition *find-in-list-between* :: $\langle ('a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{nat option} \text{ nres} \rangle$ **where**

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{find-in-list-between } P \ a \ b \ C = \text{do } & \{ \\ (x, -) \leftarrow \text{WHILE}_T & \langle \text{found}, i \rangle. \ i \geq a \wedge i \leq \text{length } C \wedge i \leq b \wedge (\forall j \in \{a..<i\}. \neg P(C!j)) \wedge & (\forall j. \text{found} = \text{Some } j \longrightarrow (\\ (\lambda(\text{found}, i). \text{found} = \text{None} \wedge i < b) & \\ (\lambda(-, i). \text{do } \{ & \\ \text{ASSERT}(i < \text{length } C); & \\ \text{if } P(C!i) \text{ then RETURN } (\text{Some } i, i) \text{ else RETURN } (\text{None}, i+1) & \\ \}) & \\ (\text{None}, a); & \\ \text{RETURN } x & \\ \} \rangle & \end{aligned}$$

lemma *find-in-list-between-spec*:

assumes $\langle a \leq \text{length } C \rangle$ **and** $\langle b \leq \text{length } C \rangle$ **and** $\langle a \leq b \rangle$

shows

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{find-in-list-between } P \ a \ b \ C \leq \text{SPEC}(\lambda i. & \\ (i \neq \text{None} \longrightarrow P(C!i) \wedge \text{the } i \geq a \wedge \text{the } i < b) \wedge & \\ (i = \text{None} \longrightarrow (\forall j. j \geq a \longrightarrow j < b \longrightarrow \neg P(C!j))) \rangle & \end{aligned}$$

{proof}

lemma *list-assn-map-list-assn*: $\langle \text{list-assn } g (\text{map } f x) xi = \text{list-assn } (\lambda a c. g(f a) c) x xi \rangle$

{proof}

lemma *hhref-imp2*: $(\bigwedge x y. S x y \implies_t S' x y) \implies [P]_a RR \rightarrow S \subseteq [P]_a RR \rightarrow S'$

{proof}

lemma *hr-comp-mono-entails*: $\langle B \subseteq C \implies \text{hr-comp } a B x y \implies_A \text{hr-comp } a C x y \rangle$

{proof}

lemma *hhref-imp-mono-result*:

$$B \subseteq C \implies [P]_a RR \rightarrow \text{hr-comp } a B \subseteq [P]_a RR \rightarrow \text{hr-comp } a C$$

{proof}

lemma *hhref-imp-mono-result2*:

$$(\bigwedge x. P L x \implies B L \subseteq C L) \implies [P L]_a RR \rightarrow \text{hr-comp } a (B L) \subseteq [P L]_a RR \rightarrow \text{hr-comp } a (C L)$$

{proof}

lemma *ex-assn-up-eq2*: $\langle (\exists_A ba. f ba * \uparrow (ba = c)) = (f c) \rangle$

{proof}

lemma *ex-assn-pair-split*: $\langle (\exists_A b. P b) = (\exists_A a b. P (a, b)) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ex-assn-swap*: $\langle (\exists_A a b. P a b) = (\exists_A b a. P a b) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ent-ex-up-swap*: $\langle (\exists_A aa. \uparrow (P aa)) = (\uparrow (\exists aa. P aa)) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ex-assn-def-pure-eq-middle3*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (\exists_A ba b bb. f b ba bb * \uparrow (ba = h b bb) * P b ba bb) = (\exists_A b bb. f b (h b bb) bb * P b (h b bb) bb) \rangle \\ & \langle (\exists_A b ba bb. f b ba bb * \uparrow (ba = h b bb) * P b ba bb) = (\exists_A b bb. f b (h b bb) bb * P b (h b bb) bb) \rangle \\ & \langle (\exists_A b bb ba. f b ba bb * \uparrow (ba = h b bb) * P b ba bb) = (\exists_A b bb. f b (h b bb) bb * P b (h b bb) bb) \rangle \\ & \langle (\exists_A ba b bb. f b ba bb * \uparrow (ba = h b bb \wedge Q b ba bb)) = (\exists_A b bb. f b (h b bb) bb * \uparrow (Q b (h b bb) bb)) \rangle \\ & \langle (\exists_A b ba bb. f b ba bb * \uparrow (ba = h b bb \wedge Q b ba bb)) = (\exists_A b bb. f b (h b bb) bb * \uparrow (Q b (h b bb) bb)) \rangle \\ & \langle (\exists_A b bb ba. f b ba bb * \uparrow (ba = h b bb \wedge Q b ba bb)) = (\exists_A b bb. f b (h b bb) bb * \uparrow (Q b (h b bb) bb)) \rangle \\ & \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *ex-assn-def-pure-eq-middle2*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (\exists_A ba b. f b ba * \uparrow (ba = h b) * P b ba) = (\exists_A b . f b (h b) * P b (h b)) \rangle \\ & \langle (\exists_A b ba. f b ba * \uparrow (ba = h b) * P b ba) = (\exists_A b . f b (h b) * P b (h b)) \rangle \\ & \langle (\exists_A b ba. f b ba * \uparrow (ba = h b \wedge Q b ba)) = (\exists_A b . f b (h b) * \uparrow (Q b (h b))) \rangle \\ & \langle (\exists_A ba b. f b ba * \uparrow (ba = h b \wedge Q b ba)) = (\exists_A b . f b (h b) * \uparrow (Q b (h b))) \rangle \\ & \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *ex-assn-skip-first2*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (\exists_A ba bb. f bb * \uparrow (P ba bb)) = (\exists_A bb. f bb * \uparrow (\exists ba. P ba bb)) \rangle \\ & \langle (\exists_A bb ba. f bb * \uparrow (P ba bb)) = (\exists_A bb. f bb * \uparrow (\exists ba. P ba bb)) \rangle \\ & \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *fr-refl'*: $\langle A \implies_A B \implies C * A \implies_A C * B \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *hrp-comp-Id2[simp]*: $\langle hrp-comp A Id = A \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *hn-ctxt-prod-assn-prod*:

$$\langle hn-ctxt (R * a S) (a, b) (a', b') = hn-ctxt R a a' * hn-ctxt S b b' \rangle$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *href-weaken-change-pre*:

assumes $(f, h) \in href P R S$
assumes $\bigwedge x. P x \implies (fst R x, snd R x) = (fst R' x, snd R' x)$
assumes $\bigwedge y x. S y x \implies_t S' y x$
shows $(f, h) \in href P R' S'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *norm-RETURN-o[to-hnr-post]*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigwedge f. (RETURN\ oooo\ f)\$x\$y\$z\$a = (RETURN\$ (f\$x\$y\$z\$a)) \\ & \bigwedge f. (RETURN\ ooooo\ f)\$x\$y\$z\$a\$b = (RETURN\$ (f\$x\$y\$z\$a\$b)) \\ & \bigwedge f. (RETURN\ oooooo\ f)\$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c = (RETURN\$ (f\$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c)) \\ & \bigwedge f. (RETURN\ ooooooo\ f)\$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d = (RETURN\$ (f\$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d)) \\ & \bigwedge f. (RETURN\ oooooooo\ f)\$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e = (RETURN\$ (f\$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e)) \\ & \bigwedge f. (RETURN\ oooooooo\ f)\$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g = (RETURN\$ (f\$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g)) \end{aligned}$$

$\wedge f. (\text{RETURN } oooooooo f) \$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h = (\text{RETURN\$}(f\$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h))$
 $\wedge f. (\text{RETURN } \circ_{11} f) \$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i = (\text{RETURN\$}(f\$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i))$
 $\wedge f. (\text{RETURN } \circ_{12} f) \$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i\$j = (\text{RETURN\$}(f\$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i\$j))$
 $\wedge f. (\text{RETURN } \circ_{13} f) \$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i\$l = (\text{RETURN\$}(f\$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i\$l))$
 $\wedge f. (\text{RETURN } \circ_{14} f) \$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i\$j\$l\$m = (\text{RETURN\$}(f\$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i\$j\$l\$m))$
 $\wedge f. (\text{RETURN } \circ_{15} f) \$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i\$j\$l\$m\$n = (\text{RETURN\$}(f\$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i\$j\$l\$m\$n))$
 $\wedge f. (\text{RETURN } \circ_{16} f) \$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i\$j\$l\$m\$n\$p = (\text{RETURN\$}(f\$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i\$j\$l\$m\$n\$p))$
 $\wedge f. (\text{RETURN } \circ_{17} f) \$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i\$j\$l\$m\$n\$p\$r =$
 $\quad (\text{RETURN\$}(f\$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i\$j\$l\$m\$n\$p\$r))$
 $\wedge f. (\text{RETURN } \circ_{18} f) \$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i\$j\$l\$m\$n\$p\$r\$s =$
 $\quad (\text{RETURN\$}(f\$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i\$j\$l\$m\$n\$p\$r\$s))$
 $\wedge f. (\text{RETURN } \circ_{19} f) \$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i\$j\$l\$m\$n\$p\$r\$s\$t =$
 $\quad (\text{RETURN\$}(f\$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i\$j\$l\$m\$n\$p\$r\$s\$t))$
 $\wedge f. (\text{RETURN } \circ_{20} f) \$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i\$j\$l\$m\$n\$p\$r\$s\$t\$u =$
 $\quad (\text{RETURN\$}(f\$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i\$j\$l\$m\$n\$p\$r\$s\$t\$u))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma norm-return-o[*to-hnr-post*]:

$\wedge f. (\text{return } oooo f) \$x\$y\$z\$a = (\text{return\$}(f\$x\$y\$z\$a))$
 $\wedge f. (\text{return } oooo f) \$x\$y\$z\$a\$b = (\text{return\$}(f\$x\$y\$z\$a\$b))$
 $\wedge f. (\text{return } ooooo f) \$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c = (\text{return\$}(f\$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c))$
 $\wedge f. (\text{return } oooooo f) \$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d = (\text{return\$}(f\$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d))$
 $\wedge f. (\text{return } ooooooo f) \$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e = (\text{return\$}(f\$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e))$
 $\wedge f. (\text{return } ooooooooo f) \$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g = (\text{return\$}(f\$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g))$
 $\wedge f. (\text{return } oooooooooo f) \$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h = (\text{return\$}(f\$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h))$
 $\wedge f. (\text{return } \circ_{11} f) \$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i = (\text{return\$}(f\$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i))$
 $\wedge f. (\text{return } \circ_{12} f) \$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i\$j = (\text{return\$}(f\$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i\$j))$
 $\wedge f. (\text{return } \circ_{13} f) \$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i\$j\$l = (\text{return\$}(f\$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i\$j\$l))$
 $\wedge f. (\text{return } \circ_{14} f) \$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i\$j\$l\$m = (\text{return\$}(f\$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i\$j\$l\$m))$
 $\wedge f. (\text{return } \circ_{15} f) \$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i\$j\$l\$m\$n = (\text{return\$}(f\$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i\$j\$l\$m\$n))$
 $\wedge f. (\text{return } \circ_{16} f) \$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i\$j\$l\$m\$n\$p = (\text{return\$}(f\$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i\$j\$l\$m\$n\$p))$
 $\wedge f. (\text{return } \circ_{17} f) \$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i\$j\$l\$m\$n\$p\$r =$
 $\quad (\text{return\$}(f\$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i\$j\$l\$m\$n\$p\$r))$
 $\wedge f. (\text{return } \circ_{18} f) \$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i\$j\$l\$m\$n\$p\$r\$s =$
 $\quad (\text{return\$}(f\$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i\$j\$l\$m\$n\$p\$r\$s))$
 $\wedge f. (\text{return } \circ_{19} f) \$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i\$j\$l\$m\$n\$p\$r\$s\$t =$
 $\quad (\text{return\$}(f\$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i\$j\$l\$m\$n\$p\$r\$s\$t))$
 $\wedge f. (\text{return } \circ_{20} f) \$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i\$j\$l\$m\$n\$p\$r\$s\$t\$u =$
 $\quad (\text{return\$}(f\$x\$y\$z\$a\$b\$c\$d\$e\$g\$h\$i\$j\$l\$m\$n\$p\$r\$s\$t\$u))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma list-rel-update:

```

fixes R :: 'a ⇒ 'b :: {heap} ⇒ assn
assumes rel: ⟨xs, ys⟩ ∈ ⟨the-pure R⟩ list-rel and
  h: ⟨h |= A * R b bi⟩ and
  p: ⟨is-pure R⟩
shows ⟨(list-update xs ba bi, list-update ys ba b) ∈ ⟨the-pure R⟩ list-rel⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

end

```

theory Array-Array-List
imports WB-More-HCF-SML
begin

```

0.1.3 Array of Array Lists

We define here array of array lists. We need arrays owning there elements. Therefore most of the rules introduced by *sep-auto* cannot lead to proofs.

```
fun heap-list-all :: ('a ⇒ 'b ⇒ assn) ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'b list ⇒ assn where
  ⟨heap-list-all R [] [] = emp⟩
  | ⟨heap-list-all R (x # xs) (y # ys) = R x y * heap-list-all R xs ys⟩
  | ⟨heap-list-all R - - = false⟩
```

It is often useful to speak about arrays except at one index (e.g., because it is updated).

```
definition heap-list-all-nth:: ('a ⇒ 'b ⇒ assn) ⇒ nat list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'b list ⇒ assn where
  ⟨heap-list-all-nth R is xs ys = foldr ((*)) (map (λi. R (xs ! i) (ys ! i)) is) emp⟩
```

```
lemma heap-list-all-nth-empty[simp]: ⟨heap-list-all-nth R [] xs ys = emp⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma heap-list-all-nth-Cons:
  ⟨heap-list-all-nth R (a # is') xs ys = R (xs ! a) (ys ! a) * heap-list-all-nth R is' xs ys⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma heap-list-all-heap-list-all-nth:
  ⟨length xs = length ys ⟹ heap-list-all R xs ys = heap-list-all-nth R [0..< length xs] xs ys⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma heap-list-all-nth-single: ⟨heap-list-all-nth R [a] xs ys = R (xs ! a) (ys ! a)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma heap-list-all-nth-mset-eq:
  assumes ⟨mset is = mset is'⟩
  shows ⟨heap-list-all-nth R is xs ys = heap-list-all-nth R is' xs ys⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma heap-list-add-same-length:
  ⟨h ⊨ heap-list-all R' xs p ⟹ length p = length xs⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma heap-list-all-nth-Suc:
  assumes a: ⟨a > 1⟩
  shows ⟨heap-list-all-nth R [Suc 0..<a] (x # xs) (y # ys) =
    heap-list-all-nth R [0..<a-1] xs ys⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma heap-list-all-nth-append:
  ⟨heap-list-all-nth R (is @ is') xs ys = heap-list-all-nth R is xs ys * heap-list-all-nth R is' xs ys⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma heap-list-all-heap-list-all-nth-eq:
  ⟨heap-list-all R xs ys = heap-list-all-nth R [0..< length xs] xs ys * ↑(length xs = length ys)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma heap-list-all-nth-remove1: ⟨i ∈ set is ⟹
  heap-list-all-nth R is xs ys = R (xs ! i) (ys ! i) * heap-list-all-nth R (remove1 i is) xs ys⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition arrayO-assn :: ⟨('a ⇒ 'b::heap ⇒ assn) ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'b array ⇒ assn⟩ where
```

```

⟨arrayO-assn R' xs axs ≡ ∃A p. array-assn id-assn p axs * heap-list-all R' xs p⟩

definition arrayO-except-assn:: ⟨('a ⇒ 'b::heap ⇒ assn) ⇒ nat list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'b array ⇒ - ⇒ assn⟩
where
⟨arrayO-except-assn R' is xs axs f ≡
  ∃A p. array-assn id-assn p axs * heap-list-all-nth R' (fold remove1 is [0..<length xs]) xs p *
  ↑(length xs = length p) * f p⟩

lemma arrayO-except-assn-array0: ⟨arrayO-except-assn R [] xs asx (λ-. emp) = arrayO-assn R xs asx⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma arrayO-except-assn-array0-index:
⟨i < length xs ⇒⇒ arrayO-except-assn R [i] xs asx (λp. R (xs ! i) (p ! i)) = arrayO-assn R xs asx⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma arrayO-nth-rule[sep-heap-rules]:
assumes i: ⟨i < length a⟩
shows ⟨⟨arrayO-assn (arl-assn R) a ai⟩ Array.nth ai i <λr. arrayO-except-assn (arl-assn R) [i] a
ai
  (λr'. arl-assn R (a ! i) r * ↑(r = r' ! i))⟩⟩
⟨proof⟩

definition length-a :: ⟨'a::heap array ⇒ nat Heap⟩ where
⟨length-a xs = Array.len xs⟩

lemma length-a-rule[sep-heap-rules]:
⟨⟨arrayO-assn R x xi⟩ length-a xi <λr. arrayO-assn R x xi * ↑(r = length x)>t⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma length-a-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
⟨(length-a, RETURN o op-list-length) ∈ (arrayO-assn R)k →a nat-assn⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma le-length-ll-nemptyD: ⟨b < length-ll a ba ⇒ a ! ba ≠ []⟩
⟨proof⟩

definition length-aa :: ⟨('a::heap array-list) array ⇒ nat ⇒ nat Heap⟩ where
⟨length-aa xs i = do {
  x ← Array.nth xs i;
  arl-length x}⟩

lemma length-aa-rule[sep-heap-rules]:
⟨b < length xs ⇒⇒ <arrayO-assn (arl-assn R) xs a⟩ length-aa a b
  <λr. arrayO-assn (arl-assn R) xs a * ↑(r = length-ll xs b)>t⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma length-aa-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]: ⟨(uncurry length-aa, uncurry (RETURN oo length-ll)) ∈
  [λ(xs, i). i < length xs]a (arrayO-assn (arl-assn R))k *a nat-assnk → nat-assn⟩
⟨proof⟩

definition nth-aa where
⟨nth-aa xs i j = do {
  x ← Array.nth xs i;
  y ← arl-get x j;
  return y}⟩

```

lemma *models-heap-list-all-models-nth*:

$$\langle (h, as) \models \text{heap-list-all } R \ a \ b \implies i < \text{length } a \implies \exists as'. (h, as') \models R (a!i) (b!i) \rangle$$

definition *nth-ll* :: '*a* list list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow '*a* where

$$\langle \text{nth-ll } l \ i \ j = l ! i ! j \rangle$$

lemma *nth-aa-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]*:

assumes *p*: *(is-pure R)*

shows

$$\langle (\text{uncurry2 } \text{nth-aa}, \text{ uncurry2 } (\text{RETURN } \circ\circ\circ \text{ nth-ll})) \in [\lambda((l,i),j). i < \text{length } l \wedge j < \text{length-ll } l \ i]_a \\ (\text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl-assn } R))^k *_a \text{nat-assn}^k *_a \text{nat-assn}^k \rightarrow R \rangle$$

⟨proof⟩

definition *append-el-aa* :: ('*a*::{default,heap} array-list) array \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow '*a* \Rightarrow ('*a* array-list) array **Heapwhere**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{append-el-aa} \equiv \lambda a \ i \ x. \text{do } \{ \\ j \leftarrow \text{Array.nth } a \ i; \\ a' \leftarrow \text{arl-append } j \ x; \\ \text{Array.upd } i \ a' \ a \\ \} \end{aligned}$$

lemma *sep-auto-is-stupid*:

fixes *R* :: '*a* \Rightarrow '*b*::{heap,default} \Rightarrow assn

assumes *p*: *(is-pure R)*

shows

$$\langle \exists Ap. R1 p * R2 p * \text{arl-assn } R \ l' aa * R \ x \ x' * R4 p \rangle \\ \text{arl-append } aa \ x' \langle \lambda r. (\exists Ap. \text{arl-assn } R \ (l' @ [x]) \ r * R1 p * R2 p * R \ x \ x' * R4 p * \text{true}) \rangle$$

⟨proof⟩

declare *arrayO-nth-rule[sep-heap-rules]*

lemma *heap-list-all-nth-cong*:

assumes

$$\begin{aligned} \forall i \in \text{set is}. \ xs ! i = xs' ! i \ \text{and} \\ \forall i \in \text{set is}. \ ys ! i = ys' ! i \end{aligned}$$

shows *⟨heap-list-all-nth R is xs ys = heap-list-all-nth R is xs' ys'⟩*

⟨proof⟩

lemma *append-aa-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]*:

fixes *R* :: '*a* \Rightarrow '*b*::{heap, default} \Rightarrow assn

assumes *p*: *(is-pure R)*

shows

$$\langle (\text{uncurry2 } \text{append-el-aa}, \text{ uncurry2 } (\text{RETURN } \circ\circ\circ \text{ append-ll})) \in [\lambda((l,i),x). i < \text{length } l]_a (\text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl-assn } R))^d *_a \text{nat-assn}^k *_a R^k \rightarrow (\text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl-assn } R)) \rangle$$

⟨proof⟩

definition *update-aa* :: ('*a*::{heap} array-list) array \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow '*a* \Rightarrow ('*a* array-list) array **Heap**

where

$$\langle \text{update-aa } a \ i \ j \ y = \text{do } \{ \\ x \leftarrow \text{Array.nth } a \ i; \\ a' \leftarrow \text{arl-set } x \ j \ y; \\ \text{Array.upd } i \ a' \ a \\ \} \rangle$$

— is the Array.upd really needed?

```
definition update-ll :: 'a list list  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a list list where
  ⟨update-ll xs i y = xs[i := (xs ! i) [j := y]]⟩
```

```
declare nth-rule[sep-heap-rules del]
declare arrayO-nth-rule[sep-heap-rules]
```

TODO: is it possible to be more precise and not drop the $\uparrow ((aa, bc) = r' ! bb)$

```
lemma arrayO-except-assn-arl-set[sep-heap-rules]:
```

```
  fixes R :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b : {heap}  $\Rightarrow$  assn
  assumes p: ⟨is-pure R⟩ and ⟨bb < length a⟩ and
    ⟨ba < length-ll a bb⟩
```

```
shows ⟨
```

```
  <arrayO-except-assn (arl-assn R) [bb] a ai ( $\lambda r'. arl-assn R (a ! bb)$ ) (aa, bc) *
     $\uparrow ((aa, bc) = r' ! bb)$  * R b bi⟩
  arl-set (aa, bc) ba bi
  < $\lambda (aa, bc).$  arrayO-except-assn (arl-assn R) [bb] a ai
    ( $\lambda r'. arl-assn R ((a ! bb)[ba := b])$ ) (aa, bc) * R b bi * true⟩
```

```
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma update-aa-rule[sep-heap-rules]:
```

```
  assumes p: ⟨is-pure R⟩ and ⟨bb < length a⟩ and ⟨ba < length-ll a bb⟩
```

```
shows ⟨<R b bi * arrayO-assn (arl-assn R) a ai⟩ update-aa ai bb ba bi
```

```
  < $\lambda r.$  R b bi * ( $\exists Ax.$  arrayO-assn (arl-assn R) x r *  $\uparrow (x = update-ll a bb ba b)$ )⟩t
```

```
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma update-aa-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
```

```
assumes ⟨is-pure R⟩
```

```
shows ⟨(uncurry3 update-aa, uncurry3 (RETURN oooo update-ll)) ∈
  [ $\lambda(((l,i), j), x).$  i < length l  $\wedge$  j < length-ll l i]a (arrayO-assn (arl-assn R))d *a nat-assnk *a
  nat-assnk *a Rk  $\rightarrow$  (arrayO-assn (arl-assn R))⟩
```

```
⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition set-butlast-ll where
```

```
  ⟨set-butlast-ll xs i = xs[i := butlast (xs ! i)]⟩
```

```
definition set-butlast-aa :: ('a:{heap} array-list) array  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  ('a array-list) array Heap where
```

```
  ⟨set-butlast-aa a i = do {
```

```
    x  $\leftarrow$  Array.nth a i;
```

```
    a'  $\leftarrow$  arl-butlast x;
```

```
    Array.upd i a' a
```

```
} — Replace the i-th element by the itself except the last element.
```

```
lemma list-rel-butlast:
```

```
assumes rel: ⟨(xs, ys)  $\in$  ⟨R⟩list-rel
```

```
shows ⟨(butlast xs, butlast ys)  $\in$  ⟨R⟩list-rel
```

```
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma arrayO-except-assn-arl-butlast:
```

```
assumes ⟨b < length a⟩ and
```

```
  ⟨a ! b  $\neq$  []⟩
```

```
shows
```

```
  <arrayO-except-assn (arl-assn R) [b] a ai ( $\lambda r'. arl-assn R (a ! b)$ ) (aa, ba) *
     $\uparrow ((aa, ba) = r' ! b)$ ⟩
```

```
  arl-butlast (aa, ba)
```

```
  < $\lambda (aa, ba).$  arrayO-except-assn (arl-assn R) [b] a ai ( $\lambda r'. arl-assn R (butlast (a ! b))$ ) (aa, ba) *
```

```

true)>
⟨proof⟩

lemma set-butlast-aa-rule[sep-heap-rules]:
assumes ⟨is-pure R⟩ and
⟨ $b < \text{length } a$ ⟩ and
⟨ $a ! b \neq []$ ⟩
shows ⟨⟨arrayO-assn (arl-assn R) a ai⟩ set-butlast-aa ai b
< $\lambda r. (\exists Ax. \text{arrayO-assn (arl-assn R)} x r * \uparrow (x = \text{set-butlast-ll } a b))>_t$ ⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma set-butlast-aa-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
assumes ⟨is-pure R⟩
shows ⟨⟨uncurry set-butlast-aa, uncurry (RETURN oo set-butlast-ll)⟩ ∈
[ $\lambda(l,i). i < \text{length } l \wedge l ! i \neq []$ ]_a (arrayO-assn (arl-assn R))^d *_a nat-assn^k → (arrayO-assn (arl-assn R))⟩
⟨proof⟩

definition last-aa :: ⟨'a::heap array-list) array ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a Heap where
⟨last-aa xs i = do {
  x ← Array.nth xs i;
  arl-last x
}⟩

definition last-ll :: 'a list list ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a where
⟨last-ll xs i = last (xs ! i)⟩

lemma last-aa-rule[sep-heap-rules]:
assumes
p: ⟨is-pure R⟩ and
⟨ $b < \text{length } a$ ⟩ and
⟨ $a ! b \neq []$ ⟩
shows ⟨
⟨arrayO-assn (arl-assn R) a ai⟩
last-aa ai b
< $\lambda r. \text{arrayO-assn (arl-assn R)} a ai * (\exists Ax. R x r * \uparrow (x = \text{last-ll } a b))>_t$ ⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma last-aa-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
assumes p: ⟨is-pure R⟩
shows ⟨⟨uncurry last-aa, uncurry (RETURN oo last-ll)⟩ ∈
[ $\lambda(l,i). i < \text{length } l \wedge l ! i \neq []$ ]_a (arrayO-assn (arl-assn R))^k *_a nat-assn^k → R⟩
⟨proof⟩

definition nth-a :: ⟨('a::heap array-list) array ⇒ nat ⇒ ('a array-list) Heap⟩ where
⟨nth-a xs i = do {
  x ← Array.nth xs i;
  arl-copy x
}⟩

lemma nth-a-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
⟨⟨uncurry nth-a, uncurry (RETURN oo op-list-get)⟩ ∈
[ $\lambda(xs, i). i < \text{length } xs$ ]_a (arrayO-assn (arl-assn R))^k *_a nat-assn^k → arl-assn R⟩
⟨proof⟩

definition swap-aa :: ⟨('a::heap array-list) array ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ ('a array-list) array Heap
where

```

```

⟨swap-aa xs k i j = do {
  xi ← nth-aa xs k i;
  xj ← nth-aa xs k j;
  xs ← update-aa xs k i xj;
  xs ← update-aa xs k j xi;
  return xs
}⟩

```

definition swap-ll **where**

```
⟨swap-ll xs k i j = list-update xs k (swap (xs!k) i j)⟩
```

lemma nth-aa-heap[sep-heap-rules]:

```

assumes p: ⟨is-pure R⟩ and ⟨b < length aa⟩ and ⟨ba < length-ll aa b⟩
shows ⟨
  <arrayO-assn (arl-assn R) aa a>
  nth-aa a b ba
  <λr. ∃Ax. arrayO-assn (arl-assn R) aa a *
    (R x r *
      ↑ (x = nth-ll aa b ba)) *
    true>⟩

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma update-aa-rule-pure:

```

assumes p: ⟨is-pure R⟩ and ⟨b < length aa⟩ and ⟨ba < length-ll aa b⟩ and
  b: ⟨(bb, be) ∈ the-pure R⟩
shows ⟨
  <arrayO-assn (arl-assn R) aa a>
  update-aa a b ba bb
  <λr. ∃Ax. invalid-assn (arrayO-assn (arl-assn R)) aa a * arrayO-assn (arl-assn R) x r *
    true *
    ↑ (x = update-ll aa b ba be)>⟩

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma length-update-ll[simp]: ⟨length (update-ll a bb b c) = length a⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma length-ll-update-ll:

```
⟨bb < length a ⇒ length-ll (update-ll a bb b c) bb = length-ll a bb⟩

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma swap-aa-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:

```

assumes ⟨is-pure R⟩
shows ⟨(uncurry3 swap-aa, uncurry3 (RETURN oooo swap-ll)) ∈
  [λ(((xs, k), i), j). k < length xs ∧ i < length-ll xs k ∧ j < length-ll xs k]_a
  (arrayO-assn (arl-assn R))^d *_a nat-assn^k *_a nat-assn^k *_a nat-assn^k → (arrayO-assn (arl-assn R)))⟩

```

⟨proof⟩

It is not possible to do a direct initialisation: there is no element that can be put everywhere.

definition arrayO-ara-empty-sz **where**

```

⟨arrayO-ara-empty-sz n =
  (let xs = fold (λ- xs. [] # xs) [0..<n] [] in
  op-list-copy xs)
  ⟩

```

lemma heap-list-all-list-assn: ⟨heap-list-all R x y = list-assn R x y⟩

⟨proof⟩

```

lemma of-list-op-list-copy-arrayO[sepref-fr-rules]:
  ⟨(Array.of-list, RETURN o op-list-copy) ∈ (list-assn (arl-assn R))d →a arrayO-assn (arl-assn R)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

sepref-definition

```

  arrayO-ara-empty-sz-code
  is RETURN o arrayO-ara-empty-sz
  :: ⟨nat-assnk →a arrayO-assn (arl-assn (R::'a ⇒ 'b::{heap, default} ⇒ assn)))⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition init-lrl :: ⟨nat ⇒ 'a list list⟩ where
  ⟨init-lrl n = replicate n []⟩

```

```

lemma arrayO-ara-empty-sz-init-lrl: ⟨arrayO-ara-empty-sz n = init-lrl n⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma arrayO-raa-empty-sz-init-lrl[sepref-fr-rules]:
  ⟨(arrayO-ara-empty-sz-code, RETURN o init-lrl) ∈
   nat-assnk →a arrayO-assn (arl-assn R)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition (in -) shorten-take-ll where
  ⟨shorten-take-ll L j W = W[L := take j (W ! L)]⟩

```

```

definition (in -) shorten-take-aa where
  ⟨shorten-take-aa L j W = do {
    (a, n) ← Array.nth W L;
    Array.upd L (a, j) W
  }⟩

```

```

lemma Array-upd-arrayO-except-assn[sep-heap-rules]:
  assumes
  ⟨ba ≤ length (b ! a)⟩ and
  ⟨a < length b⟩
  shows ⟨⟨arrayO-except-assn (arl-assn R) [a] b bi
    (λr'. arl-assn R (b ! a) (aaa, n) * ↑ ((aaa, n) = r' ! a))⟩
    Array.upd a (aaa, ba) bi
    <λr. ∃Ax. arrayO-assn (arl-assn R) x r * true *
      ↑ (x = b[a := take ba (b ! a)])⟩⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma shorten-take-aa-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
  ⟨uncurry2 shorten-take-aa, uncurry2 (RETURN ooo shorten-take-ll)) ∈
   [λ((L, j), W). j ≤ length (W ! L) ∧ L < length W]a
   nat-assnk *a nat-assnk *a (arrayO-assn (arl-assn R))d → arrayO-assn (arl-assn R)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

end
theory Array-List-Array
imports Array-Array-List
begin

```

0.1.4 Array of Array Lists

There is a major difference compared to '*a array-list array*: '*a array-list* is not of sort default. This means that function like *arl-append* cannot be used here.

type-synonym '*a arrayO-raa* = ⟨'*a array array-list*⟩
type-synonym '*a list-rll* = ⟨'*a list list*⟩

definition *arlO-assn* :: ⟨('a ⇒ 'b::heap ⇒ assn) ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'b array-list ⇒ assn⟩ **where**
 ⟨*arlO-assn R'* xs *axs* ≡ ∃_{A p.} *arl-assn id-assn p* *axs* * *heap-list-all R'* xs *p*⟩

definition *arlO-assn-except* :: ⟨('a ⇒ 'b::heap ⇒ assn) ⇒ nat list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'b array-list ⇒ - ⇒ assn⟩
where

 ⟨*arlO-assn-except R'* is *xs* *axs* *f* ≡
 ∃_{A p.} *arl-assn id-assn p* *axs* * *heap-list-all-nth R'* (fold *remove1* is [0..<length *xs*]) *xs p* *
 ↑(length *xs* = length *p*) * *f p*⟩

lemma *arlO-assn-except-array0*: ⟨*arlO-assn-except R* [] *xs* *asx* (λ-. emp) = *arlO-assn R* *xs* *asx*⟩
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *arlO-assn-except-array0-index*:

 ⟨*i* < length *xs* ⇒⇒ *arlO-assn-except R* [*i*] *xs* *asx* (λ*p.* *R* (*xs* ! *i*) (*p* ! *i*)) = *arlO-assn R* *xs* *asx*⟩
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *arrayO-raa-nth-rule[sep-heap-rules]*:

assumes *i*: ⟨*i* < length *a*⟩
 shows ⟨⟨*arlO-assn (array-assn R)* *a* *ai*⟩⟩ *arl-get ai i* <λ*r.* *arlO-assn-except (array-assn R)* [*i*] *a* *ai*
 (λ*r'.* *array-assn R* (*a* ! *i*) *r* * ↑(*r* = *r' ! i*))⟩⟩
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *length-ra* :: ⟨'a::heap arrayO-raa ⇒ nat Heap⟩ **where**
 ⟨*length-ra xs* = *arl-length xs*⟩

lemma *length-ra-rule[sep-heap-rules]*:

 ⟨⟨*arlO-assn R* *x* *xi*⟩⟩ *length-ra xi* <λ*r.* *arlO-assn R* *x* *xi* * ↑(*r* = length *x*)⟩_t
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *length-ra-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]*:

 ⟨(*length-ra*, RETURN o op-list-length) ∈ (*arlO-assn R*)^k →_a nat-assn⟩
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *length-rll* :: ⟨'a list-rll ⇒ nat ⇒ nat⟩ **where**
 ⟨*length-rll l i* = length (l!i)⟩

lemma *le-length-rll-nemptyD*: ⟨*b* < *length-rll a* *ba* ⇒⇒ *a* ! *ba* ≠ []⟩
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *length-raa* :: ⟨'a::heap arrayO-raa ⇒ nat ⇒ nat Heap⟩ **where**
 ⟨*length-raa xs i* = do {
 x ← *arl-get xs i*;
 Array.len x}⟩

lemma *length-raa-rule[sep-heap-rules]*:

 ⟨*b* < length *xs* ⇒⇒ <⟨*arlO-assn (array-assn R)* *xs* *a*⟩⟩ *length-raa a b*
 <λ*r.* *arlO-assn (array-assn R)* *xs* *a* * ↑(*r* = length-rll *xs* *b*)⟩_t
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *length-raa-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]*: $\langle(\text{uncurry length-raa}, \text{uncurry} (\text{RETURN} \circ \text{length-rll})) \in [\lambda(xs, i). i < \text{length } xs]_a (\text{arlO-assn} (\text{array-assn } R))^k *_a \text{nat-assn}^k \rightarrow \text{nat-assn}$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

definition *nth-raa* :: $\langle 'a::\text{heap arrayO-raa} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ Heap} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle\text{nth-raa } xs \ i \ j = \text{do } \{$
 $x \leftarrow \text{arl-get } xs \ i;$
 $y \leftarrow \text{Array.nth } x \ j;$
 $\text{return } y\}$ \rangle

lemma *nth-raa-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]*:
assumes $p: \langle \text{is-pure } R \rangle$
shows
 $\langle(\text{uncurry2 nth-raa}, \text{uncurry2} (\text{RETURN} \circ \circ \text{nth-rll})) \in [\lambda((l,i),j). i < \text{length } l \wedge j < \text{length-rll } l \ i]_a$
 $(\text{arlO-assn} (\text{array-assn } R))^k *_a \text{nat-assn}^k *_a \text{nat-assn}^k \rightarrow R$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

definition *update-raa* :: $\langle 'a::\{\text{heap}, \text{default}\} \rangle$ *arrayO-raa* $\Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ arrayO-raa Heap}$
where
 $\langle\text{update-raa } a \ i \ j \ y = \text{do } \{$
 $x \leftarrow \text{arl-get } a \ i;$
 $a' \leftarrow \text{Array.upd } j \ y \ x;$
 $\text{arl-set } a \ i \ a'$
 \rangle — is the Array.upd really needed?

definition *update-rll* :: $'a \text{ list-rll} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list list}$ **where**
 $\langle\text{update-rll } xs \ i \ j \ y = xs[i:= (xs ! i)[j := y]]\rangle$

declare *nth-rule[sep-heap-rules del]*
declare *arrayO-raa-nth-rule[sep-heap-rules]*

TODO: is it possible to be more precise and not drop the $\uparrow ((aa, bc) = r' ! bb)$

lemma *arlO-assn-except-arl-set[sep-heap-rules]*:
fixes $R :: \langle 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \{\text{heap}\} \Rightarrow \text{assn} \rangle$
assumes $p: \langle \text{is-pure } R \rangle$ **and** $\langle bb < \text{length } a \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle ba < \text{length-rll } a \ bb \rangle$
shows \langle
 $\langle \text{arlO-assn-except } (\text{array-assn } R) [bb] a ai (\lambda r'. \text{array-assn } R (a ! bb) aa * \uparrow (aa = r' ! bb)) * R b bi \rangle$
 $\langle \text{Array.upd } ba \ bi \ aa \rangle$
 $\langle \lambda aa. \text{arlO-assn-except } (\text{array-assn } R) [bb] a ai (\lambda r'. \text{array-assn } R ((a ! bb)[ba := b]) aa) * R b bi * \text{true} \rangle$
 \rangle
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *update-raa-rule[sep-heap-rules]*:
assumes $p: \langle \text{is-pure } R \rangle$ **and** $\langle bb < \text{length } a \rangle$ **and** $\langle ba < \text{length-rll } a \ bb \rangle$
shows $\langle \langle R b bi * \text{arlO-assn } (\text{array-assn } R) a ai \rangle \text{ update-raa } ai \ bb \ ba \ bi \langle \lambda r. R b bi * (\exists_A x. \text{arlO-assn } (\text{array-assn } R) x r * \uparrow (x = \text{update-rll } a \ bb \ ba \ b)) \rangle_t \rangle$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *update-raa-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]*:
assumes $\langle \text{is-pure } R \rangle$
shows $\langle(\text{uncurry3 update-raa}, \text{uncurry3} (\text{RETURN} \circ \circ \circ \text{update-rll})) \in [\lambda(((l,i), j), x). i < \text{length } l \wedge j < \text{length-rll } l \ i]_a (\text{arlO-assn} (\text{array-assn } R))^d *_a \text{nat-assn}^k *_a$

$\text{nat-assn}^k *_a R^k \rightarrow (\text{arlO-assn} (\text{array-assn } R))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\text{swap-aa} :: ('a::\{\text{heap}, \text{default}\}) \text{ arrayO-raa} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ arrayO-raa Heap}$

where

```

⟨swap-aa xs k i j = do {
    xi ← nth-raa xs k i;
    xj ← nth-raa xs k j;
    xs ← update-raa xs k i xj;
    xs ← update-raa xs k j xi;
    return xs
}⟩

```

definition swap-ll **where**

```

⟨swap-ll xs k i j = list-update xs k (swap (xs!k) i j)⟩

```

lemma $\text{nth-raa-heap}[\text{sep-heap-rules}]$:

assumes $p: \langle \text{is-pure } R \rangle$ **and** $\langle b < \text{length } aa \rangle$ **and** $\langle ba < \text{length-rll } aa \ b \rangle$

shows ⟨

```

<arlO-assn (array-assn R) aa a>
nth-raa a b ba
<λr. ∃Ax. arlO-assn (array-assn R) aa a *
(R x r *
↑ (x = nth-rll aa b ba)) *
true>

```

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{update-raa-rule-pure}$:

assumes $p: \langle \text{is-pure } R \rangle$ **and** $\langle b < \text{length } aa \rangle$ **and** $\langle ba < \text{length-rll } aa \ b \rangle$ **and**

$b: \langle (bb, be) \in \text{the-pure } R \rangle$

shows ⟨

```

<arlO-assn (array-assn R) aa a>
update-raa a b ba bb
<λr. ∃Ax. invalid-assn (arlO-assn (array-assn R)) aa a * arlO-assn (array-assn R) x r *
true *
↑ (x = update-rll aa b ba be)>

```

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{length-update-rll}[\text{simp}]$: $\langle \text{length } (\text{update-rll } a \ bb \ b \ c) = \text{length } a \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{length-rll-update-rll}$:

$\langle bb < \text{length } a \implies \text{length-rll } (\text{update-rll } a \ bb \ b \ c) \ bb = \text{length-rll } a \ bb \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{swap-aa-hnr}[\text{sepref-fr-rules}]$:

assumes $\langle \text{is-pure } R \rangle$

shows ⟨(uncurry3 swap-aa , $\text{uncurry3 (RETURN oooo swap-ll)}$) ∈

$$[\lambda(((xs, k), i), j). k < \text{length } xs \wedge i < \text{length-rll } xs \ k \wedge j < \text{length-rll } xs \ k]_a$$

$$(\text{arlO-assn} (\text{array-assn } R))^d *_a \text{nat-assn}^k *_a \text{nat-assn}^k *_a \text{nat-assn}^k \rightarrow (\text{arlO-assn} (\text{array-assn } R))$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\text{update-ra} :: ('a \text{ arrayO-raa} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ array} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ arrayO-raa Heap})$ **where**

$\langle \text{update-ra } xs \ n \ x = \text{arl-set } xs \ n \ x \rangle$

```

lemma update-ra-list-update-rules[sep-heap-rules]:
  assumes  $\langle n < \text{length } l \rangle$ 
  shows  $\langle \langle R \ y \ x * \text{arlO-assn } R \ l \ xs \rangle \ \text{update-ra } xs \ n \ x \ < \text{arlO-assn } R \ (l[n:=y]) \rangle_t$ 
  (proof)
lemma ex-assn-up-eq:  $\langle (\exists_A x. \ P \ x * \uparrow(x = a) * Q) = (P \ a * Q) \rangle$ 
  (proof)
lemma update-ra-list-update[sepref-fr-rules]:
   $\langle (\text{uncurry2 update-ra}, \ \text{uncurry2 (RETURN ooo list-update)}) \in$ 
   $[\lambda((xs, n), -). \ n < \text{length } xs]_a \ (\text{arlO-assn } R)^d *_a \text{nat-assn}^k *_a R^d \rightarrow (\text{arlO-assn } R) \rangle$ 
  (proof)
term arl-append
definition arrayO-raa-append where
arrayO-raa-append  $\equiv \lambda(a, n) \ x. \text{do } \{$ 
  len  $\leftarrow \text{Array.len } a;$ 
  if  $n < \text{len}$  then do {
    a  $\leftarrow \text{Array.upd } n \ x \ a;$ 
    return  $(a, n+1)$ 
  } else do {
    let newcap  $= 2 * \text{len};$ 
    default  $\leftarrow \text{Array.new } 0 \ \text{default};$ 
    a  $\leftarrow \text{array-grow } a \ \text{newcap } \text{default};$ 
    a  $\leftarrow \text{Array.upd } n \ x \ a;$ 
    return  $(a, n+1)$ 
  }
}

lemma heap-list-all-append-Nil:
 $\langle y \neq [] \implies \text{heap-list-all } R \ (va @ y) [] = \text{false} \rangle$ 
(proof)

lemma heap-list-all-Nil-append:
 $\langle y \neq [] \implies \text{heap-list-all } R [] \ (va @ y) = \text{false} \rangle$ 
(proof)

lemma heap-list-all-append:  $\langle \text{heap-list-all } R \ (l @ [y]) \ (l' @ [x])$ 
 $= \text{heap-list-all } R \ (l) \ (l') * R \ y \ x \rangle$ 
(proof)
term arrayO-raa
lemma arrayO-raa-append-rule[sep-heap-rules]:
 $\langle \langle \text{arlO-assn } R \ l \ a * R \ y \ x \rangle \ \text{arrayO-raa-append } a \ x \ < \lambda a. \ \text{arlO-assn } R \ (l @ [y]) \ a \rangle_t \rangle$ 
(proof)

lemma arrayO-raa-append-op-list-append[sepref-fr-rules]:
 $\langle (\text{uncurry arrayO-raa-append}, \ \text{uncurry (RETURN oo op-list-append)}) \in$ 
 $(\text{arlO-assn } R)^d *_a R^d \rightarrow_a \text{arlO-assn } R \rangle$ 
(proof)

definition array-of-arl ::  $\langle 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \rangle$  where
array-of-arl xs  $= xs$ 

definition array-of-arl-raa ::  $'a::\text{heap array-list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ array Heap}$  where
array-of-arl-raa  $= (\lambda(a, n). \ \text{array-shrink } a \ n)$ 

lemma array-of-arl[sepref-fr-rules]:
 $\langle (\text{array-of-arl-raa}, \ \text{RETURN o array-of-arl}) \in (\text{arl-assn } R)^d \rightarrow_a (\text{array-assn } R) \rangle$ 
(proof)

```

```

definition arrayO-raa-empty ≡ do {
  a ← Array.new initial-capacity default;
  return (a,0)
}

lemma arrayO-raa-empty-rule[sep-heap-rules]: < emp > arrayO-raa-empty <λr. arlO-assn R [] r>
⟨proof⟩

definition arrayO-raa-empty-sz where
arrayO-raa-empty-sz init-cap ≡ do {
  default ← Array.new 0 default;
  a ← Array.new (max init-cap minimum-capacity) default;
  return (a,0)
}

lemma arl-empty-sz-array-rule[sep-heap-rules]: < emp > arrayO-raa-empty-sz N <λr. arlO-assn R [] r>
⟨proof⟩

definition nth-rl :: ⟨'a::heap arrayO-raa ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a array Heap⟩ where
⟨nth-rl xs n = do {x ← arl-get xs n; array-copy x}⟩

lemma nth-rl-op-list-get:
⟨(uncurry nth-rl, uncurry (RETURN oo op-list-get)) ∈
 [λ(xs, n). n < length xs]_a (arlO-assn (array-assn R))^k *_a nat-assn^k → array-assn R⟩
⟨proof⟩

definition arl-of-array :: 'a list list ⇒ 'a list list where
⟨arl-of-array xs = xs⟩

definition arl-of-array-raa :: 'a::heap array ⇒ ('a array-list) Heap where
⟨arl-of-array-raa xs = do {
  n ← Array.len xs;
  return (xs, n)
}⟩

lemma arl-of-array-raa: ⟨(arl-of-array-raa, RETURN o arl-of-array) ∈
 [λxs. xs ≠ []]_a (array-assn R)^d → (arl-assn R)⟩
⟨proof⟩

end
theory WB-Word
imports HOL-Word.Word Native-Word.Uint64 Native-Word.Uint32 WB-More-Refinement HOL-Imperative-HOL.Collections.HashCode Bits-Natural
begin

lemma less-upper-bintrunc-id: ⟨n < 2 ^b ⇒ n ≥ 0 ⇒ bintrunc b n = n⟩
⟨proof⟩

definition word-nat-rel :: ('a :: len0 Word.word × nat) set where
⟨word-nat-rel = br unat (λ-. True)⟩

lemma bintrunc-eq-bits-eqI: ⟨(∀n. (n < r ∧ bin-nth c n) = (n < r ∧ bin-nth a n)) ⇒
bintrunc r (a) = bintrunc r c⟩

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma and-eq-bits-eqI: $\langle (\bigwedge n. c !! n = (a !! n \wedge b !! n)) \Rightarrow a \text{ AND } b = c \rangle$ **for** $a\ b\ c :: \text{-word}$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pow2-mono-word-less*:

$\langle m < LENGTH('a) \Rightarrow n < LENGTH('a) \Rightarrow m < n \Rightarrow (\mathcal{Z} :: 'a :: len\ word) \wedge_m <_2 \wedge n \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pow2-mono-word-le*:

$\langle m < LENGTH('a) \Rightarrow n < LENGTH('a) \Rightarrow m \leq n \Rightarrow (\lambda :: 'a :: len\ word). \wedge_m \leq 2 \wedge_n \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *uint32-max* :: *nat* **where**

`<uint32-max = 2 ^32 - 1>`

lemma *unat-le-uint32-max-no-bit-set*:

fixes *n* :: <'a::len word>

assumes *less*: `<unat n ≤ uint32-max>` **and**

n: $\langle n \mid !\; na \rangle$ **and**

32: $\langle 32 < LENGTH('a) \rangle$

shows $\langle na \rangle < 32$

definition *uint32-max'* **where**

emma [

This lemma is very trivial but maps an *64 word* to its list counterpart. This especially allows to combine two numbers together via their bit representation (which should be faster than concatenating lists).

lemma ex-rbl-word64:
 $\exists a64 a63 a62 a61 a60 a59 a58 a57 a56 a55 a54 a53 a52 a51 a50 a49 a48 a47 a46 a45 a44 a43 a42$

a_{41} $a_{40} a_{39} a_{38} a_{37} a_{36} a_{35} a_{34} a_{33} a_{32} a_{31} a_{30} a_{29} a_{28} a_{27} a_{26} a_{25} a_{24} a_{23} a_{22} a_{21} a_{20} a_{19} a_{18}$
 a_{17}

~ 16 , ~ 15 , ~ 14 , ~ 13 , ~ 12 , ~ 11 , ~ 10 , ~ 9 , ~ 8 , ~ 7 , ~ 6 , ~ 5 , ~ 4 , ~ 3 , ~ 2 , ~ 1 , ~ 0

to bl (n.: 6/ word) =

or $(n :: b4 \ word) = [a64, a63, a62, a61, a60, a59, a58, a57, a56, a55, a54, a53, a52, a51, a50, a49, a48, a47,$
 $a46, a45, a44, a43, a42, a41, a40, a39, a38, a37, a36, a35, a34, a33, a32, a31, a30, a29,$
 $a28, a27, a26, a25, a24, a23, a22, a21, a20, a19, a18, a17, a16, a15, a14, a13, a12, a11,$
 $a10, a9, a8, a7, a6, a5, a4, a3, a2, a1] \ (\text{is } ?A)$ **and**

ex-rbl-word64-le-uint32-max:

$\langle \text{unat } n \leq \text{uint32_max} \implies \exists a_{31} a_{30} a_{29} a_{28} a_{27} a_{26} a_{25} a_{24} a_{23} a_{22} a_{21} a_{20} a_{19} a_{18} a_{17} a_{16} a_{15} a_{14} a_{13} a_{12} a_{11} a_{10} a_9 a_8 a_7 a_6 a_5 a_4 a_3 a_2 a_1 a_{32}. \rangle$

to-bl ($n :: 64$ word) =

a₃₂, a₃₁, a₃₀, a₂₉, a₂₈, a₂₇, a₂₆, a₂₅, a₂₄, a₂₃, a₂₂, a₂₁, a₂₀, a₁₉, a₁₈, a₁₇, a₁₆, a₁₅, a₁₄, a₁₃, a₁₂, a₁₁, a₁₀, a₉, a₈, a₇, a₆, a₅, a₄, a₃, a₂, a₁) (is :-> ?B) and

ex-rbl-word64-qe-uint32-max:

```

⟨n AND (2^32 - 1) = 0 ⟹ ∃ a64 a63 a62 a61 a60 a59 a58 a57 a56 a55 a54 a53 a52 a51 a50 a49
a48
    a47 a46 a45 a44 a43 a42 a41 a40 a39 a38 a37 a36 a35 a34 a33.
    to-bl (n :: 64 word) =
    [a64, a63, a62, a61, a60, a59, a58, a57, a56, a55, a54, a53, a52, a51, a50, a49, a48, a47,
     a46, a45, a44, a43, a42, a41, a40, a39, a38, a37, a36, a35, a34, a33,
     False, False,
     False, False, False, False, False, False, False, False, False, False, False, False, False,
     False, False, False, False, False] (is ⊐ ⟹ ?C)
⟨proof⟩

```

32-bits

lemma word-nat-of-uint32-Rep-inject[simp]: ⟨nat-of-uint32 ai = nat-of-uint32 bi ⟷ ai = bi⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-of-uint32-012[simp]: ⟨nat-of-uint32 0 = 0⟩ ⟨nat-of-uint32 2 = 2⟩ ⟨nat-of-uint32 1 = 1⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-of-uint32-3: ⟨nat-of-uint32 3 = 3⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-of-uint32-Suc03-iff:

⟨nat-of-uint32 a = Suc 0 ⟷ a = 1⟩
 ⟨nat-of-uint32 a = 3 ⟷ a = 3⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-of-uint32-013-neq:

(1::uint32) ≠ (0 :: uint32) (0::uint32) ≠ (1 :: uint32)
 (3::uint32) ≠ (0 :: uint32)
 (3::uint32) ≠ (1 :: uint32)
 (0::uint32) ≠ (3 :: uint32)
 (1::uint32) ≠ (3 :: uint32)

⟨proof⟩

definition uint32-nat-rel :: (uint32 × nat) set **where**

⟨uint32-nat-rel = br nat-of-uint32 (λ_. True)⟩

lemma unat-shiftr: ⟨unat (xi >> n) = unat xi div (2^n)⟩

⟨proof⟩

instantiation uint32 :: default

begin

definition default-uint32 :: uint32 **where**

⟨default-uint32 = 0⟩

instance

⟨proof⟩

end

instance uint32 :: heap

⟨proof⟩

instance uint32 :: semiring-numeral

⟨proof⟩

```

instantiation uint32 :: hashable
begin
definition hashcode-uint32 :: <uint32  $\Rightarrow$  uint32> where
  ⟨hashcode-uint32 n = n⟩

definition def hashmap-size-uint32 :: <uint32 itself  $\Rightarrow$  nat> where
  ⟨def hashmap-size-uint32 = ( $\lambda$ -). 16⟩
  — same as nat
instance
  ⟨proof⟩
end

abbreviation uint32-rel :: <(uint32  $\times$  uint32) set> where
  ⟨uint32-rel  $\equiv$  Id⟩

lemma nat-bin-trunc-ao:
  ⟨nat (bintrunc n a) AND nat (bintrunc n b) = nat (bintrunc n (a AND b))⟩
  ⟨nat (bintrunc n a) OR nat (bintrunc n b) = nat (bintrunc n (a OR b))⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-of-uint32-ao:
  ⟨nat-of-uint32 n AND nat-of-uint32 m = nat-of-uint32 (n AND m)⟩
  ⟨nat-of-uint32 n OR nat-of-uint32 m = nat-of-uint32 (n OR m)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-of-uint32-mod-2:
  ⟨nat-of-uint32 L mod 2 = nat-of-uint32 (L mod 2)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bitAND-1-mod-2-uint32: <bitAND L 1 = L mod 2> for L :: uint32
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-uint-XOR: <nat (uint (a XOR b)) = nat (uint a) XOR nat (uint b)>
  if len: <LENGTH('a) > 0>
  for a b :: 'a ::len0 Word.word
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-of-uint32-XOR: <nat-of-uint32 (a XOR b) = nat-of-uint32 a XOR nat-of-uint32 b>
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-of-uint32-0-iff: <nat-of-uint32 xi = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  xi = 0> for xi
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-0-AND: <0 AND n = 0> for n :: nat
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma uint32-0-AND: <0 AND n = 0> for n :: uint32
  ⟨proof⟩

definition uint32-safe-minus where
  ⟨uint32-safe-minus m n = (if m < n then 0 else m - n)⟩

lemma nat-of-uint32-le-minus: <ai  $\leq$  bi  $\implies$  0 = nat-of-uint32 ai - nat-of-uint32 bi>
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma nat-of-uint32-notle-minus:
   $\neg ai < bi \implies \text{nat-of-uint32 } (ai - bi) = \text{nat-of-uint32 } ai - \text{nat-of-uint32 } bi$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma nat-of-uint32-uint32-of-nat-id:  $n \leq \text{uint32-max} \implies \text{nat-of-uint32 } (\text{uint32-of-nat } n) = n$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma uint32-less-than-0[iff]:  $(a:\text{uint32}) \leq 0 \iff a = 0$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma nat-of-uint32-less-iff:  $\text{nat-of-uint32 } a < \text{nat-of-uint32 } b \iff a < b$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma nat-of-uint32-le-iff:  $\text{nat-of-uint32 } a \leq \text{nat-of-uint32 } b \iff a \leq b$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma nat-of-uint32-max:
   $\text{nat-of-uint32 } (\text{max } ai bi) = \text{max } (\text{nat-of-uint32 } ai) (\text{nat-of-uint32 } bi)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma mult-mod-mod-mult:
   $b < n \text{ div } a \implies a > 0 \implies b > 0 \implies a * b \text{ mod } n = a * (b \text{ mod } n)$  for  $a b n :: \text{int}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma nat-of-uint32-distrib-mult2:
  assumes  $\text{nat-of-uint32 } xi \leq \text{uint32-max} \text{ div } 2$ 
  shows  $\text{nat-of-uint32 } (2 * xi) = 2 * \text{nat-of-uint32 } xi$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma nat-of-uint32-distrib-mult2-plus1:
  assumes  $\text{nat-of-uint32 } xi \leq \text{uint32-max} \text{ div } 2$ 
  shows  $\text{nat-of-uint32 } (2 * xi + 1) = 2 * \text{nat-of-uint32 } xi + 1$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma nat-of-uint32-add:
   $\text{nat-of-uint32 } ai + \text{nat-of-uint32 } bi \leq \text{uint32-max} \implies \text{nat-of-uint32 } (ai + bi) = \text{nat-of-uint32 } ai + \text{nat-of-uint32 } bi$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition zero-uint32-nat where
  [simp]:  $\text{zero-uint32-nat} = (0 :: \text{nat})$ 

definition one-uint32-nat where
  [simp]:  $\text{one-uint32-nat} = (1 :: \text{nat})$ 

definition two-uint32-nat where [simp]:  $\text{two-uint32-nat} = (2 :: \text{nat})$ 

definition two-uint32 where
  [simp]:  $\text{two-uint32} = (2 :: \text{uint32})$ 

definition fast-minus ::  $'a : \{\text{minus}\} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$  where
  [simp]:  $\text{fast-minus } m n = m - n$ 

```

```
definition fast-minus-code :: ⟨'a::{minus,ord} ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a⟩ where
  [simp]: ⟨fast-minus-code m n = (SOME p. (p = m - n ∧ m ≥ n))⟩
```

```
definition fast-minus-nat :: ⟨nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat⟩ where
  [simp, code del]: ⟨fast-minus-nat = fast-minus-code⟩
```

```
definition fast-minus-nat' :: ⟨nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat⟩ where
  [simp, code del]: ⟨fast-minus-nat' = fast-minus-code⟩
```

```
lemma [code]: ⟨fast-minus-nat = fast-minus-nat'⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma word-of-int-int-unat[simp]: ⟨word-of-int (int (unat x)) = x⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma uint32-of-nat-nat-of-uint32[simp]: ⟨uint32-of-nat (nat-of-uint32 x) = x⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition sum-mod-uint32-max where
  ⟨sum-mod-uint32-max a b = (a + b) mod (uint32-max + 1)⟩
```

```
lemma nat-of-uint32-plus:
  ⟨nat-of-uint32 (a + b) = (nat-of-uint32 a + nat-of-uint32 b) mod (uint32-max + 1)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition one-uint32 where
  ⟨one-uint32 = (1::uint32)⟩
```

This lemma is meant to be used to simplify expressions like $\text{nat-of-uint32 } 5$ and therefore we add the bound explicitly instead of keeping uint32-max . Remark the types are non trivial here: we convert a uint32 to a nat , even if the expression $\text{numeral } n$ looks the same.

```
lemma nat-of-uint32-numeral[simp]:
  ⟨numeral n ≤ ((2 ^ 32 - 1)::nat) ⇒ nat-of-uint32 (numeral n) = numeral n⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma nat-of-uint32-mod-2^32:
  shows ⟨nat-of-uint32 xi = nat-of-uint32 xi mod 2 ^ 32⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma transfer-pow-uint32:
  ⟨Transfer.Rel (rel-fun cr-uint32 (rel-fun (=) cr-uint32)) ((^)) ((^))⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma uint32-mod-2^32-eq:
  fixes xi :: uint32
  shows ⟨xi = xi mod 2 ^ 32⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma nat-of-uint32-numeral-mod-2^32:
  ⟨nat-of-uint32 (numeral n) = numeral n mod 2 ^ 32⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma int-of-uint32-alt-def: ⟨int-of-uint32 n = int (nat-of-uint32 n)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma int-of-uint32-numeral[simp]:
  ⟨numeral n ≤ ((2 ^ 32 - 1)::nat) ⟩ ⇒ int-of-uint32 (numeral n) = numeral n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-of-uint32-numeral-iff[simp]:
  ⟨numeral n ≤ ((2 ^ 32 - 1)::nat) ⟩ ⇒ nat-of-uint32 a = numeral n ⇔ a = numeral n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-of-uint32-mult-le:
  ⟨nat-of-uint32 ai * nat-of-uint32 bi ≤ uint32-max ⟩ ⇒
    nat-of-uint32 (ai * bi) = nat-of-uint32 ai * nat-of-uint32 bi
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-and-numerals [simp]:
  (numeral (Num.Bit0 x) :: nat) AND (numeral (Num.Bit0 y) :: nat) = (2 :: nat) * (numeral x AND
  numeral y)
  numeral (Num.Bit0 x) AND numeral (Num.Bit1 y) = (2 :: nat) * (numeral x AND numeral y)
  numeral (Num.Bit1 x) AND numeral (Num.Bit0 y) = (2 :: nat) * (numeral x AND numeral y)
  numeral (Num.Bit1 x) AND numeral (Num.Bit1 y) = (2 :: nat) * (numeral x AND numeral y)+1
  (1::nat) AND numeral (Num.Bit0 y) = 0
  (1::nat) AND numeral (Num.Bit1 y) = 1
  numeral (Num.Bit0 x) AND (1::nat) = 0
  numeral (Num.Bit1 x) AND (1::nat) = 1
  (Suc 0::nat) AND numeral (Num.Bit0 y) = 0
  (Suc 0::nat) AND numeral (Num.Bit1 y) = 1
  numeral (Num.Bit0 x) AND (Suc 0::nat) = 0
  numeral (Num.Bit1 x) AND (Suc 0::nat) = 1
  Suc 0 AND Suc 0 = 1
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma nat-of-uint32-div:
  ⟨nat-of-uint32 (a div b) = nat-of-uint32 a div nat-of-uint32 b⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

64-bits

```

definition uint64-nat-rel :: (uint64 × nat) set where
  ⟨uint64-nat-rel = br nat-of-uint64 (λ-. True)⟩

abbreviation uint64-rel :: ((uint64 × uint64) set) where
  ⟨uint64-rel ≡ Id⟩

lemma word-nat-of-uint64-Rep-inject[simp]: ⟨nat-of-uint64 ai = nat-of-uint64 bi ⟩ ⇔ ai = bi
  ⟨proof⟩

instantiation uint64 :: default
begin
definition default-uint64 :: uint64 where
  ⟨default-uint64 = 0⟩
instance
  ⟨proof⟩
end

```

```

instance uint64 :: heap
  ⟨proof⟩

instance uint64 :: semiring-numeral
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-of-uint64-012[simp]: ⟨nat-of-uint64 0 = 0⟩ ⟨nat-of-uint64 2 = 2⟩ ⟨nat-of-uint64 1 = 1⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

definition zero-uint64-nat where
  [simp]: ⟨zero-uint64-nat = (0 :: nat)⟩

definition uint64-max :: nat where
  ⟨uint64-max = 2 ^ 64 - 1⟩

definition uint64-max' where
  [simp, symmetric, code]: ⟨uint64-max' = uint64-max⟩

lemma [code]: ⟨uint64-max' = 18446744073709551615⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-of-uint64-uint64-of-nat-id: ⟨n ≤ uint64-max ⟹ nat-of-uint64 (uint64-of-nat n) = n⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-of-uint64-add:
  ⟨nat-of-uint64 ai + nat-of-uint64 bi ≤ uint64-max ⟹
    nat-of-uint64 (ai + bi) = nat-of-uint64 ai + nat-of-uint64 bi⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

definition one-uint64-nat where
  [simp]: ⟨one-uint64-nat = (1 :: nat)⟩

lemma uint64-less-than-0[iff]: ⟨(a::uint64) ≤ 0 ⟺ a = 0⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-of-uint64-less-iff: ⟨nat-of-uint64 a < nat-of-uint64 b ⟺ a < b⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-of-uint64-distrib-mult2:
  assumes ⟨nat-of-uint64 xi ≤ uint64-max div 2⟩
  shows ⟨nat-of-uint64 (2 * xi) = 2 * nat-of-uint64 xi⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in -)nat-of-uint64-distrib-mult2-plus1:
  assumes ⟨nat-of-uint64 xi ≤ uint64-max div 2⟩
  shows ⟨nat-of-uint64 (2 * xi + 1) = 2 * nat-of-uint64 xi + 1⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-of-uint64-numeral[simp]:
  ⟨numeral n ≤ ((2 ^ 64 - 1)::nat) ⟹ nat-of-uint64 (numeral n) = numeral n⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma int-of-uint64-alt-def: ⟨int-of-uint64 n = int (nat-of-uint64 n)⟩

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *int-of-uint64-numeral*[simp]:

$\langle numeral n \leq ((2^{64} - 1) :: nat) \Rightarrow int-of-uint64 (numeral n) = numeral n \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-of-uint64-numeral-iff*[simp]:

$\langle numeral n \leq ((2^{64} - 1) :: nat) \Rightarrow nat-of-uint64 a = numeral n \longleftrightarrow a = numeral n \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *numeral-uint64-eq-iff*[simp]:

$\langle numeral m \leq (2^{64} - 1 :: nat) \Rightarrow numeral n \leq (2^{64} - 1 :: nat) \Rightarrow ((numeral m :: uint64) = numeral n) \longleftrightarrow numeral m = (numeral n :: nat) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *numeral-uint64-eq0-iff*[simp]:

$\langle numeral n \leq (2^{64} - 1 :: nat) \Rightarrow ((0 :: uint64) = numeral n) \longleftrightarrow 0 = (numeral n :: nat) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *transfer-pow-uint64*: $\langle Transfer.Rel (rel-fun cr-uint64 (rel-fun (=) cr-uint64)) (\wedge) (\wedge) \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *shiftl-t2n-uint64*: $\langle n << m = n * 2^m \rangle$ **for** $n :: uint64$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mod2-bin-last*: $\langle a \bmod 2 = 0 \longleftrightarrow \neg bin\text{-}last a \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bitXOR-1-if-mod-2-int*: $\langle bitOR L 1 = (if L \bmod 2 = 0 then L + 1 else L) \rangle$ **for** $L :: int$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bitOR-1-if-mod-2-nat*:

$\langle bitOR L 1 = (if L \bmod 2 = 0 then L + 1 else L) \rangle$
 $\langle bitOR L (Suc 0) = (if L \bmod 2 = 0 then L + 1 else L) \rangle$ **for** $L :: nat$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *uint64-max-uint-def*: $\langle unat (-1 :: 64 Word.word) = uint64\text{-}max \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-of-uint64-le-uint64-max*: $\langle nat-of-uint64 x \leq uint64\text{-}max \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bitOR-1-if-mod-2-uint64*: $\langle bitOR L 1 = (if L \bmod 2 = 0 then L + 1 else L) \rangle$ **for** $L :: uint64$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-of-uint64-plus*:

$\langle nat-of-uint64 (a + b) = (nat-of-uint64 a + nat-of-uint64 b) \bmod (uint64\text{-}max + 1) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-and*:

$\langle ai \geq 0 \Rightarrow bi \geq 0 \Rightarrow nat (ai \text{ AND } bi) = nat ai \text{ AND } nat bi \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-of-uint64-and*:
 $\langle \text{nat-of-uint64 } ai \leq \text{uint64-max} \implies \text{nat-of-uint64 } bi \leq \text{uint64-max} \implies$
 $\text{nat-of-uint64 } (ai \text{ AND } bi) = \text{nat-of-uint64 } ai \text{ AND } \text{nat-of-uint64 } bi \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *two-uint64-nat* :: *nat* **where**
 $\langle \text{simp} \rangle: \langle \text{two-uint64-nat} = 2 \rangle$

lemma *nat-or*:
 $\langle ai \geq 0 \implies bi \geq 0 \implies \text{nat } (ai \text{ OR } bi) = \text{nat } ai \text{ OR } \text{nat } bi \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-of-uint64-or*:
 $\langle \text{nat-of-uint64 } ai \leq \text{uint64-max} \implies \text{nat-of-uint64 } bi \leq \text{uint64-max} \implies$
 $\text{nat-of-uint64 } (ai \text{ OR } bi) = \text{nat-of-uint64 } ai \text{ OR } \text{nat-of-uint64 } bi \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Suc-0-le-uint64-max*: $\langle \text{Suc } 0 \leq \text{uint64-max} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-of-uint64-le-iff*: $\langle \text{nat-of-uint64 } a \leq \text{nat-of-uint64 } b \longleftrightarrow a \leq b \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-of-uint64-notle-minus*:
 $\neg ai < bi \implies$
 $\text{nat-of-uint64 } (ai - bi) = \text{nat-of-uint64 } ai - \text{nat-of-uint64 } bi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *le-uint32-max-le-uint64-max*: $\langle a \leq \text{uint32-max} + 2 \implies a \leq \text{uint64-max} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-of-uint64-ge-minus*:
 $ai \geq bi \implies$
 $\text{nat-of-uint64 } (ai - bi) = \text{nat-of-uint64 } ai - \text{nat-of-uint64 } bi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *sum-mod-uint64-max* **where**
 $\langle \text{sum-mod-uint64-max } a b = (a + b) \text{ mod } (\text{uint64-max} + 1) \rangle$

definition *uint32-max-uint32* :: *uint32* **where**
 $\langle \text{uint32-max-uint32} = -1 \rangle$

lemma *nat-of-uint32-uint32-max-uint32* [simp]:
 $\langle \text{nat-of-uint32 } (\text{uint32-max-uint32}) = \text{uint32-max} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sum-mod-uint64-max-le-uint64-max* [simp]: $\langle \text{sum-mod-uint64-max } a b \leq \text{uint64-max} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *uint64-of-uint32* **where**
 $\langle \text{uint64-of-uint32 } n = \text{uint64-of-nat } (\text{nat-of-uint32 } n) \rangle$

export-code *uint64-of-uint32* **in** *SML*

We do not want to follow the definition in the generated code (that would be crazy).

```
definition uint64-of-uint32' where
  [symmetric, code]: <uint64-of-uint32' = uint64-of-uint32>

code-printing constant uint64-of-uint32' →
  (SML) (Uint64.fromLarge (Word32.toLarge (-)))

export-code uint64-of-uint32 checking SML-imp

export-code uint64-of-uint32 in SML-imp

lemma
  assumes n[simp]: <n ≤ uint32-max-uint32>
  shows <nat-of-uint64 (uint64-of-uint32 n) = nat-of-uint32 n>
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition zero-uint64 where
  ⟨zero-uint64 ≡ (0 :: uint64)⟩
definition zero-uint32 where
  ⟨zero-uint32 ≡ (0 :: uint32)⟩
definition two-uint64 where <two-uint64 = (2 :: uint64)>
```

```
lemma nat-of-uint64-ao:
  ⟨nat-of-uint64 m AND nat-of-uint64 n = nat-of-uint64 (m AND n)⟩
  ⟨nat-of-uint64 m OR nat-of-uint64 n = nat-of-uint64 (m OR n)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
```

Conversions

From nat to 64 bits **definition** uint64-of-nat-conv :: <nat ⇒ nat> **where**
 [simp]: <uint64-of-nat-conv i = i>

From nat to 32 bits **definition** nat-of-uint32-spec :: <nat ⇒ nat> **where**
 [simp]: <nat-of-uint32-spec n = n>

From 64 to nat bits **definition** nat-of-uint64-conv :: <nat ⇒ nat> **where**
 [simp]: <nat-of-uint64-conv i = i>

From 32 to nat bits **definition** nat-of-uint32-conv :: <nat ⇒ nat> **where**
 [simp]: <nat-of-uint32-conv i = i>

definition convert-to-uint32 :: <nat ⇒ nat> **where**
 [simp]: <convert-to-uint32 = id>

From 32 to 64 bits **definition** uint64-of-uint32-conv :: <nat ⇒ nat> **where**
 [simp]: <uint64-of-uint32-conv x = x>

lemma nat-of-uint32-le-uint32-max: <nat-of-uint32 n ≤ uint32-max>
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-of-uint32-le-uint64-max: <nat-of-uint32 n ≤ uint64-max>
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *nat-of-uint64-uint64-of-uint32*: $\langle \text{nat-of-uint64 } (\text{uint64-of-uint32 } n) = \text{nat-of-uint32 } n \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

From 64 to 32 bits definition *uint32-of-uint64* **where**
 $\langle \text{uint32-of-uint64 } n = \text{uint32-of-nat } (\text{nat-of-uint64 } n) \rangle$

definition *uint32-of-uint64-conv* **where**
 $\langle \text{simp} \rangle: \langle \text{uint32-of-uint64-conv } n = n \rangle$

lemma (in -) uint64-neq0-gt: $\langle j \neq (0::\text{uint64}) \longleftrightarrow j > 0 \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *uint64-gt0-ge1*: $\langle j > 0 \longleftrightarrow j \geq (1::\text{uint64}) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *three-uint32* **where** $\langle \text{three-uint32} = (3 :: \text{uint32}) \rangle$

definition *nat-of-uint64-id-conv* :: $\langle \text{uint64} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{nat-of-uint64-id-conv} = \text{nat-of-uint64} \rangle$

definition *op-map* :: $\langle 'b \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \text{ nres} \text{ where}$
 $\langle \text{op-map } R e xs = \text{do } \{$
 $\text{let } zs = \text{replicate } (\text{length } xs) e;$
 $(-, zs) \leftarrow \text{WHILE}_T \lambda(i, zs). i \leq \text{length } xs \wedge \text{take } i zs = \text{map } R (\text{take } i xs) \wedge$
 $\text{length } zs = \text{length } xs \wedge (\forall k \geq i. k < \text{length } x)$
 $(\lambda(i, zs). i < \text{length } zs)$
 $(\lambda(i, zs). \text{do } \{ \text{ASSERT}(i < \text{length } zs); \text{RETURN } (i+1, zs[i := R (xs!i)]) \})$
 $(0, zs);$
 $\text{RETURN } zs$
 $\} \rangle$

lemma *op-map-map*: $\langle \text{op-map } R e xs \leq \text{RETURN } (\text{map } R xs) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *op-map-map-rel*:
 $\langle (\text{op-map } R e, \text{RETURN } o (\text{map } R)) \in \langle \text{Id} \rangle \text{list-rel} \rightarrow_f \langle \langle \text{Id} \rangle \text{list-rel} \rangle \text{nres-rel} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *array-nat-of-uint64-conv* :: $\langle \text{nat list} \Rightarrow \text{nat list} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{array-nat-of-uint64-conv} = \text{id} \rangle$

definition *array-nat-of-uint64* :: $\langle \text{nat list} \Rightarrow \text{nat list} \text{ nres} \text{ where}$
 $\langle \text{array-nat-of-uint64 } xs = \text{op-map } \text{nat-of-uint64-conv } 0 xs \rangle$

lemma *array-nat-of-uint64-conv-alt-def*:
 $\langle \text{array-nat-of-uint64-conv} = \text{map } \text{nat-of-uint64-conv} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *array-uint64-of-nat-conv* :: $\langle \text{nat list} \Rightarrow \text{nat list} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{array-uint64-of-nat-conv} = \text{id} \rangle$

definition *array-uint64-of-nat* :: $\langle \text{nat list} \Rightarrow \text{nat list} \text{ nres} \text{ where}$
 $\langle \text{array-uint64-of-nat } xs = \text{op-map } \text{uint64-of-nat-conv } \text{zero-uint64-nat } xs \rangle$

end

```

theory WB-Word-Assn
imports Refine-Imperative-HOL.IICF
WB-Word Bits-Natural
WB-More-Refinement WB-More-IICF-SML
begin

```

0.1.5 More Setup for Fixed Size Natural Numbers

Words

```

abbreviation word-nat-assn :: nat ⇒ 'a::len0 Word.word ⇒ assn where
⟨word-nat-assn ≡ pure word-nat-rel⟩

```

```

lemma op-eq-word-nat:
⟨(uncurry (return oo ((=) :: 'a :: len Word.word ⇒ -)), uncurry (RETURN oo (=))) ∈
word-nat-assnk *a word-nat-assnk →a bool-assn⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

```

abbreviation uint32-nat-assn :: nat ⇒ uint32 ⇒ assn where
⟨uint32-nat-assn ≡ pure uint32-nat-rel⟩

```

```

lemma op-eq-uint32-nat[sepref-fr-rules]:
⟨(uncurry (return oo ((=) :: uint32 ⇒ -)), uncurry (RETURN oo (=))) ∈
uint32-nat-assnk *a uint32-nat-assnk →a bool-assn⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

```

abbreviation uint32-assn :: ⟨uint32 ⇒ uint32 ⇒ assn⟩ where
⟨uint32-assn ≡ id-assn⟩

```

```

lemma op-eq-uint32:
⟨(uncurry (return oo ((=) :: uint32 ⇒ -)), uncurry (RETURN oo (=))) ∈
uint32-assnk *a uint32-assnk →a bool-assn⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemmas [id-rules] =
itypeI[Pure.of 0 TYPE (uint32)]
itypeI[Pure.of 1 TYPE (uint32)]

```

```

lemma param-uint32[param, sepref-import-param]:

```

```

(0, 0::uint32) ∈ Id
(1, 1::uint32) ∈ Id
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma param-max-uint32[param, sepref-import-param]:
(max,max)∈uint32-rel → uint32-rel → uint32-rel ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma max-uint32[sepref-fr-rules]:
⟨(uncurry (return oo max), uncurry (RETURN oo max)) ∈
uint32-assnk *a uint32-assnk →a uint32-assn⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma uint32-nat-assn-minus:
⟨(uncurry (return oo uint32-safe-minus), uncurry (RETURN oo (-))) ∈
uint32-nat-assnk *a uint32-nat-assnk →a uint32-nat-assn⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma [safe-constraint-rules]:
 ⟨CONSTRAINT IS-LEFT-UNIQUE uint32-nat-rel⟩
 ⟨CONSTRAINT IS-RIGHT-UNIQUE uint32-nat-rel⟩
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma shiftr1 [sepref-fr-rules]:
 ⟨(uncurry (return oo ((>>))), uncurry (RETURN oo (>>))) ∈ uint32-assn^k *_a nat-assn^k →_a uint32-assn⟩
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma shiftl1 [sepref-fr-rules]: ⟨(return o shiftl1, RETURN o shiftl1) ∈ nat-assn^k →_a nat-assn⟩
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-of-uint32-rule [sepref-fr-rules]:
 ⟨(return o nat-of-uint32, RETURN o nat-of-uint32) ∈ uint32-assn^k →_a nat-assn⟩
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma max-uint32-nat [sepref-fr-rules]:
 ⟨(uncurry (return oo max), uncurry (RETURN oo max)) ∈ uint32-nat-assn^k *_a uint32-nat-assn^k →_a uint32-nat-assn⟩
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma array-set-hnr-u:
 ⟨CONSTRAINT is-pure A ⟹
 (uncurry2 (λxs i. heap-array-set xs (nat-of-uint32 i)), uncurry2 (RETURN ○○ op-list-set)) ∈
 [pre-list-set]_a (array-assn A)^d *_a uint32-nat-assn^k *_a A^k → array-assn A)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma array-get-hnr-u:
assumes ⟨CONSTRAINT is-pure A⟩
shows ⟨(uncurry (λxs i. Array.nth xs (nat-of-uint32 i)),
 uncurry (RETURN ○○ op-list-get)) ∈ [pre-list-get]_a (array-assn A)^k *_a uint32-nat-assn^k → A)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma arl-get-hnr-u:
assumes ⟨CONSTRAINT is-pure A⟩
shows ⟨(uncurry (λxs i. arl-get xs (nat-of-uint32 i)), uncurry (RETURN ○○ op-list-get))
 ∈ [pre-list-get]_a (arl-assn A)^k *_a uint32-nat-assn^k → A)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma uint32-nat-assn-plus [sepref-fr-rules]:
 ⟨(uncurry (return oo (+)), uncurry (RETURN oo (+))) ∈ [λ(m, n). m + n ≤ uint32-max]_a
 uint32-nat-assn^k *_a uint32-nat-assn^k → uint32-nat-assn⟩
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma uint32-nat-assn-one:
 ⟨(uncurry0 (return 1), uncurry0 (RETURN 1)) ∈ unit-assn^k →_a uint32-nat-assn⟩
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma uint32-nat-assn-zero:
 ⟨(uncurry0 (return 0), uncurry0 (RETURN 0)) ∈ unit-assn^k →_a uint32-nat-assn⟩
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *nat-of-uint32-int32-assn*:
 $\langle (\text{return } o \text{ id}, \text{RETURN } o \text{ nat-of-uint32}) \in \text{uint32-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{uint32-nat-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *uint32-nat-assn-zero-uint32-nat[sepref-fr-rules]*:
 $\langle (\text{uncurry0 } (\text{return } 0), \text{uncurry0 } (\text{RETURN zero-uint32-nat})) \in \text{unit-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{uint32-nat-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-assn-zero*:
 $\langle (\text{uncurry0 } (\text{return } 0), \text{uncurry0 } (\text{RETURN } 0)) \in \text{unit-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{nat-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *one-uint32-nat[sepref-fr-rules]*:
 $\langle (\text{uncurry0 } (\text{return } 1), \text{uncurry0 } (\text{RETURN one-uint32-nat})) \in \text{unit-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{uint32-nat-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *uint32-nat-assn-less[sepref-fr-rules]*:
 $\langle (\text{uncurry } (\text{return } oo \text{ } (<)), \text{uncurry } (\text{RETURN } oo \text{ } (<))) \in \text{uint32-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{uint32-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{bool-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *uint32-2-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]*: $\langle (\text{uncurry0 } (\text{return two-uint32}), \text{uncurry0 } (\text{RETURN two-uint32-nat})) \in \text{unit-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{uint32-nat-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Do NOT declare this theorem as *sepref-fr-rules* to avoid bad unexpected conversions.

lemma *le-uint32-nat-hnr*:
 $\langle (\text{uncurry } (\text{return } oo \text{ } (\lambda a b. \text{nat-of-uint32 } a < b)), \text{uncurry } (\text{RETURN } oo \text{ } (<))) \in \text{uint32-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{nat-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{bool-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *le-nat-uint32-hnr*:
 $\langle (\text{uncurry } (\text{return } oo \text{ } (\lambda a b. a < \text{nat-of-uint32 } b)), \text{uncurry } (\text{RETURN } oo \text{ } (<))) \in \text{nat-assn}^k *_a \text{uint32-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{bool-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

code-printing constant *fast-minus-nat' → (SML-imp) (Nat(integer'-of'-nat/ (-)/ -/ integer'-of'-nat/ (-)))*

lemma *fast-minus-nat[sepref-fr-rules]*:
 $\langle (\text{uncurry } (\text{return } oo \text{ fast-minus-nat}), \text{uncurry } (\text{RETURN } oo \text{ fast-minus})) \in [\lambda(m, n). m \geq n]_a \text{nat-assn}^k *_a \text{nat-assn}^k \rightarrow \text{nat-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *fast-minus-uint32 :: (uint32 ⇒ uint32 ⇒ uint32)* **where**
 $[\text{simp}]: \langle \text{fast-minus-uint32} = \text{fast-minus} \rangle$

lemma *fast-minus-uint32[sepref-fr-rules]*:
 $\langle (\text{uncurry } (\text{return } oo \text{ fast-minus-uint32}), \text{uncurry } (\text{RETURN } oo \text{ fast-minus})) \in [\lambda(m, n). m \geq n]_a \text{uint32-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{uint32-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow \text{uint32-nat-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *uint32-nat-assn-0-eq*: $\langle \text{uint32-nat-assn } 0 \text{ } a = \uparrow (a = 0) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *uint32-nat-assn-nat-assn-nat-of-uint32*:

$$\langle \text{uint32-nat-assn } aa \ a = \text{nat-assn } aa \ (\text{nat-of-uint32 } a) \rangle$$

(proof)

lemma *sum-mod-uint32-max*: $\langle (\text{uncurry } (\text{return oo } (+)), \text{uncurry } (\text{RETURN oo sum-mod-uint32-max})) \in \text{uint32-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{uint32-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{uint32-nat-assn} \rangle$

(proof)

lemma *le-uint32-nat-rel-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]*:

$$\langle (\text{uncurry } (\text{return oo } (\leq)), \text{uncurry } (\text{RETURN oo } (\leq))) \in \text{uint32-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{uint32-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{bool-assn} \rangle$$

(proof)

lemma *one-uint32-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]*:

$$\langle (\text{uncurry0 } (\text{return 1}), \text{uncurry0 } (\text{RETURN one-uint32})) \in \text{unit-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{uint32-assn} \rangle$$

(proof)

lemma *sum-uint32-assn[sepref-fr-rules]*:

$$\langle (\text{uncurry } (\text{return oo } (+)), \text{uncurry } (\text{RETURN oo } (+))) \in \text{uint32-assn}^k *_a \text{uint32-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{uint32-assn} \rangle$$

(proof)

lemma *Suc-uint32-nat-assn-hnr*:

$$\langle (\text{return o } (\lambda n. n + 1), \text{RETURN o Suc}) \in [\lambda n. n < \text{uint32-max}]_a \text{uint32-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow \text{uint32-nat-assn} \rangle$$

(proof)

lemma *minus-uint32-assn*:

$$\langle (\text{uncurry } (\text{return oo } (-)), \text{uncurry } (\text{RETURN oo } (-))) \in \text{uint32-assn}^k *_a \text{uint32-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{uint32-assn} \rangle$$

(proof)

lemma *bitAND-uint32-nat-assn[sepref-fr-rules]*:

$$\langle (\text{uncurry } (\text{return oo } (\text{AND})), \text{uncurry } (\text{RETURN oo } (\text{AND}))) \in \text{uint32-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{uint32-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{uint32-nat-assn} \rangle$$

(proof)

lemma *bitAND-uint32-assn[sepref-fr-rules]*:

$$\langle (\text{uncurry } (\text{return oo } (\text{AND})), \text{uncurry } (\text{RETURN oo } (\text{AND}))) \in \text{uint32-assn}^k *_a \text{uint32-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{uint32-assn} \rangle$$

(proof)

lemma *bitOR-uint32-nat-assn[sepref-fr-rules]*:

$$\langle (\text{uncurry } (\text{return oo } (\text{OR})), \text{uncurry } (\text{RETURN oo } (\text{OR}))) \in \text{uint32-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{uint32-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{uint32-nat-assn} \rangle$$

(proof)

lemma *bitOR-uint32-assn[sepref-fr-rules]*:

$$\langle (\text{uncurry } (\text{return oo } (\text{OR})), \text{uncurry } (\text{RETURN oo } (\text{OR}))) \in \text{uint32-assn}^k *_a \text{uint32-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{uint32-assn} \rangle$$

(proof)

lemma *uint32-nat-assn-mult*:

$$\langle (\text{uncurry } (\text{return oo } ((\ast))), \text{uncurry } (\text{RETURN oo } ((\ast)))) \in [\lambda(a, b). a * b \leq \text{uint32-max}]_a \text{uint32-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{uint32-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow \text{uint32-nat-assn} \rangle$$

(proof)

lemma [sepref-fr-rules]:
 $\langle \text{uncurry} (\text{return } oo (\text{div})), \text{uncurry} (\text{RETURN } oo (\text{div})) \rangle \in$
 $\text{uint32-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{uint32-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{uint32-nat-assn}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

64-bits

lemmas [id-rules] =
 $\text{itypeI}[\text{Pure.of 0 TYPE (uint64)}]$
 $\text{itypeI}[\text{Pure.of 1 TYPE (uint64)}]$

lemma param-uint64[param, sepref-import-param]:
 $(0, 0::\text{uint64}) \in Id$
 $(1, 1::\text{uint64}) \in Id$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation uint64-nat-assn :: nat \Rightarrow uint64 \Rightarrow assn **where**
 $\langle \text{uint64-nat-assn} \equiv \text{pure uint64-nat-rel} \rangle$

abbreviation uint64-assn :: $\langle \text{uint64} \Rightarrow \text{uint64} \Rightarrow \text{assn} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{uint64-assn} \equiv \text{id-assn} \rangle$

lemma op-eq-uint64:
 $\langle \text{uncurry} (\text{return } oo ((=) :: \text{uint64} \Rightarrow -)), \text{uncurry} (\text{RETURN } oo (=)) \rangle \in$
 $\text{uint64-assn}^k *_a \text{uint64-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{bool-assn}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma op-eq-uint64-nat[sepref-fr-rules]:
 $\langle \text{uncurry} (\text{return } oo ((=) :: \text{uint64} \Rightarrow -)), \text{uncurry} (\text{RETURN } oo (=)) \rangle \in$
 $\text{uint64-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{bool-assn}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma uint64-nat-assn-zero-uint64-nat[sepref-fr-rules]:
 $\langle \text{uncurry0 } (\text{return 0}), \text{uncurry0 } (\text{RETURN zero-uint64-nat}) \rangle \in \text{unit-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma uint64-nat-assn-plus[sepref-fr-rules]:
 $\langle \text{uncurry} (\text{return } oo (+)), \text{uncurry} (\text{RETURN } oo (+)) \rangle \in [\lambda(m, n). m + n \leq \text{uint64-max}]_a$
 $\text{uint64-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow \text{uint64-nat-assn}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma one-uint64-nat[sepref-fr-rules]:
 $\langle \text{uncurry0 } (\text{return 1}), \text{uncurry0 } (\text{RETURN one-uint64-nat}) \rangle \in \text{unit-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma uint64-nat-assn-less[sepref-fr-rules]:
 $\langle \text{uncurry} (\text{return } oo (<)), \text{uncurry} (\text{RETURN } oo (<)) \rangle \in$
 $\text{uint64-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{bool-assn}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma mult-uint64[sepref-fr-rules]:
 $\langle \text{uncurry} (\text{return } oo (*)), \text{uncurry} (\text{RETURN } oo (*)) \rangle$

```

 $\in \text{uint64-assn}^k *_a \text{uint64-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{uint64-assn}$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma shiftr-uint64[sepref-fr-rules]:
⟨(uncurry (return oo (>>)), uncurry (RETURN oo (>>)))  

 $\in \text{uint64-assn}^k *_a \text{nat-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{uint64-assn}$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

Taken from theory *Native-Word.Uint64*. We use real Word64 instead of the unbounded integer as done by default.

Remark that all this setup is taken from *Native-Word.Uint64*.

```

code-printing code-module Uint64 → (SML) ⟨(* Test that words can handle numbers between 0 and  

63 *)
val - = if 6 <= Word.wordSize then () else raise (Fail (wordSize less than 6));

```

```

structure Uint64 : sig
eqtype uint64;
val zero : uint64;
val one : uint64;
val fromInt : IntInf.int → uint64;
val toInt : uint64 → IntInf.int;
val toFixedInt : uint64 → Int.int;
val toLarge : uint64 → LargeWord.word;
val fromLarge : LargeWord.word → uint64
val fromFixedInt : Int.int → uint64
val plus : uint64 → uint64 → uint64;
val minus : uint64 → uint64 → uint64;
val times : uint64 → uint64 → uint64;
val divide : uint64 → uint64 → uint64;
val modulus : uint64 → uint64 → uint64;
val negate : uint64 → uint64;
val less-eq : uint64 → uint64 → bool;
val less : uint64 → uint64 → bool;
val notb : uint64 → uint64;
val andb : uint64 → uint64 → uint64;
val orb : uint64 → uint64 → uint64;
val xorb : uint64 → uint64 → uint64;
val shiftl : uint64 → IntInf.int → uint64;
val shiftr : uint64 → IntInf.int → uint64;
val shiftr-signed : uint64 → IntInf.int → uint64;
val set-bit : uint64 → IntInf.int → bool → uint64;
val test-bit : uint64 → IntInf.int → bool;
end = struct

```

```

type uint64 = Word64.word;
val zero = (0wx0 : uint64);
val one = (0wx1 : uint64);
fun fromInt x = Word64.fromLargeInt (IntInf.toLarge x);
fun toInt x = IntInf.fromLarge (Word64.toLargeInt x);
fun toFixedInt x = Word64.toInt x;

```

```

fun fromLarge x = Word64.fromLarge x;

fun fromFixedInt x = Word64.fromInt x;

fun toLarge x = Word64.toLarge x;

fun plus x y = Word64.+(x, y);

fun minus x y = Word64.-(x, y);

fun negate x = Word64.~(x);

fun times x y = Word64.*(x, y);

fun divide x y = Word64.div(x, y);

fun modulus x y = Word64.mod(x, y);

fun less-eq x y = Word64.<=(x, y);

fun less x y = Word64.<(x, y);

fun set-bit x n b =
  let val mask = Word64.<< (0wx1, Word.fromLargeInt (IntInf.toInt n))
  in if b then Word64.orb (x, mask)
    else Word64.andb (x, Word64.notb mask)
  end

fun shiftl x n =
  Word64.<< (x, Word.fromLargeInt (IntInf.toInt n))

fun shiftr x n =
  Word64.>> (x, Word.fromLargeInt (IntInf.toInt n))

fun shiftr-signed x n =
  Word64.~>> (x, Word.fromLargeInt (IntInf.toInt n))

fun test-bit x n =
  Word64.andb (x, Word64.<< (0wx1, Word.fromLargeInt (IntInf.toInt n))) <> Word64.fromInt 0

val notb = Word64.notb

fun andb x y = Word64.andb(x, y);

fun orb x y = Word64.orb(x, y);

fun xorb x y = Word64.xorb(x, y);

end (*struct UInt64*)

lemma bitAND-uint64-max-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
  ((uncurry (return oo (AND)), uncurry (RETURN oo (AND)))
  ∈ [λ(a, b). a ≤ uint64-max ∧ b ≤ uint64-max]_a
  uint64-nat-assnk *a uint64-nat-assnk → uint64-nat-assn)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *two-uint64-nat[sepref-fr-rules]*:

$\langle (\text{uncurry0} (\text{return } 2), \text{uncurry0} (\text{RETURN two-uint64-nat}))$
 $\in \text{unit-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{uint64-nat-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bitOR-uint64-max-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]*:

$\langle (\text{uncurry} (\text{return oo (OR)}), \text{uncurry} (\text{RETURN oo (OR)}))$
 $\in [\lambda(a, b). a \leq \text{uint64-max} \wedge b \leq \text{uint64-max}]_a$
 $\text{uint64-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow \text{uint64-nat-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fast-minus-uint64-nat[sepref-fr-rules]*:

$\langle (\text{uncurry} (\text{return oo fast-minus}), \text{uncurry} (\text{RETURN oo fast-minus}))$
 $\in [\lambda(a, b). a \geq b]_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow \text{uint64-nat-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fast-minus-uint64[sepref-fr-rules]*:

$\langle (\text{uncurry} (\text{return oo fast-minus}), \text{uncurry} (\text{RETURN oo fast-minus}))$
 $\in [\lambda(a, b). a \geq b]_a \text{uint64-assn}^k *_a \text{uint64-assn}^k \rightarrow \text{uint64-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *minus-uint64-nat-assn[sepref-fr-rules]*:

$\langle (\text{uncurry} (\text{return oo (-)}), \text{uncurry} (\text{RETURN oo (-)})) \in$
 $[\lambda(a, b). a \geq b]_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow \text{uint64-nat-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *le-uint64-nat-assn-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]*:

$\langle (\text{uncurry} (\text{return oo } \leq), \text{uncurry} (\text{RETURN oo } \leq)) \in \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow_a$
 $\text{bool-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum-mod-uint64-max-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]*:

$\langle (\text{uncurry} (\text{return oo } +), \text{uncurry} (\text{RETURN oo sum-mod-uint64-max}))$
 $\in \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{uint64-nat-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zero-uint64-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]*:

$\langle (\text{uncurry0} (\text{return } 0), \text{uncurry0} (\text{RETURN zero-uint64})) \in \text{unit-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{uint64-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zero-uint32-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]*:

$\langle (\text{uncurry0} (\text{return } 0), \text{uncurry0} (\text{RETURN zero-uint32})) \in \text{unit-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{uint32-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zero-uin64-hnr*: $\langle (\text{uncurry0} (\text{return } 0), \text{uncurry0} (\text{RETURN } 0)) \in \text{unit-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{uint64-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *two-uin64-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]*:

$\langle (\text{uncurry0} (\text{return } 2), \text{uncurry0} (\text{RETURN two-uint64})) \in \text{unit-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{uint64-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *two-uint32-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]*:

$\langle (\text{uncurry0 } (\text{return } 2), \text{uncurry0 } (\text{RETURN two-uint32})) \in \text{unit-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{uint32-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sum-uint64-assn*:

$\langle (\text{uncurry } (\text{return oo } (+)), \text{uncurry } (\text{RETURN oo } (+))) \in \text{uint64-assn}^k *_a \text{uint64-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{uint64-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bitAND-uint64-nat-assn[sepref-fr-rules]*:

$\langle (\text{uncurry } (\text{return oo } (\text{AND})), \text{uncurry } (\text{RETURN oo } (\text{AND}))) \in \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{uint64-nat-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bitAND-uint64-assn[sepref-fr-rules]*:

$\langle (\text{uncurry } (\text{return oo } (\text{AND})), \text{uncurry } (\text{RETURN oo } (\text{AND}))) \in \text{uint64-assn}^k *_a \text{uint64-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{uint64-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bitOR-uint64-nat-assn[sepref-fr-rules]*:

$\langle (\text{uncurry } (\text{return oo } (\text{OR})), \text{uncurry } (\text{RETURN oo } (\text{OR}))) \in \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{uint64-nat-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bitOR-uint64-assn[sepref-fr-rules]*:

$\langle (\text{uncurry } (\text{return oo } (\text{OR})), \text{uncurry } (\text{RETURN oo } (\text{OR}))) \in \text{uint64-assn}^k *_a \text{uint64-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{uint64-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-of-uint64-mult-le*:

$\langle \text{nat-of-uint64 } ai * \text{nat-of-uint64 } bi \leq \text{uint64-max} \implies \text{nat-of-uint64 } (ai * bi) = \text{nat-of-uint64 } ai * \text{nat-of-uint64 } bi \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *uint64-nat-assn-mult*:

$\langle (\text{uncurry } (\text{return oo } ((\ast))), \text{uncurry } (\text{RETURN oo } ((\ast)))) \in [\lambda(a, b). a * b \leq \text{uint64-max}]_a *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow \text{uint64-nat-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *uint64-max-uint64-nat-assn*:

$\langle (\text{uncurry0 } (\text{return } 18446744073709551615), \text{uncurry0 } (\text{RETURN uint64-max})) \in \text{unit-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{uint64-nat-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *uint64-max-nat-assn[sepref-fr-rules]*:

$\langle (\text{uncurry0 } (\text{return } 18446744073709551615), \text{uncurry0 } (\text{RETURN uint64-max})) \in \text{unit-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{nat-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Conversions

From nat to 64 bits **lemma** *uint64-of-nat-conv-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]*:

$\langle (\text{return o uint64-of-nat}, \text{RETURN o uint64-of-nat-conv}) \in [\lambda n. n \leq \text{uint64-max}]_a \text{nat-assn}^k \rightarrow \text{uint64-nat-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

From nat to 32 bits **lemma** *nat-of-uint32-spec-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]*:

$\langle (\text{return o uint32-of-nat}, \text{RETURN o nat-of-uint32-spec}) \in$

$[\lambda n. n \leq \text{uint32-max}]_a \text{ nat-assn}^k \rightarrow \text{uint32-nat-assn}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

From 64 to nat bits **lemma** *nat-of-uint64-conv-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]*:
 $\langle (\text{return } o \text{ nat-of-uint64}, \text{RETURN } o \text{ nat-of-uint64-conv}) \in \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{nat-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-of-uint64[sepref-fr-rules]*:
 $\langle (\text{return } o \text{ nat-of-uint64}, \text{RETURN } o \text{ nat-of-uint64}) \in$
 $(\text{uint64-assn})^k \rightarrow_a \text{nat-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

From 32 to nat bits **lemma** *nat-of-uint32-conv-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]*:
 $\langle (\text{return } o \text{ nat-of-uint32}, \text{RETURN } o \text{ nat-of-uint32-conv}) \in \text{uint32-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{nat-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *convert-to-uint32-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]*:
 $\langle (\text{return } o \text{ uint32-of-nat}, \text{RETURN } o \text{ convert-to-uint32}) \in$
 $[\lambda n. n \leq \text{uint32-max}]_a \text{ nat-assn}^k \rightarrow \text{uint32-nat-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

From 32 to 64 bits **lemma** *uint64-of-uint32-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]*:
 $\langle (\text{return } o \text{ uint64-of-uint32}, \text{RETURN } o \text{ uint64-of-uint32}) \in \text{uint32-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{uint64-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *uint64-of-uint32-conv-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]*:
 $\langle (\text{return } o \text{ uint64-of-uint32}, \text{RETURN } o \text{ uint64-of-uint32-conv}) \in$
 $\text{uint32-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{uint64-nat-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

From 64 to 32 bits **lemma** *uint32-of-uint64-conv-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]*:
 $\langle (\text{return } o \text{ uint32-of-uint64}, \text{RETURN } o \text{ uint32-of-uint64-conv}) \in$
 $[\lambda a. a \leq \text{uint32-max}]_a \text{ uint64-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow \text{uint32-nat-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

From nat to 32 bits **lemma** *(in -) uint32-of-nat[sepref-fr-rules]*:
 $\langle (\text{return } o \text{ uint32-of-nat}, \text{RETURN } o \text{ uint32-of-nat}) \in [\lambda n. n \leq \text{uint32-max}]_a \text{ nat-assn}^k \rightarrow \text{uint32-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Setup for numerals The refinement framework still defaults to *nat*, making the constants like *two-uint32-nat* still useful, but they can be omitted in some cases: For example, in $(2::'a)$ + n , 2 will be refined to *nat* (independently of n). However, if the expression is n + $(2::'a)$ and if n is refined to *uint32*, then everything will work as one might expect.

lemmas [*id-rules*] =
 $\text{itypeI}[\text{Pure.of numeral TYPE (num} \Rightarrow \text{uint32)}]$
 $\text{itypeI}[\text{Pure.of numeral TYPE (num} \Rightarrow \text{uint64)}]$

lemma *id-uint32-const[id-rules]*: $(\text{PR-CONST } (a::\text{uint32})) ::_i \text{TYPE}(\text{uint32})$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
lemma *id-uint64-const[id-rules]*: $(\text{PR-CONST } (a::\text{uint64})) ::_i \text{TYPE}(\text{uint64})$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *param-uint32-numeral[sepref-import-param]*:
 $\langle (\text{numeral } n, \text{numeral } n) \in \text{uint32-rel} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma param-uint64-numeral[sepref-import-param]:
  ⟨numeral n, numeral n⟩ ∈ uint64-rel
  ⟨proof⟩

locale nat-of-uint64-loc =
  fixes n :: num
  assumes le-uint64-max: ⟨numeral n ≤ uint64-max⟩
begin

definition nat-of-uint64-numeral :: nat where
  [simp]: ⟨nat-of-uint64-numeral = (numeral n)⟩

definition nat-of-uint64 :: uint64 where
  [simp]: ⟨nat-of-uint64 = (numeral n)⟩

lemma nat-of-uint64-numeral-hnr:
  ⟨(uncurry0 (return nat-of-uint64), uncurry0 (PR-CONST (RETURN nat-of-uint64-numeral))) ∈ unit-assnk →a uint64-nat-assn⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
sepref-register nat-of-uint64-numeral
end

lemma (in −) [sepref-fr-rules]:
  ⟨CONSTRAINT (λn. numeral n ≤ uint64-max) n ⇒
  (uncurry0 (return (nat-of-uint64-loc.nat-of-uint64 n)), uncurry0 (RETURN (PR-CONST (nat-of-uint64-loc.nat-of-uint64-numeral n)))) ∈ unit-assnk →a uint64-nat-assn)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma uint32-max-uint32-nat-assn:
  ⟨(uncurry0 (return 4294967295), uncurry0 (RETURN uint32-max)) ∈ unit-assnk →a uint32-nat-assn)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma minus-uint64-assn:
  ⟨(uncurry (return oo (−)), uncurry (RETURN oo (−))) ∈ uint64-assnk *a uint64-assnk →a uint64-assn)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma uint32-of-nat-uint32-nat-assn[sepref-fr-rules]:
  ⟨(return o id, RETURN o uint32-of-nat) ∈ uint32-nat-assnk →a uint32-assn)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma uint32-of-nat2[sepref-fr-rules]:
  ⟨(return o uint32-of-uint64, RETURN o uint32-of-nat) ∈
  [λn. n ≤ uint32-max]a uint64-nat-assnk → uint32-assn)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma three-uint32-hnr:
  ⟨(uncurry0 (return 3), uncurry0 (RETURN (three-uint32 :: uint32))) ∈ unit-assnk →a uint32-assn)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-of-uint64-id-conv-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
  ⟨(return o id, RETURN o nat-of-uint64-id-conv) ∈ uint64-assnk →a uint64-nat-assn)
  
```

```

⟨proof⟩

end
theory Array-UInt
  imports Array-List-Array WB-Word-Assn WB-More-Refinement-List
begin

hide-const Autoref-Fix-Rel.CONSTRAINT

lemma convert-fref:
  WB-More-Refinement.fref = Sepref-Rules.fref
  WB-More-Refinement.freft = Sepref-Rules.freft
⟨proof⟩

```

0.1.6 More about general arrays

This function does not resize the array: this makes sense for our purpose, but may be not in general.

```

definition butlast-arl where
  ⟨butlast-arl = ( $\lambda(xs, i). (xs, \text{fast-minus } i \ 1)$ )⟩

lemma butlast-arl-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
  ⟨(return o butlast-arl, RETURN o butlast) ∈ [ $\lambda xs. xs \neq []$ ]_a (arl-assn A)^d → arl-assn A)⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

0.1.7 Setup for array accesses via unsigned integer

NB: not all code printing equation are defined here, but this is needed to use the (more efficient) array operation by avoid the conversions back and forth to infinite integer.

Getters (Array accesses)

```

32-bit unsigned integers definition nth-aa-u where
  ⟨nth-aa-u x L L' = nth-aa x (nat-of-uint32 L) L'⟩

```

```

definition nth-aa' where
  ⟨nth-aa' xs i j = do {
    x ← Array.nth' xs i;
    y ← arl-get x j;
    return y}⟩

lemma nth-aa-u[code]:
  ⟨nth-aa-u x L L' = nth-aa' x (integer-of-uint32 L) L'⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma nth-aa-uint-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
  fixes R :: ⟨ $\dashv \Rightarrow \dashv \Rightarrow \text{assn}$ ⟩
  assumes ⟨CONSTRAINT Sepref-Basic.is-pure R⟩
  shows
  ⟨(uncurry2 nth-aa-u, uncurry2 (RETURN ooo nth-rll)) ∈
    [ $\lambda((x, L), L'). L < \text{length } x \wedge L' < \text{length } (x ! L)$ ]_a
    (arrayO-assn (arl-assn R))^k *a uint32-nat-assnk *a nat-assnk → R)⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition nth-raa-u where
  ⟨nth-raa-u x L = nth-raa x (nat-of-uint32 L)⟩

lemma nth-raa-uint-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
  assumes p: ⟨is-pure R⟩
  shows
    ⟨(uncurry2 nth-raa-u, uncurry2 (RETURN ooo nth-rll)) ∈
     [λ((l,i),j). i < length l ∧ j < length-rll l i]a
      (arlO-assn (array-assn R))k *a uint32-nat-assnk *a nat-assnk → R⟩
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma array-replicate-custom-hnr-u[sepref-fr-rules]:
  ⟨CONSTRAINT is-pure A ==>
   (uncurry (λn. Array.new (nat-of-uint32 n)), uncurry (RETURN oo op-array-replicate)) ∈
   uint32-nat-assnk *a Ak →a array-assn A)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition nth-u where
  ⟨nth-u xs n = nth xs (nat-of-uint32 n)⟩

definition nth-u-code where
  ⟨nth-u-code xs n = Array.nth' xs (integer-of-uint32 n)⟩

lemma nth-u-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
  assumes ⟨CONSTRAINT is-pure A⟩
  shows ⟨(uncurry nth-u-code, uncurry (RETURN oo nth-u)) ∈
   [λ(xs, n). nat-of-uint32 n < length xs]a (array-assn A)k *a uint32-assnk → A⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma array-get-hnr-u[sepref-fr-rules]:
  assumes ⟨CONSTRAINT is-pure A⟩
  shows ⟨(uncurry nth-u-code,
   uncurry (RETURN oo op-list-get)) ∈ [pre-list-get]a (array-assn A)k *a uint32-nat-assnk → A)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

definition arl-get' :: 'a::heap array-list ⇒ integer ⇒ 'a Heap where
  [code del]: arl-get' a i = arl-get a (nat-of-integer i)

definition arl-get-u :: 'a::heap array-list ⇒ uint32 ⇒ 'a Heap where
  arl-get-u ≡ λa i. arl-get' a (integer-of-uint32 i)

lemma arrayO-arl-get-u-rule[sep-heap-rules]:
  assumes i: ⟨i < length a⟩ and ⟨(i', i) ∈ uint32-nat-rel⟩
  shows ⟨⟨arlO-assn (array-assn R) a ai⟩ arl-get-u ai i' <λr. arlO-assn-except (array-assn R) [i] a ai
   (λr'. array-assn R (a ! i) r * ↑(r = r' ! i))⟩⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

definition arl-get-u' where
  [symmetric, code]: ⟨arl-get-u' = arl-get-u⟩

code-printing constant arl-get-u' → (SML) (fn/ ()/ =>/ Array.sub/ (fst (-),/ Word32.toInt (-)))

```

lemma *arl-get'-nth'*[*code*]: $\langle \text{arl-get}' = (\lambda(a, n). \text{Array.nth}' a) \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *arl-get-hnr-u*[*sepref-fr-rules*]:
assumes *CONSTRRAINT is-pure A*
shows $\langle (\text{uncurry arl-get-u}, \text{uncurry} (\text{RETURN} \circ\circ \text{op-list-get}))$
 $\in [\text{pre-list-get}]_a (\text{arl-assn } A)^k *_a \text{uint32-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow A \rangle$
(proof)

definition *nth-rll-nu* **where**
 $\langle \text{nth-rll-nu} = \text{nth-rll} \rangle$

definition *nth-raa-u'* **where**
 $\langle \text{nth-raa-u}' xs x L = \text{nth-raa xs x (nat-of-uint32 L)} \rangle$

lemma *nth-raa-u'-uint-hnr*[*sepref-fr-rules*]:
assumes *p: is-pure R*
shows
 $\langle (\text{uncurry2 nth-raa-u}', \text{uncurry2} (\text{RETURN} \circ\circ\circ \text{nth-rll})) \in$
 $[\lambda((l,i),j). i < \text{length } l \wedge j < \text{length-rll } l i]_a$
 $(\text{arlO-assn } (\text{array-assn } R))^k *_a \text{nat-assn}^k *_a \text{uint32-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow R \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *nth-nat-of-uint32-nth'*: $\langle \text{Array.nth } x (\text{nat-of-uint32 } L) = \text{Array.nth}' x (\text{integer-of-uint32 } L) \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *nth-aa-u-code*[*code*]:
 $\langle \text{nth-aa-u } x L L' = \text{nth-u-code } x L \geqslant (\lambda x. \text{arl-get } x L' \geqslant \text{return}) \rangle$
(proof)

definition *nth-aa-i64-u32* **where**
 $\langle \text{nth-aa-i64-u32 xs x L} = \text{nth-aa xs (nat-of-uint64 x) (nat-of-uint32 L)} \rangle$

lemma *nth-aa-i64-u32-hnr*[*sepref-fr-rules*]:
assumes *p: is-pure R*
shows
 $\langle (\text{uncurry2 nth-aa-i64-u32}, \text{uncurry2} (\text{RETURN} \circ\circ\circ \text{nth-rll})) \in$
 $[\lambda((l,i),j). i < \text{length } l \wedge j < \text{length-rll } l i]_a$
 $(\text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl-assn } R))^k *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{uint32-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow R \rangle$
(proof)

definition *nth-aa-i64-u64* **where**
 $\langle \text{nth-aa-i64-u64 xs x L} = \text{nth-aa xs (nat-of-uint64 x) (nat-of-uint64 L)} \rangle$

lemma *nth-aa-i64-u64-hnr*[*sepref-fr-rules*]:
assumes *p: is-pure R*
shows
 $\langle (\text{uncurry2 nth-aa-i64-u64}, \text{uncurry2} (\text{RETURN} \circ\circ\circ \text{nth-rll})) \in$
 $[\lambda((l,i),j). i < \text{length } l \wedge j < \text{length-rll } l i]_a$
 $(\text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl-assn } R))^k *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow R \rangle$
(proof)

definition *nth-aa-i32-u64* **where**
 $\langle \text{nth-aa-i32-u64 xs x L} = \text{nth-aa xs (nat-of-uint32 x) (nat-of-uint64 L)} \rangle$

lemma *nth-aa-i32-u64-hnr*[sepref-fr-rules]:
assumes $p: \langle \text{is-pure } R \rangle$
shows
 $\langle (\text{uncurry2 } \text{nth-aa-i32-u64}, \text{ uncurry2 } (\text{RETURN} \circ\circ\circ \text{nth-rll})) \in$
 $[\lambda((l,i),j). i < \text{length } l \wedge j < \text{length-rll } l \ i]_a$
 $(\text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl-assn } R))^k *_a \text{uint32-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow R \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

64-bit unsigned integers definition nth-u64 where
 $\langle \text{nth-u64 xs n} = \text{nth xs} (\text{nat-of-uint64 n}) \rangle$

definition *nth-u64-code* **where**
 $\langle \text{nth-u64-code xs n} = \text{Array.nth}' \text{xs} (\text{integer-of-uint64 n}) \rangle$

lemma *nth-u64-hnr*[sepref-fr-rules]:
assumes $\langle \text{CONSTRAINT is-pure A} \rangle$
shows $\langle (\text{uncurry nth-u64-code}, \text{ uncurry } (\text{RETURN oo nth-u64})) \in$
 $[\lambda(xs, n). \text{nat-of-uint64 n} < \text{length xs}]_a (\text{array-assn A})^k *_a \text{uint64-assn}^k \rightarrow A \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *array-get-hnr-u64*[sepref-fr-rules]:
assumes $\langle \text{CONSTRAINT is-pure A} \rangle$
shows $\langle (\text{uncurry nth-u64-code},$
 $\text{ uncurry } (\text{RETURN} \circ\circ \text{op-list-get})) \in [\text{pre-list-get}]_a (\text{array-assn A})^k *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow A \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Setters

32-bits definition heap-array-set'-u where
 $\langle \text{heap-array-set}'-u a i x = \text{Array.upd}' \text{a} (\text{integer-of-uint32 i}) \ x \rangle$

definition *heap-array-set-u* **where**
 $\langle \text{heap-array-set-u a i x} = \text{heap-array-set}'-u \text{a i x} \gg \text{return a} \rangle$

lemma *array-set-hnr-u*[sepref-fr-rules]:
 $\langle \text{CONSTRAINT is-pure A} \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{uncurry2 heap-array-set-u}, \text{ uncurry2 } (\text{RETURN} \circ\circ\circ \text{op-list-set})) \in$
 $[\text{pre-list-set}]_a (\text{array-assn A})^d *_a \text{uint32-nat-assn}^k *_a A^k \rightarrow \text{array-assn A} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *update-aa-u* **where**
 $\langle \text{update-aa-u xs i j} = \text{update-aa xs} (\text{nat-of-uint32 i}) \ j \rangle$

lemma *Array-upd-upd'*: $\langle \text{Array.upd i x a} = \text{Array.upd}' \text{a} (\text{of-nat i}) \ x \gg \text{return a} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *Array-upd-u* **where**
 $\langle \text{Array-upd-u i x a} = \text{Array.upd} (\text{nat-of-uint32 i}) \ x \ a \rangle$

lemma *Array-upd-u-code*[code]: $\langle \text{Array-upd-u i x a} = \text{heap-array-set}'-u \text{a i x} \gg \text{return a} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *update-aa-u-code*[code]:
 $\langle \text{update-aa-u a i j y} = \text{do} \{$
 $x \leftarrow \text{nth-u-code a i};$

```

 $a' \leftarrow arl\text{-}set\ x\ j\ y;$ 
 $\text{Array}\text{-}upd\text{-}u\ i\ a'\ a$ 
 $\}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

definition $arl\text{-}set'\text{-}u$ **where**
 $\langle arl\text{-}set'\text{-}u\ a\ i\ x = arl\text{-}set\ a\ (\text{nat-of-uint32}\ i)\ x \rangle$

definition $arl\text{-}set\text{-}u :: ('a::\text{heap array-list} \Rightarrow \text{uint32} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ array-list Heap}) \text{ where}$
 $\langle arl\text{-}set\text{-}u\ a\ i\ x = arl\text{-}set'\text{-}u\ a\ i\ x \rangle$

lemma $arl\text{-}set\text{-}hn\text{-}u[\text{sepref-fr-rules}]$:
 $\langle \text{CONSTRAINT is-pure } A \implies$
 $(\text{uncurry2 } arl\text{-}set\text{-}u, \text{uncurry2 } (\text{RETURN ooo op-list-set})) \in$
 $[\text{pre-list-set}]_a (arl\text{-}assn\ A)^d *_a \text{uint32-nat-assn}^k *_a A^k \rightarrow arl\text{-}assn\ A \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

64-bits definition $heap\text{-}array\text{-}set'\text{-}u64$ **where**
 $\langle heap\text{-}array\text{-}set'\text{-}u64\ a\ i\ x = \text{Array.upd}'\ a\ (\text{integer-of-uint64}\ i)\ x \rangle$

definition $heap\text{-}array\text{-}set\text{-}u64$ **where**
 $\langle heap\text{-}array\text{-}set\text{-}u64\ a\ i\ x = heap\text{-}array\text{-}set'\text{-}u64\ a\ i\ x \gg \text{return } a \rangle$

lemma $array\text{-}set\text{-}hn\text{-}u64[\text{sepref-fr-rules}]$:
 $\langle \text{CONSTRAINT is-pure } A \implies$
 $(\text{uncurry2 } heap\text{-}array\text{-}set\text{-}u64, \text{uncurry2 } (\text{RETURN ooo op-list-set})) \in$
 $[\text{pre-list-set}]_a (\text{array-assn}\ A)^d *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k *_a A^k \rightarrow \text{array-assn}\ A \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $arl\text{-}set'\text{-}u64$ **where**
 $\langle arl\text{-}set'\text{-}u64\ a\ i\ x = arl\text{-}set\ a\ (\text{nat-of-uint64}\ i)\ x \rangle$

definition $arl\text{-}set\text{-}u64 :: ('a::\text{heap array-list} \Rightarrow \text{uint64} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ array-list Heap}) \text{ where}$
 $\langle arl\text{-}set\text{-}u64\ a\ i\ x = arl\text{-}set'\text{-}u64\ a\ i\ x \rangle$

lemma $arl\text{-}set\text{-}hn\text{-}u64[\text{sepref-fr-rules}]$:
 $\langle \text{CONSTRAINT is-pure } A \implies$
 $(\text{uncurry2 } arl\text{-}set\text{-}u64, \text{uncurry2 } (\text{RETURN ooo op-list-set})) \in$
 $[\text{pre-list-set}]_a (arl\text{-}assn\ A)^d *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k *_a A^k \rightarrow arl\text{-}assn\ A \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $nth\text{-}nat\text{-}of\text{-}uint64\text{-}nth' :: \langle \text{Array.nth}\ x\ (\text{nat-of-uint64}\ L) = \text{Array.nth}'\ x\ (\text{integer-of-uint64}\ L) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $nth\text{-}raa\text{-}i\text{-}u64$ **where**
 $\langle nth\text{-}raa\text{-}i\text{-}u64\ x\ L\ L' = nth\text{-}raa\ x\ L\ (\text{nat-of-uint64}\ L') \rangle$

lemma $nth\text{-}raa\text{-}i\text{-}uint64\text{-}hn\text{-}nr[\text{sepref-fr-rules}]$:
assumes $p: \langle \text{is-pure } R \rangle$
shows
 $\langle (\text{uncurry2 } nth\text{-}raa\text{-}i\text{-}u64, \text{uncurry2 } (\text{RETURN ooo nth-rll})) \in$
 $[\lambda((l,i),j). i < \text{length } l \wedge j < \text{length-rll } l\ i]_a$
 $(arlO\text{-}assn\ (\text{array-assn}\ R))^k *_a \text{nat-assn}^k *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow R \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```
definition arl-get-u64 :: 'a::heap array-list  $\Rightarrow$  uint64  $\Rightarrow$  'a Heap where
  arl-get-u64  $\equiv$   $\lambda a\ i.$  arl-get' a (integer-of-uint64 i)
```

```
lemma arl-get-hnr-u64[sepref-fr-rules]:
  assumes CONSTRAINT is-pure A
  shows  $\langle(\text{uncurry arl-get-u64}, \text{uncurry} (\text{RETURN } \circ\circ \text{op-list-get}))$ 
     $\in [\text{pre-list-get}]_a (\text{arl-assn } A)^k *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow A$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition nth-raa-u64' where
  ⟨nth-raa-u64' xs x L = nth-raa xs x (nat-of-uint64 L)⟩
```

```
lemma nth-raa-u64'-uint-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
  assumes p: ⟨is-pure R⟩
  shows
     $\langle(\text{uncurry2 nth-raa-u64}', \text{uncurry2} (\text{RETURN } \circ\circ\circ \text{nth-rll})) \in$ 
       $[\lambda((l,i),j). i < \text{length } l \wedge j < \text{length-rll } l\ i]_a$ 
       $(\text{arlO-assn } (\text{array-assn } R))^k *_a \text{nat-assn}^k *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow R$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition nth-raa-u64 where
  ⟨nth-raa-u64 x L = nth-raa x (nat-of-uint64 L)⟩
```

```
lemma nth-raa-uint64-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
  assumes p: ⟨is-pure R⟩
  shows
     $\langle(\text{uncurry2 nth-raa-u64}, \text{uncurry2} (\text{RETURN } \circ\circ\circ \text{nth-rll})) \in$ 
       $[\lambda((l,i),j). i < \text{length } l \wedge j < \text{length-rll } l\ i]_a$ 
       $(\text{arlO-assn } (\text{array-assn } R))^k *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{nat-assn}^k \rightarrow R$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition nth-raa-u64-u64 where
  ⟨nth-raa-u64-u64 x L L' = nth-raa x (nat-of-uint64 L) (nat-of-uint64 L')⟩
```

```
lemma nth-raa-uint64-uint64-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
  assumes p: ⟨is-pure R⟩
  shows
     $\langle(\text{uncurry2 nth-raa-u64-u64}, \text{uncurry2} (\text{RETURN } \circ\circ\circ \text{nth-rll})) \in$ 
       $[\lambda((l,i),j). i < \text{length } l \wedge j < \text{length-rll } l\ i]_a$ 
       $(\text{arlO-assn } (\text{array-assn } R))^k *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow R$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma heap-array-set-u64-upd:
  ⟨heap-array-set-u64 x j xi = Array.upd (nat-of-uint64 j) xi x  $\gg=$  ( $\lambda x a.$  return x)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
```

Append (32 bit integers only)

```
definition append-el-aa-u' :: ('a:{default,heap} array-list) array  $\Rightarrow$ 
  uint32  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  ('a array-list) array Heap where
```

$\text{append-el-aa-u}' \equiv \lambda a i x.$
 $\text{Array.nth}' a (\text{integer-of-uint32 } i) \gg=$
 $(\lambda j. \text{arl-append } j x \gg=$
 $(\lambda a'. \text{Array.upd}' a (\text{integer-of-uint32 } i) a' \gg= (\lambda -. \text{return } a)))$

lemma $\text{append-el-aa-append-el-aa-u}':$
 $\langle \text{append-el-aa } xs (\text{nat-of-uint32 } i) j = \text{append-el-aa-u}' xs i j \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{append-aa-hnr-u}:$
fixes $R :: \langle 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \{\text{heap}, \text{default}\} \Rightarrow \text{assn} \rangle$
assumes $p: \langle \text{is-pure } R \rangle$
shows
 $\langle (\text{uncurry2 } (\lambda xs i. \text{append-el-aa } xs (\text{nat-of-uint32 } i)), \text{uncurry2 } (\text{RETURN } \circ\circ\circ (\lambda xs i. \text{append-ll } xs (\text{nat-of-uint32 } i)))) \in$
 $[\lambda((l,i),x). \text{nat-of-uint32 } i < \text{length } l]_a (\text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl-assn } R))^d *_a \text{uint32-assn}^k *_a R^k \rightarrow$
 $(\text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl-assn } R)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{append-el-aa-hnr}'[\text{sepref-fr-rules}]:$
shows $\langle (\text{uncurry2 } \text{append-el-aa-u}', \text{uncurry2 } (\text{RETURN } ooo \text{ append-ll}))$
 $\in [\lambda((W,L), j). L < \text{length } W]_a$
 $(\text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl-assn } \text{nat-assn}))^d *_a \text{uint32-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{nat-assn}^k \rightarrow (\text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl-assn } \text{nat-assn})) \rangle$
(is $\langle ?a \in [\text{?pre}]_a \text{ ?init} \rightarrow \text{?post} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{append-el-aa-uint32-hnr}'[\text{sepref-fr-rules}]:$
assumes $\langle \text{CONSTRAINT is-pure } R \rangle$
shows $\langle (\text{uncurry2 } \text{append-el-aa-u}', \text{uncurry2 } (\text{RETURN } ooo \text{ append-ll}))$
 $\in [\lambda((W,L), j). L < \text{length } W]_a$
 $(\text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl-assn } R))^d *_a \text{uint32-nat-assn}^k *_a R^k \rightarrow$
 $(\text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl-assn } R)) \rangle$
(is $\langle ?a \in [\text{?pre}]_a \text{ ?init} \rightarrow \text{?post} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{append-el-aa-u}'\text{-code}[code]:$
 $\text{append-el-aa-u}' = (\lambda a i x. \text{nth-u-code } a i \gg=$
 $(\lambda j. \text{arl-append } j x \gg=$
 $(\lambda a'. \text{heap-array-set}' u a i a' \gg= (\lambda -. \text{return } a))))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition update-raa-u32 **where**
 $\text{update-raa-u32 } a i j y = \text{do } \{$
 $x \leftarrow \text{arl-get-u } a i;$
 $\text{Array.upd } j y x \gg= \text{arl-set-u } a i$
 $\}$

lemma $\text{update-raa-u32-rule}[\text{sep-heap-rules}]:$
assumes $p: \langle \text{is-pure } R \rangle$ **and** $\langle bb < \text{length } a \rangle$ **and** $\langle ba < \text{length-rll } a bb \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle (bb', bb) \in \text{uint32-nat-rel} \rangle$
shows $\langle \langle R b bi * \text{arlO-assn } (\text{array-assn } R) a ai \rangle \text{ update-raa-u32 } ai bb' ba bi$

$\langle \lambda r. R b bi * (\exists_A x. arlO-assn (array-assn R) x r * \uparrow (x = update-rll a bb ba b)) \rangle_t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma update-raa-u32-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
  assumes ⟨is-pure R⟩
  shows ⟨(uncurry3 update-raa-u32, uncurry3 (RETURN oooo update-rll)) ∈
    [λ(((l,i), j), x). i < length l ∧ j < length-rll l i]_a (arlO-assn (array-assn R))^d *_a uint32-nat-assn^k
    *_a nat-assn^k *_a R^k → (arlO-assn (array-assn R))⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma update-aa-u-rule[sep-heap-rules]:
  assumes p: ⟨is-pure R⟩ and ⟨bb < length a⟩ and ⟨ba < length-ll a bb⟩ and ⟨(bb', bb) ∈ uint32-nat-rel
  shows ⟨<R b bi * arrayO-assn (arl-assn R) a ai> update-aa-u ai bb' ba bi
    <λr. R b bi * (exists_A x. arrayO-assn (arl-assn R) x r * uparrow (x = update-ll a bb ba b))⟩_t
    solve-direct
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma update-aa-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
  assumes ⟨is-pure R⟩
  shows ⟨(uncurry3 update-aa-u, uncurry3 (RETURN oooo update-ll)) ∈
    [λ(((l,i), j), x). i < length l ∧ j < length-ll l i]_a
    (arrayO-assn (arl-assn R))^d *_a uint32-nat-assn^k *_a nat-assn^k *_a R^k → (arrayO-assn (arl-assn R))⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Length

32-bits definition (in -)length-u-code where
 $\langle length-u-code C = do \{ n \leftarrow Array.len C; return (uint32-of-nat n) \} \rangle$

lemma (in -)length-u-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
 $\langle (length-u-code, RETURN o length-uint32-nat) \in [\lambda C. length C \leq uint32-max]_a (array-assn R)^k \rightarrow$
 $uint32-nat-assn \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition length-arl-u-code :: ⟨('a::heap) array-list ⇒ uint32 Heap⟩ where
 $\langle length-arl-u-code xs = do \{$
 $n \leftarrow arl-length xs;$
 $return (uint32-of-nat n) \} \rangle$

lemma length-arl-u-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
 $\langle (length-arl-u-code, RETURN o length-uint32-nat) \in$
 $[\lambda xs. length xs \leq uint32-max]_a (arl-assn R)^k \rightarrow uint32-nat-assn \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

64-bits definition (in -)length-u64-code where
 $\langle length-u64-code C = do \{ n \leftarrow Array.len C; return (uint64-of-nat n) \} \rangle$

lemma (in -)length-u64-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
 $\langle (length-u64-code, RETURN o length-uint64-nat)$
 $\in [\lambda C. length C \leq uint64-max]_a (array-assn R)^k \rightarrow uint64-nat-assn \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Length for arrays in arrays

32-bits definition (in -)length-aa-u :: (('a::heap array-list) array \Rightarrow uint32 \Rightarrow nat Heap) where
 $\langle \text{length-aa-u } xs\ i = \text{length-aa } xs\ (\text{nat-of-uint32 } i) \rangle$

lemma length-aa-u-code[code]:

$\langle \text{length-aa-u } xs\ i = \text{nth-u-code } xs\ i \gg= \text{arl-length} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma length-aa-u-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]: $\langle (\text{uncurry length-aa-u}, \text{uncurry} (\text{RETURN} \circ \text{length-ll})) \in [\lambda(xs, i). i < \text{length } xs]_a (\text{arrayO-assn} (\text{arl-assn } R))^k *_a \text{uint32-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow \text{nat-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition length-raa-u :: ('a::heap arrayO-raa \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow uint32 Heap) where
 $\langle \text{length-raa-u } xs\ i = \text{do } \{$
 $x \leftarrow \text{arl-get } xs\ i;$
 $\text{length-u-code } x\} \rangle$

lemma length-raa-u-alt-def: $\langle \text{length-raa-u } xs\ i = \text{do } \{$
 $n \leftarrow \text{length-raa } xs\ i;$
 $\text{return } (\text{uint32-of-nat } n)\} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition length-rll-n-uint32 where
 $[\text{simp}]: \langle \text{length-rll-n-uint32} = \text{length-rll} \rangle$

lemma length-raa-rule[sep-heap-rules]:

$\langle b < \text{length } xs \implies \langle \text{arlO-assn} (\text{array-assn } R) \ xs\ a \rangle \text{length-raa-u } a\ b$
 $\langle \lambda r. \text{arlO-assn} (\text{array-assn } R) \ xs\ a * \uparrow (r = \text{uint32-of-nat} (\text{length-rll } xs\ b)) \rangle_t \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma length-raa-u-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:

shows $\langle (\text{uncurry length-raa-u}, \text{uncurry} (\text{RETURN} \circ \text{length-rll-n-uint32})) \in [\lambda(xs, i). i < \text{length } xs \wedge \text{length } (xs ! i) \leq \text{uint32-max}]_a (\text{arlO-assn} (\text{array-assn } R))^k *_a \text{nat-assn}^k \rightarrow \text{uint32-nat-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

TODO: proper fix to avoid the conversion to uint32

definition length-aa-u-code :: (('a::heap array) array-list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow uint32 Heap) where
 $\langle \text{length-aa-u-code } xs\ i = \text{do } \{$
 $n \leftarrow \text{length-raa } xs\ i;$
 $\text{return } (\text{uint32-of-nat } n)\} \rangle$

64-bits definition (in -)length-aa-u64 :: (('a::heap array-list) array \Rightarrow uint64 \Rightarrow nat Heap) where
 $\langle \text{length-aa-u64 } xs\ i = \text{length-aa } xs\ (\text{nat-of-uint64 } i) \rangle$

lemma length-aa-u64-code[code]:

$\langle \text{length-aa-u64 } xs\ i = \text{nth-u64-code } xs\ i \gg= \text{arl-length} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma length-aa-u64-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]: $\langle (\text{uncurry length-aa-u64}, \text{uncurry} (\text{RETURN} \circ \text{length-ll})) \in [\lambda(xs, i). i < \text{length } xs]_a (\text{arrayO-assn} (\text{arl-assn } R))^k *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow \text{nat-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition length-raa-u64 :: ('a::heap arrayO-raa \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow uint64 Heap) where

```

⟨length-raa-u64 xs i = do {
  x ← arl-get xs i;
  length-u64-code x⟩

lemma length-raa-u64-alt-def: ⟨length-raa-u64 xs i = do {
  n ← length-raa xs i;
  return (uint64-of-nat n)⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition length-rll-n-uint64 where
[simp]: ⟨length-rll-n-uint64 = length-rll⟩

```

```

lemma length-raa-u64-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
shows ⟨(uncurry length-raa-u64, uncurry (RETURN oo length-rll-n-uint64)) ∈
[λ(xs, i). i < length xs ∧ length (xs ! i) ≤ uint64-max]a
(arlO-assn (array-assn R))k *a nat-assnk → uint64-nat-assn⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

Delete at index

```

definition delete-index-and-swap-aa where
⟨delete-index-and-swap-aa xs i j = do {
  x ← last-aa xs i;
  xs ← update-aa xs i j x;
  set-butlast-aa xs i
}⟩

```

```

lemma delete-index-and-swap-aa-ll-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
assumes is-pure R
shows ⟨(uncurry2 delete-index-and-swap-aa, uncurry2 (RETURN ooo delete-index-and-swap-ll))
∈ [λ((l,i), j). i < length l ∧ j < length-ll l i]a (arrayO-assn (arl-assn R))d *a nat-assnk *a nat-assnk
→ (arrayO-assn (arl-assn R))⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

Last (arrays of arrays)

```

definition last-aa-u where
⟨last-aa-u xs i = last-aa xs (nat-of-uint32 i)⟩

```

```

lemma last-aa-u-code[code]:
⟨last-aa-u xs i = nth-u-code xs i ⟷ arl-last⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma length-delete-index-and-swap-ll[simp]:
⟨length (delete-index-and-swap-ll s i j) = length s⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition set-butlast-aa-u where
⟨set-butlast-aa-u xs i = set-butlast-aa xs (nat-of-uint32 i)⟩

```

```

lemma set-butlast-aa-u-code[code]:
⟨set-butlast-aa-u a i = do {
  x ← nth-u-code a i;
  a' ← arl-butlast x;
  a'⟩

```

Array-upd-u i a' a
 } \triangleright — Replace the i -th element by the itself execpt the last element.
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *delete-index-and-swap-aa-u* **where**
 $\langle delete\text{-}index\text{-}and\text{-}swap\text{-}aa\text{-}u\ xs\ i = delete\text{-}index\text{-}and\text{-}swap\text{-}aa\ xs\ (nat\text{-}of\text{-}uint32\ i) \rangle$

lemma *delete-index-and-swap-aa-u-code[code]*:
 $\langle delete\text{-}index\text{-}and\text{-}swap\text{-}aa\text{-}u\ xs\ i\ j = do\ \{$
 $x \leftarrow last\text{-}aa\text{-}u\ xs\ i;$
 $xs \leftarrow update\text{-}aa\text{-}u\ xs\ i\ j\ x;$
 $set\text{-}butlast\text{-}aa\text{-}u\ xs\ i$
 } \triangleright
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *delete-index-and-swap-aa-ll-hnr-u[sepref-fr-rules]*:
assumes *is-pure R*
shows $\langle (uncurry2\ delete\text{-}index\text{-}and\text{-}swap\text{-}aa\text{-}u,\ uncurry2\ (RETURN\ ooo\ delete\text{-}index\text{-}and\text{-}swap\text{-}ll))$
 $\in [\lambda((l,i), j). i < length\ l \wedge j < length\ ll\ l\ i]_a\ (arrayO\text{-}assn\ (arl\text{-}assn\ R))^d *_a uint32\text{-}nat\text{-}assn^k *_a$
 $nat\text{-}assn^k$
 $\rightarrow (arrayO\text{-}assn\ (arl\text{-}assn\ R))) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Swap

definition *swap-u-code* :: $'a :: heap\ array \Rightarrow uint32 \Rightarrow uint32 \Rightarrow 'a\ array\ Heap$ **where**
 $\langle swap\text{-}u\text{-}code\ xs\ i\ j = do\ \{$
 $ki \leftarrow nth\text{-}u\text{-}code\ xs\ i;$
 $kj \leftarrow nth\text{-}u\text{-}code\ xs\ j;$
 $xs \leftarrow heap\text{-}array\text{-}set\text{-}u\ xs\ i\ kj;$
 $xs \leftarrow heap\text{-}array\text{-}set\text{-}u\ xs\ j\ ki;$
 $return\ xs$
 } \triangleright

lemma *op-list-swap-u-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]*:
assumes $p: \langle CONSTRAINT\ is\text{-}pure\ R \rangle$
shows $\langle (uncurry2\ swap\text{-}u\text{-}code,\ uncurry2\ (RETURN\ ooo\ op\text{-}list\text{-}swap)) \in$
 $[\lambda((xs,i), j). i < length\ xs \wedge j < length\ xs]_a$
 $(array\text{-}assn\ R)^d *_a uint32\text{-}nat\text{-}assn^k *_a uint32\text{-}nat\text{-}assn^k \rightarrow array\text{-}assn\ R)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *swap-u64-code* :: $'a :: heap\ array \Rightarrow uint64 \Rightarrow uint64 \Rightarrow 'a\ array\ Heap$ **where**
 $\langle swap\text{-}u64\text{-}code\ xs\ i\ j = do\ \{$
 $ki \leftarrow nth\text{-}u64\text{-}code\ xs\ i;$
 $kj \leftarrow nth\text{-}u64\text{-}code\ xs\ j;$
 $xs \leftarrow heap\text{-}array\text{-}set\text{-}u64\ xs\ i\ kj;$
 $xs \leftarrow heap\text{-}array\text{-}set\text{-}u64\ xs\ j\ ki;$
 $return\ xs$
 } \triangleright

lemma *op-list-swap-u64-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]*:
assumes $p: \langle CONSTRAINT\ is\text{-}pure\ R \rangle$
shows $\langle (uncurry2\ swap\text{-}u64\text{-}code,\ uncurry2\ (RETURN\ ooo\ op\text{-}list\text{-}swap)) \in$

$\lambda((xs, i), j). \ i < \text{length } xs \wedge j < \text{length } xs]_a$
 $(\text{array-assn } R)^d *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow \text{array-assn } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *swap-aa-u64* :: ('a::{'heap,default}) arrayO-raa \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow uint64 \Rightarrow uint64 \Rightarrow 'a arrayO-raa
Heap where
swap-aa-u64 xs k i j = do {
 xi \leftarrow arl-get xs k;
 xj \leftarrow swap-u64-code xi i j;
 xs \leftarrow arl-set xs k xj;
 return xs
}
}

lemma *swap-aa-u64-hnr*[sepref-fr-rules]:
assumes *is-pure R*
shows $\langle (\text{uncurry3 } \text{swap-aa-u64}, \text{ uncurry3 } (\text{RETURN oooo swap-ll})) \in$
 $[\lambda(((xs, k), i), j). \ k < \text{length } xs \wedge i < \text{length-rll } xs \ k \wedge j < \text{length-rll } xs \ k]_a$
 $(\text{arlO-assn } (\text{array-assn } R))^d *_a \text{nat-assn}^k *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow$
 $(\text{arlO-assn } (\text{array-assn } R)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *arl-swap-u-code*
:: 'a :: heap array-list \Rightarrow uint32 \Rightarrow uint32 \Rightarrow 'a array-list Heap
where
arl-swap-u-code xs i j = do {
 ki \leftarrow arl-get-u xs i;
 kj \leftarrow arl-get-u xs j;
 xs \leftarrow arl-set-u xs i kj;
 xs \leftarrow arl-set-u xs j ki;
 return xs
}
}

lemma *arl-op-list-swap-u-hnr*[sepref-fr-rules]:
assumes p: *CONSTRAINT is-pure R*
shows $\langle (\text{uncurry2 } \text{arl-swap-u-code}, \text{ uncurry2 } (\text{RETURN ooo op-list-swap})) \in$
 $[\lambda((xs, i), j). \ i < \text{length } xs \wedge j < \text{length } xs]_a$
 $(\text{arl-assn } R)^d *_a \text{uint32-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{uint32-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow \text{arl-assn } R \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Take

definition *shorten-take-aa-u32* where
shorten-take-aa-u32 L j W = do {
 (a, n) \leftarrow nth-u-code W L;
 heap-array-set-u W L (a, j)
}
}

lemma *shorten-take-aa-u32-alt-def*:
shorten-take-aa-u32 L j W = *shorten-take-aa* (nat-of-uint32 L) j W
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *shorten-take-aa-u32-hnr*[sepref-fr-rules]:
 $\langle (\text{uncurry2 } \text{shorten-take-aa-u32}, \text{ uncurry2 } (\text{RETURN ooo shorten-take-ll})) \in$
 $[\lambda((L, j), W). \ j \leq \text{length } (W ! L) \wedge L < \text{length } W]_a$

$\text{uint32-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{nat-assn}^k *_a (\text{arrayO-assn} (\text{arl-assn } R))^d \rightarrow \text{arrayO-assn} (\text{arl-assn } R)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

List of Lists

Getters definition $\text{nth-raa-i32} :: \langle 'a::\text{heap arrayO-raa} \Rightarrow \text{uint32} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ Heap} \rangle$ where

$\langle \text{nth-raa-i32} \text{ xs } i \text{ j} = \text{do} \{$
 $x \leftarrow \text{arl-get-u xs i};$
 $y \leftarrow \text{Array.nth x j};$
 $\text{return } y\}$

lemma $\text{nth-raa-i32-hnr}[\text{sepref-fr-rules}]$:

assumes $\langle \text{CONSTRAINT is-pure R} \rangle$

shows

$\langle (\text{uncurry2 nth-raa-i32}, \text{uncurry2} (\text{RETURN ooo nth-rll})) \in$
 $[\lambda((xs, i), j). i < \text{length xs} \wedge j < \text{length}(xs !i)]_a$
 $(\text{arlO-assn} (\text{array-assn R}))^k *_a \text{uint32-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{nat-assn}^k \rightarrow R \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\text{nth-raa-i32-u64} :: \langle 'a::\text{heap arrayO-raa} \Rightarrow \text{uint32} \Rightarrow \text{uint64} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ Heap} \rangle$ where

$\langle \text{nth-raa-i32-u64} \text{ xs } i \text{ j} = \text{do} \{$
 $x \leftarrow \text{arl-get-u xs i};$
 $y \leftarrow \text{nth-u64-code x j};$
 $\text{return } y\}$

lemma $\text{nth-raa-i32-u64-hnr}[\text{sepref-fr-rules}]$:

assumes $\langle \text{CONSTRAINT is-pure R} \rangle$

shows

$\langle (\text{uncurry2 nth-raa-i32-u64}, \text{uncurry2} (\text{RETURN ooo nth-rll})) \in$
 $[\lambda((xs, i), j). i < \text{length xs} \wedge j < \text{length}(xs !i)]_a$
 $(\text{arlO-assn} (\text{array-assn R}))^k *_a \text{uint32-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow R \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\text{nth-raa-i32-u32} :: \langle 'a::\text{heap arrayO-raa} \Rightarrow \text{uint32} \Rightarrow \text{uint32} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ Heap} \rangle$ where

$\langle \text{nth-raa-i32-u32} \text{ xs } i \text{ j} = \text{do} \{$
 $x \leftarrow \text{arl-get-u xs i};$
 $y \leftarrow \text{nth-u-code x j};$
 $\text{return } y\}$

lemma $\text{nth-raa-i32-u32-hnr}[\text{sepref-fr-rules}]$:

assumes $\langle \text{CONSTRAINT is-pure R} \rangle$

shows

$\langle (\text{uncurry2 nth-raa-i32-u32}, \text{uncurry2} (\text{RETURN ooo nth-rll})) \in$
 $[\lambda((xs, i), j). i < \text{length xs} \wedge j < \text{length}(xs !i)]_a$
 $(\text{arlO-assn} (\text{array-assn R}))^k *_a \text{uint32-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{uint32-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow R \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition nth-aa-i32-u32 where

$\langle \text{nth-aa-i32-u32} \text{ x L L'} = \text{nth-aa x} (\text{nat-of-uint32 L}) (\text{nat-of-uint32 L'}) \rangle$

definition $\text{nth-aa-i32-u32}'$ where

$\langle \text{nth-aa-i32-u32}' \text{ xs } i \text{ j} = \text{do} \{$
 $x \leftarrow \text{nth-u-code xs i};$
 $y \leftarrow \text{arl-get-u x j};$

```

return y}>

lemma nth-aa-i32-u32[code]:
  ⟨nth-aa-i32-u32 x L L' = nth-aa-i32-u32' x L L'⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nth-aa-i32-u32-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
  assumes (CONSTRAINT is-pure R)
  shows
    ⟨(uncurry2 nth-aa-i32-u32, uncurry2 (RETURN ooo nth-rll)) ∈
     [λ((x, L), L'). L < length x ∧ L' < length (x ! L)]_a
     (arrayO-assn (arl-assn R))^k *a uint32-nat-assnk *a uint32-nat-assnk → R⟩
    ⟨proof⟩

definition nth-raa-i64-u32 :: ⟨'a::heap arrayO-raa ⇒ uint64 ⇒ uint32 ⇒ 'a Heap⟩ where
  ⟨nth-raa-i64-u32 xs i j = do {
    x ← arl-get-u64 xs i;
    y ← nth-u-code x j;
    return y}⟩

lemma nth-raa-i64-u32-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
  assumes (CONSTRAINT is-pure R)
  shows
    ⟨(uncurry2 nth-raa-i64-u32, uncurry2 (RETURN ooo nth-rll)) ∈
     [λ((xs, i), j). i < length xs ∧ j < length (xs !i)]_a
     (arlO-assn (array-assn R))^k *a uint64-nat-assnk *a uint32-nat-assnk → R⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

thm nth-aa-uint-hnr
find-theorems nth-aa-u

lemma nth-aa-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
  assumes p: (is-pure R)
  shows
    ⟨(uncurry2 nth-aa, uncurry2 (RETURN ooo nth-l)) ∈
     [λ((l,i),j). i < length l ∧ j < length-l l i]_a
     (arrayO-assn (arl-assn R))^k *a nat-assnk *a nat-assnk → R⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

definition nth-raa-i64-u64 :: ⟨'a::heap arrayO-raa ⇒ uint64 ⇒ uint64 ⇒ 'a Heap⟩ where
  ⟨nth-raa-i64-u64 xs i j = do {
    x ← arl-get-u64 xs i;
    y ← nth-u64-code x j;
    return y}⟩

lemma nth-raa-i64-u64-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
  assumes (CONSTRAINT is-pure R)
  shows
    ⟨(uncurry2 nth-raa-i64-u64, uncurry2 (RETURN ooo nth-rll)) ∈
     [λ((xs, i), j). i < length xs ∧ j < length (xs !i)]_a
     (arlO-assn (array-assn R))^k *a uint64-nat-assnk *a uint64-nat-assnk → R⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nth-aa-i64-u64-code[code]:

```

$\langle \text{nth-aa-i64-u64 } x L L' = \text{nth-u64-code } x L \gg= (\lambda x. \text{arl-get-u64 } x L' \gg= \text{return}) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{nth-aa-i64-u32-code[code]}:$
 $\langle \text{nth-aa-i64-u32 } x L L' = \text{nth-u-code } x L \gg= (\lambda x. \text{arl-get-u } x L' \gg= \text{return}) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{nth-aa-i32-u64-code[code]}:$
 $\langle \text{nth-aa-i32-u64 } x L L' = \text{nth-u-code } x L \gg= (\lambda x. \text{arl-get-u64 } x L' \gg= \text{return}) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Length definition $\text{length-raa-i64-u} :: \langle 'a::\text{heap arrayO-raa} \Rightarrow \text{uint64} \Rightarrow \text{uint32 Heap} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{length-raa-i64-u } xs i = \text{do } \{$
 $x \leftarrow \text{arl-get-u64 } xs i;$
 $\text{length-u-code } x \} \rangle$

lemma $\text{length-raa-i64-u-alt-def}:$ $\langle \text{length-raa-i64-u } xs i = \text{do } \{$
 $n \leftarrow \text{length-raa } xs (\text{nat-of-uint64 } i);$
 $\text{return } (\text{uint32-of-nat } n) \} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{length-raa-i64-u-rule[sep-heap-rules]}:$
 $\langle \text{nat-of-uint64 } b < \text{length } xs \implies \langle \text{arlO-assn } (\text{array-assn } R) xs a \rangle \gg= \text{length-raa-i64-u } a b$
 $\langle \lambda r. \text{arlO-assn } (\text{array-assn } R) xs a * \uparrow (r = \text{uint32-of-nat } (\text{length-rll } xs (\text{nat-of-uint64 } b))) \rangle_t \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{length-raa-i64-u-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]}:$
shows $\langle (\text{uncurry } \text{length-raa-i64-u}, \text{uncurry } (\text{RETURN} \circ \text{o} \text{length-rll-n-uint32})) \in$
 $[\lambda(xs, i). i < \text{length } xs \wedge \text{length } (xs ! i) \leq \text{uint32-max}]_a$
 $(\text{arlO-assn } (\text{array-assn } R))^k *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow \text{uint32-nat-assn}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\text{length-raa-i64-u64} :: \langle 'a::\text{heap arrayO-raa} \Rightarrow \text{uint64} \Rightarrow \text{uint64 Heap} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{length-raa-i64-u64 } xs i = \text{do } \{$
 $x \leftarrow \text{arl-get-u64 } xs i;$
 $\text{length-u64-code } x \} \rangle$

lemma $\text{length-raa-i64-u64-alt-def}:$ $\langle \text{length-raa-i64-u64 } xs i = \text{do } \{$
 $n \leftarrow \text{length-raa } xs (\text{nat-of-uint64 } i);$
 $\text{return } (\text{uint64-of-nat } n) \} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{length-raa-i64-u64-rule[sep-heap-rules]}:$
 $\langle \text{nat-of-uint64 } b < \text{length } xs \implies \langle \text{arlO-assn } (\text{array-assn } R) xs a \rangle \gg= \text{length-raa-i64-u64 } a b$
 $\langle \lambda r. \text{arlO-assn } (\text{array-assn } R) xs a * \uparrow (r = \text{uint64-of-nat } (\text{length-rll } xs (\text{nat-of-uint64 } b))) \rangle_t \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{length-raa-i64-u64-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]}:$
shows $\langle (\text{uncurry } \text{length-raa-i64-u64}, \text{uncurry } (\text{RETURN} \circ \text{o} \text{length-rll-n-uint32})) \in$
 $[\lambda(xs, i). i < \text{length } xs \wedge \text{length } (xs ! i) \leq \text{uint64-max}]_a$
 $(\text{arlO-assn } (\text{array-assn } R))^k *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow \text{uint64-nat-assn}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```
definition length-raa-i32-u64 :: ⟨'a::heap arrayO-raa ⇒ uint32 ⇒ uint64 Heap⟩ where
⟨length-raa-i32-u64 xs i = do {
  x ← arl-get-u xs i;
  length-u64-code x}⟩
```

```
lemma length-raa-i32-u64-alt-def: ⟨length-raa-i32-u64 xs i = do {
  n ← length-raa xs (nat-of-uint32 i);
  return (uint64-of-nat n)}⟩
⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition length-rll-n-i32-uint64 where
[simp]: ⟨length-rll-n-i32-uint64 = length-rll⟩
```

```
lemma length-raa-i32-u64-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
shows ⟨(uncurry length-raa-i32-u64, uncurry (RETURN ○○ length-rll-n-i32-uint64)) ∈
[λ(xs, i). i < length xs ∧ length (xs ! i) ≤ uint64-max]a
(arlO-assn (array-assn R))k *a uint32-nat-assnk → uint64-nat-assn)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition delete-index-and-swap-aa-i64 where
⟨delete-index-and-swap-aa-i64 xs i = delete-index-and-swap-aa xs (nat-of-uint64 i)⟩
```

```
definition last-aa-u64 where
⟨last-aa-u64 xs i = last-aa xs (nat-of-uint64 i)⟩
```

```
lemma last-aa-u64-code[code]:
⟨last-aa-u64 xs i = nth-u64-code xs i ≈ arl-last⟩
⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition length-raa-i32-u :: ⟨'a::heap arrayO-raa ⇒ uint32 ⇒ uint32 Heap⟩ where
⟨length-raa-i32-u xs i = do {
  x ← arl-get-u xs i;
  length-u-code x}⟩
```

```
lemma length-raa-i32-rule[sep-heap-rules]:
assumes ⟨nat-of-uint32 b < length xs⟩
shows ⟨⟨arlO-assn (array-assn R) xs a⟩ length-raa-i32-u a b
<λr. arlO-assn (array-assn R) xs a * ↑ (r = uint32-of-nat (length-rll xs (nat-of-uint32 b)))⟩t⟩
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma length-raa-i32-u-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
shows ⟨(uncurry length-raa-i32-u, uncurry (RETURN ○○ length-rll-n-uint32)) ∈
[λ(xs, i). i < length xs ∧ length (xs ! i) ≤ uint32-max]a
(arlO-assn (array-assn R))k *a uint32-nat-assnk → uint32-nat-assn)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition (in -)length-aa-u64-o64 :: ⟨('a::heap array-list) array ⇒ uint64 ⇒ uint64 Heap⟩ where
⟨length-aa-u64-o64 xs i = length-aa-u64 xs i >>= (λn. return (uint64-of-nat n))⟩
```

```
definition arl-length-o64 where
```

$\langle arl\text{-}length\text{-}o64 \ x = do \ \{n \leftarrow arl\text{-}length \ x; \ return \ (uint64\text{-}of\text{-}nat \ n)\} \rangle$

lemma $length\text{-}aa\text{-}u64\text{-}o64\text{-}code[code]$:

$\langle length\text{-}aa\text{-}u64\text{-}o64 \ xs \ i = nth\text{-}u64\text{-}code \ xs \ i \geq arl\text{-}length\text{-}o64 \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $length\text{-}aa\text{-}u64\text{-}o64\text{-}hnr[sepref-fr-rules]$:

$\langle (uncurry \ length\text{-}aa\text{-}u64\text{-}o64, uncurry \ (RETURN \circ length\text{-}ll)) \in$
 $[\lambda(xs, i). \ i < length \ xs \wedge length \ (xs ! i) \leq uint64\text{-}max]_a$
 $(arrayO\text{-}assn \ (arl\text{-}assn \ R))^k *_a uint64\text{-}nat\text{-}assn^k \rightarrow uint64\text{-}nat\text{-}assn \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition (in -) $length\text{-}aa\text{-}u32\text{-}o64 :: \langle 'a::heap \ array-list \rangle \ array \Rightarrow uint32 \Rightarrow uint64 \ Heap \rangle$ **where**

$\langle length\text{-}aa\text{-}u32\text{-}o64 \ xs \ i = length\text{-}aa\text{-}u \ xs \ i \rangle \geq (\lambda n. \ return \ (uint64\text{-}of\text{-}nat \ n))$

lemma $length\text{-}aa\text{-}u32\text{-}o64\text{-}code[code]$:

$\langle length\text{-}aa\text{-}u32\text{-}o64 \ xs \ i = nth\text{-}u\text{-}code \ xs \ i \geq arl\text{-}length\text{-}o64 \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $length\text{-}aa\text{-}u32\text{-}o64\text{-}hnr[sepref-fr-rules]$:

$\langle (uncurry \ length\text{-}aa\text{-}u32\text{-}o64, uncurry \ (RETURN \circ length\text{-}ll)) \in$
 $[\lambda(xs, i). \ i < length \ xs \wedge length \ (xs ! i) \leq uint64\text{-}max]_a$
 $(arrayO\text{-}assn \ (arl\text{-}assn \ R))^k *_a uint32\text{-}nat\text{-}assn^k \rightarrow uint64\text{-}nat\text{-}assn \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $length\text{-}raa\text{-}u32 :: \langle 'a::heap \ arrayO\text{-}raa \Rightarrow uint32 \Rightarrow nat \ Heap \rangle$ **where**

$\langle length\text{-}raa\text{-}u32 \ xs \ i = do \ \{$
 $x \leftarrow arl\text{-}get\text{-}u \ xs \ i;$
 $Array.\text{len} \ x\}$

lemma $length\text{-}raa\text{-}u32\text{-}rule[sep-heap-rules]$:

$\langle b < length \ xs \implies (b', b) \in uint32\text{-}nat\text{-}rel \implies \langle arlO\text{-}assn \ (array\text{-}assn \ R) \ xs \ a \rangle \geq length\text{-}raa\text{-}u32 \ a \ b'$
 $\langle \lambda r. arlO\text{-}assn \ (array\text{-}assn \ R) \ xs \ a * \uparrow(r = length\text{-}rll \ xs \ b) \rangle_t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $length\text{-}raa\text{-}u32\text{-}hnr[sepref-fr-rules]$:

$\langle (uncurry \ length\text{-}raa\text{-}u32, uncurry \ (RETURN \circ length\text{-}rll)) \in$
 $[\lambda(xs, i). \ i < length \ xs]_a \ (arlO\text{-}assn \ (array\text{-}assn \ R))^k *_a uint32\text{-}nat\text{-}assn^k \rightarrow nat\text{-}assn \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $length\text{-}raa\text{-}u32\text{-}u64 :: \langle 'a::heap \ arrayO\text{-}raa \Rightarrow uint32 \Rightarrow uint64 \ Heap \rangle$ **where**

$\langle length\text{-}raa\text{-}u32\text{-}u64 \ xs \ i = do \ \{$
 $x \leftarrow arl\text{-}get\text{-}u \ xs \ i;$
 $length\text{-}u64\text{-}code \ x\}$

lemma $length\text{-}raa\text{-}u32\text{-}u64\text{-}hnr[sepref-fr-rules]$:

shows $\langle (uncurry \ length\text{-}raa\text{-}u32\text{-}u64, uncurry \ (RETURN \circ length\text{-}rll\text{-}n\text{-}uint64)) \in$
 $[\lambda(xs, i). \ i < length \ xs \wedge length \ (xs ! i) \leq uint64\text{-}max]_a$
 $(arlO\text{-}assn \ (array\text{-}assn \ R))^k *_a uint32\text{-}nat\text{-}assn^k \rightarrow uint64\text{-}nat\text{-}assn \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $length\text{-}raa\text{-}u64\text{-}u64 :: \langle 'a::heap \ arrayO\text{-}raa \Rightarrow uint64 \Rightarrow uint64 \ Heap \rangle$ **where**

```

⟨length-raa-u64-u64 xs i = do {
  x ← arl-get-u64 xs i;
  length-u64-code x⟩

```

lemma *length-raa-u64-u64-hnr*[sepref-fr-rules]:
shows ⟨(uncurry *length-raa-u64-u64*, uncurry (*RETURN* ○ *length-rll-n-uint64*)) ∈
 $[\lambda(xs, i). i < \text{length } xs \wedge \text{length } (xs ! i) \leq \text{uint64-max}]_a$
 $(arlO\text{-assn} (\text{array-assn } R))^k *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow \text{uint64-nat-assn}$
⟨proof⟩

definition *length-arlO-u* **where**
⟨*length-arlO-u* *xs* = do {
n ← *length-ra* *xs*;
 return (*uint32-of-nat n*)⟩

lemma *length-arlO-u*[sepref-fr-rules]:
 $((\text{length-arlO-u}, \text{RETURN} o \text{length-uint32-nat}) \in [\lambda xs. \text{length } xs \leq \text{uint32-max}]_a (\text{arlO-assn } R)^k \rightarrow \text{uint32-nat-assn})$
⟨proof⟩

definition *arl-length-u64-code* **where**
⟨*arl-length-u64-code C* = do {
n ← *arl-length C*;
 return (*uint64-of-nat n*)
}⟩

lemma *arl-length-u64-code*[sepref-fr-rules]:
 $((\text{arl-length-u64-code}, \text{RETURN} o \text{length-uint64-nat}) \in [\lambda xs. \text{length } xs \leq \text{uint64-max}]_a (\text{arl-assn } R)^k \rightarrow \text{uint64-nat-assn})$
⟨proof⟩

Setters **definition** *update-aa-u64* **where**
⟨*update-aa-u64 xs i j* = *update-aa xs (nat-of-uint64 i) j*

definition *Array-upd-u64* **where**
⟨*Array-upd-u64 i x a* = *Array.upd (nat-of-uint64 i) x a*

lemma *Array-upd-u64-code*[code]: ⟨*Array-upd-u64 i x a* = *heap-array-set'-u64 a i x ≫ return a*⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma *update-aa-u64-code*[code]:
⟨*update-aa-u64 a i j y* = do {
x ← *nth-u64-code a i*;
a' ← *arl-set x j y*;
Array-upd-u64 i a' a
}⟩
⟨proof⟩

definition *set-butlast-aa-u64* **where**
⟨*set-butlast-aa-u64 xs i* = *set-butlast-aa xs (nat-of-uint64 i)*

lemma *set-butlast-aa-u64-code*[code]:
⟨*set-butlast-aa-u64 a i* = do {
x ← *nth-u64-code a i*;

```

 $a' \leftarrow arl-butlast\;x;$ 
 $\text{Array-upd-u64}\;i\;a'\;a$ 
 $\} \rightarrow \text{Replace the } i\text{-th element by the itself except the last element.}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma delete-index-and-swap-aa-i64-code[code]:
⟨delete-index-and-swap-aa-i64 xs i j = do {
  x ← last-aa-u64 xs i;
  xs ← update-aa-u64 xs i j x;
  set-butlast-aa-u64 xs i
}
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma delete-index-and-swap-aa-i64-ll-hnr-u[sepref-fr-rules]:
assumes ⟨is-pure R⟩
shows ⟨(uncurry2 delete-index-and-swap-aa-i64, uncurry2 (RETURN ooo delete-index-and-swap-ll))
 $\in [\lambda((l,i), j). i < \text{length } l \wedge j < \text{length-ll } l\;i]_a (\text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl-assn } R))^d *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{nat-assn}^k$ 
 $\rightarrow (\text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl-assn } R))\rangle$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition delete-index-and-swap-aa-i32-u64 where
⟨delete-index-and-swap-aa-i32-u64 xs i j =
  delete-index-and-swap-aa xs (nat-of-uint32 i) (nat-of-uint64 j)⟩

```

```

definition update-aa-u32-i64 where
⟨update-aa-u32-i64 xs i j = update-aa xs (nat-of-uint32 i) (nat-of-uint64 j)⟩

```

```

lemma update-aa-u32-i64-code[code]:
⟨update-aa-u32-i64 a i j y = do {
  x ← nth-u-code a i;
  a' ← arl-set-u64 x j y;
  Array-upd-u i a' a
}
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma delete-index-and-swap-aa-i32-u64-code[code]:
⟨delete-index-and-swap-aa-i32-u64 xs i j = do {
  x ← last-aa-u xs i;
  xs ← update-aa-u32-i64 xs i j x;
  set-butlast-aa-u xs i
}
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma delete-index-and-swap-aa-i32-u64-ll-hnr-u[sepref-fr-rules]:
assumes ⟨is-pure R⟩
shows ⟨(uncurry2 delete-index-and-swap-aa-i32-u64, uncurry2 (RETURN ooo delete-index-and-swap-ll))
 $\in [\lambda((l,i), j). i < \text{length } l \wedge j < \text{length-ll } l\;i]_a (\text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl-assn } R))^d *_a \text{uint32-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k$ 
 $\rightarrow (\text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl-assn } R))\rangle$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

Swap definition swap-aa-i32-u64 :: ('a:{heap,default}) arrayO-raa ⇒ uint32 ⇒ uint64 ⇒ uint64
⇒ 'a arrayO-raa Heap where
⟨swap-aa-i32-u64 xs k i j = do {
  xi ← arl-get-u xs k;
  xj ← swap-u64-code xi i j;
  xs ← arl-set-u xs k xj;
  return xs
}⟩

lemma swap-aa-i32-u64-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
assumes ⟨is-pure R⟩
shows ⟨(uncurry3 swap-aa-i32-u64, uncurry3 (RETURN oooo swap-ll)) ∈
[λ(((xs, k), i), j). k < length xs ∧ i < length-rll xs k ∧ j < length-rll xs k]a
(arlO-assn (array-assn R))d *a uint32-nat-assnk *a uint64-nat-assnk *a uint64-nat-assnk →
(arlO-assn (array-assn R))⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

Conversion from list of lists of nat to list of lists of uint64

```

sepref-definition array-nat-of-uint64-code
is array-nat-of-uint64
:: ⟨(array-assn uint64-nat-assn)k →a array-assn nat-assn⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma array-nat-of-uint64-conv-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
⟨(array-nat-of-uint64-code, (RETURN ∘ array-nat-of-uint64-conv))
∈ (array-assn uint64-nat-assn)k →a array-assn nat-assn⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

```

sepref-definition array-uint64-of-nat-code
is array-uint64-of-nat
:: ⟨[λxs. ∀ a∈set xs. a ≤ uint64-max]a
  (array-assn nat-assn)k → array-assn uint64-nat-assn⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma array-uint64-of-nat-conv-alt-def:
⟨array-uint64-of-nat-conv = map uint64-of-nat-conv⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma array-uint64-of-nat-conv-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
⟨(array-uint64-of-nat-code, (RETURN ∘ array-uint64-of-nat-conv))
∈ [λxs. ∀ a∈set xs. a ≤ uint64-max]a
  (array-assn nat-assn)k → array-assn uint64-nat-assn⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition swap-arl-u64 where
⟨swap-arl-u64 = (λ(xs, n) i j. do {
  ki ← nth-u64-code xs i;
  kj ← nth-u64-code xs j;
  xs ← heap-array-set-u64 xs i kj;
  xs ← heap-array-set-u64 xs j ki;
  return (xs, n)
})⟩

```

```

lemma swap-arl-u64-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
⟨(uncurry2 swap-arl-u64, uncurry2 (RETURN ooo op-list-swap)) ∈

```

$[pre-list-swap]_a (arl-assn A)^d *_a uint64\text{-nat-assn}^k *_a uint64\text{-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow arl-assn A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *butlast-nonresizing* :: $'a list \Rightarrow 'a list$ **where**
 $[simp]: \langle butlast-nonresizing = butlast \rangle$

definition *arl-butlast-nonresizing* :: $'a array-list \Rightarrow 'a array-list$ **where**
 $\langle arl-butlast-nonresizing = (\lambda(xs, a). (xs, fast-minus a 1)) \rangle$

lemma *butlast-nonresizing-hnr*[sepref-fr-rules]:
 $\langle (return o arl-butlast-nonresizing, RETURN o butlast-nonresizing) \in$
 $[\lambda xs. xs \neq []]_a (arl-assn R)^d \rightarrow arl-assn R \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *update-aa-u64-rule*[sep-heap-rules]:
assumes $p: \langle is-pure R \rangle$ **and** $\langle bb < length a \rangle$ **and** $\langle ba < length-l l a bb \rangle$ **and** $\langle (bb', bb) \in uint32\text{-nat-rel} \rangle$
and
 $\langle (ba', ba) \in uint64\text{-nat-rel} \rangle$
shows $\langle <R b bi * arrayO-assn (arl-assn R) a ai > update-aa-u32-i64 ai bb' ba' bi$
 $<\lambda r. R b bi * (\exists A x. arrayO-assn (arl-assn R) x r * \uparrow(x = update-l a bb ba b))>_t \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *update-aa-u32-i64-hnr*[sepref-fr-rules]:
assumes $\langle is-pure R \rangle$
shows $\langle (uncurry3 update-aa-u32-i64, uncurry3 (RETURN oooo update-l)) \in$
 $[\lambda(((l,i), j), x). i < length l \wedge j < length-l l i]_a$
 $(arrayO-assn (arl-assn R))^d *_a uint32\text{-nat-assn}^k *_a uint64\text{-nat-assn}^k *_a R^k \rightarrow (arrayO-assn (arl-assn R)) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *min-uint64-nat-assn*:
 $\langle (uncurry (return oo min), uncurry (RETURN oo min)) \in uint64\text{-nat-assn}^k *_a uint64\text{-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow_a uint64\text{-nat-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-of-uint64-shiftl*: $\langle nat-of-uint64 (xs >> a) = nat-of-uint64 xs >> a \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bit-lshift-uint64-nat-assn*[sepref-fr-rules]:
 $\langle (uncurry (return oo (>>)), uncurry (RETURN oo (>>))) \in$
 $uint64\text{-nat-assn}^k *_a nat-assn^k \rightarrow_a uint64\text{-nat-assn} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma [code]: $uint32\text{-max-uint32} = 4294967295$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end
theory IICF-Array-List64
imports
Refine-Imperative-HOL.IICF-List
Separation-Logic-Imperative-HOL.Array-Blit
Array-UInt
WB-Word-Assn
begin

```

type-synonym 'a array-list64 = 'a Heap.array × uint64

definition is-array-list64 l ≡ λ(a,n). ∃A l'. a ↦a l' * ↑(nat-of-uint64 n ≤ length l' ∧ l = take (nat-of-uint64 n) l' ∧ length l' > 0 ∧ nat-of-uint64 n ≤ uint64-max ∧ length l' ≤ uint64-max)

lemma is-array-list64-prec[safe-constraint-rules]: precise is-array-list64
  ⟨proof⟩

definition arl64-empty ≡ do {
  a ← Array.new initial-capacity default;
  return (a,0)
}

definition arl64-empty-sz init-cap ≡ do {
  a ← Array.new (min uint64-max (max init-cap minimum-capacity)) default;
  return (a,0)
}

definition uint64-max-uint64 :: uint64 where
  ⟨uint64-max-uint64 = 2 ^ 64 - 1⟩

definition arl64-append ≡ λ(a,n) x. do {
  len ← length-u64-code a;
  if n < len then do {
    a ← Array-upd-u64 n x a;
    return (a,n+1)
  } else do {
    let newcap = (if len < uint64-max-uint64 >> 1 then 2 * len else uint64-max-uint64);
    a ← array-grow a (nat-of-uint64 newcap) default;
    a ← Array-upd-u64 n x a;
    return (a,n+1)
  }
}

definition arl64-copy ≡ λ(a,n). do {
  a ← array-copy a;
  return (a,n)
}

definition arl64-length :: 'a::heap array-list64 ⇒ uint64 Heap where
  arl64-length ≡ λ(a,n). return (n)

definition arl64-is-empty :: 'a::heap array-list64 ⇒ bool Heap where
  arl64-is-empty ≡ λ(a,n). return (n = 0)

definition arl64-last :: 'a::heap array-list64 ⇒ 'a Heap where
  arl64-last ≡ λ(a,n). do {
    nth-u64-code a (n - 1)
  }

definition arl64-butlast :: 'a::heap array-list64 ⇒ 'a array-list64 Heap where
  arl64-butlast ≡ λ(a,n). do {
    let n = n - 1;
    len ← length-u64-code a;

```

```

if ( $n*4 < len \wedge \text{nat-of-uint64 } n*2 \geq \text{minimum-capacity}$ ) then do {
   $a \leftarrow \text{array-shrink } a (\text{nat-of-uint64 } n*2);$ 
  return ( $a, n$ )
} else
  return ( $a, n$ )
}

```

definition $\text{arl64-get} :: 'a::\text{heap array-list64} \Rightarrow \text{uint64} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ Heap where}$
 $\text{arl64-get} \equiv \lambda(a, n) i. \text{nth-u64-code } a i$

definition $\text{arl64-set} :: 'a::\text{heap array-list64} \Rightarrow \text{uint64} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ array-list64 Heap where}$
 $\text{arl64-set} \equiv \lambda(a, n) i x. \text{do } \{ a \leftarrow \text{heap-array-set-u64 } a i x; \text{return } (a, n)\}$

lemma $\text{arl64-empty-rule[sep-heap-rules]}: < \text{emp} > \text{arl64-empty} <\!\! \text{is-array-list64 } []\!\! >$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{arl64-empty-sz-rule[sep-heap-rules]}: < \text{emp} > \text{arl64-empty-sz } N <\!\! \text{is-array-list64 } []\!\! >$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{arl64-copy-rule[sep-heap-rules]}: < \text{is-array-list64 } l a > \text{arl64-copy } a <\!\! \lambda r. \text{is-array-list64 } l a * \text{is-array-list64 } l r\!\! >$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma [simp]: $\langle \text{nat-of-uint64 } \text{uint64-max} = \text{uint64-max} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\langle 2 * (\text{uint64-max div 2}) = \text{uint64-max} - 1 \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{nat-of-uint64-0-iff}: \langle \text{nat-of-uint64 } x2 = 0 \longleftrightarrow x2 = 0 \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{arl64-append-rule[sep-heap-rules]}:$
assumes $\langle \text{length } l < \text{uint64-max} \rangle$
shows $< \text{is-array-list64 } l a >$
 $\text{arl64-append } a x$
 $<\!\! \lambda a. \text{is-array-list64 } (l @ [x]) a \!\!>_t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{arl64-length-rule[sep-heap-rules]}:$
 $<\!\! \text{is-array-list64 } l a \!\!>$
 $\text{arl64-length } a$
 $<\!\! \lambda r. \text{is-array-list64 } l a * \uparrow(\text{nat-of-uint64 } r = \text{length } l) \!\!>$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{arl64-is-empty-rule[sep-heap-rules]}:$
 $<\!\! \text{is-array-list64 } l a \!\!>$
 $\text{arl64-is-empty } a$
 $<\!\! \lambda r. \text{is-array-list64 } l a * \uparrow(r \longleftrightarrow (l = [])) \!\!>$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{arl64-last-rule[sep-heap-rules]}:$
 $l \neq [] \implies$
 $<\!\! \text{is-array-list64 } l a \!\!>$
 $\text{arl64-last } a$
 $<\!\! \lambda r. \text{is-array-list64 } l a * \uparrow(r = \text{last } l) \!\!>$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *arl64-get-rule[sep-heap-rules]*:
 $i < \text{length } l \implies (i', i) \in \text{uint64-nat-rel} \implies$
 $\langle \text{is-array-list64 } l \ a \rangle$
 $\text{arl64-get } a \ i'$
 $\langle \lambda r. \text{is-array-list64 } l \ a * \uparrow(r = !i) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *arl64-set-rule[sep-heap-rules]*:
 $i < \text{length } l \implies (i', i) \in \text{uint64-nat-rel} \implies$
 $\langle \text{is-array-list64 } l \ a \rangle$
 $\text{arl64-set } a \ i' \ x$
 $\langle \text{is-array-list64 } (l[i := x]) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *arl64-assn A* \equiv *hr-comp is-array-list64 ((the-pure A) list-rel)*
lemmas [*safe-constraint-rules*] = *CN-FALSEI*[of *is-pure arl64-assn A* for *A*]

lemma *arl64-assn-comp*: *is-pure A* \implies *hr-comp (arl64-assn A) ((B) list-rel)* = *arl64-assn (hr-comp A B)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *arl64-assn-comp'*: *hr-comp (arl64-assn id-assn) ((B) list-rel)* = *arl64-assn (pure B)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context

notes [*fcomp-norm-unfold*] = *arl64-assn-def[symmetric] arl64-assn-comp'*
notes [*intro!*] = *hhrefI hn-refineI[THEN hn-refine-preI]*
notes [*simp*] = *pure-def hn-ctxt-def invalid-assn-def*

begin

lemma *arl64-empty-hnr-aux*: $(\text{uncurry0 arl64-empty}, \text{uncurry0 (RETURN op-list-empty)}) \in \text{unit-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{is-array-list64}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
sepref-decl-impl (*no-register*) *arl64-empty*: *arl64-empty-hnr-aux* $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *arl64-empty-sz-hnr-aux*: $(\text{uncurry0 (arl64-empty-sz } N), \text{uncurry0 (RETURN op-list-empty)}) \in \text{unit-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{is-array-list64}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

sepref-decl-impl (*no-register*) *arl64-empty-sz*: *arl64-empty-sz-hnr-aux* $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *op-arl64-empty* \equiv *op-list-empty*

definition *op-arl64-empty-sz* (*N::nat*) \equiv *op-list-empty*

lemma *arl64-copy-hnr-aux*: $(\text{arl64-copy}, \text{RETURN o op-list-copy}) \in \text{is-array-list64}^k \rightarrow_a \text{is-array-list64}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
sepref-decl-impl *arl64-copy*: *arl64-copy-hnr-aux* $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *arl64-append-hnr-aux*: $(\text{uncurry arl64-append}, \text{uncurry (RETURN oo op-list-append)}) \in [\lambda(xs, x). \text{length } xs < \text{uint64-max}]_a (\text{is-array-list64}^d *_a \text{id-assn}^k) \rightarrow \text{is-array-list64}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

sepref-decl-impl arl64-append: arl64-append-hnr-aux
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arl64-length-hnr-aux: (arl64-length, RETURN o op-list-length) ∈ is-array-list $64^k \rightarrow_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
sepref-decl-impl arl64-length: arl64-length-hnr-aux ⟨proof⟩

lemma arl64-is-empty-hnr-aux: (arl64-is-empty, RETURN o op-list-is-empty) ∈ is-array-list $64^k \rightarrow_a \text{bool-assn}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
sepref-decl-impl arl64-is-empty: arl64-is-empty-hnr-aux ⟨proof⟩

lemma arl64-last-hnr-aux: (arl64-last, RETURN o op-list-last) ∈ [pre-list-last] $_a$  is-array-list $64^k \rightarrow \text{id-assn}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
sepref-decl-impl arl64-last: arl64-last-hnr-aux ⟨proof⟩

lemma arl64-get-hnr-aux: (uncurry arl64-get, uncurry (RETURN oo op-list-get)) ∈ [ $\lambda(l,i). i < \text{length } l$ ] $_a$  (is-array-list $64^k *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k$ ) → id-assn
  ⟨proof⟩
sepref-decl-impl arl64-get: arl64-get-hnr-aux ⟨proof⟩

lemma arl64-set-hnr-aux: (uncurry2 arl64-set, uncurry2 (RETURN ooo op-list-set)) ∈ [ $\lambda((l,i), -)$ .  $i < \text{length } l$ ] $_a$  (is-array-list $64^d *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{id-assn}^k$ ) → is-array-list $64^k$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
sepref-decl-impl arl64-set: arl64-set-hnr-aux ⟨proof⟩
end

interpretation arl64: list-custom-empty arl64-assn A arl64-empty op-arl64-empty
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma [def-pat-rules]: op-arl64-empty-sz$N ≡ UNPROTECT (op-arl64-empty-sz N) ⟨proof⟩

interpretation arl64-sz: list-custom-empty arl64-assn A arl64-empty-sz N PR-CONST (op-arl64-empty-sz N)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition arl64-to-arl-conv where
  ⟨arl64-to-arl-conv S = S⟩

definition arl64-to-arl :: ⟨'a array-list $64 \Rightarrow 'a \text{array-list}$ ⟩ where
  ⟨arl64-to-arl = ( $\lambda(xs, n).$  (xs, nat-of-uint64 n))⟩

lemma arl64-to-arl-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
  ⟨(return o arl64-to-arl, RETURN o arl64-to-arl-conv) ∈ (arl64-assn R) $^d \rightarrow_a \text{arl-assn } R$ ⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition arl64-take where
⟨arl64-take n = (λ(xs, -). (xs, n))⟩

lemma arl64-take[sepref-fr-rules]:
⟨(uncurry (return oo arl64-take), uncurry (RETURN oo take)) ∈
[λ(n, xs). n ≤ length xs]_a uint64-nat-assnk *a (arl64-assn R)d → arl64-assn R
⟨proof⟩
definition arl64-of-arl :: ⟨'a list ⇒ 'a list⟩ where
⟨arl64-of-arl S = S⟩

definition arl64-of-arl-code :: ⟨'a :: heap array-list ⇒ 'a array-list64 Heap⟩ where
⟨arl64-of-arl-code = (λ(a, n). do {
  m ← Array.len a;
  if m > uint64-max then do {
    a ← array-shrink a uint64-max;
    return (a, (uint64-of-nat n))}
  else return (a, (uint64-of-nat n)))⟩

lemma arl64-of-arl[sepref-fr-rules]:
⟨(arl64-of-arl-code, RETURN o arl64-of-arl) ∈ [λn. length n ≤ uint64-max]_a (arl-assn R)d → arl64-assn R
⟨proof⟩

definition arl-nat-of-uint64-conv :: ⟨nat list ⇒ nat list⟩ where
⟨arl-nat-of-uint64-conv S = S⟩

lemma arl-nat-of-uint64-conv-alt-def:
⟨arl-nat-of-uint64-conv = map nat-of-uint64-conv⟩
⟨proof⟩

sepref-definition arl-nat-of-uint64-code
is array-nat-of-uint64
:: ⟨(arl-assn uint64-nat-assn)k →a arl-assn nat-assn⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma arl-nat-of-uint64-conv-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
⟨(arl-nat-of-uint64-code, (RETURN o arl-nat-of-uint64-conv))
∈ (arl-assn uint64-nat-assn)k →a arl-assn nat-assn)
⟨proof⟩

end
theory Array-Array-List64
imports Array-Array-List IICF-Array-List64
begin

```

0.1.8 Array of Array Lists of maximum length $uint64\text{-max}$

```

definition length-aa64 :: ⟨('a::heap array-list64) array ⇒ uint64 ⇒ uint64 Heap⟩ where
⟨length-aa64 xs i = do {
  x ← nth-u64-code xs i;
  arl64-length x}⟩

```

```

lemma arrayO-assn-Array-nth[sep-heap-rules]:
⟨b < length xs ==>
<arrayO-assn (arl64-assn R) xs a> Array.nth a b

```

```

<λp. arrayO-except-assn (arl64-assn R) [b] xs a (λp'. ↑(p=p'!b))*
    arl64-assn R (xs ! b) (p)>
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma arl64-length[sep-heap-rules]:
 $\langle \text{arl64-assn } R \ b \ a \rangle \text{ arl64-length } a < \lambda r. \text{ arl64-assn } R \ b \ a * \uparrow(\text{nat-of-uint64 } r = \text{length } b) \rangle$
⟨proof⟩

lemma length-aa64-rule[sep-heap-rules]:
 $\langle b < \text{length } xs \implies (b', b) \in \text{uint64-nat-rel} \implies \langle \text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl64-assn } R) \ xs \ a \rangle \text{ length-aa64 } a \ b'$
 $\langle \lambda r. \text{ arrayO-assn } (\text{arl64-assn } R) \ xs \ a * \uparrow(\text{nat-of-uint64 } r = \text{length-ll } xs \ b) \rangle_t$
⟨proof⟩

lemma length-aa64-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]: $\langle (\text{uncurry } \text{length-aa64}, \text{ uncurry } (\text{RETURN } \circ \text{length-ll})) \in$
 $[\lambda(xs, i). i < \text{length } xs]_a \text{ (arrayO-assn } (\text{arl64-assn } R))^k *_a \text{ uint64-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow \text{uint64-nat-assn}$
⟨proof⟩

lemma arl64-get-hnr[sep-heap-rules]:
assumes $\langle (n', n) \in \text{uint64-nat-rel} \rangle$ **and** $\langle n < \text{length } a \rangle$ **and** $\langle \text{CONSTRAINT is-pure } R \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{arl64-assn } R \ a \ b \rangle$
 $\text{arl64-get } b \ n'$
 $\langle \lambda r. \text{ arl64-assn } R \ a \ b * R (a ! n) \ r \rangle$
⟨proof⟩

definition nth-aa64 **where**
 $\langle \text{nth-aa64 } xs \ i \ j = \text{do } \{$
 $x \leftarrow \text{Array.nth } xs \ i;$
 $y \leftarrow \text{arl64-get } x \ j;$
 $\text{return } y \} \rangle$

lemma nth-aa64-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
assumes $p: \langle \text{CONSTRAINT is-pure } R \rangle$
shows
 $\langle (\text{uncurry2 } \text{nth-aa64}, \text{ uncurry2 } (\text{RETURN } \circ \circ \text{nth-ll})) \in$
 $[\lambda((l,i),j). i < \text{length } l \wedge j < \text{length-ll } l \ i]_a$
 $(\text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl64-assn } R))^k *_a \text{ nat-assn}^k *_a \text{ uint64-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow R$
⟨proof⟩

definition append64-el-aa :: ('a:{default,heap} array-list64) array ⇒
 $\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('a \text{ array-list64}) \text{ array Heapwhere}$
 $\text{append64-el-aa} \equiv \lambda a \ i \ x. \text{ do } \{$
 $j \leftarrow \text{Array.nth } a \ i;$
 $a' \leftarrow \text{arl64-append } j \ x;$
 $\text{Array.upd } i \ a' \ a$
 $\}$

declare arrayO-nth-rule[sep-heap-rules]

lemma sep-auto-is-stupid:
fixes $R :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \{\text{heap, default}\} \Rightarrow \text{assn}$
assumes $p: \langle \text{is-pure } R \rangle$ **and** $\langle \text{length } l' < \text{uint64-max} \rangle$
shows
 $\langle \exists Ap. R1 \ p * R2 \ p * \text{arl64-assn } R \ l' \ aa * R \ x \ x' * R4 \ p \rangle$
 $\text{arl64-append } aa \ x' \langle \lambda r. (\exists Ap. \text{arl64-assn } R \ (l' @ [x]) \ r * R1 \ p * R2 \ p * R \ x \ x' * R4 \ p * \text{true}) \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma append-aa64-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
  fixes R :: ' $a \Rightarrow b$  :: {heap, default}  $\Rightarrow$  assn'
  assumes p:  $\langle$ is-pure R $\rangle$ 
  shows
     $\langle$ (uncurry2 append64-el-aa, uncurry2 (RETURN  $\circ\circ$  append-ll))  $\in$ 
      [\lambda((l,i),x). i < length l  $\wedge$  length (l ! i) < uint64-max] $_a$  (arrayO-assn (arl64-assn R)) $^d *_a$  nat-assn $^k$ 
     $*_a R^k \rightarrow$  (arrayO-assn (arl64-assn R)) $\rangle$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

definition update-aa64 :: ('a:::{heap} array-list64) array  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  uint64  $\Rightarrow$  ' $a \Rightarrow$  ('a array-list64)
array Heap where
  update-aa64 a i j y = do {
    x  $\leftarrow$  Array.nth a i;
    a'  $\leftarrow$  arl64-set x j y;
    Array.upd i a' a
  } — is the Array.upd really needed?

```

```

declare nth-rule[sep-heap-rules del]
declare arrayO-nth-rule[sep-heap-rules]

```

```

lemma arrayO-except-assn-arl-set[sep-heap-rules]:
  fixes R :: ' $a \Rightarrow b$  :: {heap}  $\Rightarrow$  assn'
  assumes p:  $\langle$ is-pure R $\rangle$  and  $\langle$ bb < length a $\rangle$  and
     $\langle$ ba < length-ll a bb $\rangle$  and  $\langle$ (ba', ba)  $\in$  uint64-nat-rel $\rangle$ 
  shows
     $\langle$ arrayO-except-assn (arl64-assn R) [bb] a ai
       $(\lambda p'. \uparrow ((aa, bc) = p' ! bb)) *$ 
      arl64-assn R (a ! bb) (aa, bc) *
      R b bi
    arl64-set (aa, bc) ba' bi
     $\langle$ arrayO-except-assn (arl64-assn R) [bb] a ai
       $(\lambda r'. arl64-assn R ((a ! bb)[ba := b]) (aa, bc)) * R b bi * true$  $\rangle$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma Array-upd-arrayO-except-assn[sep-heap-rules]:
  assumes
     $\langle$ bb < length a $\rangle$  and
     $\langle$ ba < length-ll a bb $\rangle$  and  $\langle$ (ba', ba)  $\in$  uint64-nat-rel $\rangle$ 
  shows  $\langle$ arrayO-except-assn (arl64-assn R) [bb] a ai
     $(\lambda r'. arl64-assn R xu (aa, bc)) *$ 
    R b bi *
    true
    Array.upd bb (aa, bc) ai
     $\langle$ arrayO-assn (arl64-assn R) x r * true *
       $\uparrow (x = a[bb := xu])$  $\rangle$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma update-aa64-rule[sep-heap-rules]:
  assumes p:  $\langle$ is-pure R $\rangle$  and  $\langle$ bb < length a $\rangle$  and  $\langle$ ba < length-ll a bb $\rangle$   $\langle$ (ba', ba)  $\in$  uint64-nat-rel $\rangle$ 
  shows  $\langle$ R b bi * arrayO-assn (arl64-assn R) a ai $\rangle$  update-aa64 ai bb ba' bi
     $\langle$ arrayO-assn (arl64-assn R) x r *  $\uparrow (x = update-ll a bb ba b)$  $\rangle_t$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma update-aa-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:

```

```

assumes ⟨is-pure R⟩
shows ⟨(uncurry3 update-aa64, uncurry3 (RETURN oooo update-ll)) ∈
[λ(((l,i), j), x). i < length l ∧ j < length-ll l i]_a (arrayO-assn (arl64-assn R))^d *_a nat-assn^k *_a
uint64-nat-assn^k *_a R^k → (arrayO-assn (arl64-assn R)))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition last-aa64 :: ('a::heap array-list64) array ⇒ uint64 ⇒ 'a Heap where
⟨last-aa64 xs i = do {
  x ← nth-u64-code xs i;
  arl64-last x
}⟩

```

```

lemma arl64-last-rule[sep-heap-rules]:
assumes p: ⟨is-pure R⟩ ⟨ai ≠ []⟩
shows <> arl64-assn R ai a > arl64-last a
<λr. arl64-assn R ai a * R (last ai) r>_t
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma last-aa64-rule[sep-heap-rules]:
assumes
  p: ⟨is-pure R⟩ and
  ⟨b < length a⟩ and
  ⟨a ! b ≠ []⟩ and ⟨(b', b) ∈ uint64-nat-rel⟩
shows <
  <arrayO-assn (arl64-assn R) a ai>
  last-aa64 ai b'
  <λr. arrayO-assn (arl64-assn R) a ai * (exists Ax. R x r * ↑(x = last-ll a b))>_t
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma last-aa-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
assumes p: ⟨is-pure R⟩
shows ⟨(uncurry last-aa64, uncurry (RETURN oo last-ll)) ∈
[λ(l,i). i < length l ∧ l ! i ≠ []]_a (arrayO-assn (arl64-assn R))^k *_a uint64-nat-assn^k → R)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition swap-aa64 :: ('a::heap array-list64) array ⇒ nat ⇒ uint64 ⇒ uint64 ⇒ ('a array-list64)
array Heap where
⟨swap-aa64 xs k i j = do {
  xi ← nth-aa64 xs k i;
  xj ← nth-aa64 xs k j;
  xs ← update-aa64 xs k i xj;
  xs ← update-aa64 xs k j xi;
  return xs
}⟩

```

```

lemma nth-aa64-heap[sep-heap-rules]:
assumes p: ⟨is-pure R⟩ and ⟨b < length aa⟩ and ⟨ba < length-ll aa b⟩ and ⟨(ba', ba) ∈ uint64-nat-rel⟩
shows <
  <arrayO-assn (arl64-assn R) aa a>
  nth-aa64 a b ba'
  <λr. exists Ax. arrayO-assn (arl64-assn R) aa a *
    (R x r *
      ↑(x = nth-ll aa b ba)) *
      true>_t

```

(proof)

lemma *update-aa-rule-pure*:

assumes $p: \langle \text{is-pure } R \rangle$ **and** $\langle b < \text{length } aa \rangle$ **and** $\langle ba < \text{length-ll } aa \ b \rangle$ **and** $\langle (ba', ba) \in \text{uint64-nat-rel} \rangle$

shows \langle

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl64-assn } R) \ aa \ a * R \ be \ bb \rangle \\ & \quad \text{update-aa64 } a \ b \ ba' \ bb \\ & \quad \langle \lambda r. \exists_A x. \text{invalid-assn } (\text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl64-assn } R)) \ aa \ a * \text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl64-assn } R) \ x \ r * \\ & \quad \quad \text{true} * \\ & \quad \quad \uparrow (x = \text{update-ll } aa \ b \ ba \ be) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

(proof)

lemma *arl64-set-rule-arl64-assn*:

$i < \text{length } l \implies (i', i) \in \text{uint64-nat-rel} \implies (x', x) \in \text{the-pure } R \implies$

$\langle \text{arl64-assn } R \ l \ a \rangle$

$\text{arl64-set } a \ i' \ x'$

$\langle \text{arl64-assn } R \ (l[i:=x]) \rangle$

(proof)

lemma *swap-aa-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]*:

assumes $\langle \text{is-pure } R \rangle$

shows $\langle (\text{uncurry3 } \text{swap-aa64}, \text{ uncurry3 } (\text{RETURN } oooo \text{ swap-ll})) \in$

$[\lambda(((xs, k), i), j). k < \text{length } xs \wedge i < \text{length-ll } xs \ k \wedge j < \text{length-ll } xs \ k]_a$

$(\text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl64-assn } R))^d *_a \text{nat-assn}^k *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow (\text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl64-assn } R))^d$

(proof)

It is not possible to do a direct initialisation: there is no element that can be put everywhere.

definition *arrayO-ara-empty-sz* **where**

$\langle \text{arrayO-ara-empty-sz } n =$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{let } xs = \text{fold } (\lambda \cdot xs. [] \# xs) [0..<n] [] \text{ in} \\ & \quad \text{op-list-copy } xs) \\ & \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *of-list-op-list-copy-arrayO[sepref-fr-rules]*:

$\langle (\text{Array.of-list}, \text{RETURN } \circ \text{op-list-copy}) \in (\text{list-assn } (\text{arl64-assn } R))^d \rightarrow_a \text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl64-assn } R) \rangle$

(proof)

sepref-definition

$\text{arrayO-ara-empty-sz-code}$

is $\text{RETURN } o \text{ arrayO-ara-empty-sz}$

$:: \langle \text{nat-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl64-assn } (R :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \{\text{heap}, \text{default}\} \Rightarrow \text{assn})) \rangle$

(proof)

definition *init-lrl64* $:: \langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{-} \rangle$ **where**

[simp]: $\langle \text{init-lrl64} = \text{init-lrl} \rangle$

lemma *arrayO-ara-empty-sz-init-lrl*: $\langle \text{arrayO-ara-empty-sz } n = \text{init-lrl64 } n \rangle$

(proof)

lemma *arrayO-raa-empty-sz-init-lrl[sepref-fr-rules]*:

$\langle (\text{arrayO-ara-empty-sz-code}, \text{RETURN } o \text{ init-lrl64}) \in$

$\text{nat-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl64-assn } R) \rangle$

(proof)

definition (in --) *shorten-take-aa64* **where**
 $\langle \text{shorten-take-aa64 } L \ j \ W = \text{ do } \{$
 $\quad (a, n) \leftarrow \text{Array.nth } W \ L;$
 $\quad \text{Array.upd } L \ (a, j) \ W$
 $\} \rangle$

lemma *Array-upd-arrayO-except-assn2[sep-heap-rules]*:

assumes
 $\langle ba \leq \text{length } (b ! a) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle a < \text{length } b \rangle \text{ and } \langle (ba', ba) \in \text{uint64-nat-rel} \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{arrayO-except-assn } (\text{arl64-assn } R) [a] b \ bi$
 $\quad (\lambda r'. \uparrow ((aaa, n) = r' ! a)) * \text{arl64-assn } R \ (b ! a) (aaa, n) \rangle$
 $\quad \text{Array.upd } a \ (aaa, ba') \ bi$
 $\quad \langle \lambda r. \exists Ax. \text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl64-assn } R) x r * \text{true} *$
 $\quad \quad \uparrow (x = b[a := \text{take } ba \ (b ! a)]) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *shorten-take-aa-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]*:

$\langle \text{uncurry2 shorten-take-aa64, uncurry2 (RETURN ooo shorten-take-ll)} \rangle \in$
 $\langle \lambda((L, j), W). j \leq \text{length } (W ! L) \wedge L < \text{length } W \rangle_a$
 $\langle \text{nat-assn}^k *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k *_a (\text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl64-assn } R))^d \rightarrow \text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl64-assn } R) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *nth-aa64-u* **where**

$\langle \text{nth-aa64-u } x \ L \ L' = \text{nth-aa64 } x \ (\text{nat-of-uint32 } L) \ L' \rangle$

lemma *nth-aa-uint-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]*:

assumes $\langle \text{CONSTRAINT is-pure } R \rangle$
shows
 $\langle \text{uncurry2 nth-aa64-u, uncurry2 (RETURN ooo nth-rll)} \rangle \in$
 $\langle \lambda((x, L), L'). L < \text{length } x \wedge L' < \text{length } (x ! L) \rangle_a$
 $\langle (\text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl64-assn } R))^k *_a \text{uint32-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow R \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nth-aa64-u-code[code]*:

$\langle \text{nth-aa64-u } x \ L \ L' = \text{nth-u-code } x \ L \ \gg= (\lambda x. \text{arl64-get } x \ L' \ \gg= \text{return}) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *nth-aa64-i64-u64* **where**

$\langle \text{nth-aa64-i64-u64 } xs \ x \ L = \text{nth-aa64 } xs \ (\text{nat-of-uint64 } x) \ L \rangle$

lemma *nth-aa64-i64-u64-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]*:

assumes $p: \langle \text{is-pure } R \rangle$
shows
 $\langle \text{uncurry2 nth-aa64-i64-u64, uncurry2 (RETURN ooo nth-rll)} \rangle \in$
 $\langle \lambda((l, i), j). i < \text{length } l \wedge j < \text{length-rll } l \ i \rangle_a$
 $\langle (\text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl64-assn } R))^k *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow R \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *nth-aa64-i32-u64* **where**

$\langle \text{nth-aa64-i32-u64 } xs \ x \ L = \text{nth-aa64 } xs \ (\text{nat-of-uint32 } x) \ L \rangle$

lemma *nth-aa64-i32-u64-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]*:

```

assumes p:  $\langle \text{is-pure } R \rangle$ 
shows
 $\langle (\text{uncurry2 } \text{nth-aa64-i32-u64}, \text{ uncurry2 } (\text{RETURN } \circ\circ\circ \text{ nth-rll})) \in$ 
 $[\lambda((l,i),j). i < \text{length } l \wedge j < \text{length-rll } l \ i]_a$ 
 $(\text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl64-assn } R))^k *_a \text{uint32-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow R \rangle$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition append64-el-aa32 :: ('a::{'default,heap} array-list64) array  $\Rightarrow$ 
  uint32  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  ('a array-list64) array Heapwhere
append64-el-aa32  $\equiv$   $\lambda a \ i \ x. \ do \{$ 
   $j \leftarrow \text{nth-u-code } a \ i;$ 
   $a' \leftarrow \text{arl64-append } j \ x;$ 
   $\text{heap-array-set-u } a \ i \ a'$ 
 $\}$ 

lemma append64-aa32-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
fixes R :: ' $'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \{heap, default\} \Rightarrow assn$ '
assumes p:  $\langle \text{is-pure } R \rangle$ 
shows
 $\langle (\text{uncurry2 } \text{append64-el-aa32}, \text{ uncurry2 } (\text{RETURN } \circ\circ\circ \text{ append-ll})) \in$ 
 $[\lambda((l,i),x). i < \text{length } l \wedge \text{length } (l ! i) < \text{uint64-max}]_a (\text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl64-assn } R))^d *_a \text{uint32-nat-assn}^k$ 
 $*_a R^k \rightarrow (\text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl64-assn } R)) \rangle$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition update-aa64-u32 :: ('a::{'heap} array-list64) array  $\Rightarrow$  uint32  $\Rightarrow$  uint64  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  ('a array-list64)
array Heap where
 $\langle \text{update-aa64-u32 } a \ i \ j \ y = \text{update-aa64 } a \ (\text{nat-of-uint32 } i) \ j \ y \rangle$ 

lemma update-aa-u64-u32-code[code]:
 $\langle \text{update-aa64-u32 } a \ i \ j \ y = \text{do } \{$ 
   $x \leftarrow \text{nth-u-code } a \ i;$ 
   $a' \leftarrow \text{arl64-set } x \ j \ y;$ 
   $\text{Array-upd-u } i \ a' \ a$ 
 $\}$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma update-aa64-u32-rule[sep-heap-rules]:
assumes p:  $\langle \text{is-pure } R \rangle$  and  $\langle bb < \text{length } a \rangle$  and  $\langle ba < \text{length-ll } a \ bb \rangle$   $\langle (ba', ba) \in \text{uint64-nat-rel} \rangle$   $\langle (bb', bb) \in \text{uint32-nat-rel} \rangle$ 
shows  $\langle \langle R \ b \ bi * \text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl64-assn } R) \ a \ ai \rangle \ \text{update-aa64-u32 } ai \ bb' \ ba' \ bi$ 
 $\langle \lambda r. R \ b \ bi * (\exists Ax. \text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl64-assn } R) \ x \ r * \uparrow (x = \text{update-ll } a \ bb \ ba \ b)) \rangle_t \rangle$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma update-aa64-u32-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
assumes  $\langle \text{is-pure } R \rangle$ 
shows  $\langle (\text{uncurry3 } \text{update-aa64-u32}, \text{ uncurry3 } (\text{RETURN } \circ\circ\circ\circ \text{ update-ll})) \in$ 
 $[\lambda(((l,i),j),x). i < \text{length } l \wedge j < \text{length-ll } l \ i]_a (\text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl64-assn } R))^d *_a \text{uint32-nat-assn}^k$ 
 $*_a \text{uint64-nat-assn}^k *_a R^k \rightarrow (\text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl64-assn } R)) \rangle$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition nth-aa64-u64 where
 $\langle \text{nth-aa64-u64 } xs \ i \ j = \text{do } \{$ 
   $x \leftarrow \text{nth-u64-code } xs \ i;$ 
   $y \leftarrow \text{arl64-get } x \ j;$ 
   $\text{return } y \} \rangle$ 

```

lemma *nth-aa64-u64-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]*:

assumes *p*: $\langle \text{CONSTRAINT} \text{ is-pure } R \rangle$

shows

$$\langle (\text{uncurry2 } \text{nth-aa64-u64}, \text{ uncurry2 } (\text{RETURN } \circ\circ\circ \text{ nth-ll})) \in [\lambda((l,i),j). i < \text{length } l \wedge j < \text{length-ll } l \ i]_a \\ (\text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl64-assn } R))^k *_a \text{ uint64-nat-assn}^k *_a \text{ uint64-nat-assn}^k \rightarrow R \rangle$$

{proof}

definition *arl64-get-nat* :: $'a:\text{heap array-list64} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ Heap where}$

$$\text{arl64-get-nat} \equiv \lambda(a,n) \ i. \text{Array.nth } a \ i$$

lemma *arl-get-rule[sep-heap-rules]*:

$$i < \text{length } l \implies \langle \text{is-array-list64 } l \ a \rangle \\ \text{arl64-get-nat } a \ i \\ \langle \lambda r. \text{is-array-list64 } l \ a * \uparrow(r = l!i) \rangle$$

{proof}

lemma *arl-get-rule-arl64[sep-heap-rules]*:

$$i < \text{length } l \implies \langle \text{arl64-assn } T \ l \ a \rangle \\ \text{arl64-get-nat } a \ i \\ \langle \lambda r. \text{arl64-assn } T \ l \ a * \uparrow((r, l!i) \in \text{the-pure } T) \rangle$$

{proof}

definition *nth-aa64-nat* **where**

$$\langle \text{nth-aa64-nat } xs \ i \ j = \text{do } \{ \\ x \leftarrow \text{Array.nth } xs \ i; \\ y \leftarrow \text{arl64-get-nat } x \ j; \\ \text{return } y \} \rangle$$

lemma *nth-aa64-nat-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]*:

assumes *p*: $\langle \text{CONSTRAINT} \text{ is-pure } R \rangle$

shows

$$\langle (\text{uncurry2 } \text{nth-aa64-nat}, \text{ uncurry2 } (\text{RETURN } \circ\circ\circ \text{ nth-ll})) \in [\lambda((l,i),j). i < \text{length } l \wedge j < \text{length-ll } l \ i]_a \\ (\text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl64-assn } R))^k *_a \text{ nat-assn}^k *_a \text{ nat-assn}^k \rightarrow R \rangle$$

{proof}

definition *length-aa64-nat* :: $\langle ('a:\text{heap array-list64}) \text{ array} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat Heap} \rangle$ **where**

$$\langle \text{length-aa64-nat } xs \ i = \text{do } \{ \\ x \leftarrow \text{Array.nth } xs \ i; \\ n \leftarrow \text{arl64-length } x; \\ \text{return } (\text{nat-of-uint64 } n) \} \rangle$$

lemma *length-aa64-nat-rule[sep-heap-rules]*:

$$\langle b < \text{length } xs \implies \langle \text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl64-assn } R) \ xs \ a \rangle \text{ length-aa64-nat } a \ b \\ \langle \lambda r. \text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl64-assn } R) \ xs \ a * \uparrow(r = \text{length-ll } xs \ b) \rangle_t \rangle$$

{proof}

lemma *length-aa64-nat-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]*: $\langle (\text{uncurry } \text{length-aa64-nat}, \text{ uncurry } (\text{RETURN } \circ \text{ length-ll})) \in [\\ \lambda(xs, i). i < \text{length } xs]_a \ (\text{arrayO-assn } (\text{arl64-assn } R))^k *_a \text{ nat-assn}^k \rightarrow \text{nat-assn} \rangle$

{proof}

```

end
theory IICF-Array-List32
imports
  Refine-Imperative-HOL.IICF-List
  Separation-Logic-Imperative-HOL.Array-Blit
  Array-UInt
  WB-Word-Assn
begin

type-synonym 'a array-list32 = 'a Heap.array × uint32

definition is-array-list32 l ≡ λ(a,n). ∃A l'. a ↦a l' * ↑(nat-of-uint32 n ≤ length l' ∧ l = take (nat-of-uint32 n) l' ∧ length l' > 0 ∧ nat-of-uint32 n ≤ uint32-max ∧ length l' ≤ uint32-max)

lemma is-array-list32-prec[safe-constraint-rules]: precise is-array-list32
  ⟨proof⟩

definition arl32-empty ≡ do {
  a ← Array.new initial-capacity default;
  return (a,0)
}

definition arl32-empty-sz init-cap ≡ do {
  a ← Array.new (min uint32-max (max init-cap minimum-capacity)) default;
  return (a,0)
}

definition uint32-max-uint32 :: uint32 where
  ⟨uint32-max-uint32 = 2 ^32 - 1⟩

definition arl32-append ≡ λ(a,n) x. do {
  len ← length-u-code a;

  if n < len then do {
    a ← Array-upd-u n x a;
    return (a,n+1)
  } else do {
    let newcap = (if len < uint32-max-uint32 >> 1 then 2 * len else uint32-max-uint32);
    a ← array-grow a (nat-of-uint32 newcap) default;
    a ← Array-upd-u n x a;
    return (a,n+1)
  }
}

definition arl32-copy ≡ λ(a,n). do {
  a ← array-copy a;
  return (a,n)
}

definition arl32-length :: 'a::heap array-list32 ⇒ uint32 Heap where
  arl32-length ≡ λ(a,n). return (n)

definition arl32-is-empty :: 'a::heap array-list32 ⇒ bool Heap where
  arl32-is-empty ≡ λ(a,n). return (n=0)

definition arl32-last :: 'a::heap array-list32 ⇒ 'a Heap where

```

```


$$arl32-last \equiv \lambda(a,n). \text{do} \{$$

    
$$\text{nth-u-code } a \ (n - 1)$$

}

```

definition $arl32-butlast :: 'a::heap array-list32 \Rightarrow 'a array-list32 \text{ Heap where}$

$$arl32-butlast \equiv \lambda(a,n). \text{do} \{$$

$$\text{let } n = n - 1;$$

$$\text{len} \leftarrow \text{length-u-code } a;$$

$$\text{if } (n*4 < \text{len} \wedge \text{nat-of-uint32 } n*2 \geq \text{minimum-capacity}) \text{ then do} \{$$

$$\quad a \leftarrow \text{array-shrink } a \ (\text{nat-of-uint32 } n*2);$$

$$\quad \text{return } (a,n)$$

$$\} \text{ else}$$

$$\quad \text{return } (a,n)$$
}

definition $arl32-get :: 'a::heap array-list32 \Rightarrow \text{uint32} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ Heap where}$

$$arl32-get \equiv \lambda(a,n) \ i. \text{nth-u-code } a \ i$$

definition $arl32-set :: 'a::heap array-list32 \Rightarrow \text{uint32} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a array-list32 \text{ Heap where}$

$$arl32-set \equiv \lambda(a,n) \ i \ x. \text{do} \{ \ a \leftarrow \text{heap-array-set-u } a \ i \ x; \text{return } (a,n)\}$$

lemma $arl32-empty-rule[\text{sep-heap-rules}]: < \text{emp} > arl32-empty <\text{is-array-list32 } []>$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $arl32-empty-sz-rule[\text{sep-heap-rules}]: < \text{emp} > arl32-empty-sz N <\text{is-array-list32 } []>$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $arl32-copy-rule[\text{sep-heap-rules}]: < \text{is-array-list32 } l \ a > arl32-copy \ a <\lambda r. \text{is-array-list32 } l \ a * \text{is-array-list32 } l \ r>$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{nat-of-uint32-shiftl}: \langle \text{nat-of-uint32 } (xs >> a) = \text{nat-of-uint32 } xs >> a \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $[\text{simp}]: \langle \text{nat-of-uint32 } \text{uint32-max-uint32} = \text{uint32-max} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\langle 2 * (\text{uint32-max div 2}) = \text{uint32-max} - 1 \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $arl32-append-rule[\text{sep-heap-rules}]:$
assumes $\langle \text{length } l < \text{uint32-max} \rangle$
shows $< \text{is-array-list32 } l \ a >$
 $\quad arl32-append \ a \ x$
 $\quad <\lambda a. \text{is-array-list32 } (l @ [x]) \ a >_t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $arl32-length-rule[\text{sep-heap-rules}]:$
 $<\text{is-array-list32 } l \ a>$
 $\quad arl32-length \ a$
 $\quad <\lambda r. \text{is-array-list32 } l \ a * \uparrow(\text{nat-of-uint32 } r = \text{length } l)>$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $arl32-is-empty-rule[\text{sep-heap-rules}]:$

```

<is-array-list32 l a>
  arl32-is-empty a
<λr. is-array-list32 l a * ↑(r————(l=[]))>
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-of-uint32-ge-minus:
  ai ≥ bi ⇒
    nat-of-uint32 (ai - bi) = nat-of-uint32 ai - nat-of-uint32 bi
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arl32-last-rule[sep-heap-rules]:
  l ≠ [] ⇒
  <is-array-list32 l a>
  arl32-last a
  <λr. is-array-list32 l a * ↑(r=last l)>
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arl32-get-rule[sep-heap-rules]:
  i < length l ⇒ (i', i) ∈ uint32-nat-rel ⇒
  <is-array-list32 l a>
  arl32-get a i'
  <λr. is-array-list32 l a * ↑(r=!i)>
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arl32-set-rule[sep-heap-rules]:
  i < length l ⇒ (i', i) ∈ uint32-nat-rel ⇒
  <is-array-list32 l a>
  arl32-set a i' x
  <is-array-list32 (l[i:=x])>
  ⟨proof⟩

definition arl32-assn A ≡ hr-comp is-array-list32 ((the-pure A) list-rel)
lemmas [safe-constraint-rules] = CN-FALSEI[of is-pure arl32-assn A for A]

lemma arl32-assn-comp: is-pure A ⇒ hr-comp (arl32-assn A) ((B) list-rel) = arl32-assn (hr-comp A B)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arl32-assn-comp': hr-comp (arl32-assn id-assn) ((B) list-rel) = arl32-assn (pure B)
  ⟨proof⟩

context
  notes [fcomp-norm-unfold] = arl32-assn-def[symmetric] arl32-assn-comp'
  notes [intro!] = hrefI hn-refineI[THEN hn-refine-preI]
  notes [simp] = pure-def hn-ctxt-def invalid-assn-def
begin

lemma arl32-empty-hnr-aux: (uncurry0 arl32-empty, uncurry0 (RETURN op-list-empty)) ∈ unit-assnk
  →a is-array-list32
  ⟨proof⟩
  sepref-decl-impl (no-register) arl32-empty: arl32-empty-hnr-aux ⟨proof⟩

lemma arl32-empty-sz-hnr-aux: (uncurry0 (arl32-empty-sz N), uncurry0 (RETURN op-list-empty)) ∈

```

```

unit-assnk →a is-array-list32
⟨proof⟩

sepref-decl-impl (no-register) arl32-empty-sz: arl32-empty-sz-hnr-aux ⟨proof⟩

definition op-arl32-empty ≡ op-list-empty
definition op-arl32-empty-sz (N::nat) ≡ op-list-empty

lemma arl32-copy-hnr-aux: (arl32-copy,RETURN o op-list-copy) ∈ is-array-list32k →a is-array-list32
⟨proof⟩
sepref-decl-impl arl32-copy: arl32-copy-hnr-aux ⟨proof⟩

lemma arl32-append-hnr-aux: (uncurry arl32-append,uncurry (RETURN oo op-list-append)) ∈ [λ(xs,
x). length xs < uint32-max]a (is-array-list32d *a id-assnk) → is-array-list32
⟨proof⟩
sepref-decl-impl arl32-append: arl32-append-hnr-aux
⟨proof⟩

lemma arl32-length-hnr-aux: (arl32-length,RETURN o op-list-length) ∈ is-array-list32k →a uint32-nat-assn
⟨proof⟩
sepref-decl-impl arl32-length: arl32-length-hnr-aux ⟨proof⟩

lemma arl32-is-empty-hnr-aux: (arl32-is-empty,RETURN o op-list-is-empty) ∈ is-array-list32k →a
bool-assn
⟨proof⟩
sepref-decl-impl arl32-is-empty: arl32-is-empty-hnr-aux ⟨proof⟩

lemma arl32-last-hnr-aux: (arl32-last,RETURN o op-list-last) ∈ [pre-list-last]a is-array-list32k →
id-assn
⟨proof⟩
sepref-decl-impl arl32-last: arl32-last-hnr-aux ⟨proof⟩

lemma arl32-get-hnr-aux: (uncurry arl32-get,uncurry (RETURN oo op-list-get)) ∈ [λ(l,i). i < length
l]a (is-array-list32k *a uint32-nat-assnk) → id-assn
⟨proof⟩
sepref-decl-impl arl32-get: arl32-get-hnr-aux ⟨proof⟩

lemma arl32-set-hnr-aux: (uncurry2 arl32-set,uncurry2 (RETURN ooo op-list-set)) ∈ [λ((l,i),-).
i < length l]a (is-array-list32d *a uint32-nat-assnk *a id-assnk) → is-array-list32
⟨proof⟩
sepref-decl-impl arl32-set: arl32-set-hnr-aux ⟨proof⟩

sepref-definition arl32-swap is uncurry2 mop-list-swap :: ((arl32-assn id-assn)d *a uint32-nat-assnk
*a uint32-nat-assnk →a arl32-assn id-assn)
⟨proof⟩
sepref-decl-impl (ismop) arl32-swap: arl32-swap.refine ⟨proof⟩
end

interpretation arl32: list-custom-empty arl32-assn A arl32-empty op-arl32-empty
⟨proof⟩

lemma [def-pat-rules]: op-arl32-empty-sz$N ≡ UNPROTECT (op-arl32-empty-sz N) ⟨proof⟩

```

interpretation *arl32-sz*: *list-custom-empty arl32-assn A arl32-empty-sz N PR-CONST (op-arl32-empty-sz N)*
(proof)

definition *arl32-to-arl-conv* **where**
(arl32-to-arl-conv S = S)

definition *arl32-to-arl* :: $\langle 'a \text{ array-list32} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ array-list} \rangle$ **where**
(arl32-to-arl = $(\lambda(xs, n). (xs, \text{nat-of-uint32 } n))$)

lemma *arl32-to-arl-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]*:
 $((\text{return } o \text{ arl32-to-arl}, \text{RETURN } o \text{ arl32-to-arl-conv}) \in (\text{arl32-assn } R)^d \rightarrow_a \text{arl-assn } R)$
(proof)

definition *arl32-take* **where**
(arl32-take n = $(\lambda(xs, -). (xs, n))$)

lemma *arl32-take[sepref-fr-rules]*:
 $((\text{uncurry } (\text{return } oo \text{ arl32-take}), \text{uncurry } (\text{RETURN } oo \text{ take})) \in [\lambda(n, xs). n \leq \text{length } xs]_a \text{ uint32-nat-assn}^k *_a (\text{arl32-assn } R)^d \rightarrow \text{arl32-assn } R)$
(proof)

definition *arl32-butlast-nonresizing* :: $\langle 'a \text{ array-list32} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ array-list32} \rangle$ **where**
(arl32-butlast-nonresizing = $(\lambda(xs, a). (xs, a - 1))$)

lemma *butlast32-nonresizing-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]*:
 $((\text{return } o \text{ arl32-butlast-nonresizing}, \text{RETURN } o \text{ butlast-nonresizing}) \in [\lambda xs. xs \neq []]_a (\text{arl32-assn } R)^d \rightarrow \text{arl32-assn } R)$
(proof)

end

theory *WB-Sort*
imports *WB-More-Refinement WB-More-Refinement-List HOL-Library.Rewrite*
begin

Every element between *lo* and *hi* can be chosen as pivot element.

definition *choose-pivot* :: $\langle ('b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \text{ nres} \rangle$ **where**
(choose-pivot - - - lo hi = $SPEC(\lambda k. k \geq lo \wedge k \leq hi)$)

The element at index *p* partitions the subarray *lo..hi*. This means that every element

definition *isPartition-wrt* :: $\langle ('b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'b \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ **where**
(isPartition-wrt R xs lo hi p $\equiv (\forall i. i \geq lo \wedge i < p \longrightarrow R (xs!i) (xs!p)) \wedge (\forall j. j > p \wedge j \leq hi \longrightarrow R (xs!p) (xs!j))$)

lemma *isPartition-wrtI*:
 $((\bigwedge i. [i \geq lo; i < p] \implies R (xs!i) (xs!p)) \implies (\bigwedge j. [j > p; j \leq hi] \implies R (xs!p) (xs!j)) \implies$
(isPartition-wrt R xs lo hi p)
(proof)

definition *isPartition* :: $\langle 'a :: \text{order list} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ **where**
(isPartition xs lo hi p \equiv isPartition-wrt (\leq) xs lo hi p)

abbreviation *isPartition-map* :: $('b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$\langle \text{isPartition-map } R \ h \ xs \ i \ j \ k \equiv \text{isPartition-wrt } (\lambda a \ b. \ R \ (h \ a) \ (h \ b)) \ xs \ i \ j \ k \rangle$

lemma *isPartition-map-def'*:

$\langle lo \leq p \implies p \leq hi \implies hi < \text{length } xs \implies \text{isPartition-map } R \ h \ xs \ lo \ hi \ p = \text{isPartition-wrt } R \ (\text{map } h \ xs) \ lo \ hi \ p \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Example: 6 is the pivot element (with index 4); 7::'a is equal to the *length xs - 1*.

lemma $\langle \text{isPartition } [0,5,3,4,6,9,8,10::\text{nat}] \ 0 \ 7 \ 4 \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *sublist* :: $('a \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list})$ **where**
 $\langle \text{sublist } xs \ i \ j \equiv \text{take } (\text{Suc } j - i) \ (\text{drop } i \ xs) \rangle$

lemma *take-Suc0*:

$\langle l \neq [] \implies \text{take } (\text{Suc } 0) \ l = [l!0]$
 $0 < \text{length } l \implies \text{take } (\text{Suc } 0) \ l = [l!0]$
 $\text{Suc } n \leq \text{length } l \implies \text{take } (\text{Suc } 0) \ l = [l!0]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sublist-single*: $\langle i < \text{length } xs \implies \text{sublist } xs \ i \ i = [xs!i] \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *insert-eq*: $\langle \text{insert } a \ b = b \cup \{a\} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sublist-nth*: $\langle [lo \leq hi; hi < \text{length } xs; k+lo \leq hi] \implies (\text{sublist } xs \ lo \ hi)!k = xs!(lo+k) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sublist-length*: $\langle [i \leq j; j < \text{length } xs] \implies \text{length } (\text{sublist } xs \ i \ j) = 1 + j - i \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sublist-not-empty*: $\langle [i \leq j; j < \text{length } xs; xs \neq []] \implies \text{sublist } xs \ i \ j \neq [] \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sublist-app*: $\langle [i1 \leq i2; i2 \leq i3] \implies \text{sublist } xs \ i1 \ i2 @ \text{sublist } xs \ (\text{Suc } i2) \ i3 = \text{sublist } xs \ i1 \ i3 \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *sorted-sublist-wrt* :: $(('b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'b \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool})$ **where**
 $\langle \text{sorted-sublist-wrt } R \ xs \ lo \ hi = \text{sorted-wrt } R \ (\text{sublist } xs \ lo \ hi) \rangle$

definition *sorted-sublist* :: $('a :: \text{linorder list} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool})$ **where**
 $\langle \text{sorted-sublist } xs \ lo \ hi = \text{sorted-sublist-wrt } (\leq) \ xs \ lo \ hi \rangle$

abbreviation *sorted-sublist-map* :: $(('b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool})$ **where**

$\langle \text{sorted-sublist-map } R \ h \ xs \ lo \ hi \equiv \text{sorted-sublist-wrt } (\lambda a \ b. \ R \ (h \ a) \ (h \ b)) \ xs \ lo \ hi \rangle$

lemma *sorted-sublist-map-def'*:

$\langle lo < length xs \implies sorted-sublist-map R h xs lo hi \equiv sorted-sublist-wrt R (map h xs) lo hi \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sorted-sublist-wrt-refl*: $\langle i < length xs \implies sorted-sublist-wrt R xs i i \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sorted-sublist-refl*: $\langle i < length xs \implies sorted-sublist xs i i \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sorted-sublist-map-refl*: $\langle i < length xs \implies sorted-sublist-map R h xs i i \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sublist-map*: $\langle sublist (map f xs) i j = map f (sublist xs i j) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *take-set*: $\langle j \leq length xs \implies x \in set (take j xs) \equiv (\exists k. k < j \wedge xs!k = x) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *drop-set*: $\langle j \leq length xs \implies x \in set (drop j xs) \equiv (\exists k. j \leq k \wedge k < length xs \wedge xs!k = x) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sublist-el*: $\langle i \leq j \implies j < length xs \implies x \in set (sublist xs i j) \equiv (\exists k. k < Suc j - i \wedge xs!(i+k) = x) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sublist-el'*: $\langle i \leq j \implies j < length xs \implies x \in set (sublist xs i j) \equiv (\exists k. i \leq k \wedge k \leq j \wedge xs!k = x) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sublist-lt*: $\langle hi < lo \implies sublist xs lo hi = [] \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-le-eq-or-lt*: $\langle (a :: nat) \leq b = (a = b \vee a < b) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sorted-sublist-wrt-le*: $\langle hi \leq lo \implies hi < length xs \implies sorted-sublist-wrt R xs lo hi \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Elements in a sorted sublists are actually sorted

lemma *sorted-sublist-wrt-nth-le*:

assumes $\langle sorted-sublist-wrt R xs lo hi \rangle$ **and** $\langle lo \leq hi \rangle$ **and** $\langle hi < length xs \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle lo \leq i \rangle$ **and** $\langle i < j \rangle$ **and** $\langle j \leq hi \rangle$
shows $\langle R (xs!i) (xs!j) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

We can make the assumption $i < j$ weaker if we have a reflexivie relation.

lemma *sorted-sublist-wrt-nth-le'*:

assumes $\text{ref}: \langle \bigwedge x. R x x \rangle$
and $\langle sorted-sublist-wrt R xs lo hi \rangle$ **and** $\langle lo \leq hi \rangle$ **and** $\langle hi < length xs \rangle$
and $\langle lo \leq i \rangle$ **and** $\langle i \leq j \rangle$ **and** $\langle j \leq hi \rangle$
shows $\langle R (xs!i) (xs!j) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma sorted-sublist-le: $\langle hi \leq lo \Rightarrow hi < \text{length } xs \Rightarrow \text{sorted-sublist } xs \text{ lo } hi \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma sorted-sublist-map-le: $\langle hi \leq lo \Rightarrow hi < \text{length } xs \Rightarrow \text{sorted-sublist-map } R \text{ h } xs \text{ lo } hi \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma sublist-cons: $\langle lo < hi \Rightarrow hi < \text{length } xs \Rightarrow \text{sublist } xs \text{ lo } hi = xs!lo \# \text{sublist } xs (\text{Suc } lo) \text{ hi} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma sorted-sublist-wrt-cons':
 $\langle \text{sorted-sublist-wrt } R \text{ xs } (lo+1) \text{ hi} \Rightarrow lo \leq hi \Rightarrow hi < \text{length } xs \Rightarrow (\forall j. lo < j \wedge j \leq hi \rightarrow R (xs!lo) (xs!j)) \Rightarrow \text{sorted-sublist-wrt } R \text{ xs } lo \text{ hi} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma sorted-sublist-wrt-cons:
assumes trans: $\langle (\bigwedge x y z. [R x y; R y z] \Rightarrow R x z) \text{ and}$
 $\langle \text{sorted-sublist-wrt } R \text{ xs } (lo+1) \text{ hi} \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle lo \leq hi \rangle \text{ and } \langle hi < \text{length } xs \rangle \text{ and } \langle R (xs!lo) (xs!(lo+1)) \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{sorted-sublist-wrt } R \text{ xs } lo \text{ hi} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma sorted-sublist-map-cons:
 $\langle (\bigwedge x y z. [R (h x) (h y); R (h y) (h z)] \Rightarrow R (h x) (h z)) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{sorted-sublist-map } R \text{ h } xs \text{ (lo+1) hi} \Rightarrow lo \leq hi \Rightarrow hi < \text{length } xs \Rightarrow R (h (xs!lo)) (h (xs!(lo+1)))$
 $\Rightarrow \text{sorted-sublist-map } R \text{ h } xs \text{ lo } hi \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma sublist-snoc: $\langle lo < hi \Rightarrow hi < \text{length } xs \Rightarrow \text{sublist } xs \text{ lo } hi = \text{sublist } xs \text{ lo } (hi-1) @ [xs!hi] \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma sorted-sublist-wrt-snoc':
 $\langle \text{sorted-sublist-wrt } R \text{ xs } lo \text{ (hi-1)} \Rightarrow lo \leq hi \Rightarrow hi < \text{length } xs \Rightarrow (\forall j. lo \leq j \wedge j < hi \rightarrow R (xs!j) (xs!hi)) \Rightarrow \text{sorted-sublist-wrt } R \text{ xs } lo \text{ hi} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma sorted-sublist-wrt-snoc:
assumes trans: $\langle (\bigwedge x y z. [R x y; R y z] \Rightarrow R x z) \text{ and}$
 $\langle \text{sorted-sublist-wrt } R \text{ xs } lo \text{ (hi-1)} \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle lo \leq hi \rangle \text{ and } \langle hi < \text{length } xs \rangle \text{ and } \langle R (xs!(hi-1)) (xs!hi) \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{sorted-sublist-wrt } R \text{ xs } lo \text{ hi} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma sorted-sublist-map-snoc:
 $\langle (\bigwedge x y z. [R (h x) (h y); R (h y) (h z)] \Rightarrow R (h x) (h z)) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{sorted-sublist-map } R \text{ h } xs \text{ lo } (hi-1) \Rightarrow$
 $lo \leq hi \Rightarrow hi < \text{length } xs \Rightarrow (R (h (xs!(hi-1))) (h (xs!hi))) \Rightarrow \text{sorted-sublist-map } R \text{ h } xs \text{ lo } hi \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma sublist-split: $\langle lo \leq hi \Rightarrow lo < p \Rightarrow p < hi \Rightarrow hi < \text{length } xs \Rightarrow \text{sublist } xs \text{ lo } p @ \text{sublist } xs \\ (p+1) \text{ hi} = \text{sublist } xs \text{ lo } hi \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma sublist-split-part: $\langle lo \leq hi \Rightarrow lo < p \Rightarrow p < hi \Rightarrow hi < \text{length } xs \Rightarrow \text{sublist } xs \text{ lo } (p-1) @ \\ xs!p \# \text{sublist } xs (p+1) \text{ hi} = \text{sublist } xs \text{ lo } hi \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

A property for partitions (we always assume that R is transitive).

lemma isPartition-wrt-trans:
 $\langle (\wedge x y z. [R x y; R y z] \Rightarrow R x z) \Rightarrow \\ \text{isPartition-wrt } R \text{ xs lo hi } p \Rightarrow \\ (\forall i j. lo \leq i \wedge i < p \wedge p < j \wedge j \leq hi \rightarrow R (xs!i) (xs!j)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma isPartition-map-trans:
 $\langle (\wedge x y z. [R (h x) (h y); R (h y) (h z)] \Rightarrow R (h x) (h z)) \Rightarrow \\ hi < \text{length } xs \Rightarrow \\ \text{isPartition-map } R \text{ h xs lo hi } p \Rightarrow \\ (\forall i j. lo \leq i \wedge i < p \wedge p < j \wedge j \leq hi \rightarrow R (h (xs!i)) (h (xs!j))) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma merge-sorted-wrt-partitions-between':
 $\langle lo \leq hi \Rightarrow lo < p \Rightarrow p < hi \Rightarrow hi < \text{length } xs \Rightarrow \\ \text{isPartition-wrt } R \text{ xs lo hi } p \Rightarrow \\ \text{sorted-sublist-wrt } R \text{ xs lo } (p-1) \Rightarrow \text{sorted-sublist-wrt } R \text{ xs } (p+1) \text{ hi} \Rightarrow \\ (\forall i j. lo \leq i \wedge i < p \wedge p < j \wedge j \leq hi \rightarrow R (xs!i) (xs!j)) \Rightarrow \\ \text{sorted-sublist-wrt } R \text{ xs lo hi} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma merge-sorted-wrt-partitions-between:
 $\langle (\wedge x y z. [R x y; R y z] \Rightarrow R x z) \Rightarrow \\ \text{isPartition-wrt } R \text{ xs lo hi } p \Rightarrow \\ \text{sorted-sublist-wrt } R \text{ xs lo } (p-1) \Rightarrow \text{sorted-sublist-wrt } R \text{ xs } (p+1) \text{ hi} \Rightarrow \\ lo \leq hi \Rightarrow hi < \text{length } xs \Rightarrow lo < p \Rightarrow p < hi \Rightarrow hi < \text{length } xs \Rightarrow \\ \text{sorted-sublist-wrt } R \text{ xs lo hi} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The main theorem to merge sorted lists

lemma merge-sorted-wrt-partitions:
 $\langle \text{isPartition-wrt } R \text{ xs lo hi } p \Rightarrow \\ \text{sorted-sublist-wrt } R \text{ xs lo } (p - \text{Suc } 0) \Rightarrow \text{sorted-sublist-wrt } R \text{ xs } (\text{Suc } p) \text{ hi} \Rightarrow \\ lo \leq hi \Rightarrow lo \leq p \Rightarrow p \leq hi \Rightarrow hi < \text{length } xs \Rightarrow \\ (\forall i j. lo \leq i \wedge i < p \wedge p < j \wedge j \leq hi \rightarrow R (xs!i) (xs!j)) \Rightarrow \\ \text{sorted-sublist-wrt } R \text{ xs lo hi} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem merge-sorted-map-partitions:
 $\langle (\wedge x y z. [R (h x) (h y); R (h y) (h z)] \Rightarrow R (h x) (h z)) \Rightarrow \\ \text{isPartition-map } R \text{ h xs lo hi } p \Rightarrow \\ \text{sorted-sublist-map } R \text{ h xs lo } (p - \text{Suc } 0) \Rightarrow \text{sorted-sublist-map } R \text{ h xs } (\text{Suc } p) \text{ hi} \Rightarrow$

$lo \leq hi \Rightarrow lo \leq p \Rightarrow p \leq hi \Rightarrow hi < \text{length } xs \Rightarrow$
 $\langle \text{sorted-sublist-map } R h xs lo hi \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *partition-wrt-extend*:

$\langle \text{isPartition-wrt } R xs lo' hi' p \Rightarrow$
 $hi < \text{length } xs \Rightarrow$
 $lo \leq lo' \Rightarrow lo' \leq hi \Rightarrow hi' \leq hi \Rightarrow$
 $lo' \leq p \Rightarrow p \leq hi' \Rightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge i. lo \leq i \Rightarrow i < lo' \Rightarrow R (xs!i) (xs!p)) \Rightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge j. hi' < j \Rightarrow j \leq hi \Rightarrow R (xs!p) (xs!j)) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{isPartition-wrt } R xs lo hi p \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *partition-map-extend*:

$\langle \text{isPartition-map } R h xs lo' hi' p \Rightarrow$
 $hi < \text{length } xs \Rightarrow$
 $lo \leq lo' \Rightarrow lo' \leq hi \Rightarrow hi' \leq hi \Rightarrow$
 $lo' \leq p \Rightarrow p \leq hi' \Rightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge i. lo \leq i \Rightarrow i < lo' \Rightarrow R (h (xs!i)) (h (xs!p))) \Rightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge j. hi' < j \Rightarrow j \leq hi \Rightarrow R (h (xs!p)) (h (xs!j))) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{isPartition-map } R h xs lo hi p \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *isPartition-empty*:

$\langle (\bigwedge j. [lo < j; j \leq hi] \Rightarrow R (xs ! lo) (xs ! j)) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{isPartition-wrt } R xs lo hi lo \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *take-ext*:

$\langle (\forall i < k. xs'!i = xs!i) \Rightarrow$
 $k < \text{length } xs \Rightarrow k < \text{length } xs' \Rightarrow$
 $\text{take } k xs' = \text{take } k xs \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *drop-ext'*:

$\langle (\forall i. i \geq k \wedge i < \text{length } xs \rightarrow xs'!i = xs!i) \Rightarrow$
 $0 < k \Rightarrow xs \neq [] \Rightarrow$ — These corner cases will be dealt with in the next lemma
 $\text{length } xs' = \text{length } xs \Rightarrow$
 $\text{drop } k xs' = \text{drop } k xs \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *drop-ext*:

$\langle (\forall i. i \geq k \wedge i < \text{length } xs \rightarrow xs'!i = xs!i) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{length } xs' = \text{length } xs \Rightarrow$
 $\text{drop } k xs' = \text{drop } k xs \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sublist-ext'*:

$\langle (\forall i. lo \leq i \wedge i \leq hi \rightarrow xs'!i = xs!i) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{length } xs' = \text{length } xs \Rightarrow$

$lo \leq hi \Rightarrow Suc hi < length xs \Rightarrow$
 $\langle sublist xs' lo hi = sublist xs lo hi \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lt-Suc*: $\langle (a < b) = (Suc a = b \vee Suc a < b) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sublist-until-end-eq-drop*: $\langle Suc hi = length xs \Rightarrow sublist xs lo hi = drop lo xs \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sublist-ext*:
 $\langle (\forall i. lo \leq i \wedge i \leq hi \rightarrow xs'!i = xs!i) \Rightarrow$
 $length xs' = length xs \Rightarrow$
 $lo \leq hi \Rightarrow hi < length xs \Rightarrow$
 $\langle sublist xs' lo hi = sublist xs lo hi \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sorted-wrt-lower-sublist-still-sorted*:
assumes $\langle sorted-sublist-wrt R xs lo (lo' - Suc 0) \rangle$ and
 $\langle lo \leq lo' \rangle$ and $\langle lo' < length xs \rangle$ and
 $\langle (\forall i. lo \leq i \wedge i < lo' \rightarrow xs'!i = xs!i) \rangle$ and $\langle length xs' = length xs \rangle$
shows $\langle sorted-sublist-wrt R xs' lo (lo' - Suc 0) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sorted-map-lower-sublist-still-sorted*:
assumes $\langle sorted-sublist-map R h xs lo (lo' - Suc 0) \rangle$ and
 $\langle lo \leq lo' \rangle$ and $\langle lo' < length xs \rangle$ and
 $\langle (\forall i. lo \leq i \wedge i < lo' \rightarrow xs'!i = xs!i) \rangle$ and $\langle length xs' = length xs \rangle$
shows $\langle sorted-sublist-map R h xs' lo (lo' - Suc 0) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sorted-wrt-upper-sublist-still-sorted*:
assumes $\langle sorted-sublist-wrt R xs (hi' + 1) hi \rangle$ and
 $\langle lo \leq lo' \rangle$ and $\langle hi < length xs \rangle$ and
 $\langle \forall j. hi' < j \wedge j \leq hi \rightarrow xs'!j = xs!j \rangle$ and $\langle length xs' = length xs \rangle$
shows $\langle sorted-sublist-wrt R xs' (hi' + 1) hi \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sorted-map-upper-sublist-still-sorted*:
assumes $\langle sorted-sublist-map R h xs (hi' + 1) hi \rangle$ and
 $\langle lo \leq lo' \rangle$ and $\langle hi < length xs \rangle$ and
 $\langle \forall j. hi' < j \wedge j \leq hi \rightarrow xs'!j = xs!j \rangle$ and $\langle length xs' = length xs \rangle$
shows $\langle sorted-sublist-map R h xs' (hi' + 1) hi \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The specification of the partition function

definition *partition-spec* :: $\langle ('b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool \rangle$ where
 $\langle partition-spec R h xs lo hi xs' p \equiv$
 $mset xs' = mset xs \wedge$ — The list is a permutation
 $isPartition-map R h xs' lo hi p \wedge$ — We have a valid partition on the resulting list
 $lo \leq p \wedge p \leq hi \wedge$ — The partition index is in bounds
 $(\forall i. i < lo \rightarrow xs'!i = xs!i) \wedge (\forall i. hi < i \wedge i < length xs' \rightarrow xs'!i = xs!i)$ — Everything else is unchanged.

lemma *mathias*:

assumes

Perm: $\langle mset xs' = mset xs \rangle$

and $I: \langle lo \leq i \rangle \langle i \leq hi \rangle \langle xs'!i = x \rangle$

and Bounds: $\langle hi < length xs \rangle$

and Fix: $\langle \bigwedge i. i < lo \implies xs'!i = xs!i \rangle \langle \bigwedge j. [hi < j; j < length xs] \implies xs'!j = xs!j \rangle$

shows $\langle \exists j. lo \leq j \wedge j \leq hi \wedge xs!j = x \rangle$

(proof)

If we fix the left and right rest of two permuted lists, then the sublists are also permutations.

But we only need that the sets are equal.

lemma *mset-sublist-incl*:

assumes Perm: $\langle mset xs' = mset xs \rangle$

and Fix: $\langle \bigwedge i. i < lo \implies xs'!i = xs!i \rangle \langle \bigwedge j. [hi < j; j < length xs] \implies xs'!j = xs!j \rangle$

and bounds: $\langle lo \leq hi \rangle \langle hi < length xs \rangle$

shows $\langle set (sublist xs' lo hi) \subseteq set (sublist xs lo hi) \rangle$

(proof)

lemma *mset-sublist-eq*:

assumes $\langle mset xs' = mset xs \rangle$

and $\langle \bigwedge i. i < lo \implies xs'!i = xs!i \rangle$

and $\langle \bigwedge j. [hi < j; j < length xs] \implies xs'!j = xs!j \rangle$

and bounds: $\langle lo \leq hi \rangle \langle hi < length xs \rangle$

shows $\langle set (sublist xs' lo hi) = set (sublist xs lo hi) \rangle$

(proof)

Our abstract recursive quicksort procedure. We abstract over a partition procedure.

definition *quicksort* :: $\langle ('b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow nat \times nat \times 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list nres \rangle$ where
 $\langle quicksort R h = (\lambda (lo, hi, xs0). do \{$

RECT ($\lambda f (lo, hi, xs)$). do {

ASSERT($lo \leq hi \wedge hi < length xs \wedge mset xs = mset xs0$); — Premise for a partition function

$(xs, p) \leftarrow SPEC(uncurry (partition-spec R h xs lo hi))$; — Abstract partition function

ASSERT($mset xs = mset xs0$);

$xs \leftarrow (if p-1 \leq lo then RETURN xs else f (lo, p-1, xs))$;

ASSERT($mset xs = mset xs0$);

$if hi \leq p+1 then RETURN xs else f (p+1, hi, xs)$

$\}) (lo, hi, xs0)$

$\})$

As premise for quicksort, we only need that the indices are ok.

definition *quicksort-pre* :: $\langle ('b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow bool \rangle$ where
 $\langle quicksort-pre R h xs0 lo hi xs \equiv lo \leq hi \wedge hi < length xs \wedge mset xs = mset xs0 \rangle$

definition *quicksort-post* :: $\langle ('b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow bool \rangle$ where
 $\langle quicksort-post R h lo hi xs xs' \equiv$

$mset xs' = mset xs \wedge$

$sorted-sublist-map R h xs' lo hi \wedge$

$(\forall i. i < lo \rightarrow xs'!i = xs!i) \wedge$

$(\forall j. hi < j \wedge j < length xs \rightarrow xs'!j = xs!j)$

Convert Pure to HOL

lemma *quicksort-postI*:

$\langle \llbracket mset xs' = mset xs; sorted-sublist-map R h xs' lo hi; (\wedge i. \llbracket i < lo \rrbracket \implies xs'!i = xs!i); (\wedge j. \llbracket hi < j; j < length xs \rrbracket \implies xs'!j = xs!j) \rangle \implies quicksort-post R h lo hi xs xs' \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

The first case for the correctness proof of (abstract) quicksort: We assume that we called the partition function, and we have $p - (1::'a) \leq lo$ and $hi \leq p + (1::'a)$.

lemma *quicksort-correct-case1*:

assumes *trans*: $\langle \wedge x y z. \llbracket R(hx)(hy); R(hy)(hz) \rrbracket \implies R(hx)(hz) \rangle$ **and** *lin*: $\langle \wedge x y. R(hx)(hy) \vee R(hy)(hx) \rangle$

and *pre*: $\langle quicksort-pre R h xs0 lo hi xs \rangle$

and *part*: $\langle partition-spec R h xs lo hi xs' p \rangle$

and *ifs*: $\langle p - 1 \leq lo \rangle \langle hi \leq p + 1 \rangle$

shows $\langle quicksort-post R h lo hi xs xs' \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

In the second case, we have to show that the precondition still holds for $(p+1, hi, x')$ after the partition.

lemma *quicksort-correct-case2*:

assumes

pre: $\langle quicksort-pre R h xs0 lo hi xs \rangle$

and *part*: $\langle partition-spec R h xs lo hi xs' p \rangle$

and *ifs*: $\langle \neg hi \leq p + 1 \rangle$

shows $\langle quicksort-pre R h xs0 (Suc p) hi xs' \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *quicksort-post-set*:

assumes $\langle quicksort-post R h lo hi xs xs' \rangle$

and *bounds*: $\langle lo \leq hi \rangle \langle hi < length xs \rangle$

shows $\langle set(sublist xs' lo hi) = set(sublist xs lo hi) \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

In the third case, we have run quicksort recursively on $(p+1, hi, xs')$ after the partition, with $hi \leq p+1$ and $p-1 \leq lo$.

lemma *quicksort-correct-case3*:

assumes *trans*: $\langle \wedge x y z. \llbracket R(hx)(hy); R(hy)(hz) \rrbracket \implies R(hx)(hz) \rangle$ **and** *lin*: $\langle \wedge x y. R(hx)(hy) \vee R(hy)(hx) \rangle$

and *pre*: $\langle quicksort-pre R h xs0 lo hi xs \rangle$

and *part*: $\langle partition-spec R h xs lo hi xs' p \rangle$

and *ifs*: $\langle p - Suc 0 \leq lo \rangle \langle \neg hi \leq Suc p \rangle$

and *IH1'*: $\langle quicksort-post R h (Suc p) hi xs' xs'' \rangle$

shows $\langle quicksort-post R h lo hi xs xs'' \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

In the 4th case, we have to show that the premise holds for $(lo, p - (1::'b), xs')$, in case $\neg p - (1::'a) \leq lo$

Analogous to case 2.

lemma *quicksort-correct-case4*:

assumes

pre: $\langle quicksort-pre R h xs0 lo hi xs \rangle$

and *part*: $\langle partition-spec R h xs lo hi xs' p \rangle$

and ifs: $\neg p = \text{Suc } 0 \leq \text{lo}$
shows $\langle \text{quicksort-pre } R h \text{ xs0 lo } (p - \text{Suc } 0) \text{ xs}' \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

In the 5th case, we have run quicksort recursively on (lo, p-1, xs').

lemma *quicksort-correct-case5*:

assumes $\text{trans: } \langle \bigwedge x y z. [R(hx)(hy); R(hy)(hz)] \implies R(hx)(hz) \rangle$ **and lin:** $\langle \bigwedge x y. R(hx)(hy) \vee R(hy)(hx) \rangle$
and pre: $\langle \text{quicksort-pre } R h \text{ xs0 lo hi xs} \rangle$
and part: $\langle \text{partition-spec } R h \text{ xs lo hi xs' p} \rangle$
and ifs: $\langle \neg p = \text{Suc } 0 \leq \text{lo} \rangle \langle \text{hi} \leq \text{Suc } p \rangle$
and IH1': $\langle \text{quicksort-post } R h \text{ lo } (p - \text{Suc } 0) \text{ xs' xs''} \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{quicksort-post } R h \text{ lo hi xs xs''} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

In the 6th case, we have run quicksort recursively on (lo, p-1, xs'). We show the precondition on the second call on (p+1, hi, xs'')

lemma *quicksort-correct-case6*:

assumes
pre: $\langle \text{quicksort-pre } R h \text{ xs0 lo hi xs} \rangle$
and part: $\langle \text{partition-spec } R h \text{ xs lo hi xs' p} \rangle$
and ifs: $\langle \neg p = \text{Suc } 0 \leq \text{lo} \rangle \langle \neg \text{hi} \leq \text{Suc } p \rangle$
and IH1: $\langle \text{quicksort-post } R h \text{ lo } (p - \text{Suc } 0) \text{ xs' xs''} \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{quicksort-pre } R h \text{ xs0 } (\text{Suc } p) \text{ hi xs''} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

In the 7th (and last) case, we have run quicksort recursively on (lo, p-1, xs'). We show the postcondition on the second call on (p+1, hi, xs'')

lemma *quicksort-correct-case7*:

assumes $\text{trans: } \langle \bigwedge x y z. [R(hx)(hy); R(hy)(hz)] \implies R(hx)(hz) \rangle$ **and lin:** $\langle \bigwedge x y. R(hx)(hy) \vee R(hy)(hx) \rangle$
and pre: $\langle \text{quicksort-pre } R h \text{ xs0 lo hi xs} \rangle$
and part: $\langle \text{partition-spec } R h \text{ xs lo hi xs' p} \rangle$
and ifs: $\langle \neg p = \text{Suc } 0 \leq \text{lo} \rangle \langle \neg \text{hi} \leq \text{Suc } p \rangle$
and IH1': $\langle \text{quicksort-post } R h \text{ lo } (p - \text{Suc } 0) \text{ xs' xs''} \rangle$
and IH2': $\langle \text{quicksort-post } R h \text{ } (\text{Suc } p) \text{ hi xs'' xs'''} \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{quicksort-post } R h \text{ lo hi xs xs''} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

We can now show the correctness of the abstract quicksort procedure, using the refinement framework and the above case lemmas.

lemma *quicksort-correct*:

assumes $\text{trans: } \langle \bigwedge x y z. [R(hx)(hy); R(hy)(hz)] \implies R(hx)(hz) \rangle$ **and lin:** $\langle \bigwedge x y. R(hx)(hy) \vee R(hy)(hx) \rangle$
and Pre: $\langle \text{lo0} \leq \text{hi0} \rangle \langle \text{hi0} < \text{length xs0} \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{quicksort } R h \text{ (lo0, hi0, xs0)} \leq \Downarrow \text{Id } (\text{SPEC}(\lambda \text{xs}. \text{quicksort-post } R h \text{ lo0 hi0 xs0 xs})) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *partition-main-inv* :: $\langle ('b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \times \text{nat} \times 'a \text{ list}) \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ **where**

```

⟨partition-main-inv R h lo hi xs0 p ≡
  case p of (i,j,xs) ⇒
    j < length xs ∧ j ≤ hi ∧ i < length xs ∧ lo ≤ i ∧ i ≤ j ∧ mset xs = mset xs0 ∧
    (forall k. k ≥ lo ∧ k < i → R (h (xs!k)) (h (xs!hi))) ∧ — All elements from lo to i – (1::'c) are smaller
than the pivot
    (forall k. k ≥ i ∧ k < j → R (h (xs!hi)) (h (xs!k))) ∧ — All elements from i to j – (1::'c) are greater
than the pivot
    (forall k. k < lo → xs!k = xs0!k) ∧ — Everything below lo is unchanged
    (forall k. k ≥ j ∧ k < length xs → xs!k = xs0!k) — All elements from j are unchanged (including
everyting above hi)
  ⟩

```

The main part of the partition function. The pivot is assumed to be the last element. This is exactly the "Lomuto partition scheme" partition function from Wikipedia.

```

definition partition-main :: ⟨('b ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a list ⇒ ('a list × nat)
nres⟩ where
  ⟨partition-main R h lo hi xs0 = do {
    ASSERT(hi < length xs0);
    pivot ← RETURN (h (xs0 ! hi));
    (i,j,xs) ← WHILETpartition-main-inv R h lo hi xs0 — We loop from j = lo to j = hi – (1::'c).
    (λ(i,j,xs). j < hi)
    (λ(i,j,xs). do {
      ASSERT(i < length xs ∧ j < length xs);
      if R (h (xs!j)) pivot
      then RETURN (i+1, j+1, swap xs i j)
      else RETURN (i, j+1, xs)
    })
    (lo, lo, xs0); — i and j are both initialized to lo
    ASSERT(i < length xs ∧ j = hi ∧ lo ≤ i ∧ hi < length xs ∧ mset xs = mset xs0);
    RETURN (swap xs i hi, i)
  }⟩

```

lemma partition-main-correct:

assumes bounds: ⟨hi < length xs⟩ ⟨lo ≤ hi⟩ **and**
trans: ⟨ $\bigwedge x y z. [R(hx)(hy); R(hy)(hz)] \implies R(hx)(hz)$ ⟩ **and** lin: ⟨ $\bigwedge x y. R(hx)(hy) \vee R(hy)(hx)$ ⟩
shows ⟨partition-main R h lo hi xs ≤ SPEC(λ(xs', p). mset xs = mset xs' ∧
 $lo \leq p \wedge p \leq hi \wedge \text{isPartition-map } R h xs' lo hi p \wedge (\forall i. i < lo \rightarrow xs'!i = xs!i) \wedge (\forall i. hi < i \wedge i < \text{length } xs' \rightarrow xs'!i = xs!i)$ ⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

definition partition-between :: ⟨('b ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a list ⇒ ('a list × nat)
nres⟩ where
  ⟨partition-between R h lo hi xs0 = do {
    ASSERT(hi < length xs0 ∧ lo ≤ hi);
    k ← choose-pivot R h xs0 lo hi; — choice of pivot
    ASSERT(k < length xs0);
    xs ← RETURN (swap xs0 k hi); — move the pivot to the last position, before we start the actual
loop
    ASSERT(length xs = length xs0);
    partition-main R h lo hi xs
  }⟩

```

lemma *partition-between-correct*:

assumes $\langle hi < \text{length } xs \rangle$ **and** $\langle lo \leq hi \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle \forall x y z. [R(hx)(hy); R(hy)(hz)] \implies R(hx)(hz) \rangle$ **and** $\langle \forall x y. R(hx)(hy) \vee R(hy)(hx) \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{partition-between } R h lo hi xs \leq \text{SPEC}(\text{uncurry}(\text{partition-spec } R h xs lo hi)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

We use the median of the first, the middle, and the last element.

definition *choose-pivot3* **where**

```

⟨choose-pivot3 R h xs lo (hi::nat) = do {
    ASSERT(lo < length xs);
    ASSERT(hi < length xs);
    let k' = (hi - lo) div 2;
    let k = lo + k';
    ASSERT(k < length xs);
    let start = h (xs ! lo);
    let mid = h (xs ! k);
    let end = h (xs ! hi);
    if (R start mid ∧ R mid end) ∨ (R end mid ∧ R mid start) then RETURN k
    else if (R start end ∧ R end mid) ∨ (R mid end ∧ R end start) then RETURN hi
    else RETURN lo
}
}
```

— We only have to show that this procedure yields a valid index between *lo* and *hi*.

lemma *choose-pivot3-choose-pivot*:

assumes $\langle lo < \text{length } xs \rangle$ $\langle hi < \text{length } xs \rangle$ $\langle hi \geq lo \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{choose-pivot3 } R h xs lo hi \leq \Downarrow \text{Id}(\text{choose-pivot } R h xs lo hi) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The refined partition function: We use the above pivot function and fold instead of non-deterministic iteration.

definition *partition-between-ref*

$:: \langle ('b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow ('a \text{ list} \times \text{nat}) \text{ nres} \rangle$

where

```

⟨partition-between-ref R h lo hi xs0 = do {
    ASSERT(hi < length xs0 ∧ hi < length xs0 ∧ lo ≤ hi);
    k ← choose-pivot3 R h xs0 lo hi; — choice of pivot
    ASSERT(k < length xs0);
    xs ← RETURN (swap xs0 k hi); — move the pivot to the last position, before we start the actual
loop
    ASSERT(length xs = length xs0);
    partition-main R h lo hi xs
}
}
```

lemma *partition-main-ref'*:

$\langle \text{partition-main } R h lo hi xs$
 $\leq \Downarrow ((\lambda a b c d. \text{Id}) a b c d) (\text{partition-main } R h lo hi xs) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *partition-between-ref-partition-between*:

$\langle \text{partition-between-ref } R h lo hi xs \leq (\text{partition-between } R h lo hi xs) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Technical lemma for sepref

```

lemma partition-between-ref-partition-between':
  ⟨(uncurry2 (partition-between-ref R h), uncurry2 (partition-between R h)) ∈
    nat-rel ×f nat-rel ×f ⟨Id⟩list-rel →f ⟨⟨Id⟩list-rel ×r nat-rel⟩nres-rel⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Example instantiation for pivot

```

definition choose-pivot3-impl where
  ⟨choose-pivot3-impl = choose-pivot3 (≤) id⟩

```

```

lemma partition-between-ref-correct:
  assumes trans: ⟨ $\bigwedge x y z. [R(hx)(hy); R(hy)(hz)] \implies R(hx)(hz)$ ⟩ and lin: ⟨ $\bigwedge x y. R(hx)(hy) \vee R(hy)(hx)$ ⟩
  and bounds: ⟨ $hi < \text{length } xs$ ⟩ ⟨ $lo \leq hi$ ⟩
  shows ⟨ $\text{partition-between-ref } R h lo hi xs \leq \text{SPEC}(\text{uncurry}(\text{partition-spec } R h xs lo hi))$ ⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

term quicksort

Refined quicksort algorithm: We use the refined partition function.

```

definition quicksort-ref :: ⟨- ⇒ - ⇒ nat × nat × 'a list ⇒ 'a list nres⟩ where
  ⟨quicksort-ref R h = (λ(lo,hi,xs0).
    do {
      RECT (λf (lo,hi,xs). do {
        ASSERT(lo ≤ hi ∧ hi < length xs0 ∧ mset xs = mset xs0);
        (xs, p) ← partition-between-ref R h lo hi xs; — This is the refined partition function. Note that we
        need the premises (trans,lin,bounds) here.
        ASSERT(mset xs = mset xs0 ∧ p ≥ lo ∧ p < length xs0);
        xs ← (if p-1 ≤ lo then RETURN xs else f (lo, p-1, xs));
        ASSERT(mset xs = mset xs0);
        if hi ≤ p+1 then RETURN xs else f (p+1, hi, xs)
      }) (lo,hi,xs0)
    })⟩

```

```

lemma quicksort-ref-quicksort:
  assumes bounds: ⟨ $hi < \text{length } xs$ ⟩ ⟨ $lo \leq hi$ ⟩ and
    trans: ⟨ $\bigwedge x y z. [R(hx)(hy); R(hy)(hz)] \implies R(hx)(hz)$ ⟩ and lin: ⟨ $\bigwedge x y. R(hx)(hy) \vee R(hy)(hx)$ ⟩
  shows ⟨ $\text{quicksort-ref } R h x0 \leq \Downarrow \text{Id}(\text{quicksort } R h x0)$ ⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
definition full-quicksort where
  ⟨full-quicksort R h xs ≡ if xs = [] then RETURN xs else quicksort R h (0, length xs - 1, xs)⟩

```

```

definition full-quicksort-ref where
  ⟨full-quicksort-ref R h xs ≡
    if List.null xs then RETURN xs
    else quicksort-ref R h (0, length xs - 1, xs)⟩

```

```

definition full-quicksort-impl :: ⟨nat list ⇒ nat list nres⟩ where
  ⟨full-quicksort-impl xs = full-quicksort-ref (≤) id xs⟩

```

lemma full-quicksort-ref-full-quicksort:

```

assumes trans:  $\langle \bigwedge x y z. [R(hx)(hy); R(hy)(hz)] \implies R(hx)(hz) \rangle$  and lin:  $\langle \bigwedge x y. R(hx)(hy) \vee R(hy)(hx) \rangle$ 
shows  $\langle (\text{full-quicksort-ref } R h, \text{full-quicksort } R h) \in$ 
 $\langle \text{Id} \rangle \text{list-rel} \rightarrow_f \langle \langle \text{Id} \rangle \text{list-rel} \rangle \text{nres-rel} \rangle$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma sublist-entire:
 $\langle \text{sublist } xs \ 0 \ (\text{length } xs - 1) = xs \rangle$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma sorted-sublist-wrt-entire:
assumes  $\langle \text{sorted-sublist-wrt } R xs \ 0 \ (\text{length } xs - 1) \rangle$ 
shows  $\langle \text{sorted-wrt } R xs \rangle$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma sorted-sublist-map-entire:
assumes  $\langle \text{sorted-sublist-map } R h xs \ 0 \ (\text{length } xs - 1) \rangle$ 
shows  $\langle \text{sorted-wrt } (\lambda x y. R(hx)(hy)) xs \rangle$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

Final correctness lemma

```

lemma full-quicksort-correct-sorted:
assumes
  trans:  $\langle \bigwedge x y z. [R(hx)(hy); R(hy)(hz)] \implies R(hx)(hz) \rangle$  and lin:  $\langle \bigwedge x y. R(hx)(hy) \vee R(hy)(hx) \rangle$ 
  shows  $\langle \text{full-quicksort } R h xs \leq \Downarrow \text{Id} (\text{SPEC}(\lambda xs'. mset xs' = mset xs \wedge \text{sorted-wrt } (\lambda x y. R(hx)(hy)) xs')) \rangle$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma full-quicksort-correct:
assumes
  trans:  $\langle \bigwedge x y z. [R(hx)(hy); R(hy)(hz)] \implies R(hx)(hz) \rangle$  and
  lin:  $\langle \bigwedge x y. R(hx)(hy) \vee R(hy)(hx) \rangle$ 
shows  $\langle \text{full-quicksort } R h xs \leq \Downarrow \text{Id} (\text{SPEC}(\lambda xs'. mset xs' = mset xs)) \rangle$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

end
theory WB-Sort-SML
  imports WB-Sort WB-More-IICF-SML
begin

```

named-theorems isasat-codegen

```

lemma swap-match[isasat-codegen]:  $\langle \text{WB-More-Refinement-List.swap} = \text{IICF-List.swap} \rangle$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

sepref-register choose-pivot3

Example instantiation code for pivot

```

sepref-definition choose-pivot3-impl-code
  is  $\langle \text{uncurry2 } (\text{choose-pivot3-impl}) \rangle$ 
  ::  $\langle (\text{arl-assn } \text{nat-assn})^k *_a \text{nat-assn}^k *_a \text{nat-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{nat-assn} \rangle$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```
declare choose-pivot3-impl-code.refine[sepref-fr-rules]
```

Example instantiation for *partition-main*

```
definition partition-main-impl where
  <partition-main-impl = partition-main ( $\leq$ ) id>
```

```
sepref-register partition-main-impl
```

Example instantiation code for *partition-main*

```
sepref-definition partition-main-code
  is <uncurry2 (partition-main-impl)>
  :: <nat-assnk *a nat-assnk *a (arl-assn nat-assn)d →a
    arl-assn nat-assn *a nat-assn>
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
declare partition-main-code.refine[sepref-fr-rules]
```

Example instantiation for partition

```
definition partition-between-impl where
  <partition-between-impl = partition-between-ref ( $\leq$ ) id>
```

```
sepref-register partition-between-ref
```

Example instantiation code for partition

```
sepref-definition partition-between-code
  is <uncurry2 (partition-between-impl)>
  :: <nat-assnk *a nat-assnk *a (arl-assn nat-assn)d →a
    arl-assn nat-assn *a nat-assn>
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
declare partition-between-code.refine[sepref-fr-rules]
```

— Example implementation

```
definition quicksort-impl where
  <quicksort-impl a b c ≡ quicksort-ref ( $\leq$ ) id (a,b,c)>
```

```
sepref-register quicksort-impl
```

— Example implementation code

```
sepref-definition quicksort-code
  is <uncurry2 quicksort-impl>
  :: <nat-assnk *a nat-assnk *a (arl-assn nat-assn)d →a
    arl-assn nat-assn>
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
declare quicksort-code.refine[sepref-fr-rules]
```

Executable code for the example instance

```
sepref-definition full-quicksort-code
  is <full-quicksort-impl>
  :: <(arl-assn nat-assn)d →a
    arl-assn nat-assn>
  ⟨proof⟩
```

Export the code

```
export-code <nat-of-integer> <integer-of-nat> <partition-between-code> <full-quicksort-code> in SML-imp
module-name IsaQuicksort file code/quicksort.sml
```

```
end
```

```
theory Watched-Literals-Transition-System
```

```
imports WB-More-Refinement CDCL.CDCL-W-Abstract-State
```

```
CDCL.CDCL-W-Restart
```

```
begin
```


Chapter 1

Two-Watched Literals

1.1 Rule-based system

1.1.1 Types and Transitions System

Types and accessing functions

```
datatype 'v twl-clause =
  TWL-Clause (watched: 'v) (unwatched: 'v)

fun clause :: ('a twl-clause ⇒ 'a :: {plus}) where
  ⟨clause (TWL-Clause W UW) = W + UW⟩

abbreviation clauses :: ('a :: {plus} twl-clause multiset ⇒ 'a multiset) where
  ⟨clauses C ≡ clause ‘#’ C⟩

type-synonym 'v twl-cls = ('v clause twl-clause)
type-synonym 'v twl-cls = ('v twl-cls multiset)
type-synonym 'v clauses-to-update = ('v literal × 'v twl-cls) multiset
type-synonym 'v lit-queue = ('v literal multiset)
type-synonym 'v twl-st =
  (('v, 'v clause) ann-lits × 'v twl-cls × 'v twl-cls ×
   'v clause option × 'v clauses × 'v clauses × 'v clauses-to-update × 'v lit-queue)

fun get-trail :: ('v twl-st ⇒ ('v, 'v clause) ann-lit list) where
  ⟨get-trail (M, -, -, -, -, -, -) = M⟩

fun clauses-to-update :: ('v twl-st ⇒ ('v literal × 'v twl-cls) multiset) where
  ⟨clauses-to-update (-, -, -, -, -, -, WS, -) = WS⟩

fun set-clauses-to-update :: ('v literal × 'v twl-cls) multiset ⇒ 'v twl-st ⇒ 'v twl-st where
  ⟨set-clauses-to-update WS (M, N, U, D, NE, UE, -, Q) = (M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q)⟩

fun literals-to-update :: ('v twl-st ⇒ 'v lit-queue) where
  ⟨literals-to-update (-, -, -, -, -, -, Q) = Q⟩

fun set-literals-to-update :: ('v lit-queue ⇒ 'v twl-st ⇒ 'v twl-st) where
  ⟨set-literals-to-update Q (M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, -) = (M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q)⟩

fun set-conflict :: ('v clause ⇒ 'v twl-st ⇒ 'v twl-st) where
  ⟨set-conflict D (M, N, U, -, NE, UE, WS, Q) = (M, N, U, Some D, NE, UE, WS, Q)⟩
```

```

fun get-conflict ::  $\langle'v \text{ twl-st} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clause option}\rangle$  where
   $\langle\text{get-conflict } (M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) = D\rangle$ 

fun get-clauses ::  $\langle'v \text{ twl-st} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-clss}\rangle$  where
   $\langle\text{get-clauses } (M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) = N + U\rangle$ 

fun unit-clss ::  $\langle'v \text{ twl-st} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clause multiset}\rangle$  where
   $\langle\text{unit-clss } (M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) = NE + UE\rangle$ 

fun unit-init-clauses ::  $\langle'v \text{ twl-st} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clauses}\rangle$  where
   $\langle\text{unit-init-clauses } (M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) = NE\rangle$ 

fun get-all-init-clss ::  $\langle'v \text{ twl-st} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clause multiset}\rangle$  where
   $\langle\text{get-all-init-clss } (M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) = \text{clause } \# N + NE\rangle$ 

fun get-learned-clss ::  $\langle'v \text{ twl-st} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-clss}\rangle$  where
   $\langle\text{get-learned-clss } (M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) = U\rangle$ 

fun get-init-learned-clss ::  $\langle'v \text{ twl-st} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clauses}\rangle$  where
   $\langle\text{get-init-learned-clss } (-, N, U, -, -, UE, -) = UE\rangle$ 

fun get-all-learned-clss ::  $\langle'v \text{ twl-st} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clauses}\rangle$  where
   $\langle\text{get-all-learned-clss } (-, N, U, -, -, UE, -) = \text{clause } \# U + UE\rangle$ 

fun get-all-clss ::  $\langle'v \text{ twl-st} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clause multiset}\rangle$  where
   $\langle\text{get-all-clss } (M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) = \text{clause } \# N + NE + \text{clause } \# U + UE\rangle$ 

fun update-clause where
   $\langle\text{update-clause } (\text{TWL-Clause } W UW) L L' =$ 
     $\text{TWL-Clause } (\text{add-mset } L' (\text{remove1-mset } L W)) (\text{add-mset } L (\text{remove1-mset } L' UW))\rangle$ 

```

When updating clause, we do it non-deterministically: in case of duplicate clause in the two sets, one of the two can be updated (and it does not matter), contrary to an if-condition. In later refinement, we know where the clause comes from and update it.

```

inductive update-clauses :: 
   $\langle'a \text{ multiset twl-clause multiset} \times 'a \text{ multiset twl-clause multiset} \Rightarrow$ 
   $'a \text{ multiset twl-clause} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow$ 
   $'a \text{ multiset twl-clause multiset} \times 'a \text{ multiset twl-clause multiset} \Rightarrow \text{bool}\rangle$  where
   $\langle D \in \# N \implies \text{update-clauses } (N, U) D L L' (\text{add-mset } (\text{update-clause } D L L') (\text{remove1-mset } D N),$ 
   $U))$ 
   $| D \in \# U \implies \text{update-clauses } (N, U) D L L' (N, \text{add-mset } (\text{update-clause } D L L') (\text{remove1-mset } D$ 
   $U))\rangle$ 

```

```
inductive-cases update-clausesE:  $\langle\text{update-clauses } (N, U) D L L' (N', U')\rangle$ 
```

The Transition System

We ensure that there are always 2 watched literals and that they are different. All clauses containing a single literal are put in NE or UE .

```

inductive cdcl-twl-cp ::  $\langle'v \text{ twl-st} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st} \Rightarrow \text{bool}\rangle$  where
  pop:
   $\langle\text{cdcl-twl-cp } (M, N, U, \text{None}, NE, UE, \{\#\}, \text{add-mset } L Q)$ 
   $(M, N, U, \text{None}, NE, UE, \{\#(L, C)|C \in \# N + U. L \in \# \text{watched } C\#\}, Q)\rangle$ 
  propagate:
   $\langle\text{cdcl-twl-cp } (M, N, U, \text{None}, NE, UE, \text{add-mset } (L, D) WS, Q)$ 

```

$\langle \text{Propagated } L' (\text{clause } D) \# M, N, U, \text{None}, \text{NE}, \text{UE}, \text{WS}, \text{add-mset } (-L') Q \rangle$
if
 $\langle \text{watched } D = \{\#L, L'\#\} \rangle \text{ and } \langle \text{undefined-lit } M L' \rangle \text{ and } \forall L \in \# \text{ unwatched } D. -L \in \text{lits-of-l } M \rangle$ |
conflict:
 $\langle \text{cdcl-twl-cp } (M, N, U, \text{None}, \text{NE}, \text{UE}, \text{add-mset } (L, D) \text{ WS}, Q)$
 $(M, N, U, \text{Some } (\text{clause } D), \text{NE}, \text{UE}, \{\#\}, \{\#\}) \rangle$
if $\langle \text{watched } D = \{\#L, L'\#\} \rangle \text{ and } \langle -L' \in \text{lits-of-l } M \rangle \text{ and } \forall L \in \# \text{ unwatched } D. -L \in \text{lits-of-l } M \rangle$ |
delete-from-working:
 $\langle \text{cdcl-twl-cp } (M, N, U, \text{None}, \text{NE}, \text{UE}, \text{add-mset } (L, D) \text{ WS}, Q) (M, N, U, \text{None}, \text{NE}, \text{UE}, \text{WS}, Q) \rangle$
if $\langle L' \in \# \text{ clause } D \rangle \text{ and } \langle L' \in \text{lits-of-l } M \rangle$ |
update-clause:
 $\langle \text{cdcl-twl-cp } (M, N, U, \text{None}, \text{NE}, \text{UE}, \text{add-mset } (L, D) \text{ WS}, Q)$
 $(M, N', U', \text{None}, \text{NE}, \text{UE}, \text{WS}, Q) \rangle$
if $\langle \text{watched } D = \{\#L, L'\#\} \rangle \text{ and } \langle -L \in \text{lits-of-l } M \rangle \text{ and } \langle L' \notin \text{lits-of-l } M \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle K \in \# \text{ unwatched } D \rangle \text{ and } \langle \text{undefined-lit } M K \vee K \in \text{lits-of-l } M \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle \text{update-clauses } (N, U) D L K (N', U') \rangle$
 — The condition $-L \in \text{lits-of-l } M$ is already implied by *valid* invariant.

inductive-cases cdcl-twl-cpE : $\langle \text{cdcl-twl-cp } S T \rangle$

We do not care about the *literals-to-update* literals.

inductive $\text{cdcl-twl-o} :: \langle 'v \text{ twl-st} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ **where**

decide:

$\langle \text{cdcl-twl-o } (M, N, U, \text{None}, \text{NE}, \text{UE}, \{\#\}, \{\#\}) (\text{Decided } L \# M, N, U, \text{None}, \text{NE}, \text{UE}, \{\#\}, \{\#-L\#\}) \rangle$
if $\langle \text{undefined-lit } M L \rangle \text{ and } \langle \text{atm-of } L \in \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{clause } \{\# N + \text{NE}\}) \rangle$
| *skip:*
 $\langle \text{cdcl-twl-o } (\text{Propagated } L C' \# M, N, U, \text{Some } D, \text{NE}, \text{UE}, \{\#\}, \{\#\})$
 $(M, N, U, \text{Some } D, \text{NE}, \text{UE}, \{\#\}, \{\#\}) \rangle$
if $\langle -L \notin \# D \rangle \text{ and } \langle D \neq \{\#\} \rangle$
| *resolve:*
 $\langle \text{cdcl-twl-o } (\text{Propagated } L C \# M, N, U, \text{Some } D, \text{NE}, \text{UE}, \{\#\}, \{\#\})$
 $(M, N, U, \text{Some } (\text{cdclW-restart-mset.resolve-cls } L D C), \text{NE}, \text{UE}, \{\#\}, \{\#\}) \rangle$
if $\langle -L \in \# D \rangle \text{ and }$
 $\langle \text{get-maximum-level } (\text{Propagated } L C \# M) (\text{remove1-mset } (-L) D) = \text{count-decided } M \rangle$
| *backtrack-unit-clause:*
 $\langle \text{cdcl-twl-o } (M, N, U, \text{Some } D, \text{NE}, \text{UE}, \{\#\}, \{\#\})$
 $(\text{Propagated } L \{\#L\#} \# M1, N, U, \text{None}, \text{NE}, \text{add-mset } \{\#L\#} \text{ UE}, \{\#\}, \{\#-L\#\}) \rangle$
if
 $\langle L \in \# D \rangle \text{ and }$
 $\langle (\text{Decided } K \# M1, M2) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } M) \rangle \text{ and }$
 $\langle \text{get-level } M L = \text{count-decided } M \rangle \text{ and }$
 $\langle \text{get-level } M L = \text{get-maximum-level } M D' \rangle \text{ and }$
 $\langle \text{get-maximum-level } M (D' - \{\#L\#}) \equiv i \rangle \text{ and }$
 $\langle \text{get-level } M K = i + 1 \rangle$
 $\langle D' = \{\#L\#} \rangle \text{ and }$
 $\langle D' \subseteq \# D \rangle \text{ and }$
 $\langle \text{clause } \{\# (N + U) + \text{NE} + \text{UE} \models pm D' \rangle$
| *backtrack-nonunit-clause:*
 $\langle \text{cdcl-twl-o } (M, N, U, \text{Some } D, \text{NE}, \text{UE}, \{\#\}, \{\#\})$
 $(\text{Propagated } L D' \# M1, N, \text{add-mset } (\text{TWL-Clause } \{\#L, L'\#} (D' - \{\#L, L'\#})) U, \text{None}, \text{NE},$
 $\text{UE}, \{\#\}, \{\#-L\#\}) \rangle$
if
 $\langle L \in \# D \rangle \text{ and }$
 $\langle (\text{Decided } K \# M1, M2) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } M) \rangle \text{ and }$

```

⟨get-level M L = count-decided M⟩ and
⟨get-level M L = get-maximum-level M D'⟩ and
⟨get-maximum-level M (D' - {#L#}) ≡ i⟩ and
⟨get-level M K = i + 1⟩
⟨D' ≠ {#L#}⟩ and
⟨D' ⊆# D⟩ and
⟨clause '#(N + U) + NE + UE |=pm D'⟩ and
⟨L ∈# D'⟩
⟨L' ∈# D'⟩ and — L' is the new watched literal
⟨get-level M L' = i⟩

```

inductive-cases *cdcl-tw1-oE*: ⟨*cdcl-tw1-o S T*

inductive *cdcl-tw1-stgy* :: ⟨'v tw1-st ⇒ 'v tw1-st ⇒ bool⟩ **for** *S* :: ⟨'v tw1-st⟩ **where**
cp: ⟨*cdcl-tw1-cp S S'* ⇒⇒ *cdcl-tw1-stgy S S'*⟩ |
other'': ⟨*cdcl-tw1-o S S'* ⇒⇒ *cdcl-tw1-stgy S S'*⟩

inductive-cases *cdcl-tw1-stgyE*: ⟨*cdcl-tw1-stgy S T*

1.1.2 Definition of the Two-watched Literals Invariants

Definitions

The structural invariants states that there are at most two watched elements, that the watched literals are distinct, and that there are 2 watched literals if there are at least than two different literals in the full clauses.

primrec *struct-wf-tw1-cls* :: ⟨'v multiset tw1-clause ⇒ bool⟩ **where**
⟨*struct-wf-tw1-cls* (TWL-Clause *W UW*) ⟷
size *W* = 2 ∧ distinct-mset (*W* + *UW*)⟩

fun *stateW-of* :: ⟨'v tw1-st ⇒ 'v cdclW-restart-mset⟩ **where**
⟨*stateW-of* (*M, N, U, C, NE, UE, Q*) =
(*M, clause '# N + NE, clause '# U + UE, C*)⟩

named-theorems *tw1-st* ⟨Conversions simp rules⟩

lemma [*tw1-st*]: ⟨*trail (stateW-of S') = get-trail S'*⟩
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma [*tw1-st*]:
⟨*get-trail S' ≠ []* ⇒⇒ *cdclW-restart-mset.hd-trail (stateW-of S') = hd (get-trail S')*⟩
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma [*tw1-st*]: ⟨*conflicting (stateW-of S') = get-conflict S'*⟩
⟨*proof*⟩

The invariant on the clauses is the following:

- the structure is correct (the watched part is of length exactly two).
- if we do not have to update the clause, then the invariant holds.

definition *tw1-is-an-exception* :: ⟨'a multiset tw1-clause ⇒ 'a multiset ⇒
('b × 'a multiset tw1-clause) multiset ⇒ bool
where

$\langle twl-is-an-exception C Q WS \longleftrightarrow (\exists L. L \in \# Q \wedge L \in \# watched C) \vee (\exists L. (L, C) \in \# WS) \rangle$

definition *is-blit* :: $\langle ('a, 'b) ann-lits \Rightarrow 'a clause \Rightarrow 'a literal \Rightarrow bool \text{ where } [simp]: \langle is-blit M D L \longleftrightarrow (L \in \# D \wedge L \in lits-of-l M) \rangle$

definition *has-blit* :: $\langle ('a, 'b) ann-lits \Rightarrow 'a clause \Rightarrow 'a literal \Rightarrow bool \text{ where } \langle has-blit M D L' \longleftrightarrow (\exists L. is-blit M D L \wedge get-level M L \leq get-level M L') \rangle$

This invariant state that watched literals are set at the end and are not swapped with an unwatched literal later.

fun *twl-lazy-update* :: $\langle ('a, 'b) ann-lits \Rightarrow 'a twl-cls \Rightarrow bool \text{ where } \langle twl-lazy-update M (TWL-Clause W UW) \longleftrightarrow (\forall L. L \in \# W \longrightarrow -L \in lits-of-l M \longrightarrow \neg has-blit M (W+UW) L \longrightarrow (\forall K \in \# UW. get-level M L \geq get-level M K \wedge \neg K \in lits-of-l M)) \rangle$

If one watched literals has been assigned to false ($-L \in lits-of-l M$) and the clause has not yet been updated ($L' \notin lits-of-l M$: it should be removed either by updating L , propagating L' , or marking the conflict), then the literals L is of maximal level.

fun *watched-literals-false-of-max-level* :: $\langle ('a, 'b) ann-lits \Rightarrow 'a twl-cls \Rightarrow bool \text{ where } \langle watched-literals-false-of-max-level M (TWL-Clause W UW) \longleftrightarrow (\forall L. L \in \# W \longrightarrow -L \in lits-of-l M \longrightarrow \neg has-blit M (W+UW) L \longrightarrow get-level M L = count-decided M) \rangle$

This invariants talks about the enqueued literals:

- the working stack contains a single literal;
- the working stack and the *literals-to-update* literals are false with respect to the trail and there are no duplicates;
- and the latter condition holds even when $WS = \{\#\}$.

fun *no-duplicate-queued* :: $\langle 'v twl-st \Rightarrow bool \text{ where } \langle no-duplicate-queued (M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) \longleftrightarrow (\forall C C'. C \in \# WS \longrightarrow C' \in \# WS \longrightarrow fst C = fst C') \wedge (\forall C. C \in \# WS \longrightarrow add-mset (fst C) Q \subseteq \# uminus '\# lit-of '\# mset M) \wedge Q \subseteq \# uminus '\# lit-of '\# mset M \rangle$

lemma *no-duplicate-queued-alt-def*:

$\langle no-duplicate-queued S = ((\forall C C'. C \in \# clauses-to-update S \longrightarrow C' \in \# clauses-to-update S \longrightarrow fst C = fst C') \wedge (\forall C. C \in \# clauses-to-update S \longrightarrow add-mset (fst C) (literals-to-update S) \subseteq \# uminus '\# lit-of '\# mset (get-trail S)) \wedge literals-to-update S \subseteq \# uminus '\# lit-of '\# mset (get-trail S)) \rangle$

(proof)

fun *distinct-queued* :: $\langle 'v twl-st \Rightarrow bool \text{ where } \langle distinct-queued (M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) \longleftrightarrow distinct-mset Q \wedge (\forall L C. count WS (L, C) \leq count (N + U) C) \rangle$

These are the conditions to indicate that the 2-WL invariant does not hold and is not *literals-to-update*.

fun *clauses-to-update-prop* **where**

```

⟨clauses-to-update-prop Q M (L, C) ⟷
  (L ∈# watched C ∧ −L ∈ lits-of-l M ∧ L ∉# Q ∧ ¬has-blit M (clause C) L)
declare clauses-to-update-prop.simps[simp del]

```

This invariants talks about the enqueueued literals:

- all clauses that should be updated are in WS and are repeated often enough in it.
- if $WS = \{\#\}$, then there are no clauses to updated that is not enqueueued;
- all clauses to updated are either in WS or Q .

The first two conditions are written that way to please Isabelle.

```

fun clauses-to-update-inv :: ⟨'v twl-st ⇒ bool⟩ where
⟨clauses-to-update-inv (M, N, U, None, NE, UE, WS, Q) ⟷
  ( ∀ L C. ((L, C) ∈# WS → {#(L, C)| C ∈# N + U. clauses-to-update-prop Q M (L, C)#} ⊆# WS)) ∧
    ( ∀ L. WS = {#} → {#(L, C)| C ∈# N + U. clauses-to-update-prop Q M (L, C)#} = {#}) ∧
      ( ∀ L C. C ∈# N + U → L ∈# watched C → −L ∈ lits-of-l M → ¬has-blit M (clause C) L
      →
        (L, C) ∉# WS → L ∈# Q)
| ⟨clauses-to-update-inv (M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) ⟷ True⟩

```

This is the invariant of the 2WL structure: if one watched literal is false, then all unwatched are false.

```

fun twl-exception-inv :: ⟨'v twl-st ⇒ 'v twl-cls ⇒ bool⟩ where
⟨twl-exception-inv (M, N, U, None, NE, UE, WS, Q) C ⟷
  ( ∀ L. L ∈# watched C → −L ∈ lits-of-l M → ¬has-blit M (clause C) L →
    L ∉# Q → (L, C) ∉# WS →
    ( ∀ K ∈# unwatched C. −K ∈ lits-of-l M))
| ⟨twl-exception-inv (M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) C ⟷ True⟩

```

```
declare twl-exception-inv.simps[simp del]
```

```

fun twl-st-exception-inv :: ⟨'v twl-st ⇒ bool⟩ where
⟨twl-st-exception-inv (M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) ⟷
  ( ∀ C ∈# N + U. twl-exception-inv (M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) C)

```

Candidates for propagation (i.e., the clause where only one literals is non assigned) are enqueueued.

```

fun propa-cands-enqueued :: ⟨'v twl-st ⇒ bool⟩ where
⟨propa-cands-enqueued (M, N, U, None, NE, UE, WS, Q) ⟷
  ( ∀ L C. C ∈# N + U → L ∈# clause C → M |=as CNot (remove1-mset L (clause C)) →
    undefined-lit M L →
    ( ∃ L'. L' ∈# watched C ∧ L' ∉# Q) ∨ ( ∃ L. (L, C) ∈# WS))
| ⟨propa-cands-enqueued (M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) ⟷ True⟩

```

```

fun confl-cands-enqueued :: ⟨'v twl-st ⇒ bool⟩ where
⟨confl-cands-enqueued (M, N, U, None, NE, UE, WS, Q) ⟷
  ( ∀ C ∈# N + U. M |=as CNot (clause C) →
    ( ∃ L'. L' ∈# watched C ∧ L' ∉# Q) ∨ ( ∃ L. (L, C) ∈# WS))
| ⟨confl-cands-enqueued (M, N, U, Some -, NE, UE, WS, Q) ⟷
  True⟩

```

This invariant talk about the decomposition of the trail and the invariants that holds in these states.

```

fun past-invs ::  $\langle'v \text{twl-st} \Rightarrow \text{bool}\rangle$  where
  ⟨past-invs (M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) ⟷
    ( $\forall M_1 M_2 K. M = M_2 @ \text{Decided } K \# M_1 \longrightarrow$ 
     ( $\forall C \in \# N + U. \text{twl-lazy-update } M_1 C \wedge$ 
       $\text{watched-literals-false-of-max-level } M_1 C \wedge$ 
       $\text{twl-exception-inv } (M_1, N, U, \text{None}, NE, UE, \{\#\}, \{\#\}) C) \wedge$ 
       $\text{confl-cands-enqueued } (M_1, N, U, \text{None}, NE, UE, \{\#\}, \{\#\}) \wedge$ 
       $\text{propa-cands-enqueued } (M_1, N, U, \text{None}, NE, UE, \{\#\}, \{\#\}) \wedge$ 
       $\text{clauses-to-update-inv } (M_1, N, U, \text{None}, NE, UE, \{\#\}, \{\#\}))$ )
  declare past-invs.simps[simp del]

fun twl-st-inv ::  $\langle'v \text{twl-st} \Rightarrow \text{bool}\rangle$  where
  ⟨twl-st-inv (M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) ⟷
    ( $\forall C \in \# N + U. \text{struct-wf-twl-cls } C) \wedge$ 
     ( $\forall C \in \# N + U. D = \text{None} \longrightarrow \neg \text{twl-is-an-exception } C Q WS \longrightarrow (\text{twl-lazy-update } M C)) \wedge$ 
     ( $\forall C \in \# N + U. D = \text{None} \longrightarrow \text{watched-literals-false-of-max-level } M C)$ )

lemma twl-st-inv-alt-def:
  ⟨twl-st-inv S ⟷
    ( $\forall C \in \# \text{get-clauses } S. \text{struct-wf-twl-cls } C) \wedge$ 
     ( $\forall C \in \# \text{get-clauses } S. \text{get-conflict } S = \text{None} \longrightarrow$ 
       $\neg \text{twl-is-an-exception } C (\text{literals-to-update } S) (\text{clauses-to-update } S) \longrightarrow$ 
       $(\text{twl-lazy-update } (\text{get-trail } S) C)) \wedge$ 
     ( $\forall C \in \# \text{get-clauses } S. \text{get-conflict } S = \text{None} \longrightarrow$ 
       $\text{watched-literals-false-of-max-level } (\text{get-trail } S) C)$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

```

All the unit clauses are all propagated initially except when we have found a conflict of level 0.

```

fun entailed-clss-inv ::  $\langle'v \text{twl-st} \Rightarrow \text{bool}\rangle$  where
  ⟨entailed-clss-inv (M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) ⟷
    ( $\forall C \in \# NE + UE.$ 
     ( $\exists L. L \in \# C \wedge (D = \text{None} \vee \text{count-decided } M > 0 \longrightarrow \text{get-level } M L = 0 \wedge L \in \text{lits-of-l } M))$ )

```

literals-to-update literals are of maximum level and their negation is in the trail.

```

fun valid-enqueued ::  $\langle'v \text{twl-st} \Rightarrow \text{bool}\rangle$  where
  ⟨valid-enqueued (M, N, U, C, NE, UE, WS, Q) ⟷
    ( $\forall (L, C) \in \# WS. L \in \# \text{watched } C \wedge C \in \# N + U \wedge \neg L \in \text{lits-of-l } M \wedge$ 
      $\text{get-level } M L = \text{count-decided } M) \wedge$ 
    ( $\forall L \in \# Q. \neg L \in \text{lits-of-l } M \wedge \text{get-level } M L = \text{count-decided } M)$ )

```

Putting invariants together:

```

definition twl-struct-invs ::  $\langle'v \text{twl-st} \Rightarrow \text{bool}\rangle$  where
  ⟨twl-struct-invs S ⟷
    (twl-st-inv S  $\wedge$ 
     valid-enqueued S  $\wedge$ 
     cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (stateW-of S)  $\wedge$ 
     cdclW-restart-mset.no-smaller-propa (stateW-of S)  $\wedge$ 
     twl-st-exception-inv S  $\wedge$ 
     no-duplicate-queued S  $\wedge$ 
     distinct-queued S  $\wedge$ 
     confl-cands-enqueued S  $\wedge$ 
     propa-cands-enqueued S  $\wedge$ 
     ( $\text{get-conflict } S \neq \text{None} \longrightarrow \text{clauses-to-update } S = \{\#\} \wedge \text{literals-to-update } S = \{\#\}$ )  $\wedge$ 
     entailed-clss-inv S  $\wedge$ 
     clauses-to-update-inv S  $\wedge$ 
     )

```

```

  past-invs S)
>

definition twl-stgy-invs :: <'v twl-st ⇒ bool> where
  twl-stgy-invs S ↔→
    cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-stgy-invariant (stateW-of S) ∧
    cdclW-restart-mset.conflict-non-zero-unless-level-0 (stateW-of S))

```

Initial properties

lemma twl-is-an-exception-add-mset-to-queue: <twl-is-an-exception C (add-mset L Q) WS ↔→ (twl-is-an-exception C Q WS ∨ (L ∈# watched C))>
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma twl-is-an-exception-add-mset-to-clauses-to-update:
 <twl-is-an-exception C Q (add-mset (L, D) WS) ↔→ (twl-is-an-exception C Q WS ∨ C = D)>
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma twl-is-an-exception-empty[simp]: <¬twl-is-an-exception C {#} {#}>
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma twl-inv-empty-trail:
shows
 <watched-literals-false-of-max-level [] C> and
 <twl-lazy-update [] C>
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma clauses-to-update-inv-cases[case-names WS-nempty WS-empty Q]:
assumes
 <∀L C. (L, C) ∈# WS ⇒ {#(L, C)| C ∈# N + U. clauses-to-update-prop Q M (L, C)#} ⊆# WS> and
 <∀L. WS = {#} ⇒ {#(L, C)| C ∈# N + U. clauses-to-update-prop Q M (L, C)#} = {#}> and
 <∀L C. C ∈# N + U ⇒ L ∈# watched C ⇒ -L ∈ lits-of-l M ⇒ ¬has-blit M (clause C) L ⇒
 (L, C) ∈# WS ⇒ L ∈# Q>
shows
 <clauses-to-update-inv (M, N, U, None, NE, UE, WS, Q)>
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma
assumes <∀C. C ∈# N + U ⇒ struct-wf-twl-cls C>
shows
 twl-st-inv-empty-trail: <twl-st-inv ([] N U C NE UE WS Q)>
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma
shows
 no-duplicate-queued-no-queued: <no-duplicate-queued (M, N, U, D, NE, UE, {#}, {#})> and
 no-distinct-queued-no-queued: <distinct-queued ([] N U D NE UE {#}, {#})>
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma twl-st-inv-add-mset-clauses-to-update:
assumes <D ∈# N + U>
shows <twl-st-inv (M, N, U, None, NE, UE, WS, Q)
 ↔ twl-st-inv (M, N, U, None, NE, UE, add-mset (L, D) WS, Q) ∧
 (¬ twl-is-an-exception D Q WS → twl-lazy-update M D)>
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *twl-st-simps*:

$$\langle \text{twl-st-inv } (M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) \longleftrightarrow (\forall C \in\# N + U. \text{struct-wf-twl-cls } C \wedge (D = \text{None} \longrightarrow (\neg \text{twl-is-an-exception } C Q WS \longrightarrow \text{twl-lazy-update } M C) \wedge \text{watched-literals-false-of-max-level } M C)) \rangle$$

(proof)

lemma *propa-cands-enqueued-unit-clause*:

$$\langle \text{propa-cands-enqueued } (M, N, U, C, \text{add-mset } L NE, UE, WS, Q) \longleftrightarrow \text{propa-cands-enqueued } (M, N, U, C, \{\#\}, \{\#\}, WS, Q) \rangle$$

$$\langle \text{propa-cands-enqueued } (M, N, U, C, NE, \text{add-mset } L UE, WS, Q) \longleftrightarrow \text{propa-cands-enqueued } (M, N, U, C, \{\#\}, \{\#\}, WS, Q) \rangle$$

(proof)

lemma *past-invs-enqueud*: $\langle \text{past-invs } (M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) \longleftrightarrow \text{past-invs } (M, N, U, D, NE, UE, \{\#\}, \{\#\}) \rangle$

(proof)

lemma *confl-cands-enqueued-unit-clause*:

$$\langle \text{confl-cands-enqueued } (M, N, U, C, \text{add-mset } L NE, UE, WS, Q) \longleftrightarrow \text{confl-cands-enqueued } (M, N, U, C, \{\#\}, \{\#\}, WS, Q) \rangle$$

$$\langle \text{confl-cands-enqueued } (M, N, U, C, NE, \text{add-mset } L UE, WS, Q) \longleftrightarrow \text{confl-cands-enqueued } (M, N, U, C, \{\#\}, \{\#\}, WS, Q) \rangle$$

(proof)

lemma *twl-inv-decomp*:

assumes

- lazy*: $\langle \text{twl-lazy-update } M C \rangle$ **and**
- decomp*: $\langle (\text{Decided } K \# M1, M2) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } M) \rangle$ **and**
- n-d*: $\langle \text{no-dup } M \rangle$

shows

- $\langle \text{twl-lazy-update } M1 C \rangle$

(proof)

declare *twl-st-inv.simps[simp del]*

lemma *has-blit-Cons[simp]*:

assumes *blit*: $\langle \text{has-blit } M C L \rangle$ **and** *n-d*: $\langle \text{no-dup } (K \# M) \rangle$

shows $\langle \text{has-blit } (K \# M) C L \rangle$

(proof)

lemma *is-blit-Cons*:

$$\langle \text{is-blit } (K \# M) C L \longleftrightarrow (L = \text{lit-of } K \wedge \text{lit-of } K \in\# C) \vee \text{is-blit } M C L \rangle$$

(proof)

lemma *no-has-blit-propagate*:

$$\langle \neg \text{has-blit } (\text{Propagated } L D \# M) (W + UW) La \implies \text{undefined-lit } M L \implies \text{no-dup } M \implies \neg \text{has-blit } M (W + UW) La \rangle$$

(proof)

lemma *no-has-blit-propagate'*:

$$\langle \neg \text{has-blit } (\text{Propagated } L D \# M) (\text{clause } C) La \implies \text{undefined-lit } M L \implies \text{no-dup } M \implies \neg \text{has-blit } M (\text{clause } C) La \rangle$$

(proof)

lemma *no-has-blit-decide*:
 $\neg \text{has-blit} (\text{Decided } L \# M) (W + UW) La \implies$
 $\neg \text{has-blit} M L \implies \text{no-dup } M \implies \neg \text{has-blit } M (W + UW) La$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *no-has-blit-decide'*:
 $\neg \text{has-blit} (\text{Decided } L \# M) (\text{clause } C) La \implies$
 $\neg \text{has-blit} M L \implies \text{no-dup } M \implies \neg \text{has-blit } M (\text{clause } C) La$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *twl-lazy-update-Propagated*:
assumes
 $W: \langle L \in \# W \rangle \text{ and } n\text{-d}: \langle \text{no-dup} (\text{Propagated } L D \# M) \rangle \text{ and }$
 $\text{lazy}: \langle \text{twl-lazy-update } M (\text{TWL-Clause } W UW) \rangle$
shows
 $\langle \text{twl-lazy-update} (\text{Propagated } L D \# M) (\text{TWL-Clause } W UW) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pair-in-image-Pair*:
 $\langle (La, C) \in \text{Pair } L \mid D \longleftrightarrow La = L \wedge C \in D \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *image-Pair-subset-mset*:
 $\langle \text{Pair } L \mid A \subseteq \# \text{Pair } L \mid B \longleftrightarrow A \subseteq \# B \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *count-image-mset-Pair2*:
 $\langle \text{count } \{(L, x). L \in \# M x\# \} (L, C) = (\text{if } x = C \text{ then } \text{count } (M x) L \text{ else } 0) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lit-of-inj-on-no-dup*: $\langle \text{no-dup } M \implies \text{inj-on } (\lambda x. - \text{lit-of } x) (\text{set } M) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma
assumes
 $cdcl: \langle cdcl\text{-twl}\text{-cp } S T \rangle \text{ and }$
 $twl: \langle twl\text{-st}\text{-inv } S \rangle \text{ and }$
 $twl\text{-excep}: \langle twl\text{-st}\text{-exception}\text{-inv } S \rangle \text{ and }$
 $valid: \langle valid\text{-enqueued } S \rangle \text{ and }$
 $inv: \langle cdcl_W\text{-restart}\text{-mset}.cdcl_W\text{-all}\text{-struct}\text{-inv} (\text{state}_W\text{-of } S) \rangle \text{ and }$
 $no\text{-dup}: \langle no\text{-duplicate}\text{-queued } S \rangle \text{ and }$
 $dist\text{-q}: \langle distinct\text{-queued } S \rangle \text{ and }$
 $ws: \langle clauses\text{-to}\text{-update}\text{-inv } S \rangle$
shows $twl\text{-cp}\text{-twl}\text{-st}\text{-exception}\text{-inv}: \langle twl\text{-st}\text{-exception}\text{-inv } T \rangle \text{ and }$
 $twl\text{-cp}\text{-clauses}\text{-to}\text{-update}: \langle clauses\text{-to}\text{-update}\text{-inv } T \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *twl-cp-tw1-inv*:
assumes
 $cdcl: \langle cdcl\text{-twl}\text{-cp } S T \rangle \text{ and }$
 $twl: \langle twl\text{-st}\text{-inv } S \rangle \text{ and }$
 $valid: \langle valid\text{-enqueued } S \rangle \text{ and }$

$\text{inv}: \langle cdcl_W\text{-restart-mset}.cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv} (\text{state}_W\text{-of } S) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{twl-excep}: \langle \text{twl-st-exception-inv } S \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{no-dup}: \langle \text{no-duplicate-queued } S \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{wq}: \langle \text{clauses-to-update-inv } S \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{twl-st-inv } T \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{twl-cp-no-duplicate-queued}:$
assumes
 $\text{cdcl}: \langle \text{cdcl-twl-cp } S \text{ } T \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{no-dup}: \langle \text{no-duplicate-queued } S \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{no-duplicate-queued } T \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{distinct-mset-Pair}:$ $\langle \text{distinct-mset} (\text{Pair } L \text{ } ' \# \text{ } C) \longleftrightarrow \text{distinct-mset } C \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{distinct-image-mset-clause}:$
 $\langle \text{distinct-mset} (\text{clause } ' \# \text{ } C) \implies \text{distinct-mset } C \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{twl-cp-distinct-queued}:$
assumes
 $\text{cdcl}: \langle \text{cdcl-twl-cp } S \text{ } T \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{twl}: \langle \text{twl-st-inv } S \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{valid}: \langle \text{valid-enqueued } S \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{inv}: \langle cdcl_W\text{-restart-mset}.cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv} (\text{state}_W\text{-of } S) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{no-dup}: \langle \text{no-duplicate-queued } S \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{dist}: \langle \text{distinct-queued } S \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{distinct-queued } T \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{twl-cp-valid}:$
assumes
 $\text{cdcl}: \langle \text{cdcl-twl-cp } S \text{ } T \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{twl}: \langle \text{twl-st-inv } S \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{valid}: \langle \text{valid-enqueued } S \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{inv}: \langle cdcl_W\text{-restart-mset}.cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv} (\text{state}_W\text{-of } S) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{no-dup}: \langle \text{no-duplicate-queued } S \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{dist}: \langle \text{distinct-queued } S \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{valid-enqueued } T \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{twl-cp-propa-cands-enqueued}:$
assumes
 $\text{cdcl}: \langle \text{cdcl-twl-cp } S \text{ } T \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{twl}: \langle \text{twl-st-inv } S \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{valid}: \langle \text{valid-enqueued } S \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{inv}: \langle cdcl_W\text{-restart-mset}.cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv} (\text{state}_W\text{-of } S) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{twl-excep}: \langle \text{twl-st-exception-inv } S \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{no-dup}: \langle \text{no-duplicate-queued } S \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{cands}: \langle \text{propa-cands-enqueued } S \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{ws}: \langle \text{clauses-to-update-inv } S \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{propa-cands-enqueued } T \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma twl-cp-confl-cands-enqueued:
  assumes
    cdcl: ⟨cdcl-twl-cp S T⟩ and
    twl: ⟨twl-st-inv S⟩ and
    valid: ⟨valid-enqueued S⟩ and
    inv: ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (stateW-of S)⟩ and
    excep: ⟨twl-st-exception-inv S⟩ and
    no-dup: ⟨no-duplicate-queued S⟩ and
    cands: ⟨confl-cands-enqueued S⟩ and
    ws: ⟨clauses-to-update-inv S⟩
  shows
    ⟨confl-cands-enqueued T⟩
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma twl-cp-past-invs:
  assumes
    cdcl: ⟨cdcl-twl-cp S T⟩ and
    twl: ⟨twl-st-inv S⟩ and
    valid: ⟨valid-enqueued S⟩ and
    inv: ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (stateW-of S)⟩ and
    twl-excep: ⟨twl-st-exception-inv S⟩ and
    no-dup: ⟨no-duplicate-queued S⟩ and
    past-invs: ⟨past-invs S⟩
  shows ⟨past-invs T⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

1.1.3 Invariants and the Transition System

Conflict and propagate

```

fun literals-to-update-measure :: ⟨'v twl-st ⇒ nat list⟩ where
  ⟨literals-to-update-measure S = [size (literals-to-update S), size (clauses-to-update S)]⟩

```

```

lemma twl-cp-propagate-or-conflict:
  assumes
    cdcl: ⟨cdcl-twl-cp S T⟩ and
    twl: ⟨twl-st-inv S⟩ and
    valid: ⟨valid-enqueued S⟩ and
    inv: ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (stateW-of S)⟩
  shows
    ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.propagate (stateW-of S) (stateW-of T) ∨
     cdclW-restart-mset.conflict (stateW-of S) (stateW-of T) ∨
     (stateW-of S = stateW-of T ∧ (literals-to-update-measure T, literals-to-update-measure S) ∈
      lexn less-than 2)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cdcl-twl-o-cdclW-o:
  assumes
    cdcl: ⟨cdcl-twl-o S T⟩ and
    twl: ⟨twl-st-inv S⟩ and
    valid: ⟨valid-enqueued S⟩ and
    inv: ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (stateW-of S)⟩
  shows ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-o (stateW-of S) (stateW-of T)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *cdcl-tw_l-cp-cdcl_W-stgy*:
(cdcl-tw_l-cp S T \implies tw_l-struct-invs S \implies
cdcl_W-restart-mset.cdcl_W-stgy (state_W-of S) (state_W-of T) \vee
(state_W-of S = state_W-of T \wedge (literals-to-update-measure T, literals-to-update-measure S)
 \in lexn less-than 2))
{proof}

lemma *cdcl-tw_l-cp-conflict*:
(cdcl-tw_l-cp S T \implies get-conflict T \neq None \longrightarrow
clauses-to-update T = {#} \wedge literals-to-update T = {#})
{proof}

lemma *cdcl-tw_l-cp-entailed-clss-inv*:
(cdcl-tw_l-cp S T \implies entailed-clss-inv S \implies entailed-clss-inv T)
{proof}

lemma *cdcl-tw_l-cp-init-clss*:
(cdcl-tw_l-cp S T \implies tw_l-struct-invs S \implies init-clss (state_W-of T) = init-clss (state_W-of S))
{proof}

lemma *cdcl-tw_l-cp-tw_l-struct-invs*:
(cdcl-tw_l-cp S T \implies tw_l-struct-invs S \implies tw_l-struct-invs T)
{proof}

lemma *tw_l-struct-invs-no-false-clause*:
assumes *(tw_l-struct-invs S)*
shows *(cdcl_W-restart-mset.no-false-clause (state_W-of S))*
{proof}

lemma *cdcl-tw_l-cp-tw_l-stgy-invs*:
(cdcl-tw_l-cp S T \implies tw_l-struct-invs S \implies tw_l-stgy-invs S \implies tw_l-stgy-invs T)
{proof}

The other rules

lemma
assumes
cdcl: (cdcl-tw_l-o S T) and
tw_l: (tw_l-struct-invs S)
shows
cdcl-tw_l-o-tw_l-st-inv: (tw_l-st-inv T) and
cdcl-tw_l-o-past-invs: (past-invs T)
{proof}

lemma
assumes
cdel: (cdcl-tw_l-o S T)
shows
cdcl-tw_l-o-valid: (valid-enqueued T) and
cdcl-tw_l-o-conflict-None-queue:
(get-conflict T \neq None \implies clauses-to-update T = {#} \wedge literals-to-update T = {#}) and
cdcl-tw_l-o-no-duplicate-queued: (no-duplicate-queued T) and
cdcl-tw_l-o-distinct-queued: (distinct-queued T)
{proof}

```

lemma cdcl-twlo-twl-st-exception-inv:
  assumes
    cdcl: ⟨cdcl-twlo S T⟩ and
    twl: ⟨twl-struct-invs S⟩
  shows
    ⟨twl-st-exception-inv T⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```



```

lemma
  assumes
    cdcl: ⟨cdcl-twlo S T⟩ and
    twl: ⟨twl-struct-invs S⟩
  shows
    cdcl-twlo-confl-cands-enqueued: ⟨confl-cands-enqueued T⟩ and
    cdcl-twlo-propa-cands-enqueued: ⟨propa-cands-enqueued T⟩ and
    twlo-clauses-to-update: ⟨clauses-to-update-inv T⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma no-dup-append-decided-Cons-lev:
  assumes ⟨no-dup (M2 @ Decided K # M1)⟩
  shows ⟨count-decided M1 = get-level (M2 @ Decided K # M1) K - 1⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cdcl-twlo-entailed-clss-inv:
  assumes
    cdcl: ⟨cdcl-twlo S T⟩ and
    unit: ⟨twl-struct-invs S⟩
  shows ⟨entailed-clss-inv T⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

The Strategy

```

lemma no-literals-to-update-no-cp:
  assumes
    WS: ⟨clauses-to-update S = {#}⟩ and Q: ⟨literals-to-update S = {#}⟩ and
    twl: ⟨twl-struct-invs S⟩
  shows
    ⟨no-step cdclW-restart-mset.propagate (stateW-of S)⟩ and
    ⟨no-step cdclW-restart-mset.conflict (stateW-of S)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

When popping a literal from *literals-to-update* to the *clauses-to-update*, we do not do any transition in the abstract transition system. Therefore, we use *rtranclp* or a case distinction.

```

lemma cdcl-twlo-stgy-cdclW-stgy2:
  assumes ⟨cdcl-twlo-stgy S T⟩ and twl: ⟨twl-struct-invs S⟩
  shows ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-stgy (stateW-of S) (stateW-of T) ∨
    (stateW-of S = stateW-of T ∧ (literals-to-update-measure T, literals-to-update-measure S)
     ∈ lexn less-than 2))⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cdcl-twlo-stgy-cdclW-stgy:
  assumes ⟨cdcl-twlo-stgy S T⟩ and twl: ⟨twl-struct-invs S⟩
  shows ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-stgy** (stateW-of S) (stateW-of T)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cdcl-twl-o-twl-struct-invs:
  assumes
    cdcl: ⟨cdcl-twl-o S T⟩ and
    twl: ⟨twl-struct-invs S⟩
  shows ⟨twl-struct-invs T⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cdcl-twl-stgy-twl-struct-invs:
  assumes
    cdcl: ⟨cdcl-twl-stgy S T⟩ and
    twl: ⟨twl-struct-invs S⟩
  shows ⟨twl-struct-invs T⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-twl-stgy-twl-struct-invs:
  assumes
    cdcl: ⟨cdcl-twl-stgy** S T⟩ and
    twl: ⟨twl-struct-invs S⟩
  shows ⟨twl-struct-invs T⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-twl-stgy-cdclW-stgy:
  assumes ⟨cdcl-twl-stgy** S T⟩ and twl: ⟨twl-struct-invs S⟩
  shows ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-stgy** (stateW-of S) (stateW-of T)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma no-step-cdcl-twl-cp-no-step-cdclW-cp:
  assumes ns-cp: ⟨no-step cdcl-twl-cp S⟩ and twl: ⟨twl-struct-invs S⟩
  shows ⟨literals-to-update S = {#}  $\wedge$  clauses-to-update S = {#}⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma no-step-cdcl-twl-o-no-step-cdclW-o:
  assumes
    ns-o: ⟨no-step cdcl-twl-o S⟩ and
    twl: ⟨twl-struct-invs S⟩ and
    p: ⟨literals-to-update S = {#}⟩ and
    w-q: ⟨clauses-to-update S = {#}⟩
  shows ⟨no-step cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-o (stateW-of S)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma no-step-cdcl-twl-stgy-no-step-cdclW-stgy:
  assumes ns: ⟨no-step cdcl-twl-stgy S⟩ and twl: ⟨twl-struct-invs S⟩
  shows ⟨no-step cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-stgy (stateW-of S)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma full-cdcl-twl-stgy-cdclW-stgy:
  assumes ⟨full cdcl-twl-stgy S T⟩ and twl: ⟨twl-struct-invs S⟩
  shows ⟨full cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-stgy (stateW-of S) (stateW-of T)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

definition init-state-twl where
  ⟨init-state-twl N ≡ ([] , N , {#} , None , {#} , {#} , {#} , {#})⟩
lemma
  assumes

```

struct: $\langle \forall C \in \# N. \text{struct-wf-twls-cls } C \rangle$ **and**
tauto: $\langle \forall C \in \# N. \neg \text{tautology} (\text{clause } C) \rangle$
shows
twl-stgy-invs-init-state-twls: $\langle \text{twl-stgy-invs} (\text{init-state-twls } N) \rangle$ **and**
twl-struct-invs-init-state-twls: $\langle \text{twl-struct-invs} (\text{init-state-twls } N) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *full-cdcl-twls-stgy-cdclW-stgy-conclusive-from-init-state*:
fixes $N :: \langle 'v \text{ twl-cls} \rangle$
assumes
full-cdcl-twls-stgy: $\langle \text{full cdcl-twls-stgy} (\text{init-state-twls } N) T \rangle$ **and**
struct: $\langle \forall C \in \# N. \text{struct-wf-twls-cls } C \rangle$ **and**
no-tauto: $\langle \forall C \in \# N. \neg \text{tautology} (\text{clause } C) \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{conflicting} (\text{state}_W\text{-of } T) = \text{Some } \{\#\} \wedge \text{unsatisfiable} (\text{set-mset} (\text{clause } \{\#\} N)) \vee$
 $(\text{conflicting} (\text{state}_W\text{-of } T) = \text{None} \wedge \text{trail} (\text{state}_W\text{-of } T) \models_{\text{asm}} \text{clause } \{\#\} N \wedge$
 $\text{satisfiable} (\text{set-mset} (\text{clause } \{\#\} N))) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl-twls-o-twls-stgy-invs*:
 $\langle \text{cdcl-twls-o } S T \implies \text{twl-struct-invs } S \implies \text{twl-stgy-invs } S \implies \text{twl-stgy-invs } T \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Well-foundedness lemma *wf-cdclW-stgy-stateW-of*:
 $\langle \text{wf } \{(T, S). \text{cdcl}_W\text{-restart-mset}. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv} (\text{state}_W\text{-of } S) \wedge$
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-restart-mset}. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-stgy} (\text{state}_W\text{-of } S) (\text{state}_W\text{-of } T)\} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-cdcl-twls-cp*:
 $\langle \text{wf } \{(T, S). \text{twl-struct-invs } S \wedge \text{cdcl-twls-cp } S T\} \rangle$ (**is** $\langle \text{wf } ?\text{TWL} \rangle$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tranclp-wf-cdcl-twls-cp*:
 $\langle \text{wf } \{(T, S). \text{twl-struct-invs } S \wedge \text{cdcl-twls-cp}^{++} S T\} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-cdcl-twls-stgy*:
 $\langle \text{wf } \{(T, S). \text{twl-struct-invs } S \wedge \text{cdcl-twls-stgy } S T\} \rangle$ (**is** $\langle \text{wf } ?\text{TWL} \rangle$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tranclp-wf-cdcl-twls-stgy*:
 $\langle \text{wf } \{(T, S). \text{twl-struct-invs } S \wedge \text{cdcl-twls-stgy}^{++} S T\} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtranclp-cdcl-twls-o-stgyD*: $\langle \text{cdcl-twls-o}^{**} S T \implies \text{cdcl-twls-stgy}^{**} S T \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtranclp-cdcl-twls-cp-stgyD*: $\langle \text{cdcl-twls-cp}^{**} S T \implies \text{cdcl-twls-stgy}^{**} S T \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tranclp-cdcl-twls-o-stgyD*: $\langle \text{cdcl-twls-o}^{++} S T \implies \text{cdcl-twls-stgy}^{++} S T \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tranclp-cdcl-twls-cp-stgyD*: $\langle \text{cdcl-twls-cp}^{++} S T \implies \text{cdcl-twls-stgy}^{++} S T \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-cdcl-twls-o*:

$\langle wf \{(T, S::'v twl-st). twl-struct-invs S \wedge cdcl-twl-o S T\} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tranclp-wf-cdcl-twl-o*:
 $\langle wf \{(T, S::'v twl-st). twl-struct-invs S \wedge cdcl-twl-o^{++} S T\} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in -)propa-cands-enqueued-mono:
 $\langle U' \subseteq \# U \Rightarrow N' \subseteq \# N \Rightarrow$
 $propa\text{-}cands\text{-}enqueued (M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) \Rightarrow$
 $propa\text{-}cands\text{-}enqueued (M, N', U', D, NE', UE', WS, Q) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in -)confl-cands-enqueued-mono:
 $\langle U' \subseteq \# U \Rightarrow N' \subseteq \# N \Rightarrow$
 $confl\text{-}cands\text{-}enqueued (M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) \Rightarrow$
 $confl\text{-}cands\text{-}enqueued (M, N', U', D, NE', UE', WS, Q) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in -)twl-st-exception-inv-mono:
 $\langle U' \subseteq \# U \Rightarrow N' \subseteq \# N \Rightarrow$
 $twl\text{-}st\text{-}exception\text{-}inv (M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) \Rightarrow$
 $twl\text{-}st\text{-}exception\text{-}inv (M, N', U', D, NE', UE', WS, Q) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in -)twl-st-inv-mono:
 $\langle U' \subseteq \# U \Rightarrow N' \subseteq \# N \Rightarrow$
 $twl\text{-}st\text{-}inv (M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) \Rightarrow$
 $twl\text{-}st\text{-}inv (M, N', U', D, NE', UE', WS, Q) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in -) rtranclp-cdcl-twl-stgy-twl-stgy-invs:
assumes
 $\langle cdcl\text{-}twl\text{-}stgy}^{**} S T \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle twl\text{-}struct\text{-}invs S \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle twl\text{-}stgy\text{-}invs S \rangle$
shows $\langle twl\text{-}stgy\text{-}invs T \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma after-fast-restart-replay:
assumes
 $inv: \langle cdcl_W\text{-}restart\text{-}mset.cdcl_W\text{-}all\text{-}struct\text{-}inv (M', N, U, None) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $stgy\text{-}invs: \langle cdcl_W\text{-}restart\text{-}mset.cdcl_W\text{-}stgy\text{-}invariant (M', N, U, None) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $smaller-propa: \langle cdcl_W\text{-}restart\text{-}mset.no\text{-}smaller\text{-}propa (M', N, U, None) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $kept: \forall L E. Propagated L E \in set (drop (length M' - n) M') \rightarrow E \in \# N + U' \text{ and}$
 $U'\text{-}U: \langle U' \subseteq \# U \rangle$
shows
 $\langle cdcl_W\text{-}restart\text{-}mset.cdcl_W\text{-}stgy}^{**} ([] , N, U', None) (drop (length M' - n) M', N, U', None) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma after-fast-restart-replay-no-stgy:
assumes
 $inv: \langle cdcl_W\text{-}restart\text{-}mset.cdcl_W\text{-}all\text{-}struct\text{-}inv (M', N, U, None) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $kept: \forall L E. Propagated L E \in set (drop (length M' - n) M') \rightarrow E \in \# N + U' \text{ and}$
 $U'\text{-}U: \langle U' \subseteq \# U \rangle$
shows

```

⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW** ([] , N , U' , None) (drop (length M' - n) M' , N , U' , None)⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cdcl-twl-stgy-get-init-learned-clss-mono:
  assumes ⟨cdcl-twl-stgy S T⟩
  shows ⟨get-init-learned-clss S ⊆# get-init-learned-clss T⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-twl-stgy-get-init-learned-clss-mono:
  assumes ⟨cdcl-twl-stgy** S T⟩
  shows ⟨get-init-learned-clss S ⊆# get-init-learned-clss T⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cdcl-twl-o-all-learned-diff-learned:
  assumes ⟨cdcl-twl-o S T⟩
  shows
    ⟨clause ‘# get-learned-clss S ⊆# clause ‘# get-learned-clss T ∧
      get-init-learned-clss S ⊆# get-init-learned-clss T ∧
      get-all-init-clss S = get-all-init-clss T⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cdcl-twl-cp-all-learned-diff-learned:
  assumes ⟨cdcl-twl-cp S T⟩
  shows
    ⟨clause ‘# get-learned-clss S = clause ‘# get-learned-clss T ∧
      get-init-learned-clss S = get-init-learned-clss T ∧
      get-all-init-clss S = get-all-init-clss T⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cdcl-twl-stgy-all-learned-diff-learned:
  assumes ⟨cdcl-twl-stgy S T⟩
  shows
    ⟨clause ‘# get-learned-clss S ⊆# clause ‘# get-learned-clss T ∧
      get-init-learned-clss S ⊆# get-init-learned-clss T ∧
      get-all-init-clss S = get-all-init-clss T⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-twl-stgy-all-learned-diff-learned:
  assumes ⟨cdcl-twl-stgy** S T⟩
  shows
    ⟨clause ‘# get-learned-clss S ⊆# clause ‘# get-learned-clss T ∧
      get-init-learned-clss S ⊆# get-init-learned-clss T ∧
      get-all-init-clss S = get-all-init-clss T⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-twl-stgy-all-learned-diff-learned-size:
  assumes ⟨cdcl-twl-stgy** S T⟩
  shows
    ⟨size (get-all-learned-clss T) - size (get-all-learned-clss S) ≥
      size (get-learned-clss T) - size (get-learned-clss S)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cdcl-twl-stgy-cdclW-stgy3:
  assumes ⟨cdcl-twl-stgy S T⟩ and twl: ⟨twl-struct-invs S⟩ and
    ⟨clauses-to-update S = {#}⟩ and

```

```

⟨literals-to-update S = {#}⟩
shows ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-stgy (stateW-of S) (stateW-of T)⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma tranclp-cdcl-twl-stgy-cdclW-stgy:
assumes ST: ⟨cdcl-twl-stgy++ S T⟩ and
twl: ⟨twl-struct-invs S⟩ and
⟨clauses-to-update S = {#}⟩ and
⟨literals-to-update S = {#}⟩
shows ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-stgy++ (stateW-of S) (stateW-of T)⟩
⟨proof⟩

definition final-twl-state where
⟨final-twl-state S ⟷
no-step cdcl-twl-stgy S ∨ (get-conflict S ≠ None ∧ count-decided (get-trail S) = 0)⟩

definition conclusive-TWL-run :: ⟨'v twl-st ⇒ 'v twl-st nres⟩ where
⟨conclusive-TWL-run S = SPEC(λT. cdcl-twl-stgy** S T ∧ final-twl-state T)⟩

lemma conflict-of-level-unsatisfiable:
assumes
struct: ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv S⟩ and
dec: ⟨count-decided (trail S) = 0⟩ and
conf: ⟨conflicting S ≠ None⟩ and
⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-learned-clauses-entailed-by-init S⟩
shows ⟨unsatisfiable (set-mset (init-clss S))⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma conflict-of-level-unsatisfiable2:
assumes
struct: ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv S⟩ and
dec: ⟨count-decided (trail S) = 0⟩ and
conf: ⟨conflicting S ≠ None⟩
shows ⟨unsatisfiable (set-mset (init-clss S + learned-clss S))⟩
⟨proof⟩

end
theory Watched-Literals-Algorithm
imports
WB-More-Refinement
Watched-Literals-Transition-System
begin

```

1.2 First Refinement: Deterministic Rule Application

1.2.1 Unit Propagation Loops

```

definition set-conflicting :: ⟨'v twl-cls ⇒ 'v twl-st ⇒ 'v twl-st⟩ where
⟨set-conflicting = (λC (M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q). (M, N, U, Some (clause C), NE, UE, {#}, {#}))⟩

definition propagate-lit :: ⟨'v literal ⇒ 'v twl-cls ⇒ 'v twl-st ⇒ 'v twl-st⟩ where
⟨propagate-lit = (λL' C (M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q).
```

$(\text{Propagated } L' (\text{clause } C) \# M, N, U, D, \text{NE}, \text{UE}, \text{WS}, \text{add-mset } (-L') Q))$

definition $\text{update-clauseS} :: \langle 'v \text{ literal} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-cls} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st nres} \rangle \text{ where}$
 $\langle \text{update-clauseS} = (\lambda L C (M, N, U, D, \text{NE}, \text{UE}, \text{WS}, Q). \text{do} \{$
 $K \leftarrow \text{SPEC } (\lambda L. L \in \# \text{ unwatched } C \wedge -L \notin \text{lits-of-l } M);$
 $\text{if } K \in \text{lits-of-l } M$
 $\text{then RETURN } (M, N, U, D, \text{NE}, \text{UE}, \text{WS}, Q)$
 $\text{else do } \{$
 $(N', U') \leftarrow \text{SPEC } (\lambda (N', U'). \text{update-clauses } (N, U) C L K (N', U'));$
 $\text{RETURN } (M, N', U', D, \text{NE}, \text{UE}, \text{WS}, Q)$
 $\}$
 $\}) \rangle$

definition $\text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-body} :: \langle 'v \text{ literal} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-cls} \Rightarrow$

$'v \text{ twl-st} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st nres} \rangle \text{ where}$
 $\langle \text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-body} = (\lambda L C S. \text{do} \{$
 $\text{do } \{$
 $bL' \leftarrow \text{SPEC } (\lambda K. K \in \# \text{ clause } C);$
 $\text{if } bL' \in \text{lits-of-l } (\text{get-trail } S)$
 $\text{then RETURN } S$
 $\text{else do } \{$
 $L' \leftarrow \text{SPEC } (\lambda K. K \in \# \text{ watched } C - \{\#L\#});$
 $\text{ASSERT } (\text{watched } C = \{\#L, L'\#});$
 $\text{if } L' \in \text{lits-of-l } (\text{get-trail } S)$
 $\text{then RETURN } S$
 else
 $\text{if } \forall L \in \# \text{ unwatched } C. -L \in \text{lits-of-l } (\text{get-trail } S)$
 then
 $\text{if } -L' \in \text{lits-of-l } (\text{get-trail } S)$
 $\text{then do } \{ \text{RETURN } (\text{set-conflicting } C S) \}$
 $\text{else do } \{ \text{RETURN } (\text{propagate-lit } L' C S) \}$
 $\text{else do } \{$
 $\text{update-clauseS } L C S$
 $\}$
 $\}$
 $\})$
 \rangle

definition $\text{unit-propagation-inner-loop} :: \langle 'v \text{ twl-st} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st nres} \rangle \text{ where}$

$\langle \text{unit-propagation-inner-loop } S_0 = \text{do} \{$
 $n \leftarrow \text{SPEC } (\lambda -::\text{nat}. \text{True});$
 $(S, -) \leftarrow \text{WHILE}_T (\lambda (S, n). \text{twl-struct-invs } S \wedge \text{twl-stgy-invs } S \wedge \text{cdcl-twl-cp}^{**} S_0 S \wedge$
 $(\lambda (S, n). \text{clauses-to-update } S \neq \{\#\} \vee n > 0))$
 $(\lambda (S, n). \text{do } \{$
 $b \leftarrow \text{SPEC } (\lambda b. (b \rightarrow n > 0) \wedge (\neg b \rightarrow \text{clauses-to-update } S \neq \{\#\}));$
 $\text{if } \neg b \text{ then do } \{$
 $\text{ASSERT } (\text{clauses-to-update } S \neq \{\#\});$
 $(L, C) \leftarrow \text{SPEC } (\lambda C. C \in \# \text{ clauses-to-update } S);$
 $\text{let } S' = \text{set-clauses-to-update } (\text{clauses-to-update } S - \{\#(L, C)\#}) S;$
 $T \leftarrow \text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-body } L C S';$
 $\text{RETURN } (T, \text{if get-conflict } T = \text{None} \text{ then } n \text{ else } 0)$
 $\} \text{ else do } \{ \text{RETURN } (S, n - 1)$
 $\}$
 $\})$

```

(S0, n);
RETURN S
}
>

lemma unit-propagation-inner-loop-body:
  fixes S :: 'v twl-st
  assumes
    <clauses-to-update S ≠ {#}> and
    x-WS: <(L, C) ∈# clauses-to-update S> and
    inv: <twl-struct-invs S> and
    inv-s: <twl-stgy-invs S> and
    confl: <get-conflict S = None>
  shows
    <unit-propagation-inner-loop-body L C
      (set-clauses-to-update (remove1-mset (L, C) (clauses-to-update S)) S)
      ≤ (SPEC (λT'. twl-struct-invs T' ∧ twl-stgy-invs T' ∧ cdcl-twl-cp** S T' ∧
        (T', S) ∈ measure (size ∘ clauses-to-update)))> (is ?spec) and
      <nofail (unit-propagation-inner-loop-body L C
        (set-clauses-to-update (remove1-mset (L, C) (clauses-to-update S)) S))> (is ?fail)
    <proof>

```

```
declare unit-propagation-inner-loop-body(1)[THEN order-trans, refine-vcg]
```

```

lemma unit-propagation-inner-loop:
  assumes <twl-struct-invs S> and inv: <twl-stgy-invs S> and <get-conflict S = None>
  shows <unit-propagation-inner-loop S ≤ SPEC (λS'. twl-struct-invs S' ∧ twl-stgy-invs S' ∧
    cdcl-twl-cp** S S' ∧ clauses-to-update S' = {#})>
  <proof>

```

```
declare unit-propagation-inner-loop[THEN order-trans, refine-vcg]
```

```

definition unit-propagation-outer-loop :: 'v twl-st ⇒ 'v twl-st nres where
  <unit-propagation-outer-loop S0 =
    WHILET λS. twl-struct-invs S ∧ twl-stgy-invs S ∧ cdcl-twl-cp** S0 S ∧ clauses-to-update S = {#}
    (λS. literals-to-update S ≠ {#})
    (λS. do {
      L ← SPEC (λL. L ∈# literals-to-update S);
      let S' = set-clauses-to-update {#(L, C)|C ∈# get-clauses S. L ∈# watched C#}
        (set-literals-to-update (literals-to-update S - {#L#}) S);
      ASSERT(cdcl-twl-cp S S');
      unit-propagation-inner-loop S'
    })
  S0
>
```

```

abbreviation unit-propagation-outer-loop-spec where
  <unit-propagation-outer-loop-spec S S' ≡ twl-struct-invs S' ∧ cdcl-twl-cp** S S' ∧
    literals-to-update S' = {#} ∧ (forall S'a. ¬ cdcl-twl-cp S' S'a) ∧ twl-stgy-invs S'>

```

```

lemma unit-propagation-outer-loop:
  assumes <twl-struct-invs S> and <clauses-to-update S = {#}> and confl: <get-conflict S = None> and
    <twl-stgy-invs S>
  shows <unit-propagation-outer-loop S ≤ SPEC (λS'. twl-struct-invs S' ∧ cdcl-twl-cp** S S' ∧
    literals-to-update S' = {#} ∧ no-step cdcl-twl-cp S' ∧ twl-stgy-invs S')>

```

```

⟨proof⟩
declare unit-propagation-outer-loop[THEN order-trans, refine-vcg]

```

1.2.2 Other Rules

Decide

definition *find-unassigned-lit* :: ⟨'v twl-st ⇒ 'v literal option nres⟩ **where**

```

⟨find-unassigned-lit = (λS.
  SPEC (λL.
    (L ≠ None → undefined-lit (get-trail S) (the L) ∧
     atm-of (the L) ∈ atms-of-mm (get-all-init-clss S)) ∧
    (L = None → (♯ L. undefined-lit (get-trail S) L ∧
      atm-of L ∈ atms-of-mm (get-all-init-clss S))))⟩

```

definition *propagate-dec* **where**

```

⟨propagate-dec = (λL (M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q). (Decided L # M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS,
{#-L#}))⟩

```

definition *decide-or-skip* :: ⟨'v twl-st ⇒ (bool × 'v twl-st) nres⟩ **where**

```

⟨decide-or-skip S = do {
  L ← find-unassigned-lit S;
  case L of
    None ⇒ RETURN (True, S)
  | Some L ⇒ RETURN (False, propagate-dec L S)
}
⟩

```

lemma *decide-or-skip-spec*:

assumes ⟨clauses-to-update S = {#}⟩ **and** ⟨literals-to-update S = {#}⟩ **and** ⟨get-conflict S = None⟩ **and**

```

twl: ⟨twl-struct-invs S⟩ and twl-s: ⟨twl-stgy-invs S⟩
shows ⟨decide-or-skip S ≤ SPEC(λ(brk, T). cdcl-twl-o** S T ∧
  get-conflict T = None ∧
  no-step cdcl-twl-o T ∧ (brk → no-step cdcl-twl-stgy T) ∧ twl-struct-invs T ∧
  twl-stgy-invs T ∧ clauses-to-update T = {#} ∧
  (¬brk → literals-to-update T ≠ {#}) ∧
  (¬no-step cdcl-twl-o S → cdcl-twl-o++ S T))⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

```

declare decide-or-skip-spec[THEN order-trans, refine-vcg]

```

Skip and Resolve Loop

definition *skip-and-resolve-loop-inv* **where**

```

⟨skip-and-resolve-loop-inv S₀ =
  (λ(brk, S). cdcl-twl-o** S₀ S ∧ twl-struct-invs S ∧ twl-stgy-invs S ∧
  clauses-to-update S = {#} ∧ literals-to-update S = {#} ∧
  get-conflict S ≠ None ∧
  count-decided (get-trail S) ≠ 0 ∧
  get-trail S ≠ [] ∧
  get-conflict S ≠ Some {#} ∧
  (brk → no-step cdclW-restart-mset.skip (stateW-of S) ∧
  no-step cdclW-restart-mset.resolve (stateW-of S)))⟩

```

definition *tl-state* :: ⟨'v twl-st ⇒ 'v twl-st⟩ **where**

```

⟨tl-state = (λ(M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q). (tl M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q))⟩

definition update-confl-tl :: ⟨'v clause option ⇒ 'v twl-st ⇒ 'v twl-st⟩ where
⟨update-confl-tl = (λD (M, N, U, -, NE, UE, WS, Q). (tl M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q))⟩

definition skip-and-resolve-loop :: ⟨'v twl-st ⇒ 'v twl-st nres⟩ where
⟨skip-and-resolve-loop S₀ =
  do {
    (-, S) ←
    WHILET skip-and-resolve-loop-inv S₀
    (λ(uip, S). ¬uip ∧ ¬is-decided (hd (get-trail S)))
    (λ(-, S).
      do {
        ASSERT(get-trail S ≠ []);
        let D' = the (get-conflict S);
        (L, C) ← SPEC(λ(L, C). Propagated L C = hd (get-trail S));
        if -L ∉# D' then
          do {RETURN (False, tl-state S)}
        else
          if get-maximum-level (get-trail S) (remove1-mset (-L) D') = count-decided (get-trail S)
          then
            do {RETURN (False, update-confl-tl (Some (cdclW-restart-mset.resolve-cls L D' C)) S)}
          else
            do {RETURN (True, S)}
      }
    )
    (False, S₀);
    RETURN S
  }
⟩

```

lemma skip-and-resolve-loop-spec:
assumes struct-S: ⟨twl-struct-invs S⟩ **and** stgy-S: ⟨twl-stgy-invs S⟩ **and**
⟨clauses-to-update S = {#}⟩ **and** ⟨literals-to-update S = {#}⟩ **and**
⟨get-conflict S ≠ None⟩ **and** count-dec: ⟨count-decided (get-trail S) > 0⟩
shows ⟨skip-and-resolve-loop S ≤ SPEC(λT. cdcl-tw_L-o** S T ∧ twl-struct-invs T ∧ twl-stgy-invs T
∧
no-step cdcl_W-restart-mset.skip (state_W-of T) ∧
no-step cdcl_W-restart-mset.resolve (state_W-of T) ∧
get-conflict T ≠ None ∧ clauses-to-update T = {#} ∧ literals-to-update T = {#})⟩
⟨proof⟩

declare skip-and-resolve-loop-spec[THEN order-trans, refine-vcg]

Backtrack

definition extract-shorter-conflict :: ⟨'v twl-st ⇒ 'v twl-st nres⟩ **where**
⟨extract-shorter-conflict = (λ(M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q).
SPEC(λS'. ∃D'. S' = (M, N, U, Some D', NE, UE, WS, Q) ∧
D' ⊆# the D ∧ clause ‘# (N + U) + NE + UE |=_{pm} D' ∧ ¬lit-of (hd M) ∈# D'))⟩

fun equality-except-conflict :: ⟨'v twl-st ⇒ 'v twl-st ⇒ bool⟩ **where**
⟨equality-except-conflict (M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) (M', N', U', D', NE', UE', WS', Q') ←→
M = M' ∧ N = N' ∧ U = U' ∧ NE = NE' ∧ UE = UE' ∧ WS = WS' ∧ Q = Q'⟩

lemma extract-shorter-conflict-alt-def:

```

⟨extract-shorter-conflict S =
  SPEC(λS'. ∃D'. equality-except-conflict S S' ∧ Some D' = get-conflict S' ∧
    D' ⊆# the (get-conflict S) ∧ clause '# (get-clauses S) + unit-clss S ⊨pm D' ∧
    –lit-of (hd (get-trail S)) ∈# D')⟩
⟨proof⟩

definition reduce-trail-bt :: ⟨'v literal ⇒ 'v twl-st ⇒ 'v twl-st nres⟩ where
⟨reduce-trail-bt = (λL (M, N, U, D', NE, UE, WS, Q). do {
  M1 ← SPEC(λM1. ∃K M2. (Decided K # M1, M2) ∈ set (get-all-ann-decomposition M) ∧
    get-level M K = get-maximum-level M (the D' – {#–L#}) + 1);
  RETURN (M1, N, U, D', NE, UE, WS, Q)
})⟩

definition propagate-bt :: ⟨'v literal ⇒ 'v literal ⇒ 'v twl-st ⇒ 'v twl-st⟩ where
⟨propagate-bt = (λL L' (M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q).
  (Propagated (–L) (the D) # M, N, add-mset (TWL-Clause {#–L, L'#} (the D – {#–L, L'#})) U, None,
  NE, UE, WS, {#L#}))⟩

definition propagate-unit-bt :: ⟨'v literal ⇒ 'v twl-st ⇒ 'v twl-st⟩ where
⟨propagate-unit-bt = (λL (M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q).
  (Propagated (–L) (the D) # M, N, U, None, NE, add-mset (the D) UE, WS, {#L#}))⟩

definition backtrack-inv where
⟨backtrack-inv S ←→ get-trail S ≠ [] ∧ get-conflict S ≠ Some {#}⟩

definition backtrack :: ⟨'v twl-st ⇒ 'v twl-st nres⟩ where
⟨backtrack S =
  do {
    ASSERT(backtrack-inv S);
    let L = lit-of (hd (get-trail S));
    S ← extract-shorter-conflict S;
    S ← reduce-trail-bt L S;

    if size (the (get-conflict S)) > 1
    then do {
      L' ← SPEC(λL'. L' ∈# the (get-conflict S) – {#–L#} ∧ L ≠ –L' ∧
        get-level (get-trail S) L' = get-maximum-level (get-trail S) (the (get-conflict S) – {#–L#}));
      RETURN (propagate-bt L L' S)
    }
    else do {
      RETURN (propagate-unit-bt L S)
    }
  }
⟩

```

lemma

assumes confl : ⟨ $\text{get-conflict } S \neq \text{None}$ ⟩ $\langle \text{get-conflict } S \neq \text{Some } \{\#\} \rangle$ **and**
 $w\text{-}q$: ⟨ $\text{clauses-to-update } S = \{\#\}$ ⟩ **and** p : ⟨ $\text{literals-to-update } S = \{\#\}$ ⟩ **and**
 $ns\text{-}s$: ⟨ $\text{no-step cdcl}_W\text{-restart-mset.skip } (\text{state}_W\text{-of } S)$ ⟩ **and**
 $ns\text{-}r$: ⟨ $\text{no-step cdcl}_W\text{-restart-mset.resolve } (\text{state}_W\text{-of } S)$ ⟩ **and**
 $twl\text{-struct}$: ⟨ $\text{twl-struct-invs } S$ ⟩ **and** $twl\text{-stgy}$: ⟨ $\text{twl-stgy-invs } S$ ⟩

shows

backtrack-spec :
 $\langle \text{backtrack } S \leq \text{SPEC } (\lambda T. \text{cdcl}\text{-}twl\text{-}o } S T \wedge \text{get-conflict } T = \text{None} \wedge \text{no-step cdcl}\text{-}twl\text{-}o } T \wedge$

```

twl-struct-invs T  $\wedge$  twl-stgy-invs T  $\wedge$  clauses-to-update T = {#}  $\wedge$ 
literals-to-update T  $\neq$  {#})  $\langle$  is ?spec and
backtrack-nofail:
   $\langle$  nofail (backtrack S)  $\rangle$  (is ?fail)
{proof}

```

```
declare backtrack-spec[THEN order-trans, refine-vcg]
```

Full loop

```

definition cdcl-twl-o-prog ::  $\langle'v \text{ twl-st} \Rightarrow (\text{bool} \times 'v \text{ twl-st}) \text{ nres}\rangle$  where
{cdcl-twl-o-prog S =
  do {
    if get-conflict S = None
    then decide-or-skip S
    else do {
      if count-decided (get-trail S) > 0
      then do {
        T  $\leftarrow$  skip-and-resolve-loop S;
        ASSERT(get-conflict T  $\neq$  None  $\wedge$  get-conflict T  $\neq$  Some {#});
        U  $\leftarrow$  backtrack T;
        RETURN (False, U)
      }
      else
        RETURN (True, S)
    }
  }
}

```

```

setup  $\langle$  map-theory-claset (fn ctxt => ctxt delSWrapper (split-all-tac))  $\rangle$ 
declare split-paired-All[simp del]

```

```

lemma skip-and-resolve-same-decision-level:
assumes {cdcl-twl-o S T  $\rangle$  get-conflict T  $\neq$  None
shows count-decided (get-trail T) = count-decided (get-trail S)
{proof}

```

```

lemma skip-and-resolve-conflict-before:
assumes {cdcl-twl-o S T  $\rangle$  get-conflict T  $\neq$  None
shows get-conflict S  $\neq$  None
{proof}

```

```

lemma rtranclp-skip-and-resolve-same-decision-level:
{cdcl-twl-o** S T  $\implies$  get-conflict S  $\neq$  None  $\implies$  get-conflict T  $\neq$  None  $\implies$ 
count-decided (get-trail T) = count-decided (get-trail S))
{proof}

```

```

lemma empty-conflict-lvl0:
{twl-stgy-invs T  $\implies$  get-conflict T = Some {#}  $\implies$  count-decided (get-trail T) = 0}
{proof}

```

```

abbreviation cdcl-twl-o-prog-spec where
{cdcl-twl-o-prog-spec S  $\equiv$   $\lambda$ (brk, T).
```

*cdcl-twl-o*** S T \wedge

(*get-conflict* T \neq None \longrightarrow *count-decided* (*get-trail* T) = 0) \wedge

```

(¬ brk → get-conflict T = None ∧ (∀ S'. ¬ cdcl-twlo T S')) ∧
(brk → get-conflict T ≠ None ∨ (∀ S'. ¬ cdcl-twls T S')) ∧
twl-struct-invs T ∧ twl-stgy-invs T ∧ clauses-to-update T = {#} ∧
(¬ brk → literals-to-update T ≠ {#}) ∧
(¬ brk → ¬ (∀ S'. ¬ cdcl-twlo S S') → cdcl-twlo++ S T))

```

lemma *cdcl-twlo-prog-spec*:

assumes $\langle \text{twl-struct-invs } S \rangle$ **and** $\langle \text{twl-stgy-invs } S \rangle$ **and** $\langle \text{clauses-to-update } S = \{\#\} \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle \text{literals-to-update } S = \{\#\} \rangle$ **and**
ns-cp: $\langle \text{no-step cdcl-twlo } S \rangle$
shows
 $\langle \text{cdcl-twlo-prog } S \leq \text{SPEC(cdcl-twlo-prog-spec } S) \rangle$
(**is** $\langle \cdot \leq ?S \rangle$)
(proof)

declare *cdcl-twlo-prog-spec*[THEN *order-trans*, *refine-vcg*]

1.2.3 Full Strategy

abbreviation *cdcl-twls-stgy-prog-inv* **where**

$\langle \text{cdcl-twls-stgy-prog-inv } S_0 \equiv \lambda(\text{brk}, T). \text{twl-struct-invs } T \wedge \text{twl-stgy-invs } T \wedge$
 $(\text{brk} \rightarrow \text{final-twl-state } T) \wedge \text{cdcl-twls-stgy}^{**} S_0 T \wedge \text{clauses-to-update } T = \{\#\} \wedge$
 $(\neg \text{brk} \rightarrow \text{get-conflict } T = \text{None}) \rangle$

definition *cdcl-twls-stgy-prog* :: $\langle 'v \text{ twl-st} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st nres} \rangle$ **where**

$\langle \text{cdcl-twls-stgy-prog } S_0 =$
do {
do {
do {
 $(\text{brk}, T) \leftarrow \text{WHILE}_T \text{cdcl-twls-stgy-prog-inv } S_0$
 $(\lambda(\text{brk}, \cdot). \neg \text{brk})$
 $(\lambda(\text{brk}, S).$
do {
 $T \leftarrow \text{unit-propagation-outer-loop } S;$
 $\text{cdcl-twlo-prog } T$
}}}
 $(\text{False}, S_0);$
 $\text{RETURN } T$
}}}
}
}
}

lemma *wf-cdcl-twls-stgy-measure*:

$\langle \text{wf } \{((\text{brk} T, T), (\text{brk} S, S)). \text{twl-struct-invs } S \wedge \text{cdcl-twls-stgy}^{++} S T\}$
 $\cup \{((\text{brk} T, T), (\text{brk} S, S)). S = T \wedge \text{brk} T \wedge \neg \text{brk} S\} \rangle$
(**is** $\langle \text{wf } ?\text{TWL} \cup ?\text{BOOL} \rangle$)
(proof)

lemma *cdcl-twlo-final-twl-state*:

assumes
 $\langle \text{cdcl-twls-stgy-prog-inv } S (\text{brk}, T) \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle \text{case } (\text{brk}, T) \text{ of } (\text{brk}, \cdot) \Rightarrow \neg \text{brk} \rangle$ **and**
twlo: $\langle \text{cdcl-twlo-prog-spec } U (\text{True}, V) \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{final-twl-state } V \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *cdcl-twls-stgy-in-measure*:

assumes

twl-stgy: $\langle cdcl\text{-}twl\text{-}stgy\text{-}prog\text{-}inv S (brk0, T) \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle \text{case } (brk0, T) \text{ of } (brk, uu-) \Rightarrow \neg brk \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle twl\text{-}o: \langle cdcl\text{-}twl\text{-}o\text{-}prog\text{-}spec U V \rangle \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle \text{simp}: \langle twl\text{-}struct\text{-}invs U \rangle \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle TU: \langle cdcl\text{-}twl\text{-}cp^{**} T U \rangle \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle \text{literals-to-update } U = \{\#\} \rangle$
shows $\langle (V, brk0, T) \rangle$
 $\in \{((brkT, T), brkS, S). twl\text{-}struct\text{-}invs S \wedge cdcl\text{-}twl\text{-}stgy^{++} S T\} \cup$
 $\{((brkT, T), brkS, S). S = T \wedge brkT \wedge \neg brkS\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl-twl-o-prog-cdcl-twl-stgy*:

assumes

twl-stgy: $\langle cdcl\text{-}twl\text{-}stgy\text{-}prog\text{-}inv S (brk, S') \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle \text{case } (brk, S') \text{ of } (brk, uu-) \Rightarrow \neg brk \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle twl\text{-}o: \langle cdcl\text{-}twl\text{-}o\text{-}prog\text{-}spec T (brk', U) \rangle \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle twl\text{-}struct\text{-}invs T \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle cp: \langle cdcl\text{-}twl\text{-}cp^{**} S' T \rangle \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle \text{literals-to-update } T = \{\#\} \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle \forall S'. \neg cdcl\text{-}twl\text{-}cp T S' \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle twl\text{-}stgy\text{-}invs T \rangle$
shows $\langle cdcl\text{-}twl\text{-}stgy^{**} S U \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl-twl-stgy-prog-spec*:

assumes $\langle twl\text{-}struct\text{-}invs S \rangle$ **and** $\langle twl\text{-}stgy\text{-}invs S \rangle$ **and** $\langle \text{clauses-to-update } S = \{\#\} \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle \text{get-conflict } S = \text{None} \rangle$
shows
 $\langle cdcl\text{-}twl\text{-}stgy\text{-}prog S \leq \text{conclusive-TWL-run } S \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *cdcl-twl-stgy-prog-break* :: $\langle 'v twl\text{-}st \Rightarrow 'v twl\text{-}st nres \rangle$ **where**

$\langle cdcl\text{-}twl\text{-}stgy\text{-}prog\text{-}break S_0 =$
 $\quad do \{$
 $\quad \quad b \leftarrow \text{SPEC}(\lambda -. \text{True});$
 $\quad \quad (b, brk, T) \leftarrow \text{WHILE}_T \lambda(b, S). cdcl\text{-}twl\text{-}stgy\text{-}prog\text{-}inv S_0 S$
 $\quad \quad (\lambda(b, brk, -). b \wedge \neg brk)$
 $\quad \quad (\lambda(-, brk, S). \text{do} \{$
 $\quad \quad \quad T \leftarrow \text{unit-propagation-outer-loop } S;$
 $\quad \quad \quad T \leftarrow \text{edel}\text{-}twl\text{-}o\text{-}prog T;$
 $\quad \quad \quad b \leftarrow \text{SPEC}(\lambda -. \text{True});$
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{RETURN } (b, T)$
 $\quad \quad \})$
 $\quad \quad (b, \text{False}, S_0);$
 $\quad \quad \text{if } brk \text{ then RETURN } T$
 $\quad \quad \text{else — finish iteration is required only}$
 $\quad \quad \quad cdcl\text{-}twl\text{-}stgy\text{-}prog T$
 $\quad \}$
 $\quad \rangle$

lemma *wf-cdcl-twl-stgy-measure-break*:

$\langle wf (\{((bT, brkT, T), (bS, brkS, S)). twl\text{-}struct\text{-}invs S \wedge cdcl\text{-}twl\text{-}stgy^{++} S T\} \cup$
 $\quad \{((bT, brkT, T), (bS, brkS, S)). S = T \wedge brkT \wedge \neg brkS\}$
 $\quad \}) \rangle$

```

(is ‹?wf ?R›)
⟨proof⟩

lemma cdcl-twlr-stgy-prog-break-spec:
assumes ⟨twl-struct-invs S⟩ and ⟨twl-stgy-invs S⟩ and ⟨clauses-to-update S = {#}⟩ and
⟨get-conflict S = None⟩
shows
⟨cdcl-twlr-stgy-prog-break S ≤ conclusive-TWL-run S⟩
⟨proof⟩

end
theory Watched-Literals-Transition-System-Restart
imports Watched-Literals-Transition-System
begin

```

Unlike the basic CDCL, it does not make any sense to fully restart the trail: the part propagated at level 0 (only the part due to unit clauses) has to be kept. Therefore, we allow fast restarts (i.e. a restart where part of the trail is reused).

There are two cases:

- either the trail is strictly decreasing;
- or it is kept and the number of clauses is strictly decreasing.

This ensures that *something* changes to prove termination.

In practice, there are two types of restarts that are done:

- First, a restart can be done to enforce that the SAT solver goes more into the direction expected by the decision heuristics.
- Second, a full restart can be done to simplify inprocessing and garbage collection of the memory: instead of properly updating the trail, we restart the search. This is not necessary (i.e., glucose and minisat do not do it), but it simplifies the proofs by allowing to move clauses without taking care of updating references in the trail. Moreover, as this happens “rarely” (around once every few thousand conflicts), it should not matter too much.

Restarts are the “local search” part of all modern SAT solvers.

```

inductive cdcl-twlr-restart :: ⟨'v twl-st ⇒ 'v twl-st ⇒ bool⟩ where
restart-trail:
⟨cdcl-twlr-restart (M, N, U, None, NE, UE, {#}, Q)
(M', N', U', None, NE + clauses NE', UE + clauses UE', {#}, {#})⟩
if
⟨Decided K # M', M2) ∈ set (get-all-ann-decomposition M)⟩ and
⟨U' + UE' ⊆# U⟩ and
⟨N = N' + NE'⟩ and
⟨∀ E ∈# NE' + UE'. ∃ L ∈# clause E. L ∈ lits-of-l M' ∧ get-level M' L = 0⟩
⟨∀ L E. Propagated L E ∈ set M' → E ∈# clause '# (N + U') + NE + UE + clauses UE'⟩ |
restart-clauses:
⟨cdcl-twlr-restart (M, N, U, None, NE, UE, {#}, Q)
(M, N', U', None, NE + clauses NE', UE + clauses UE', {#}, Q)⟩
if
⟨U' + UE' ⊆# U⟩ and
⟨N = N' + NE'⟩ and

```

$\forall E \in \#NE' + UE'. \exists L \in \#clause E. L \in \text{lits-of-l } M \wedge \text{get-level } M L = 0$
 $\forall L E. \text{Propagated } L E \in \text{set } M \longrightarrow E \in \# \text{ clause } ' \# (N + U') + NE + UE + \text{clauses } UE'$

inductive-cases *cdcl-twlv-restartE*: $\langle cdcl-twlv-restart S T \rangle$

```

lemma cdcl-twlv-restart-cdclW-stgy:
  assumes
     $\langle cdcl-twlv-restart S V \rangle$  and
     $\langle twlv-struct-invs S \rangle$  and
     $\langle twlv-stgy-invs S \rangle$ 
  shows
     $\exists T. cdclW-restart-mset.restart (\text{state}_W\text{-of } S) T \wedge cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-stgy^{**} T (\text{state}_W\text{-of } V) \wedge$ 
     $cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-restart^{**} (\text{state}_W\text{-of } S) (\text{state}_W\text{-of } V)$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma cdcl-twlv-restart-cdclW:
  assumes
     $\langle cdcl-twlv-restart S V \rangle$  and
     $\langle twlv-struct-invs S \rangle$ 
  shows
     $\exists T. cdclW-restart-mset.restart (\text{state}_W\text{-of } S) T \wedge cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW^{**} T (\text{state}_W\text{-of } V)$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma cdcl-twlv-restart-twlv-struct-invs:
  assumes
     $\langle cdcl-twlv-restart S T \rangle$  and
     $\langle twlv-struct-invs S \rangle$ 
  shows  $\langle twlv-struct-invs T \rangle$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-twlv-restart-twlv-struct-invs:
  assumes
     $\langle cdcl-twlv-restart^{**} S T \rangle$  and
     $\langle twlv-struct-invs S \rangle$ 
  shows  $\langle twlv-struct-invs T \rangle$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma cdcl-twlv-restart-twlv-stgy-invs:
  assumes
     $\langle cdcl-twlv-restart S T \rangle$  and  $\langle twlv-stgy-invs S \rangle$ 
  shows  $\langle twlv-stgy-invs T \rangle$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-twlv-restart-twlv-stgy-invs:
  assumes
     $\langle cdcl-twlv-restart^{**} S T \rangle$  and
     $\langle twlv-stgy-invs S \rangle$ 
  shows  $\langle twlv-stgy-invs T \rangle$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

context *twlv-restart-ops*
begin

```

inductive cdcl-twl-stgy-restart :: ⟨'v twl-st × nat ⇒ 'v twl-st × nat ⇒ bool⟩ where
  restart-step:
    ⟨cdcl-twl-stgy-restart (S, n) (U, Suc n)⟩
    if
      ⟨cdcl-twl-stgy++ S T⟩ and
      ⟨size (get-learned-clss T) > f n⟩ and
      ⟨cdcl-twl-restart T U⟩ |
  restart-full:
    ⟨cdcl-twl-stgy-restart (S, n) (T, n)⟩
    if
      ⟨full1 cdcl-twl-stgy S T⟩

lemma cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-init-clss:
  assumes ⟨cdcl-twl-stgy-restart S T⟩
  shows
    ⟨get-all-init-clss (fst S) = get-all-init-clss (fst T)⟩
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-init-clss:
  assumes ⟨cdcl-twl-stgy-restart** S T⟩
  shows
    ⟨get-all-init-clss (fst S) = get-all-init-clss (fst T)⟩
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-twl-struct-invs:
  assumes
    ⟨cdcl-twl-stgy-restart S T⟩ and
    ⟨twl-struct-invs (fst S)⟩
  shows ⟨twl-struct-invs (fst T)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-twl-struct-invs:
  assumes
    ⟨cdcl-twl-stgy-restart** S T⟩ and
    ⟨twl-struct-invs (fst S)⟩
  shows ⟨twl-struct-invs (fst T)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-twl-stgy-invs:
  assumes
    ⟨cdcl-twl-stgy-restart S T⟩ and
    ⟨twl-struct-invs (fst S)⟩ and
    ⟨twl-stgy-invs (fst S)⟩
  shows ⟨twl-stgy-invs (fst T)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma no-step-cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-cdcl-twl-stgy:
  assumes
    ns: ⟨no-step cdcl-twl-stgy-restart S⟩ and
    ⟨twl-struct-invs (fst S)⟩
  shows
    ⟨no-step cdcl-twl-stgy (fst S)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in −) subtract-left-le: ⟨(a :: nat) + b < c ==> a <= c − b⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma (in conflict-driven-clause-learningW) cdclW-stgy-new-learned-in-all-simple-clss:
assumes
  st: <cdclW-stgy** R S> and
    invR: <cdclW-all-struct-inv R>
  shows <set-mset (learned-clss S) ⊆ simple-clss (atms-of-mm (init-clss S))>
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in –) learned-clss-get-all-learned-clss[simp]:
  <learned-clss (stateW-of S) = get-all-learned-clss S>
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cdcl-twL-stgy-restart-new-learned-in-all-simple-clss:
assumes
  st: <cdcl-twL-stgy-restart** R S> and
  invR: <twL-struct-invs (fst R)>
  shows <set-mset (clauses (get-learned-clss (fst S))) ⊆
    simple-clss (atms-of-mm (get-all-init-clss (fst S)))>
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cdcl-twL-stgy-restart-new:
assumes
  <cdcl-twL-stgy-restart S T> and
  <twL-struct-invs (fst S)> and
  <distinct-mset (get-all-learned-clss (fst S) – A)>
  shows <distinct-mset (get-all-learned-clss (fst T) – A)>
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-twL-stgy-restart-new-abs:
assumes
  <cdcl-twL-stgy-restart** S T> and
  <twL-struct-invs (fst S)> and
  <distinct-mset (get-all-learned-clss (fst S) – A)>
  shows <distinct-mset (get-all-learned-clss (fst T) – A)>
  ⟨proof⟩

end

context twL-restart
begin

theorem wf-cdcl-twL-stgy-restart:
  <wf {(T, S :: 'v twL-st × nat). twL-struct-invs (fst S) ∧ cdcl-twL-stgy-restart S T}>
  ⟨proof⟩

end

abbreviation stateW-of-restart where
  <stateW-of-restart ≡ (λ(S, n). (stateW-of S, n))>

context twL-restart-ops
begin

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-twL-stgy-cdclW-restart-stgy:
  <cdcl-twL-stgy** S T ⟷ twL-struct-invs S ⟷
```

$\text{cdcl}_W\text{-restart-mset}.\text{cdcl}_W\text{-restart-stgy}^{**} (\text{state}_W\text{-of } S, n) (\text{state}_W\text{-of } T, n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}\text{-twl}\text{-stgy}\text{-restart}\text{-cdcl}_W\text{-restart-stgy}$:
 $\langle \text{cdcl}\text{-twl}\text{-stgy}\text{-restart } S T \implies \text{twl-struct-invs} (\text{fst } S) \implies \text{twl-stgy-invs} (\text{fst } S) \implies$
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-restart-mset}.\text{cdcl}_W\text{-restart-stgy}^{**} (\text{state}_W\text{-of-restart } S) (\text{state}_W\text{-of-restart } T) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $rtranclp\text{-cdcl}\text{-twl}\text{-stgy}\text{-restart}\text{-twl}\text{-stgy}\text{-invs}$:

assumes
 $\langle \text{cdcl}\text{-twl}\text{-stgy}\text{-restart}^{**} S T \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle \text{twl-struct-invs} (\text{fst } S) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle \text{twl-stgy-invs} (\text{fst } S) \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{twl-stgy-invs} (\text{fst } T) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $rtranclp\text{-cdcl}\text{-twl}\text{-stgy}\text{-restart}\text{-cdcl}_W\text{-restart-stgy}$:

$\langle \text{cdcl}\text{-twl}\text{-stgy}\text{-restart}^{**} S T \implies \text{twl-struct-invs} (\text{fst } S) \implies \text{twl-stgy-invs} (\text{fst } S) \implies$
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-restart-mset}.\text{cdcl}_W\text{-restart-stgy}^{**} (\text{state}_W\text{-of-restart } S) (\text{state}_W\text{-of-restart } T) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition (in twl-restart-ops) $\text{cdcl}\text{-twl}\text{-stgy}\text{-restart-with-leftovers}$ **where**

$\langle \text{cdcl}\text{-twl}\text{-stgy}\text{-restart-with-leftovers } S U \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists T. \text{cdcl}\text{-twl}\text{-stgy}\text{-restart}^{**} S (T, \text{snd } U) \wedge \text{cdcl}\text{-twl}\text{-stgy}^{**} T (\text{fst } U)) \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}\text{-twl}\text{-stgy}\text{-restart}\text{-cdcl}\text{-twl}\text{-stgy}\text{-cdcl}\text{-twl}\text{-stgy}\text{-restart}$:

$\langle \text{cdcl}\text{-twl}\text{-stgy}\text{-restart } (T, m) (V, \text{Suc } m) \implies$
 $\text{cdcl}\text{-twl}\text{-stgy}^{**} S T \implies \text{cdcl}\text{-twl}\text{-stgy}\text{-restart } (S, m) (V, \text{Suc } m) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cdcl}\text{-twl}\text{-stgy}\text{-restart}\text{-cdcl}\text{-twl}\text{-stgy}\text{-cdcl}\text{-twl}\text{-stgy}\text{-restart2}$:

$\langle \text{cdcl}\text{-twl}\text{-stgy}\text{-restart } (T, m) (V, m) \implies$
 $\text{cdcl}\text{-twl}\text{-stgy}^{**} S T \implies \text{cdcl}\text{-twl}\text{-stgy}\text{-restart } (S, m) (V, m) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\text{cdcl}\text{-twl}\text{-stgy}\text{-restart-with-leftovers1}$ **where**

$\langle \text{cdcl}\text{-twl}\text{-stgy}\text{-restart-with-leftovers1 } S U \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{cdcl}\text{-twl}\text{-stgy}\text{-restart } S U \vee$
 $(\text{cdcl}\text{-twl}\text{-stgy}^{++} (\text{fst } S) (\text{fst } U) \wedge \text{snd } S = \text{snd } U) \rangle$

lemma (in twl-restart) $\text{wf}\text{-cdcl}\text{-twl}\text{-stgy}\text{-restart-with-leftovers1}$:

$\langle \text{wf } \{(T :: 'v \text{ twl-st} \times \text{nat}, S).$
 $\text{twl-struct-invs} (\text{fst } S) \wedge \text{cdcl}\text{-twl}\text{-stgy}\text{-restart-with-leftovers1 } S T\} \rangle$
 $(\text{is } \langle \text{wf } ?S \rangle)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in twl-restart) $\text{wf}\text{-cdcl}\text{-twl}\text{-stgy}\text{-restart-measure}$:

$\langle \text{wf } \{\{((\text{brkT}, T, n), \text{brkS}, S, m).$
 $\text{twl-struct-invs } S \wedge \text{cdcl}\text{-twl}\text{-stgy}\text{-restart-with-leftovers1 } (S, m) (T, n)\} \cup$
 $\{((\text{brkT}, T), \text{brkS}, S). S = T \wedge \text{brkT} \wedge \neg \text{brkS}\}\} \rangle$
 $(\text{is } \langle \text{wf } (?TWL} \cup ?BOOL) \rangle)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma (in twl-restart) wf-cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-measure-early:
  <wf (((ebrk, brkT, T, n), ebrk, brkS, S, m),
        twl-struct-invs S  $\wedge$  cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-with-leftovers1 (S, m) (T, n) { }  $\cup$ 
        {((ebrkT, brkT, T), (ebrkS, brkS, S)). S = T  $\wedge$  (ebrkT  $\vee$  brkT)  $\wedge$  ( $\neg$ brkS  $\wedge$   $\neg$ ebrkS)})>
  (is <wf (?TWL  $\cup$  ?BOOL)>
  <proof>

lemma cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-with-leftovers-cdclW-restart-stgy:
  <cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-with-leftovers S T  $\implies$  twl-struct-invs (fst S)  $\implies$  twl-stgy-invs (fst S)  $\implies$ 
    cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-restart-stgy** (stateW-of-restart S) (stateW-of-restart T)>
  <proof>

lemma cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-with-leftovers-twl-struct-invs:
  <cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-with-leftovers S T  $\implies$  twl-struct-invs (fst S)  $\implies$ 
    twl-struct-invs (fst T)>
  <proof>

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-with-leftovers-twl-struct-invs:
  <cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-with-leftovers** S T  $\implies$  twl-struct-invs (fst S)  $\implies$ 
    twl-struct-invs (fst T)>
  <proof>

lemma cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-with-leftovers-twl-stgy-invs:
  <cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-with-leftovers S T  $\implies$  twl-struct-invs (fst S)  $\implies$ 
    twl-stgy-invs (fst S)  $\implies$  twl-stgy-invs (fst T)>
  <proof>

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-with-leftovers-twl-stgy-invs:
  <cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-with-leftovers** S T  $\implies$  twl-struct-invs (fst S)  $\implies$ 
    twl-stgy-invs (fst S)  $\implies$  twl-stgy-invs (fst T)>
  <proof>

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-with-leftovers-cdclW-restart-stgy:
  <cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-with-leftovers** S T  $\implies$  twl-struct-invs (fst S)  $\implies$  twl-stgy-invs (fst S)  $\implies$ 
    cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-restart-stgy** (stateW-of-restart S) (stateW-of-restart T)>
  <proof>

end

end
theory Watched-Literals-Algorithm-Restart
  imports Watched-Literals-Algorithm Watched-Literals-Transition-System-Restart
begin

context twl-restart-ops
begin

  Restarts are never necessary

  definition restart-required :: 'v twl-st  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool nres where
    <restart-required S n = SPEC (λb. b  $\longrightarrow$  size (get-learned-clss S) > f n)>

  definition (in -) restart-prog-pre :: 'v twl-st  $\Rightarrow$  bool  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
    <restart-prog-pre S brk  $\longleftrightarrow$  twl-struct-invs S  $\wedge$  twl-stgy-invs S  $\wedge$ 
      ( $\neg$ brk  $\longrightarrow$  get-conflict S = None)>

```

```

definition restart-prog
  :: ' $v$  twl-st  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool  $\Rightarrow$  (' $v$  twl-st  $\times$  nat) nres
where
  <restart-prog S n brk = do {
    ASSERT(restart-prog-pre S brk);
    b  $\leftarrow$  restart-required S n;
    b2  $\leftarrow$  SPEC( $\lambda$ . True);
    if b2  $\wedge$  b  $\wedge$   $\neg$ brk then do {
      T  $\leftarrow$  SPEC( $\lambda$ T. cdcl-twl-restart S T);
      RETURN (T, n + 1)
    }
    else
    if b  $\wedge$   $\neg$ brk then do {
      T  $\leftarrow$  SPEC( $\lambda$ T. cdcl-twl-restart S T);
      RETURN (T, n + 1)
    }
    else
    RETURN (S, n)
  }>

definition cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-prog-inv where
  <cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-prog-inv S0 brk T n  $\equiv$  twl-struct-invs T  $\wedge$  twl-stgy-invs T  $\wedge$ 
  (brk  $\longrightarrow$  final-twl-state T)  $\wedge$  cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-with-leftovers (S0, 0) (T, n)  $\wedge$ 
  clauses-to-update T = {#}  $\wedge$  ( $\neg$ brk  $\longrightarrow$  get-conflict T = None)>

definition cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-prog :: ' $v$  twl-st  $\Rightarrow$  ' $v$  twl-st nres where
  <cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-prog S0 =
  do {
    (brk, T, -)  $\leftarrow$  WHILE $_T$  $^\lambda$ (brk, T, n). cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-prog-inv S0 brk T n
    ( $\lambda$ (brk, -).  $\neg$ brk)
    ( $\lambda$ (brk, S, n).
      do {
        T  $\leftarrow$  unit-propagation-outer-loop S;
        (brk, T)  $\leftarrow$  cdcl-twl-o-prog T;
        (T, n)  $\leftarrow$  restart-prog T n brk;
        RETURN (brk, T, n)
      })
    (False, S0, 0);
    RETURN T
  }>

lemma (in twl-restart)
assumes
  inv: <case (brk, T, m) of (brk, T, m)  $\Rightarrow$  cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-prog-inv S brk T m> and
  cond: <case (brk, T, m) of (brk, uu-)  $\Rightarrow$   $\neg$  brk> and
  other-inv: <cdcl-twl-o-prog-spec S' (brk', U)> and
  struct-invs-S: <twl-struct-invs S'> and
  cp: <cdcl-twl-cp** T S'> and
  lits-to-update: <literals-to-update S' = {#}> and
   $\forall S'a. \neg cdcl-twl-cp S' S'a$  and
  <twl-stgy-invs S'>
shows restart-prog-spec:
  <restart-prog U m brk'
   $\leq$  SPEC
  ( $\lambda$ x. (case x of

```

$$\begin{aligned}
& (T, na) \Rightarrow RETURN(brk', T, na)) \\
& \leq SPEC \\
& \quad (\lambda s'. (case s' of \\
& \quad (brk, T, n) \Rightarrow \\
& \quad \quad twl-struct-invs T \wedge \\
& \quad \quad twl-stgy-invs T \wedge \\
& \quad \quad (brk \rightarrow final-twl-state T) \wedge \\
& \quad \quad cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-with-leftovers (S, 0) \\
& \quad \quad (T, n) \wedge \\
& \quad \quad clauses-to-update T = \{\#\} \wedge \\
& \quad \quad (\neg brk \rightarrow get-conflict T = None)) \wedge \\
& \quad (s', brk, T, m) \\
& \in \{((brkT, T, n), brkS, S, m). \\
& \quad twl-struct-invs S \wedge \\
& \quad cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-with-leftovers1 (S, m) \\
& \quad (T, n)\} \cup \\
& \quad \{((brkT, T), brkS, S). S = T \wedge brkT \wedge \neg brkS\}) \rangle \\
& \langle proof \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

lemma (in twl-restart)

assumes

$$\begin{aligned}
& inv: \langle case (ebrk, brk, T, m) of (ebrk, brk, T, m) \Rightarrow cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-prog-inv S brk T m \rangle \text{ and} \\
& cond: \langle case (ebrk, brk, T, m) of (ebrk, brk, -, -) \Rightarrow \neg brk \wedge \neg ebrk \rangle \text{ and} \\
& other-inv: \langle cdcl-twl-o-prog-spec S' (brk', U) \rangle \text{ and} \\
& struct-invs-S: \langle twl-struct-invs S' \rangle \text{ and} \\
& cp: \langle cdcl-twl-cp^{**} T S' \rangle \text{ and} \\
& lits-to-update: \langle literals-to-update S' = \{\#\} \rangle \text{ and} \\
& \forall S'a. \neg cdcl-twl-cp S' S'a \text{ and} \\
& \langle twl-stgy-invs S' \rangle \\
& \text{shows restart-prog-early-spec:} \\
& \langle restart-prog U m brk' \\
& \leq SPEC \\
& \quad (\lambda x. (case x of (T, n) \Rightarrow RES UNIV \ggg (\lambda ebrk. RETURN(ebrk, brk', T, n))) \\
& \quad \leq SPEC \\
& \quad \quad (\lambda s'. (case s' of (ebrk, brk, x, xb) \Rightarrow \\
& \quad \quad \quad cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-prog-inv S brk x xb) \wedge \\
& \quad \quad (s', ebrk, brk, T, m) \\
& \quad \in \{((ebrk, brkT, T, n), ebrk, brkS, S, m). \\
& \quad \quad twl-struct-invs S \wedge \\
& \quad \quad cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-with-leftovers1 (S, m) (T, n)\} \cup \\
& \quad \quad \{((ebrkT, brkT, T), ebrkS, brkS, S). \\
& \quad \quad S = T \wedge (ebrkT \vee brkT) \wedge \neg brkS \wedge \neg ebrkS\}) \rangle \\
& \quad \langle is (?B) \rangle \\
& \langle proof \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

lemma cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-with-leftovers-refl: $\langle cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-with-leftovers S S \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in twl-restart) cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-prog-spec:

assumes $\langle twl-struct-invs S \rangle$ and $\langle twl-stgy-invs S \rangle$ and $\langle clauses-to-update S = \{\#\} \rangle$ and
 $\langle get-conflict S = None \rangle$

shows

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-prog S \leq SPEC(\lambda T. \exists n. cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-with-leftovers (S, 0) (T, n) \wedge \\
& \quad final-twl-state T) \rangle \\
& \quad (\text{is } \text{`-} \leq SPEC(\lambda T. ?P T)) \rangle \\
& \langle proof \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

```

definition cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-prog-early :: 'v twl-st  $\Rightarrow$  'v twl-st nres where
  ⟨cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-prog-early S0 =
    do {
      ebrk  $\leftarrow$  RES UNIV;
      (ebrk, brk, T, n)  $\leftarrow$  WHILET $^{\lambda}(ebrk, brk, T, n).$  cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-prog-inv S0 brk T n
      ( $\lambda(ebrk, brk, -).$   $\neg brk \wedge \neg ebrk)$ 
      ( $\lambda(ebrk, brk, S, n).$ 
      do {
        T  $\leftarrow$  unit-propagation-outer-loop S;
        (brk, T)  $\leftarrow$  cdcl-twl-o-prog T;
        (T, n)  $\leftarrow$  restart-prog T n brk;
        ebrk  $\leftarrow$  RES UNIV;
        RETURN (ebrk, brk, T, n)
      })
      (ebrk, False, S0, 0);
      if  $\neg brk$  then do {
        (brk, T, -)  $\leftarrow$  WHILET $^{\lambda}(brk, T, n).$  cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-prog-inv S0 brk T n
        ( $\lambda(brk, -).$   $\neg brk)$ 
        ( $\lambda(brk, S, n).$ 
        do {
          T  $\leftarrow$  unit-propagation-outer-loop S;
          (brk, T)  $\leftarrow$  cdcl-twl-o-prog T;
          (T, n)  $\leftarrow$  restart-prog T n brk;
          RETURN (brk, T, n)
        })
        (False, T, n);
        RETURN T
      }
      else RETURN T
    })
  }
}

```

lemma (in tw_l-restart) cdcl-tw_l-st_{gy}-prog-early-spec:
assumes ⟨tw_l-struct-invs S⟩ **and** ⟨tw_l-st_{gy}-invs S⟩ **and** ⟨clauses-to-update S = {#}⟩ **and**
 ⟨get-conflict S = None⟩
shows
 ⟨cdcl-tw_l-st_{gy}-restart-prog-early S \leq SPEC($\lambda T. \exists n.$ cdcl-tw_l-st_{gy}-restart-with-leftovers (S, 0) (T, n))
 \wedge
 final-tw_l-state T)⟩
 (is ⟨- \leq SPEC($\lambda T. ?P T$)⟩)
⟨proof⟩

definition cdcl-tw_l-st_{gy}-restart-prog-bounded :: 'v tw_l-st \Rightarrow (bool \times 'v tw_l-st) nres **where**
 ⟨cdcl-tw_l-st_{gy}-restart-prog-bounded S₀ =
 do {
 ebrk \leftarrow RES UNIV;
 (ebrk, brk, T, n) \leftarrow WHILE_T $^{\lambda}(ebrk, brk, T, n).$ cdcl-tw_l-st_{gy}-restart-prog-inv S₀ brk T n
 ($\lambda(ebrk, brk, -).$ $\neg brk \wedge \neg ebrk)$
 ($\lambda(ebrk, brk, S, n).$
 do {
 T \leftarrow unit-propagation-outer-loop S;
 (brk, T) \leftarrow cdcl-tw_l-o-prog T;
 (T, n) \leftarrow restart-prog T n brk;
 ebrk \leftarrow RES UNIV;
 RETURN (ebrk, brk, T, n)
 })
 })
 }
}

```

        }
        (ebrk, False, S0, 0);
        RETURN (brk, T)
    }

lemma (in twl-restart) cdcl-twl-stgy-prog-bounded-spec:
  assumes <twl-struct-invs S> and <twl-stgy-invs S> and <clauses-to-updae S = {#}> and
    <get-conflict S = None>
  shows
    <cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-prog-bounded S ≤ SPEC(λ(brk, T). ∃ n. cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-with-leftovers (S,
  0) (T, n) ∧
    (brk → final-twl-state T))>
    (is <- ≤ SPEC ?P>)
  <proof>
end

end
theory Watched-Literals-List
  imports WB-More-Refinement-List Watched-Literals-Algorithm CDCL.DPLL-CDCL-W-Implementation
    Refine-Monadic.Refine-Monadic
begin

lemma mset-take-mset-drop-mset: <(λx. mset (take 2 x) + mset (drop 2 x)) = mset>
  <proof>
lemma mset-take-mset-drop-mset': <mset (take 2 x) + mset (drop 2 x) = mset x>
  <proof>

lemma uminus-lit-of-image-mset:
  <{#- lit-of x . x ∈# A#} = {#- lit-of x. x ∈# B#} ↔
    {#lit-of x . x ∈# A#} = {#lit-of x. x ∈# B#}>
  for A :: <('a literal, 'a literal, 'b) annotated-lit multiset>
  <proof>

```

1.3 Second Refinement: Lists as Clause

1.3.1 Types

```

type-synonym 'v clauses-to-update-l = <nat multiset>

type-synonym 'v clause-l = <'v literal list>
type-synonym 'v clauses-l = <(nat, ('v clause-l × bool)) fmap>
type-synonym 'v cconflict = <'v clause option>
type-synonym 'v cconflict-l = <'v literal list option>

type-synonym 'v twl-st-l =
  <('v, nat) ann-lits × 'v clauses-l ×
    'v cconflict × 'v clauses × 'v clauses-to-update-l × 'v lit-queue>

fun clauses-to-update-l :: <'v twl-st-l ⇒ 'v clauses-to-update-l> where
  <clauses-to-update-l (-, -, -, -, -, WS, -) = WS>

fun get-trail-l :: <'v twl-st-l ⇒ ('v, nat) ann-lit list> where
  <get-trail-l (M, -, -, -, -, -, -) = M>

fun set-clauses-to-update-l :: <'v clauses-to-update-l ⇒ 'v twl-st-l ⇒ 'v twl-st-l> where

```

```

⟨set-clauses-to-update-l WS (M, N, D, NE, UE, -, Q) = (M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q)⟩

fun literals-to-update-l :: ⟨'v twl-st-l ⇒ 'v clause⟩ where
  ⟨literals-to-update-l (-, -, -, -, -, -, Q) = Q⟩

fun set-literals-to-update-l :: ⟨'v clause ⇒ 'v twl-st-l ⇒ 'v twl-st-l⟩ where
  ⟨set-literals-to-update-l Q (M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, -) = (M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q)⟩

fun get-conflict-l :: ⟨'v twl-st-l ⇒ 'v cconflict⟩ where
  ⟨get-conflict-l (-, -, D, -, -, -, -) = D⟩

fun get-clauses-l :: ⟨'v twl-st-l ⇒ 'v clauses-l⟩ where
  ⟨get-clauses-l (M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) = N⟩

fun get-unit-clauses-l :: ⟨'v twl-st-l ⇒ 'v clauses⟩ where
  ⟨get-unit-clauses-l (M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) = NE + UE⟩

fun get-unit-init-clauses-l :: ⟨'v twl-st-l ⇒ 'v clauses⟩ where
  ⟨get-unit-init-clauses-l (M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) = NE⟩

fun get-unit-learned-clauses-l :: ⟨'v twl-st-l ⇒ 'v clauses⟩ where
  ⟨get-unit-learned-clauses-l (M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) = UE⟩

fun get-init-clauses :: ⟨'v twl-st ⇒ 'v twl-clss⟩ where
  ⟨get-init-clauses (M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) = N⟩

fun get-unit-init-clauses :: ⟨'v twl-st-l ⇒ 'v clauses⟩ where
  ⟨get-unit-init-clauses (M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) = NE⟩

fun get-unit-learned-clss :: ⟨'v twl-st-l ⇒ 'v clauses⟩ where
  ⟨get-unit-learned-clss (M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) = UE⟩

lemma state-decomp-to-state:
  ⟨(case S of (M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) ⇒ P M N U D NE UE WS Q) =
    P (get-trail S) (get-init-clauses S) (get-learned-clss S) (get-conflict S)
    (unit-init-clauses S) (get-init-learned-clss S)
    (clauses-to-update S)
    (literals-to-update S))⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma state-decomp-to-state-l:
  ⟨(case S of (M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) ⇒ P M N D NE UE WS Q) =
    P (get-trail-l S) (get-clauses-l S) (get-conflict-l S)
    (get-unit-init-clauses-l S) (get-unit-learned-clauses-l S)
    (clauses-to-update-l S)
    (literals-to-update-l S))⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

definition set-conflict' :: ⟨'v clause option ⇒ 'v twl-st ⇒ 'v twl-st⟩ where
  ⟨set-conflict' = (λC (M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q). (M, N, U, C, NE, UE, WS, Q))⟩

abbreviation watched-l :: ⟨'a clause-l ⇒ 'a clause-l⟩ where
  ⟨watched-l l ≡ take 2 l

abbreviation unwatched-l :: ⟨'a clause-l ⇒ 'a clause-l⟩ where
  ⟨unwatched-l l ≡ drop 2 l

```

```

⟨unwatched-l l ≡ drop 2 l

fun twl-clause-of :: ⟨'a clause-l ⇒ 'a clause twl-clause⟩ where
⟨twl-clause-of l = TWL-Clause (mset (watched-l l)) (mset (unwatched-l l))⟩

abbreviation clause-in :: ⟨'v clauses-l ⇒ nat ⇒ 'v clause-l⟩ (infix ∞ 101) where
⟨N ∞ i ≡ fst (the (fmlookup N i))⟩

abbreviation clause-upd :: ⟨'v clauses-l ⇒ nat ⇒ 'v clause-l ⇒ 'v clauses-l⟩ where
⟨clause-upd N i C ≡ fmupd i (C, snd (the (fmlookup N i))) N⟩

```

Taken from *fun-upd*.

nonterminal *updclsss* and *updclss*

syntax

```

-updclss :: 'a clauses-l ⇒ 'a ⇒ updclss      ((2- ↪ / -))
          :: updbind ⇒ updbinds      (-)
-updclsss:: updclss ⇒ updclsss ⇒ updclsss (-, / -)
-Updateclss :: 'a ⇒ updclss ⇒ 'a      (-/'(-)') [1000, 0] 900

```

translations

```

-Updateclss f (-updclsss b bs) ⇐ -Updateclss (-Updateclss f b) bs
f(x ↪ y) ⇐ CONST clause-upd f x y

```

inductive *convert-lit*

```

:: ⟨'v clauses-l ⇒ 'v clauses ⇒ ('v, nat) ann-lit ⇒ ('v, 'v clause) ann-lit ⇒ bool
where

```

```

⟨convert-lit N E (Decided K) (Decided K)⟩ | 
⟨convert-lit N E (Propagated K C) (Propagated K C')⟩
  if ⟨C' = mset (N ∞ C)⟩ and ⟨C ≠ 0⟩ |
⟨convert-lit N E (Propagated K C) (Propagated K C')⟩
  if ⟨C = 0⟩ and ⟨C' ∈# E⟩

```

definition *convert-lits-l* where

```

⟨convert-lits-l N E = ⟨p2rel (convert-lit N E)⟩ list-rel⟩

```

lemma *convert-lits-l-nil*[simp]:

```

⟨[], a⟩ ∈ convert-lits-l N E ⇔ a = []
⟨(b, [])⟩ ∈ convert-lits-l N E ⇔ b = []
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *convert-lits-l-cons*[simp]:

```

⟨(L # M, L' # M') ∈ convert-lits-l N E ⇔
  convert-lit N E L L' ∧ (M, M') ∈ convert-lits-l N E⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *take-convert-lits-lD*:

```

⟨(M, M') ∈ convert-lits-l N E ⇒
  (take n M, take n M') ∈ convert-lits-l N E⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *convert-lits-l-consE*:

```

⟨Propagated L C # M, x) ∈ convert-lits-l N E ⇒
  (⟨L' C' M'. x = Propagated L' C' # M'⟩ ⇒ (M, M') ∈ convert-lits-l N E ⇒
    convert-lit N E (Propagated L C) (Propagated L' C') ⇒ P) ⇒ P

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *convert-lits-l-append*[simp]:

$\langle length M1 = length M1' \Rightarrow$

$(M1 @ M2, M1' @ M2') \in convert-lits-l N E \longleftrightarrow (M1, M1') \in convert-lits-l N E \wedge (M2, M2') \in convert-lits-l N E \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *convert-lits-l-map-lit-of*: $\langle (ay, bq) \in convert-lits-l N e \Rightarrow map\ lit-of\ ay = map\ lit-of\ bq \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *convert-lits-l-tlD*:

$\langle (M, M') \in convert-lits-l N E \Rightarrow$

$(tl\ M, tl\ M') \in convert-lits-l N E \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-clauses-l-set-clauses-to-update-l*[simp]:

$\langle get-clauses-l (set-clauses-to-update-l WC S) = get-clauses-l S \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-trail-l-set-clauses-to-update-l*[simp]:

$\langle get-trail-l (set-clauses-to-update-l WC S) = get-trail-l S \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-trail-set-clauses-to-update*[simp]:

$\langle get-trail (set-clauses-to-update WC S) = get-trail S \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *resolve-cls-l* **where**

$\langle resolve-cls-l L D' E \equiv union-mset-list (remove1 (-L) D') (remove1 L E) \rangle$

lemma *mset-resolve-cls-l-resolve-cls*[iff]:

$\langle mset (resolve-cls-l L D' E) = cdcl_W-restart-mset.resolve-cls L (mset D') (mset E) \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *resolve-cls-l-nil-iff*:

$\langle resolve-cls-l L D' E = [] \longleftrightarrow cdcl_W-restart-mset.resolve-cls L (mset D') (mset E) = \{\#\} \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lit-of-convert-lit*[simp]:

$\langle convert-lit N E L L' \Rightarrow lit-of L' = lit-of L \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-decided-convert-lit*[simp]:

$\langle convert-lit N E L L' \Rightarrow is-decided L' \longleftrightarrow is-decided L \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *defined-lit-convert-lits-l*[simp]: $\langle (M, M') \in convert-lits-l N E \Rightarrow$

$defined-lit M' = defined-lit M \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *no-dup-convert-lits-l*[simp]: $\langle (M, M') \in convert-lits-l N E \Rightarrow$

$no-dup M' \longleftrightarrow no-dup M \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma
assumes  $\langle (M, M') \in convert-lits-l N E \rangle$ 
shows
  count-decided-convert-lits-l[simp]:
    (count-decided  $M'$  = count-decided  $M$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma
assumes  $\langle (M, M') \in convert-lits-l N E \rangle$ 
shows
  get-level-convert-lits-l[simp]:
    (get-level  $M'$  = get-level  $M$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma
assumes  $\langle (M, M') \in convert-lits-l N E \rangle$ 
shows
  get-maximum-level-convert-lits-l[simp]:
    (get-maximum-level  $M'$  = get-maximum-level  $M$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma list-of-l-convert-lits-l[simp]:
assumes  $\langle (M, M') \in convert-lits-l N E \rangle$ 
shows
  (lits-of-l  $M'$  = lits-of-l  $M$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma is-proped-hd-convert-lits-l[simp]:
assumes  $\langle (M, M') \in convert-lits-l N E \rangle$  and  $\langle M \neq [] \rangle$ 
shows
  (is-proped (hd  $M')$   $\longleftrightarrow$  is-proped (hd  $M$ ))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma is-decided-hd-convert-lits-l[simp]:
assumes  $\langle (M, M') \in convert-lits-l N E \rangle$  and  $\langle M \neq [] \rangle$ 
shows
  (is-decided (hd  $M')$   $\longleftrightarrow$  is-decided (hd  $M$ ))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lit-of-hd-convert-lits-l[simp]:
assumes  $\langle (M, M') \in convert-lits-l N E \rangle$  and  $\langle M \neq [] \rangle$ 
shows
  (lit-of (hd  $M')$  = lit-of (hd  $M$ ))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lit-of-l-convert-lits-l[simp]:
assumes  $\langle (M, M') \in convert-lits-l N E \rangle$ 
shows
  (lit-of `set  $M'$  = lit-of `set  $M$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

The order of the assumption is important for simpler use.

lemma convert-lits-l-extend-mono:
assumes  $\langle (a,b) \in convert-lits-l N E \rangle$ 
 $\forall L i. Propagated L i \in set a \longrightarrow mset (N \diamond i) = mset (N' \diamond i)$  and  $\langle E \subseteq \# E' \rangle$ 
shows
   $\langle (a,b) \in convert-lits-l N' E' \rangle$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *convert-lits-l-nil-iff*[simp]:
 assumes $\langle (M, M') \in convert\text{-}lits\text{-}l N E \rangle$
 shows $\langle M' = [] \longleftrightarrow M = [] \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *convert-lits-l-atm-lits-of-l*:
 assumes $\langle (M, M') \in convert\text{-}lits\text{-}l N E \rangle$
 shows $\langle atm\text{-}of ' lits\text{-}of\text{-}l M = atm\text{-}of ' lits\text{-}of\text{-}l M' \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *convert-lits-l-true-clss-clss*[simp]:
 $\langle (M, M') \in convert\text{-}lits\text{-}l N E \implies M' \models_{as} C \longleftrightarrow M \models_{as} C \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *convert-lit-propagated-decided*[iff]:
 $\langle convert\text{-}lit b d (Propagated x21 x22) (Decided x1) \longleftrightarrow False \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *convert-lit-decided*[iff]:
 $\langle convert\text{-}lit b d (Decided x1) (Decided x2) \longleftrightarrow x1 = x2 \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *convert-lit-decided-propagated*[iff]:
 $\langle convert\text{-}lit b d (Decided x1) (Propagated x21 x22) \longleftrightarrow False \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *convert-lits-l-lit-of-mset*[simp]:
 $\langle (a, af) \in convert\text{-}lits\text{-}l N E \implies lit\text{-}of '\# mset af = lit\text{-}of '\# mset a \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *convert-lits-l-imp-same-length*:
 $\langle (a, b) \in convert\text{-}lits\text{-}l N E \implies length a = length b \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *convert-lits-l-decomp-ex*:
 assumes
 $H: \langle (Decided K \# a, M2) \in set (get\text{-}all\text{-}ann\text{-}decomposition x) \rangle$ **and**
 $xxa: \langle (x, xa) \in convert\text{-}lits\text{-}l aa ac \rangle$
 shows $\langle \exists M2. (Decided K \# drop (length xa - length a) xa, M2)$
 $\in set (get\text{-}all\text{-}ann\text{-}decomposition xa) \rangle$ (**is** ?decomp) **and**
 $\langle (a, drop (length xa - length a) xa) \in convert\text{-}lits\text{-}l aa ac \rangle$ (**is** ?a)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *in-convert-lits-lD*:
 $\langle K \in set TM \implies$
 $(M, TM) \in convert\text{-}lits\text{-}l N NE \implies$
 $\exists K'. K' \in set M \wedge convert\text{-}lit N NE K' K \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *in-convert-lits-lD2*:
 $\langle K \in set M \implies$
 $(M, TM) \in convert\text{-}lits\text{-}l N NE \implies$

$\exists K'. K' \in \text{set } TM \wedge \text{convert-lit } N \text{ NE } K K'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *convert-lits-l-filter-decided*: $\langle (S, S') \in \text{convert-lits-l } M N \Rightarrow$
 $\text{map lit-of } (\text{filter is-decided } S') = \text{map lit-of } (\text{filter is-decided } S) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *convert-lits-II*:
 $\langle \text{length } M = \text{length } M' \Rightarrow (\bigwedge i. i < \text{length } M \Rightarrow \text{convert-lit } N \text{ NE } (M!i) (M'!i)) \Rightarrow$
 $(M, M') \in \text{convert-lits-l } N \text{ NE} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation *ran-mf* :: $\langle 'v \text{ clauses-l} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clause-l multiset} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{ran-mf } N \equiv \text{fst } \# \text{ ran-m } N \rangle$

abbreviation *learned-clss-l* :: $\langle 'v \text{ clauses-l} \Rightarrow ('v \text{ clause-l} \times \text{bool}) \text{ multiset} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{learned-clss-l } N \equiv \{ \# C \in \# \text{ ran-m } N. \neg \text{snd } C \# \} \rangle$

abbreviation *learned-clss-lf* :: $\langle 'v \text{ clauses-l} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clause-l multiset} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{learned-clss-lf } N \equiv \text{fst } \# \text{ learned-clss-l } N \rangle$

definition *get-learned-clss-l* **where**
 $\langle \text{get-learned-clss-l } S = \text{learned-clss-lf } (\text{get-clauses-l } S) \rangle$

abbreviation *init-clss-l* :: $\langle 'v \text{ clauses-l} \Rightarrow ('v \text{ clause-l} \times \text{bool}) \text{ multiset} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{init-clss-l } N \equiv \{ \# C \in \# \text{ ran-m } N. \text{snd } C \# \} \rangle$

abbreviation *init-clss-lf* :: $\langle 'v \text{ clauses-l} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clause-l multiset} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{init-clss-lf } N \equiv \text{fst } \# \text{ init-clss-l } N \rangle$

abbreviation *all-clss-l* :: $\langle 'v \text{ clauses-l} \Rightarrow ('v \text{ clause-l} \times \text{bool}) \text{ multiset} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{all-clss-l } N \equiv \text{init-clss-l } N + \text{learned-clss-l } N \rangle$

lemma *all-clss-l-ran-m*[simp]:
 $\langle \text{all-clss-l } N = \text{ran-m } N \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation *all-clss-lf* :: $\langle 'v \text{ clauses-l} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clause-l multiset} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{all-clss-lf } N \equiv \text{init-clss-lf } N + \text{learned-clss-lf } N \rangle$

lemma *all-clss-lf-ran-m*: $\langle \text{all-clss-lf } N = \text{fst } \# \text{ ran-m } N \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation *irred* :: $\langle 'v \text{ clauses-l} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{irred } N C \equiv \text{snd } (\text{the } (\text{fmlookup } N C)) \rangle$

definition *irred'* **where** $\langle \text{irred}' = \text{irred} \rangle$

lemma *ran-m-ran*: $\langle \text{fset-mset } (\text{ran-m } N) = \text{fmran } N \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun *get-learned-clauses-l* :: $\langle 'v \text{ twl-st-l} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clause-l multiset} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{get-learned-clauses-l } (M, N, D, \text{NE}, \text{UE}, \text{WS}, Q) = \text{learned-clss-lf } N \rangle$

lemma *ran-m-clause-upd*:
assumes

NC: $\langle C \in \# \text{dom-}m N \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{ran-}m (N(C \hookrightarrow C')) = \text{add-mset } (C', \text{irred } N C) (\text{remove1-mset } (N \propto C, \text{irred } N C) (\text{ran-}m N)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ran-m-mapsto-upd*:
assumes
 $\langle C \in \# \text{dom-}m N \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{ran-}m (\text{fmupd } C C' N) = \text{add-mset } C' (\text{remove1-mset } (N \propto C, \text{irred } N C) (\text{ran-}m N)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ran-m-mapsto-upd-notin*:
assumes
 $\langle C \notin \# \text{dom-}m N \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{ran-}m (\text{fmupd } C C' N) = \text{add-mset } C' (\text{ran-}m N) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *learned-clss-l-update*[simp]:
 $\langle bh \in \# \text{dom-}m ax \implies \text{size } (\text{learned-clss-l } (ax(bh \hookrightarrow C))) = \text{size } (\text{learned-clss-l } ax) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ball-ran-m-dom*:
 $\langle (\forall x \in \# \text{ran-}m N. P (\text{fst } x)) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in \# \text{dom-}m N. P (N \propto x)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ball-ran-m-dom-struct-wf*:
 $\langle (\forall x \in \# \text{ran-}m N. \text{struct-wf-twcl-cls } (\text{twl-clause-of } (\text{fst } x))) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in \# \text{dom-}m N. \text{struct-wf-twcl-cls } (\text{twl-clause-of } (N \propto x))) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *init-clss-lf-fmdrop*[simp]:
 $\langle \text{irred } N C \implies C \in \# \text{dom-}m N \implies \text{init-clss-lf } (\text{fmdrop } C N) = \text{remove1-mset } (N \propto C) (\text{init-clss-lf } N) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *init-clss-lf-fmdrop-irrelev*[simp]:
 $\langle \neg \text{irred } N C \implies \text{init-clss-lf } (\text{fmdrop } C N) = \text{init-clss-lf } N \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *learned-clss-lf-lf-fmdrop*[simp]:
 $\langle \neg \text{irred } N C \implies C \in \# \text{dom-}m N \implies \text{learned-clss-lf } (\text{fmdrop } C N) = \text{remove1-mset } (N \propto C) (\text{learned-clss-lf } N) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *learned-clss-l-l-fmdrop*: $\langle \neg \text{irred } N C \implies C \in \# \text{dom-}m N \implies \text{learned-clss-l } (\text{fmdrop } C N) = \text{remove1-mset } (\text{the } (\text{fmlookup } N C)) (\text{learned-clss-l } N) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *learned-clss-lf-lf-fmdrop-irrelev*[simp]:
 $\langle \text{irred } N C \implies \text{learned-clss-lf } (\text{fmdrop } C N) = \text{learned-clss-lf } N \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ran-mf-lf-fmdrop*[simp]:
 $\langle C \in \# \text{dom-}m N \implies \text{ran-mf } (\text{fmdrop } C N) = \text{remove1-mset } (N \propto C) (\text{ran-mf } N) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ran-mf-lf-fmdrop-notin*[simp]:
 $\langle C \notin \# \text{dom-}m N \implies \text{ran-mf} (\text{fmdrop } C N) = \text{ran-mf } N \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lookup-None-notin-dom-m*[simp]:
 $\langle \text{fmlookup } N i = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow i \notin \# \text{dom-}m N \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

While it is tempting to mark the two following theorems as [simp], this would break more simplifications since *ran-mf* is only an abbreviation for *ran-m*.

lemma *ran-m-fmdrop*:
 $\langle C \in \# \text{dom-}m N \implies \text{ran-m} (\text{fmdrop } C N) = \text{remove1-mset } (N \propto C, \text{irred } N C) (\text{ran-m } N) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ran-m-fmdrop-notin*:
 $\langle C \notin \# \text{dom-}m N \implies \text{ran-m} (\text{fmdrop } C N) = \text{ran-m } N \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *init-clss-l-fmdrop-irrelev*:
 $\langle \neg \text{irred } N C \implies \text{init-clss-l} (\text{fmdrop } C N) = \text{init-clss-l } N \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *init-clss-l-fmdrop*:
 $\langle \text{irred } N C \implies C \in \# \text{dom-}m N \implies \text{init-clss-l} (\text{fmdrop } C N) = \text{remove1-mset } (\text{the } (\text{fmlookup } N C)) (\text{init-clss-l } N) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ran-m-lf-fmdrop*:
 $\langle C \in \# \text{dom-}m N \implies \text{ran-m} (\text{fmdrop } C N) = \text{remove1-mset } (\text{the } (\text{fmlookup } N C)) (\text{ran-m } N) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *twl-st-l* :: $\text{--} \Rightarrow ('v \text{twl-st-l} \times 'v \text{twl-st}) \text{ set}$ **where**
 $\langle \text{twl-st-l } L =$
 $\{((M, N, C, NE, UE, WS, Q), (M', N', U', C', NE', UE', WS', Q')).$
 $(M, M') \in \text{convert-lits-l } N (NE + UE) \wedge$
 $N' = \text{twl-clause-of } \# \text{init-clss-lf } N \wedge$
 $U' = \text{twl-clause-of } \# \text{learned-clss-lf } N \wedge$
 $C' = C \wedge$
 $NE' = NE \wedge$
 $UE' = UE \wedge$
 $WS' = (\text{case } L \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \{\#\} \mid \text{Some } L \Rightarrow \text{image-mset } (\lambda j. (L, \text{twl-clause-of } (N \propto j))) \text{ WS}) \wedge$
 $Q' = Q$
 $\}$

lemma *clss-stateW-of*[*twl-st*]:
assumes $\langle (S, R) \in \text{twl-st-l } L \rangle$
shows
 $\langle \text{init-clss } (\text{stateW-of } R) = \text{mset } \# (\text{init-clss-lf } (\text{get-clauses-l } S)) +$
 $\text{get-unit-init-clauses-l } S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{learned-clss } (\text{stateW-of } R) = \text{mset } \# (\text{learned-clss-lf } (\text{get-clauses-l } S)) +$
 $\text{get-unit-learned-clauses-l } S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

named-theorems *twl-st-l* *Conversions simp rules*

lemma [*twl-st-l*]:
assumes $((S, T) \in \text{twl-st-l } L)$
shows
 $\langle (\text{get-trail-l } S, \text{get-trail } T) \in \text{convert-lits-l } (\text{get-clauses-l } S) (\text{get-unit-clauses-l } S) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle \text{get-clauses } T = \text{twl-clause-of } ' \# \text{fst} ' \# \text{ran-m } (\text{get-clauses-l } S) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle \text{get-conflict } T = \text{get-conflict-l } S \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle L = \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{clauses-to-update } T = \{\#\} \rangle$
 $\langle L \neq \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{clauses-to-update } T =$
 $\quad (\lambda j. (\text{the } L, \text{twl-clause-of } (\text{get-clauses-l } S \times j))) ' \# \text{clauses-to-update-l } S \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle \text{literals-to-update } T = \text{literals-to-update-l } S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{backtrack-lvl } (\text{stateW-of } T) = \text{count-decided } (\text{get-trail-l } S) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{unit-clss } T = \text{get-unit-clauses-l } S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{cdclW-restart-mset.clauses } (\text{stateW-of } T) =$
 $\quad \text{mset } ' \# \text{ran-mf } (\text{get-clauses-l } S) + \text{get-unit-clauses-l } S \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle \text{no-dup } (\text{get-trail } T) \longleftrightarrow \text{no-dup } (\text{get-trail-l } S) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle \text{lits-of-l } (\text{get-trail } T) = \text{lits-of-l } (\text{get-trail-l } S) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle \text{count-decided } (\text{get-trail } T) = \text{count-decided } (\text{get-trail-l } S) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle \text{get-trail } T = [] \longleftrightarrow \text{get-trail-l } S = [] \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle \text{get-trail } T \neq [] \longleftrightarrow \text{get-trail-l } S \neq [] \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle \text{get-trail } T \neq [] \Rightarrow \text{is-proped } (\text{hd } (\text{get-trail } T)) \longleftrightarrow \text{is-proped } (\text{hd } (\text{get-trail-l } S)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{get-trail } T \neq [] \Rightarrow \text{is-decided } (\text{hd } (\text{get-trail } T)) \longleftrightarrow \text{is-decided } (\text{hd } (\text{get-trail-l } S)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{get-trail } T \neq [] \Rightarrow \text{lit-of } (\text{hd } (\text{get-trail } T)) = \text{lit-of } (\text{hd } (\text{get-trail-l } S)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{get-level } (\text{get-trail } T) = \text{get-level } (\text{get-trail-l } S) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{get-maximum-level } (\text{get-trail } T) = \text{get-maximum-level } (\text{get-trail-l } S) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{get-trail } T \models_{\text{as}} D \longleftrightarrow \text{get-trail-l } S \models_{\text{as}} D \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in -) [*twl-st-l*]:
 $\langle (S, T) \in \text{twl-st-l } b \Rightarrow \text{get-all-init-clss } T = \text{mset } ' \# \text{init-clss-lf } (\text{get-clauses-l } S) + \text{get-unit-init-clauses } S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma [*twl-st-l*]:
assumes $((S, T) \in \text{twl-st-l } L)$
shows $\langle \text{lit-of } ' \text{set } (\text{get-trail } T) = \text{lit-of } ' \text{set } (\text{get-trail-l } S) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma [*twl-st-l*]:
 $\langle \text{get-trail-l } (\text{set-literals-to-update-l } D S) = \text{get-trail-l } S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun *remove-one-lit-from-wq* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-l} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-l}$ **where**
 $\langle \text{remove-one-lit-from-wq } L (M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) = (M, N, D, NE, UE, \text{remove1-mset } L WS, Q) \rangle$

lemma [*twl-st-l*]: $\langle \text{get-conflict-l } (\text{set-clauses-to-update-l } W S) = \text{get-conflict-l } S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma [*twl-st-l*]: $\langle \text{get-conflict-l } (\text{remove-one-lit-from-wq } L S) = \text{get-conflict-l } S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma [*twl-st-l*]: $\langle \text{literals-to-update-l } (\text{set-clauses-to-update-l } Cs S) = \text{literals-to-update-l } S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma [twl-st-l]: $\langle \text{get-unit-clauses-l} (\text{set-clauses-to-update-l } Cs S) = \text{get-unit-clauses-l } S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma [twl-st-l]: $\langle \text{get-unit-clauses-l} (\text{remove-one-lit-from-wq } L S) = \text{get-unit-clauses-l } S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma init-clss-state-to-l[twl-st-l]: $\langle (S, S') \in \text{twl-st-l } L \implies$
 $\text{init-clss} (\text{state}_W\text{-of } S') = \text{mset} \# \text{init-clss-lf} (\text{get-clauses-l } S) + \text{get-unit-init-clauses-l } S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma [twl-st-l]:
 $\langle \text{get-unit-init-clauses-l} (\text{set-clauses-to-update-l } Cs S) = \text{get-unit-init-clauses-l } S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma [twl-st-l]:
 $\langle \text{get-unit-init-clauses-l} (\text{remove-one-lit-from-wq } L S) = \text{get-unit-init-clauses-l } S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma [twl-st-l]:
 $\langle \text{get-clauses-l} (\text{remove-one-lit-from-wq } L S) = \text{get-clauses-l } S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{get-trail-l} (\text{remove-one-lit-from-wq } L S) = \text{get-trail-l } S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma [twl-st-l]:
 $\langle \text{get-unit-learned-clauses-l} (\text{set-clauses-to-update-l } Cs S) = \text{get-unit-learned-clauses-l } S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma [twl-st-l]:
 $\langle \text{get-unit-learned-clauses-l} (\text{remove-one-lit-from-wq } L S) = \text{get-unit-learned-clauses-l } S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma literals-to-update-l-remove-one-lit-from-wq[simp]:
 $\langle \text{literals-to-update-l} (\text{remove-one-lit-from-wq } L T) = \text{literals-to-update-l } T \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma clauses-to-update-l-remove-one-lit-from-wq[simp]:
 $\langle \text{clauses-to-update-l} (\text{remove-one-lit-from-wq } L T) = \text{remove1-mset } L (\text{clauses-to-update-l } T) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare twl-st-l[simp]

lemma unit-init-clauses-get-unit-init-clauses-l[twl-st-l]:
 $\langle (S, T) \in \text{twl-st-l } L \implies \text{unit-init-clauses } T = \text{get-unit-init-clauses-l } S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma clauses-state-to-l[twl-st-l]: $\langle (S, S') \in \text{twl-st-l } L \implies$
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-restart-mset}.clauses (\text{state}_W\text{-of } S') = \text{mset} \# \text{ran-mf} (\text{get-clauses-l } S) +$
 $\text{get-unit-init-clauses-l } S + \text{get-unit-learned-clauses-l } S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma clauses-to-update-l-set-clauses-to-update-l[twl-st-l]:
 $\langle \text{clauses-to-update-l} (\text{set-clauses-to-update-l } WS S) = WS \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma hd-get-trail-twl-st-of-get-trail-l:
 $\langle (S, T) \in \text{twl-st-l } L \implies \text{get-trail-l } S \neq [] \implies$
 $\text{lit-of} (\text{hd} (\text{get-trail } T)) = \text{lit-of} (\text{hd} (\text{get-trail-l } S)) \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *twl-st-l-mark-of-hd*:

$\langle (x, y) \in twl-st-l b \Rightarrow$
 $get-trail-l x \neq [] \Rightarrow$
 $is-proped (hd (get-trail-l x)) \Rightarrow$
 $mark-of (hd (get-trail-l x)) > 0 \Rightarrow$
 $mark-of (hd (get-trail-l y)) = mset (get-clauses-l x \propto mark-of (hd (get-trail-l x))) \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *twl-st-l-lits-of-tl*:

$\langle (x, y) \in twl-st-l b \Rightarrow$
 $lits-of-l (tl (get-trail y)) = (lits-of-l (tl (get-trail-l x))) \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *twl-st-l-mark-of-is-decided*:

$\langle (x, y) \in twl-st-l b \Rightarrow$
 $get-trail-l x \neq [] \Rightarrow$
 $is-decided (hd (get-trail y)) = is-decided (hd (get-trail-l x)) \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *twl-st-l-mark-of-is-proped*:

$\langle (x, y) \in twl-st-l b \Rightarrow$
 $get-trail-l x \neq [] \Rightarrow$
 $is-proped (hd (get-trail y)) = is-proped (hd (get-trail-l x)) \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

fun *equality-except-trail* :: $\langle' v twl-st-l \Rightarrow 'v twl-st-l \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ **where**

$\langle equality-except-trail (M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) (M', N', D', NE', UE', WS', Q') \longleftrightarrow$
 $N = N' \wedge D = D' \wedge NE = NE' \wedge UE = UE' \wedge WS = WS' \wedge Q = Q' \rangle$

fun *equality-except-conflict-l* :: $\langle' v twl-st-l \Rightarrow 'v twl-st-l \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ **where**

$\langle equality-except-conflict-l (M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) (M', N', D', NE', UE', WS', Q') \longleftrightarrow$
 $M = M' \wedge N = N' \wedge NE = NE' \wedge UE = UE' \wedge WS = WS' \wedge Q = Q' \rangle$

lemma *equality-except-conflict-l-rewrite*:

assumes $\langle equality-except-conflict-l S T \rangle$
shows
 $\langle get-trail-l S = get-trail-l T \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle get-clauses-l S = get-clauses-l T \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *equality-except-conflict-l-alt-def*:

$\langle equality-except-conflict-l S T \longleftrightarrow$
 $get-trail-l S = get-trail-l T \wedge get-clauses-l S = get-clauses-l T \wedge$
 $get-unit-init-clauses-l S = get-unit-init-clauses-l T \wedge$
 $get-unit-learned-clauses-l S = get-unit-learned-clauses-l T \wedge$
 $literals-to-update-l S = literals-to-update-l T \wedge$
 $clauses-to-update-l S = clauses-to-update-l T \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *equality-except-conflict-alt-def*:

$\langle equality-except-conflict S T \longleftrightarrow$
 $get-trail S = get-trail T \wedge get-init-clauses S = get-init-clauses T \wedge$
 $get-learned-clss S = get-learned-clss T \wedge$
 $get-init-learned-clss S = get-init-learned-clss T \wedge$

$\text{unit-init-clauses } S = \text{unit-init-clauses } T \wedge$
 $\text{literals-to-update } S = \text{literals-to-update } T \wedge$
 $\text{clauses-to-update } S = \text{clauses-to-update } T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.3.2 Additional Invariants and Definitions

definition *twl-list-invs* **where**

$\langle \text{twl-list-invs } S \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\forall C \in \# \text{ clauses-to-update-l } S. C \in \# \text{ dom-m } (\text{get-clauses-l } S)) \wedge$
 $0 \notin \# \text{ dom-m } (\text{get-clauses-l } S) \wedge$
 $(\forall L. \text{Propagated } L \in \text{set } (\text{get-trail-l } S) \longrightarrow (C > 0 \longrightarrow C \in \# \text{ dom-m } (\text{get-clauses-l } S)) \wedge$
 $(C > 0 \longrightarrow L \in \text{set } (\text{watched-l } (\text{get-clauses-l } S \times C))) \wedge$
 $(\text{length } (\text{get-clauses-l } S \times C) > 2 \longrightarrow L = \text{get-clauses-l } S \times C ! 0))) \wedge$
 $\text{distinct-mset } (\text{clauses-to-update-l } S)$

definition *polarity* **where**

$\langle \text{polarity } M L =$
 $(\text{if undefined-lit } M L \text{ then None else if } L \in \text{lits-of-l } M \text{ then Some True else Some False}) \rangle$

lemma *polarity-None-undefined-lit*: $\langle \text{is-None } (\text{polarity } M L) \implies \text{undefined-lit } M L \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *polarity-spec*:

assumes $\langle \text{no-dup } M \rangle$

shows

$\langle \text{RETURN } (\text{polarity } M L) \leq \text{SPEC}(\lambda v. (v = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow \text{undefined-lit } M L) \wedge$
 $(v = \text{Some True} \longleftrightarrow L \in \text{lits-of-l } M) \wedge (v = \text{Some False} \longleftrightarrow -L \in \text{lits-of-l } M)) \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *polarity-spec'*:

assumes $\langle \text{no-dup } M \rangle$

shows

$\langle \text{polarity } M L = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow \text{undefined-lit } M L \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle \text{polarity } M L = \text{Some True} \longleftrightarrow L \in \text{lits-of-l } M \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle \text{polarity } M L = \text{Some False} \longleftrightarrow -L \in \text{lits-of-l } M \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *find-unwatched-l* **where**

$\langle \text{find-unwatched-l } M C = \text{SPEC } (\lambda \text{found}).$

$(\text{found} = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow (\forall L \in \text{set } (\text{unwatched-l } C). -L \in \text{lits-of-l } M)) \wedge$
 $(\forall j. \text{found} = \text{Some } j \longrightarrow (j < \text{length } C \wedge (\text{undefined-lit } M (C ! j) \vee C ! j \in \text{lits-of-l } M) \wedge j \geq 2))) \rangle$

definition *set-conflict-l* :: $\langle 'v \text{ clause-l} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-l} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-l} \rangle$ **where**

$\langle \text{set-conflict-l} = (\lambda C (M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q). (M, N, \text{Some } (\text{mset } C), NE, UE, \{\#\}, \{\#\})) \rangle$

definition *propagate-lit-l* :: $\langle 'v \text{ literal} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-l} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-l} \rangle$ **where**

$\langle \text{propagate-lit-l} = (\lambda L' C i (M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q).$

$let N = (\text{if length } (N \times C) > 2 \text{ then } N(C \hookrightarrow (\text{swap } (N \times C) 0 (\text{Suc } 0 - i))) \text{ else } N) \text{ in}$
 $(\text{Propagated } L' C \# M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, \text{add-mset } (-L') Q)) \rangle$

definition *update-clause-l* :: $\langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-l} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-l} \text{ nres} \rangle$ **where**

$\langle \text{update-clause-l} = (\lambda C i f (M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q). \text{do } \{$

$let N' = N (C \hookrightarrow (\text{swap } (N \times C) i f));$

$\text{RETURN } (M, N', D, NE, UE, WS, Q)$

$\})\rangle$

definition *unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-l-inv*
 $:: \langle'v\ literal \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'v\ twl-st-l \Rightarrow bool\rangle$
where
 $\langle unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-l-inv\ L\ C\ S \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists S'. (set-clauses-to-update-l (clauses-to-update-l\ S + \{#C#\})\ S, S') \in twl-st-l\ (Some\ L) \wedge$
 $twl-struct-invs\ S' \wedge$
 $twl-stgy-invs\ S' \wedge$
 $C \in \# dom-m\ (get-clauses-l\ S) \wedge$
 $C > 0 \wedge$
 $0 < length\ (get-clauses-l\ S \propto C) \wedge$
 $no-dup\ (get-trail-l\ S) \wedge$
 $(if\ (get-clauses-l\ S \propto C) ! 0 = L\ then\ 0\ else\ 1) < length\ (get-clauses-l\ S \propto C) \wedge$
 $1 - (if\ (get-clauses-l\ S \propto C) ! 0 = L\ then\ 0\ else\ 1) < length\ (get-clauses-l\ S \propto C) \wedge$
 $L \in set\ (watched-l\ (get-clauses-l\ S \propto C)) \wedge$
 $get-conflict-l\ S = None$
 $)$
 \rangle

definition *unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-l* $:: \langle'v\ literal \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow$
 $'v\ twl-st-l \Rightarrow 'v\ twl-st-l\ nres\rangle$ **where**
 $\langle unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-l\ L\ C\ S = do\ \{$
 $ASSERT(unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-l-inv\ L\ C\ S);$
 $K \leftarrow SPEC(\lambda K. K \in set\ (get-clauses-l\ S \propto C));$
 $let\ val-K = polarity\ (get-trail-l\ S)\ K;$
 $if\ val-K = Some\ True\ then\ RETURN\ S$
 $else\ do\ \{$
 $let\ i = (if\ (get-clauses-l\ S \propto C) ! 0 = L\ then\ 0\ else\ 1);$
 $let\ L' = (get-clauses-l\ S \propto C) ! (1 - i);$
 $let\ val-L' = polarity\ (get-trail-l\ S)\ L';$
 $if\ val-L' = Some\ True$
 $then\ RETURN\ S$
 $else\ do\ \{$
 $f \leftarrow find-unwatched-l\ (get-trail-l\ S)\ (get-clauses-l\ S \propto C);$
 $case\ f\ of$
 $None \Rightarrow$
 $if\ val-L' = Some\ False$
 $then\ RETURN\ (set-conflict-l\ (get-clauses-l\ S \propto C)\ S)$
 $else\ RETURN\ (propagate-lit-l\ L'\ C\ i\ S)$
 $| Some\ f \Rightarrow do\ \{$
 $ASSERT(f < length\ (get-clauses-l\ S \propto C));$
 $let\ K = (get-clauses-l\ S \propto C)!f;$
 $let\ val-K = polarity\ (get-trail-l\ S)\ K;$
 $if\ val-K = Some\ True\ then$
 $RETURN\ S$
 $else$
 $update-clause-l\ C\ i\ f\ S$
 $\}$
 $\}$
 $\}$
 \rangle

lemma *refine-add-invariants*:

assumes

$\langle f\ S \rangle \leq SPEC(\lambda S'. Q\ S')\rangle$ **and**

```

⟨y ≤ ↓ {(S, S'). P S S'} (f S)⟩
shows ⟨y ≤ ↓ {(S, S'). P S S' ∧ Q S'} (f S)⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma clauses-tuple[simp]:
⟨cdclW-restart-mset.clauses (M, {#f x . x ∈# init-clss-l N#} + NE,
{#f x . x ∈# learned-clss-l N#} + UE, D) = {#f x. x ∈# all-clss-l N#} + NE + UE⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma valid-enqueued-alt-simps[simp]:
⟨valid-enqueued S ↔
(∀(L, C) ∈# clauses-to-update S. L ∈# watched C ∧ C ∈# get-clauses S ∧
¬L ∈ lits-of-l (get-trail S) ∧ get-level (get-trail S) L = count-decided (get-trail S)) ∧
(∀L ∈# literals-to-update S.
¬L ∈ lits-of-l (get-trail S) ∧ get-level (get-trail S) L = count-decided (get-trail S))⟩
⟨proof⟩

declare valid-enqueued.simps[simp del]

lemma set-clauses-simp[simp]:
⟨f ‘ {a. a ∈# ran-m N ∧ ¬ snd a} ∪ f ‘ {a. a ∈# ran-m N ∧ snd a} ∪ A =
f ‘ {a. a ∈# ran-m N} ∪ A⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma init-clss-l-clause-upd:
⟨C ∈# dom-m N ⇒ irred N C ⇒
init-clss-l (N(C ↳ C')) =
add-mset (C', irred N C) (remove1-mset (N ∞ C, irred N C) (init-clss-l N))⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma init-clss-l-mapsto-upd:
⟨C ∈# dom-m N ⇒ irred N C ⇒
init-clss-l (fmupd C (C', True) N) =
add-mset (C', irred N C) (remove1-mset (N ∞ C, irred N C) (init-clss-l N))⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma learned-clss-l-mapsto-upd:
⟨C ∈# dom-m N ⇒ ¬irred N C ⇒
learned-clss-l (fmupd C (C', False) N) =
add-mset (C', irred N C) (remove1-mset (N ∞ C, irred N C) (learned-clss-l N))⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma init-clss-l-mapsto-upd-irrel: ⟨C ∈# dom-m N ⇒ ¬irred N C ⇒
init-clss-l (fmupd C (C', False) N) = init-clss-l N⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma init-clss-l-mapsto-upd-irrel-notin: ⟨C ≠# dom-m N ⇒
init-clss-l (fmupd C (C', False) N) = init-clss-l N⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma learned-clss-l-mapsto-upd-irrel: ⟨C ∈# dom-m N ⇒ irred N C ⇒
learned-clss-l (fmupd C (C', True) N) = learned-clss-l N⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma learned-clss-l-mapsto-upd-notin: ⟨C ≠# dom-m N ⇒
learned-clss-l (fmupd C (C', False) N) = add-mset (C', False) (learned-clss-l N)⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *in-ran-mf-clause-inI[intro]*:

$\langle C \in \# \text{dom-m } N \Rightarrow i = \text{irred } N \text{ } C \Rightarrow (N \propto C, i) \in \# \text{ran-m } N \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *init-clss-l-mapsto-upd-notin*:

$\langle C \notin \# \text{dom-m } N \Rightarrow \text{init-clss-l} (\text{fmupd } C (C', \text{True}) N) = \text{add-mset } (C', \text{True}) (\text{init-clss-l } N) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *learned-clss-l-mapsto-upd-notin-irrelev*:

$\langle C \notin \# \text{dom-m } N \Rightarrow \text{learned-clss-l} (\text{fmupd } C (C', \text{True}) N) = \text{learned-clss-l } N \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *clause-tw1-clause-of*:

$\langle \text{clause} (\text{tw1-clause-of } C) = \text{mset } C \rangle \text{ for } C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *learned-clss-l-l-fmdrop-irrelev*:

$\langle \text{irred } N \text{ } C \Rightarrow \text{learned-clss-l} (\text{fmdrop } C N) = \text{learned-clss-l } N \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *init-clss-l-fmdrop-if*:

$\langle C \in \# \text{dom-m } N \Rightarrow \text{init-clss-l} (\text{fmdrop } C N) = (\text{if irred } N \text{ } C \text{ then remove1-mset } (\text{the } (\text{fmlookup } N C)) (\text{init-clss-l } N) \text{ else init-clss-l } N) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *init-clss-l-fmupd-if*:

$\langle C' \notin \# \text{dom-m } \text{new} \Rightarrow \text{init-clss-l} (\text{fmupd } C' D \text{ new}) = (\text{if snd } D \text{ then add-mset } D (\text{init-clss-l } \text{new}) \text{ else init-clss-l } \text{new}) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *learned-clss-l-fmdrop-if*:

$\langle C \in \# \text{dom-m } N \Rightarrow \text{learned-clss-l} (\text{fmdrop } C N) = (\text{if } \neg \text{irred } N \text{ } C \text{ then remove1-mset } (\text{the } (\text{fmlookup } N C)) (\text{learned-clss-l } N) \text{ else learned-clss-l } N) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *learned-clss-l-fmupd-if*:

$\langle C' \notin \# \text{dom-m } \text{new} \Rightarrow \text{learned-clss-l} (\text{fmupd } C' D \text{ new}) = (\text{if } \neg \text{snd } D \text{ then add-mset } D (\text{learned-clss-l } \text{new}) \text{ else learned-clss-l } \text{new}) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-l*:

fixes $i : \text{nat}$ **and** $S :: \langle 'v \text{ twl-st-l} \rangle$ **and** $S' :: \langle 'v \text{ twl-st} \rangle$ **and** $L :: \langle 'v \text{ literal} \rangle$
defines

$C'[\text{simp}] :: \langle C' \equiv \text{get-clauses-l } S \propto C \rangle$

assumes

$SS' :: \langle (S, S') \in \text{twl-st-l } (\text{Some } L) \rangle$ **and**
 $WS :: \langle C \in \# \text{clauses-to-update-l } S \rangle$ **and**
 $\text{struct-inv} :: \langle \text{twl-struct-inv} S' \rangle$ **and**
 $\text{add-inv} :: \langle \text{twl-list-inv} S \rangle$ **and**
 $\text{stgy-inv} :: \langle \text{twl-stgy-inv} S' \rangle$

shows

$\langle \text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-l } L \text{ } C \rangle$

```


$$\begin{aligned}
& (\text{set-clauses-to-update-l} (\text{clauses-to-update-l } S - \{\#C\#}) S) \leq \\
& \Downarrow \{(S, S'). (S, S') \in \text{twl-st-l} (\text{Some } L) \wedge \text{twl-list-invs } S \wedge \text{twl-stgy-invs } S' \wedge \\
& \quad \text{twl-struct-invs } S'\} \\
& \quad (\text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-body } L (\text{twl-clause-of } C') \\
& \quad \quad (\text{set-clauses-to-update} (\text{clauses-to-update } (S') - \{\#(L, \text{twl-clause-of } C')\#}) S')) \\
& \quad (\text{is } \langle ?A \leq \Downarrow - ?B \rangle)
\end{aligned}$$


```

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-l2*:

assumes

```


$$\begin{aligned}
& SS': \langle (S, S') \in \text{twl-st-l} (\text{Some } L) \rangle \text{ and} \\
& WS: \langle C \in \# \text{ clauses-to-update-l } S \rangle \text{ and} \\
& \text{struct-invs: } \langle \text{twl-struct-invs } S' \rangle \text{ and} \\
& \text{add-inv: } \langle \text{twl-list-invs } S \rangle \text{ and} \\
& \text{stgy-inv: } \langle \text{twl-stgy-invs } S' \rangle
\end{aligned}$$


```

shows

```


$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle (\text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-l } L C \\
& \quad (\text{set-clauses-to-update-l} (\text{clauses-to-update-l } S - \{\#C\#}) S), \\
& \quad \text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-body } L (\text{twl-clause-of} (\text{get-clauses-l } S \propto C)) \\
& \quad (\text{set-clauses-to-update} \\
& \quad \quad (\text{remove1-mset} (L, \text{twl-clause-of} (\text{get-clauses-l } S \propto C))) \\
& \quad \quad (\text{clauses-to-update } S')) S') \\
& \in \{(S, S'). (S, S') \in \text{twl-st-l} (\text{Some } L) \wedge \text{twl-list-invs } S \wedge \text{twl-stgy-invs } S' \wedge \\
& \quad \text{twl-struct-invs } S'\} \rangle \text{nres-rel}
\end{aligned}$$


```

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

This a work around equality: it allows to instantiate variables that appear in goals by hand in a reasonable way (*rule\-\tac I=x in EQI*).

definition *EQ where*

$[simp]: \langle EQ = (=) \rangle$

lemma *EQI: EQ I I*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-l-unit-propagation-inner-loop-body*:

```


$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle EQ L'' L'' \Rightarrow \\
& \quad (\text{uncurry2 unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-l}, \text{uncurry2 unit-propagation-inner-loop-body}) \in \\
& \quad \{(((L, C), S0), ((L', C'), S0')). \exists S S'. L = L' \wedge C' = (\text{twl-clause-of} (\text{get-clauses-l } S \propto C)) \wedge \\
& \quad S0 = (\text{set-clauses-to-update-l} (\text{clauses-to-update-l } S - \{\#C\#}) S) \wedge \\
& \quad S0' = (\text{set-clauses-to-update} \\
& \quad \quad (\text{remove1-mset} (L, \text{twl-clause-of} (\text{get-clauses-l } S \propto C))) \\
& \quad \quad (\text{clauses-to-update } S')) S') \wedge \\
& \quad (S, S') \in \text{twl-st-l} (\text{Some } L) \wedge L = L'' \wedge \\
& \quad C \in \# \text{ clauses-to-update-l } S \wedge \text{twl-struct-invs } S' \wedge \text{twl-list-invs } S \wedge \text{twl-stgy-invs } S'\} \rightarrow_f \\
& \quad \{(S, S'). (S, S') \in \text{twl-st-l} (\text{Some } L') \wedge \text{twl-list-invs } S \wedge \text{twl-stgy-invs } S' \wedge \\
& \quad \text{twl-struct-invs } S'\} \rangle \text{nres-rel}
\end{aligned}$$


```

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *select-from-clauses-to-update :: ('v twl-st-l \Rightarrow ('v twl-st-l \times nat) nres) where*

```


$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \text{select-from-clauses-to-update } S = \text{SPEC} (\lambda(S', C). C \in \# \text{ clauses-to-update-l } S \wedge \\
& \quad S' = \text{set-clauses-to-update-l} (\text{clauses-to-update-l } S - \{\#C\#}) S) \rangle
\end{aligned}$$


```

definition *unit-propagation-inner-loop-l-inv where*

```


$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-l-inv } L = (\lambda(S, n). \\
& \quad (\exists S'. (S, S') \in \text{twl-st-l} (\text{Some } L) \wedge \text{twl-struct-invs } S' \wedge \text{twl-stgy-invs } S' \wedge \\
& \quad \text{twl-list-invs } S \wedge (\text{clauses-to-update } S' \neq \{\#\} \vee n > 0 \longrightarrow \text{get-conflict } S' = \text{None})) \rangle
\end{aligned}$$


```

```

 $-L \in \text{lits-of-l}(\text{get-trail-l } S))\rangle$ 

definition unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-l-with-skip where
  ⟨unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-l-with-skip  $L = (\lambda(S, n). \text{do} \{$ 
    ASSERT ( $\text{clauses-to-update-l } S \neq \{\#\} \vee n > 0$ );
    ASSERT(unit-propagation-inner-loop-l-inv  $L (S, n)$ );
     $b \leftarrow \text{SPEC}(\lambda b. (b \rightarrow n > 0) \wedge (\neg b \rightarrow \text{clauses-to-update-l } S \neq \{\#}))$ ;
    if  $\neg b$  then do {
      ASSERT ( $\text{clauses-to-update-l } S \neq \{\#\}$ );
       $(S', C) \leftarrow \text{select-from-clauses-to-update } S$ ;
       $T \leftarrow \text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-l } L C S'$ ;
      RETURN ( $T$ , if get-conflict-l T = None then n else 0)
    } else RETURN ( $S, n - 1$ )
  })\rangle

definition unit-propagation-inner-loop-l :: ⟨'v literal ⇒ 'v twl-st-l ⇒ 'v twl-st-l nres⟩ where
  ⟨unit-propagation-inner-loop-l  $L S_0 = \text{do} \{$ 
     $n \leftarrow \text{SPEC}(\lambda \cdot : \text{nat}. \text{True})$ ;
     $(S, n) \leftarrow \text{WHILE}_T \text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-l-inv } L$ 
     $(\lambda(S, n). \text{clauses-to-update-l } S \neq \{\#\} \vee n > 0)$ 
    (unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-l-with-skip L)
     $(S_0, n)$ ;
    RETURN  $S$ 
  })\rangle

lemma set-mset-clauses-to-update-l-set-mset-clauses-to-update-spec:
  assumes ⟨ $(S, S') \in \text{twl-st-l}(\text{Some } L)shows
    ⟨ $\text{RES}(\text{set-mset}(\text{clauses-to-update-l } S)) \leq \Downarrow \{(C, (L', C')). L' = L \wedge$ 
       $C' = \text{twl-clause-of}(\text{get-clauses-l } S \propto C)\}$ 
    ⟨ $\text{RES}(\text{set-mset}(\text{clauses-to-update } S'))\rangle$ 
  ⟩⟨proof⟩

lemma refine-add-inv:
  fixes  $f :: \langle 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ nres} \rangle$  and  $f' :: \langle 'b \Rightarrow 'b \text{ nres} \rangle$  and  $h :: \langle 'b \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$ 
  assumes
    ⟨ $(f', f) \in \{(S, S'). S' = h S \wedge R S\} \rightarrow \langle\{(T, T'). T' = h T \wedge P' T\}\rangle \text{ nres-rel}$ 
    is ⟨ $\dashv \in ?R \rightarrow \langle\{(T, T'). ?H T T' \wedge P' T\}\rangle \text{ nres-rel}$ ⟩
  assumes
    ⟨ $\bigwedge S. R S \implies f(h S) \leq \text{SPEC}(\lambda T. Q T)$ ⟩
  shows
    ⟨ $(f', f) \in ?R \rightarrow \langle\{(T, T'). ?H T T' \wedge P' T \wedge Q(h T)\}\rangle \text{ nres-rel}$ ⟩
  ⟩⟨proof⟩

lemma refine-add-inv-generalised:
  fixes  $f :: \langle 'a \Rightarrow 'b \text{ nres} \rangle$  and  $f' :: \langle 'c \Rightarrow 'd \text{ nres} \rangle$ 
  assumes
    ⟨ $(f', f) \in A \rightarrow_f \langle B \rangle \text{ nres-rel}$ ⟩
  assumes
    ⟨ $\bigwedge S S'. (S, S') \in A \implies f S' \leq \text{RES } C$ ⟩
  shows
    ⟨ $(f', f) \in A \rightarrow_f \langle\{(T, T'). (T, T') \in B \wedge T' \in C\}\rangle \text{ nres-rel}$ ⟩
  ⟩⟨proof⟩

lemma refine-add-inv-pair:
  fixes  $f :: \langle 'a \Rightarrow ('c \times 'a) \text{ nres} \rangle$  and  $f' :: \langle 'b \Rightarrow ('c \times 'b) \text{ nres} \rangle$  and  $h :: \langle 'b \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$$ 
```

assumes
 $\langle (f', f) \in \{(S, S')\}. S' = h S \wedge R S \rangle \rightarrow \langle \{(S, S')\}. (fst S' = h' (fst S)) \wedge$
 $snd S' = h (snd S) \wedge P' S \rangle \ nres-rel \quad (\text{is } \langle - \in ?R \rightarrow \langle \{(S, S')\}. ?H S S' \wedge P' S \rangle \ nres-rel \rangle)$

assumes
 $\langle \bigwedge S. R S \implies f (h S) \leq SPEC (\lambda T. Q (snd T)) \rangle$

shows
 $\langle (f', f) \in ?R \rightarrow \langle \{(S, S')\}. ?H S S' \wedge P' S \wedge Q (h (snd S)) \rangle \ nres-rel \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma clauses-to-update-l-empty-tw-st-of-Some-None[simp]:

$\langle \text{clauses-to-update-l } S = \{\#\} \implies (S, S') \in \text{twl-st-l } (\text{Some } L) \longleftrightarrow (S, S') \in \text{twl-st-l } \text{None} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma cdcl-twl-cp-in-trail-stays-in:

$\langle \text{cdcl-twl-cp}^{**} S' aa \implies -x1 \in \text{lits-of-l } (\text{get-trail } S') \implies -x1 \in \text{lits-of-l } (\text{get-trail aa}) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma cdcl-twl-cp-in-trail-stays-in-l:

$\langle (x2, S) \in \text{twl-st-l } (\text{Some } x1) \implies \text{cdcl-twl-cp}^{**} S' aa \implies -x1 \in \text{lits-of-l } (\text{get-trail-l } x2) \implies$
 $(a, aa) \in \text{twl-st-l } (\text{Some } x1) \implies -x1 \in \text{lits-of-l } (\text{get-trail-l } a) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma unit-propagation-inner-loop-l:

$\langle (\text{uncurry unit-propagation-inner-loop-l}, \text{unit-propagation-inner-loop}) \in$
 $\{((L, S), S'). (S, S') \in \text{twl-st-l } (\text{Some } L) \wedge \text{twl-struct-invs } S' \wedge$
 $\text{twl-stgy-invs } S' \wedge \text{twl-list-invs } S \wedge -L \in \text{lits-of-l } (\text{get-trail-l } S) \} \rightarrow_f$
 $\langle \{(T, T'). (T, T') \in \text{twl-st-l } \text{None} \wedge \text{clauses-to-update-l } T = \{\#\} \wedge$
 $\text{twl-list-invs } T \wedge \text{twl-struct-invs } T' \wedge \text{twl-stgy-invs } T' \} \rangle \ nres-rel \rangle$
 $(\text{is } \langle ?\text{unit-prop-inner} \in ?A \rightarrow_f \langle ?B \rangle \ nres-rel \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition clause-to-update :: $'v \text{ literal} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-l} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clauses-to-update-l}$ **where**

$\langle \text{clause-to-update } L S =$
 filter-mset
 $(\lambda C::\text{nat}. L \in \text{set } (\text{watched-l } (\text{get-clauses-l } S \propto C)))$
 $(\text{dom-m } (\text{get-clauses-l } S)) \rangle$

lemma distinct-mset-clause-to-update: $\langle \text{distinct-mset } (\text{clause-to-update } L C) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma in-clause-to-updateD: $\langle b \in \# \text{ clause-to-update } L' T \implies b \in \# \text{ dom-m } (\text{get-clauses-l } T) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma in-clause-to-update-iff:

$\langle C \in \# \text{ clause-to-update } L S \longleftrightarrow$
 $C \in \# \text{ dom-m } (\text{get-clauses-l } S) \wedge L \in \text{set } (\text{watched-l } (\text{get-clauses-l } S \propto C)) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition select-and-remove-from-literals-to-update :: $'v \text{ twl-st-l} \Rightarrow$

$('v \text{ twl-st-l} \times 'v \text{ literal}) \ nres$ **where**
 $\langle \text{select-and-remove-from-literals-to-update } S = SPEC(\lambda(S', L). L \in \# \text{ literals-to-update-l } S \wedge$
 $S' = \text{set-clauses-to-update-l } (\text{clause-to-update } L S)$
 $(\text{set-literals-to-update-l } (\text{literals-to-update-l } S - \{\#L\})) \ S) \rangle$

definition unit-propagation-outer-loop-l-inv **where**

$\langle \text{unit-propagation-outer-loop-l-inv } S \longleftrightarrow$

$(\exists S'. (S, S') \in \text{twl-st-l None} \wedge \text{twl-struct-invs } S' \wedge \text{twl-stgy-invs } S' \wedge \text{clauses-to-update-l } S = \{\#\})$

definition *unit-propagation-outer-loop-l* :: $\langle 'v \text{ twl-st-l} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-l nres} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{unit-propagation-outer-loop-l } S_0 =$
 $\text{WHILE}_T \text{unit-propagation-outer-loop-l-inv}$
 $(\lambda S. \text{literals-to-update-l } S \neq \{\#\})$
 $(\lambda S. \text{do } \{$
 $\text{ASSERT}(\text{literals-to-update-l } S \neq \{\#\});$
 $(S', L) \leftarrow \text{select-and-remove-from-literals-to-update } S;$
 $\text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-l } L S'$
 $\})$
 $(S_0 :: 'v \text{ twl-st-l})$

)

lemma *watched-twl-clause-of-watched*: $\langle \text{watched} (\text{twl-clause-of } x) = \text{mset} (\text{watched-l } x) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *twl-st-of-clause-to-update*:

assumes

$\langle (T, T') \in \text{twl-st-l None} \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle \text{twl-struct-invs } T' \rangle$

shows

$\langle (\text{set-clauses-to-update-l}$
 $(\text{clause-to-update } L' T)$
 $(\text{set-literals-to-update-l } (\text{remove1-mset } L' (\text{literals-to-update-l } T)) T),$
 $\text{set-clauses-to-update}$
 $(\text{Pair } L' \# \{ \# C \in \# \text{get-clauses } T'. L' \in \# \text{watched } C \# \})$
 $(\text{set-literals-to-update } (\text{remove1-mset } L' (\text{literals-to-update } T'))$
 $T'))$
 $\in \text{twl-st-l } (\text{Some } L')$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *twl-list-invs-set-clauses-to-update-iff*:

assumes $\langle \text{twl-list-invs } T \rangle$

shows $\langle \text{twl-list-invs } (\text{set-clauses-to-update-l } WS (\text{set-literals-to-update-l } Q T)) \longleftrightarrow$
 $((\forall x \in \# WS. \text{case } x \text{ of } C \Rightarrow C \in \# \text{dom-m } (\text{get-clauses-l } T)) \wedge$
 $\text{distinct-mset } WS)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unit-propagation-outer-loop-l-spec*:

$\langle (\text{unit-propagation-outer-loop-l}, \text{unit-propagation-outer-loop}) \in$
 $\{(S, S'). (S, S') \in \text{twl-st-l None} \wedge \text{twl-struct-invs } S' \wedge$
 $\text{twl-stgy-invs } S' \wedge \text{twl-list-invs } S \wedge \text{clauses-to-update-l } S = \{\#\} \wedge$
 $\text{get-conflict-l } S = \text{None}\} \rightarrow_f$
 $\{((T, T'). (T, T') \in \text{twl-st-l None} \wedge$
 $(\text{twl-list-invs } T \wedge \text{twl-struct-invs } T' \wedge \text{twl-stgy-invs } T' \wedge$
 $\text{clauses-to-update-l } T = \{\#\}) \wedge$
 $\text{literals-to-update } T' = \{\#\} \wedge \text{clauses-to-update } T' = \{\#\} \wedge$
 $\text{no-step cdcl-twl-cp } T'\} \rangle$ *nres-rel*
 $(\text{is } \cdot \in ?R \rightarrow_f ?I) \text{ is } \cdot \in - \rightarrow_f (?B) \text{ nres-rel})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-conflict-l-get-conflict-state-spec*:

assumes $\langle (S, S') \in \text{twl-st-l None} \rangle$ **and** $\langle \text{twl-list-invs } S \rangle$ **and** $\langle \text{clauses-to-update-l } S = \{\#\} \rangle$

```

shows <((False, S), (False, S'))  

 $\in \{((brk, S), (brk', S')). brk = brk' \wedge (S, S') \in twl-st-l None \wedge twl-list-invs S \wedge$   

 $clauses-to-update-l S = \{\#\}\}$   

<proof>

```

```

fun lit-and-ann-of-propagated where  

<lit-and-ann-of-propagated (Propagated L C) = (L, C)> |  

<lit-and-ann-of-propagated (Decided -) = undefined>  

— we should never call the function in that context

```

```

definition tl-state-l :: <'v twl-st-l  $\Rightarrow$  'v twl-st-l> where  

<tl-state-l =  $(\lambda(M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q). (tl M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q))>$ 

```

```

definition resolve-cls-l' :: <'v twl-st-l  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'v literal  $\Rightarrow$  'v clause> where  

<resolve-cls-l' S C L =  

remove1-mset L (remove1-mset (-L) (the (get-conflict-l S)  $\cup \#$  mset (get-clauses-l S  $\times$  C)))>

```

```

definition update-confl-tl-l :: <nat  $\Rightarrow$  'v literal  $\Rightarrow$  'v twl-st-l  $\Rightarrow$  bool  $\times$  'v twl-st-l> where  

<update-confl-tl-l =  $(\lambda C L (M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q).$   

let D = resolve-cls-l' (M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) C L in  

(False, (tl M, N, Some D, NE, UE, WS, Q)))>

```

```

definition skip-and-resolve-loop-inv-l where  

<skip-and-resolve-loop-inv-l S0 brk S  $\longleftrightarrow$   

 $(\exists S' S_0'. (S, S') \in twl-st-l None \wedge (S_0, S_0') \in twl-st-l None \wedge$   

skip-and-resolve-loop-inv S0' (brk, S')  $\wedge$   

twl-list-invs S  $\wedge$  clauses-to-update-l S = {#}  $\wedge$   

 $(\neg is-decided (hd (get-trail-l S)) \longrightarrow mark-of (hd (get-trail-l S)) > 0))>$ 

```

```

definition skip-and-resolve-loop-l :: <'v twl-st-l  $\Rightarrow$  'v twl-st-l nres> where  

<skip-and-resolve-loop-l S0 =  

do {  

  ASSERT(get-conflict-l S0  $\neq$  None);  

  (-, S)  $\leftarrow$   

  WHILET  $\lambda(brk, S). skip-and-resolve-loop-inv-l S0 brk S$   

 $(\lambda(brk, S). \neg brk \wedge \neg is-decided (hd (get-trail-l S)))$   

 $(\lambda(-, S).$   

  do {  

    let D' = the (get-conflict-l S);  

    let (L, C) = lit-and-ann-of-propagated (hd (get-trail-l S));  

    if  $-L \notin \# D'$  then  

      do {RETURN (False, tl-state-l S)}  

    else  

      if get-maximum-level (get-trail-l S) (remove1-mset (-L) D') = count-decided (get-trail-l S)  

      then  

        do {RETURN (update-confl-tl-l C L S)}  

      else  

        do {RETURN (True, S)}  

    }  

  )  

  (False, S0);  

  RETURN S
}

```

context

begin

private lemma *skip-and-resolve-l-refines*:

$\langle ((brkS), brk'S') \in \{((brk, S), brk', S'). brk = brk' \wedge (S, S') \in twl-st-l None \wedge twl-list-invs S \wedge clauses-to-update-l S = \#\} \rangle \implies$
 $brkS = (brk, S) \implies brk'S' = (brk', S') \implies$

$\langle (False, tl-state-l S), False, tl-state S' \rangle \in \{((brk, S), brk', S'). brk = brk' \wedge (S, S') \in twl-st-l None \wedge twl-list-invs S \wedge clauses-to-update-l S = \#\} \rangle$

(proof) **lemma** *skip-and-resolve-skip-refine*:

assumes

$rel: \langle ((brk, S), brk', S') \in \{((brk, S), brk', S'). brk = brk' \wedge (S, S') \in twl-st-l None \wedge twl-list-invs S \wedge clauses-to-update-l S = \#\} \rangle \text{ and}$

$dec: \langle \neg is-decided (hd (get-trail S')) \rangle \text{ and}$

$rel': \langle ((L, C), L', C') \in \{((L, C), L', C'). L = L' \wedge C > 0 \wedge C' = mset (get-clauses-l S \propto C)\} \rangle \text{ and}$

$LC: \langle lit-and-ann-of-propagated (hd (get-trail-l S)) = (L, C) \rangle \text{ and}$

$tr: \langle get-trail-l S \neq [] \rangle \text{ and}$

$struct-invs: \langle twl-struct-invs S' \rangle \text{ and}$

$stgy-invs: \langle twl-stgy-invs S' \rangle \text{ and}$

$lev: \langle count-decided (get-trail-l S) > 0 \rangle \text{ and}$

$inv: \langle case (brk, S) of (x, xa) \Rightarrow skip-and-resolve-loop-inv-l S0 x xa \rangle$

shows

$\langle (update-confl-tl-l C L S, False,$
 $update-confl-tl (Some (remove1-mset (- L') (the (get-conflict S')) \cup\# remove1-mset L' C')) S') \in \{((brk, S), brk', S').$
 $brk = brk' \wedge$
 $(S, S') \in twl-st-l None \wedge$
 $twl-list-invs S \wedge$
 $clauses-to-update-l S = \#\} \rangle$

(proof)

lemma *get-level-same-lits-cong*:

assumes

$\langle map (atm-of o lit-of) M = map (atm-of o lit-of) M' \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle map is-decided M = map is-decided M' \rangle$

shows $\langle get-level M L = get-level M' L \rangle$

(proof)

lemma *clauses-in-unit-clss-have-level0*:

assumes

$struct-invs: \langle twl-struct-invs T \rangle \text{ and}$

$C: \langle C \in \# unit-clss T \rangle \text{ and}$

$LC-T: \langle Propagated L C \in set (get-trail T) \rangle \text{ and}$

$count-dec: \langle 0 < count-decided (get-trail T) \rangle$

shows

$\langle get-level (get-trail T) L = 0 \rangle \text{ (is ?lev-L) and}$
 $\forall K \in \# C. get-level (get-trail T) K = 0 \rangle \text{ (is ?lev-K)}$

(proof)

lemma *clauses-clss-have-level1-notin-unit*:

assumes

$struct-invs: \langle twl-struct-invs T \rangle \text{ and}$

$LC-T: \langle Propagated L C \in set (get-trail T) \rangle \text{ and}$

$count-dec: \langle 0 < count-decided (get-trail T) \rangle \text{ and}$

$\langle get-level (get-trail T) L > 0 \rangle$

shows

$\langle C \notin \# \text{ unit-class } T \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *skip-and-resolve-loop-l-spec*:

$\langle (\text{skip-and-resolve-loop-l}, \text{skip-and-resolve-loop}) \in \{(S::'v \text{ twl-st-l}, S'). (S, S') \in \text{twl-st-l None} \wedge \text{twl-struct-invs } S' \wedge \text{twl-stgy-invs } S' \wedge \text{twl-list-invs } S \wedge \text{clauses-to-update-l } S = \{\#\} \wedge \text{literals-to-update-l } S = \{\#\} \wedge \text{get-conflict } S' \neq \text{None} \wedge 0 < \text{count-decided } (\text{get-trail-l } S)\} \rightarrow_f \{(T, T'). (T, T') \in \text{twl-st-l None} \wedge \text{twl-list-invs } T \wedge (\text{twl-struct-invs } T' \wedge \text{twl-stgy-invs } T' \wedge \text{no-step cdcl}_W\text{-restart-mset}.skip (\text{state}_W\text{-of } T') \wedge \text{no-step cdcl}_W\text{-restart-mset}.resolve (\text{state}_W\text{-of } T') \wedge \text{literals-to-update } T' = \{\#\} \wedge \text{clauses-to-update-l } T = \{\#\} \wedge \text{get-conflict } T' \neq \text{None})\} \rangle nres\text{-rel}$
 $(\text{is } \leftarrow \in ?R \rightarrow_f \rightarrow)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

definition *find-decomp* :: $\langle 'v \text{ literal} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-l} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-l nres} \rangle$ **where**

$\langle \text{find-decomp} = (\lambda L (M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q).$
 $SPEC(\lambda S. \exists K M2 M1. S = (M1, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) \wedge$
 $(\text{Decided } K \# M1, M2) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } M) \wedge$
 $\text{get-level } M K = \text{get-maximum-level } M (\text{the } D - \{\#-L\#}) + 1)) \rangle$

lemma *find-decomp-alt-def*:

$\langle \text{find-decomp } L S =$
 $SPEC(\lambda T. \exists K M2 M1. \text{equality-except-trail } S T \wedge \text{get-trail-l } T = M1 \wedge$
 $(\text{Decided } K \# M1, M2) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } (\text{get-trail-l } S)) \wedge$
 $\text{get-level } (\text{get-trail-l } S) K =$
 $\text{get-maximum-level } (\text{get-trail-l } S) (\text{the } (\text{get-conflict-l } S) - \{\#-L\#}) + 1)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *find-lit-of-max-level* :: $\langle 'v \text{ twl-st-l} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ literal} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ literal nres} \rangle$ **where**

$\langle \text{find-lit-of-max-level} = (\lambda(M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) L.$
 $SPEC(\lambda L'. L' \in \# \text{ the } D - \{\#-L\#} \wedge \text{get-level } M L' = \text{get-maximum-level } M (\text{the } D - \{\#-L\#})) \rangle$

definition *ex-decomp-of-max-lvl* :: $\langle ('v, \text{nat}) \text{ ann-lits} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ cconflict} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ literal} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ **where**

$\langle \text{ex-decomp-of-max-lvl } M D L \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists K M1 M2. (\text{Decided } K \# M1, M2) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } M) \wedge$
 $\text{get-level } M K = \text{get-maximum-level } M (\text{remove1-mset } (-L) (\text{the } D)) + 1)) \rangle$

fun *add-mset-list* :: $\langle 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ multiset multiset} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ multiset multiset} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{add-mset-list } L UE = \text{add-mset } (\text{mset } L) UE \rangle$

definition (*in* $-$) *list-of-mset* :: $\langle 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clause-l nres} \rangle$ **where**

$\langle \text{list-of-mset } D = SPEC(\lambda D'. D = \text{mset } D') \rangle$

fun *extract-shorter-conflict-l* :: $\langle 'v \text{ twl-st-l} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-l nres} \rangle$

where

$\langle \text{extract-shorter-conflict-l } (M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) = SPEC(\lambda S.$
 $\exists D'. D' \subseteq \# \text{ the } D \wedge S = (M, N, \text{Some } D', NE, UE, WS, Q) \wedge$
 $\text{clause } \# \text{ twl-clause-of } \# \text{ ran-mf } N + NE + UE \models pm D' \wedge \neg(\text{lit-of } (\text{hd } M)) \in \# D') \rangle$

```

declare extract-shorter-conflict-l.simps[simp del]
lemmas extract-shorter-conflict-l-def = extract-shorter-conflict-l.simps

lemma extract-shorter-conflict-l-alt-def:
  ⟨extract-shorter-conflict-l S = SPEC(λT.
    ∃ D'. D' ⊆# the (get-conflict-l S) ∧ equality-except-conflict-l S T ∧
    get-conflict-l T = Some D' ∧
    clause ‘# twl-clause-of ‘# ran-mf (get-clauses-l S) + get-unit-clauses-l S |=pm D' ∧
    –lit-of (hd (get-trail-l S)) ∈# D')⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

definition backtrack-l-inv where
  ⟨backtrack-l-inv S ⟷
    (∃ S'. (S, S') ∈ twl-st-l None ∧
    get-trail-l S ≠ [] ∧
    no-step cdclW-restart-mset.skip (stateW-of S') ∧
    no-step cdclW-restart-mset.resolve (stateW-of S') ∧
    get-conflict-l S ≠ None ∧
    twl-struct-invs S' ∧
    twl-stgy-invs S' ∧
    twl-list-invs S ∧
    get-conflict-l S ≠ Some {#})⟩
  ⟷

definition get-fresh-index :: ⟨'v clauses-l ⇒ nat nres⟩ where
  ⟨get-fresh-index N = SPEC(λi. i > 0 ∧ i ∉# dom-m N)⟩

definition propagate-bt-l :: ⟨'v literal ⇒ 'v literal ⇒ 'v twl-st-l ⇒ 'v twl-st-l nres⟩ where
  ⟨propagate-bt-l = (λL L' (M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q). do {
    D'' ← list-of-mset (the D);
    i ← get-fresh-index N;
    RETURN (Propagated (−L) i # M,
      fmupd i (−L, L] @ (remove1 (−L) (remove1 L' D'')), False) N,
      None, NE, UE, WS, {#L#})
  })⟩

definition propagate-unit-bt-l :: ⟨'v literal ⇒ 'v twl-st-l ⇒ 'v twl-st-l⟩ where
  ⟨propagate-unit-bt-l = (λL (M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q).
    (Propagated (−L) 0 # M, N, None, NE, add-mset (the D) UE, WS, {#L#}))⟩

definition backtrack-l :: ⟨'v twl-st-l ⇒ 'v twl-st-l nres⟩ where
  ⟨backtrack-l S =
    do {
      ASSERT(backtrack-l-inv S);
      let L = lit-of (hd (get-trail-l S));
      S ← extract-shorter-conflict-l S;
      S ← find-decomp L S;

      if size (the (get-conflict-l S)) > 1
      then do {
        L' ← find-lit-of-max-level S L;
        propagate-bt-l L L' S
      }
      else do {
        RETURN (propagate-unit-bt-l L S)
    }⟩

```

}

lemma *backtrack-l-spec*:

$\langle \text{backtrack-l}, \text{backtrack} \rangle \in$
 $\{(S :: 'v \text{ twl-st-l}, S'). (S, S') \in \text{twl-st-l None} \wedge \text{get-conflict-l } S \neq \text{None} \wedge$
 $\text{get-conflict-l } S \neq \text{Some } \{\#\} \wedge$
 $\text{clauses-to-update-l } S = \{\#\} \wedge \text{literals-to-update-l } S = \{\#\} \wedge \text{twl-list-invs } S \wedge$
 $\text{no-step cdcl}_W\text{-restart-mset.skip} (\text{state}_W\text{-of } S') \wedge$
 $\text{no-step cdcl}_W\text{-restart-mset.resolve} (\text{state}_W\text{-of } S') \wedge$
 $\text{twl-struct-invs } S' \wedge \text{twl-stgy-invs } S'\} \rightarrow_f$
 $\{\{(T :: 'v \text{ twl-st-l}, T'). (T, T') \in \text{twl-st-l None} \wedge \text{get-conflict-l } T = \text{None} \wedge \text{twl-list-invs } T \wedge$
 $\text{twl-struct-invs } T' \wedge \text{twl-stgy-invs } T' \wedge \text{clauses-to-update-l } T = \{\#\} \wedge$
 $\text{literals-to-update-l } T \neq \{\#\}\} \text{ nres-rel}$
 $(\text{is } \langle - \in ?R \rightarrow_f ?I \rangle)$

{proof}

definition *find-unassigned-lit-l* :: $\langle 'v \text{ twl-st-l} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ literal option nres} \rangle$ **where**

$\langle \text{find-unassigned-lit-l} = (\lambda(M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q).$

SPEC $(\lambda L.$

$(L \neq \text{None} \longrightarrow$
 $\text{undefined-lit } M \text{ (the } L) \wedge$
 $\text{atm-of (the } L) \in \text{atms-of-mm (clause '# twl-clause-of '# init-clss-lf } N + NE)) \wedge$
 $(L = \text{None} \longrightarrow (\nexists L'. \text{undefined-lit } M L' \wedge$
 $\text{atm-of } L' \in \text{atms-of-mm (clause '# twl-clause-of '# init-clss-lf } N + NE)))$

)

definition *decide-l-or-skip-pre* **where**

$\langle \text{decide-l-or-skip-pre } S \longleftrightarrow (\exists S'. (S, S') \in \text{twl-st-l None} \wedge$
 $\text{twl-struct-invs } S' \wedge$
 $\text{twl-stgy-invs } S' \wedge$
 $\text{twl-list-invs } S \wedge$
 $\text{get-conflict-l } S = \text{None} \wedge$
 $\text{clauses-to-update-l } S = \{\#\} \wedge$
 $\text{literals-to-update-l } S = \{\#\})$

)

definition *decide-lit-l* :: $\langle 'v \text{ literal} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-l} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-l} \rangle$ **where**

$\langle \text{decide-lit-l} = (\lambda L' (M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q).$

$(\text{Decided } L' \# M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, \{\# - L'\#\}))$

definition *decide-l-or-skip* :: $\langle 'v \text{ twl-st-l} \Rightarrow (\text{bool} \times 'v \text{ twl-st-l}) \text{ nres} \rangle$ **where**

$\langle \text{decide-l-or-skip } S = (\text{do } \{$

ASSERT(*decide-l-or-skip-pre* *S*);

L \leftarrow *find-unassigned-lit-l* *S*;

case L of

None \Rightarrow *RETURN* (*True*, *S*)

| Some L \Rightarrow *RETURN* (*False*, *decide-lit-l L S*)

)

)

method *match- \Downarrow* =

$(\text{match conclusion in } \langle f \leq \Downarrow R g \rangle \text{ for } f :: \langle 'a \text{ nres} \rangle \text{ and } R :: \langle ('a \times 'b) \text{ set} \rangle \text{ and }$

g :: $\langle 'b \text{ nres} \rangle \Rightarrow$

$\langle \text{match premises in}$

I[thin,uncurry]: $\langle f \leq \Downarrow R' g \rangle \text{ for } R' :: \langle ('a \times 'b) \text{ set} \rangle$

```

    ⇒ ⟨rule refinement-trans-long[of ff g g R' R, OF refl refl - I]⟩
| I[thin,uncurry]: ⟨- ⇒ f ≤ ↓ R' g for R' :: ⟨('a × 'b) set⟩
    ⇒ ⟨rule refinement-trans-long[of ff g g R' R, OF refl refl - I]⟩
)

```

lemma decide-l-or-skip-spec:

```

⟨(decide-l-or-skip, decide-or-skip) ∈
 {⟨S, S'⟩. (S, S') ∈ twl-st-l None ∧ get-conflict-l S = None ∧
 clauses-to-update-l S = {#} ∧ literals-to-update-l S = {#} ∧ no-step cdcl-twl-cp S' ∧
 twl-struct-invs S' ∧ twl-stgy-invs S' ∧ twl-list-invs S} →f
 {⟨⟨(brk, T), (brk', T')⟩. (T, T') ∈ twl-st-l None ∧ brk = brk' ∧ twl-list-invs T ∧
 clauses-to-update-l T = {#} ∧
 (get-conflict-l T ≠ None → get-conflict-l T = Some {#}) ∧
 twl-struct-invs T' ∧ twl-stgy-invs T' ∧
 (¬brk → literals-to-update-l T ≠ {#}) ∧
 (brk → literals-to-update-l T = {#})}⟩ nres-rel⟩
⟨is ⟨- ∈ ?R →f ⟨?S⟩nres-rel⟩⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma refinement-trans-eq:

```

⟨A = A' ⇒ B = B' ⇒ R' = R ⇒ A ≤ ↓ R B ⇒ A' ≤ ↓ R' B'⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

definition cdcl-twl-o-prog-l-pre **where**

```

⟨cdcl-twl-o-prog-l-pre S ↔
 (¬S'. (S, S') ∈ twl-st-l None ∧
 twl-struct-invs S' ∧
 twl-stgy-invs S' ∧
 twl-list-invs S))⟩

```

definition cdcl-twl-o-prog-l :: ⟨'v twl-st-l ⇒ (bool × 'v twl-st-l) nres⟩ **where**

```

⟨cdcl-twl-o-prog-l S =
 do {
   ASSERT(cdcl-twl-o-prog-l-pre S);
   do {
     if get-conflict-l S = None
     then decide-l-or-skip S
     else if count-decided (get-trail-l S) > 0
     then do {
       T ← skip-and-resolve-loop-l S;
       ASSERT(get-conflict-l T ≠ None ∧ get-conflict-l T ≠ Some {#});
       U ← backtrack-l T;
       RETURN (False, U)
     }
     else RETURN (True, S)
   }
 }
⟩

```

lemma twl-st-lE:

```

⟨(M N D NE UE WS Q. T = (M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) ⇒ P (M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q))
⇒ P T⟩
for T :: ⟨'a twl-st-l
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *weaken- \Downarrow'* : $\langle f \leq \Downarrow R' g \implies R' \subseteq R \implies f \leq \Downarrow R g \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *cdcl-twlo-prog-l-spec*:
 $\langle (cdcl-twlo-prog-l, cdcl-twlo-prog) \in \{(S, S'). (S, S') \in twl-st-l \text{ None} \wedge clauses-to-update-l S = \{\#\} \wedge literals-to-update-l S = \{\#\} \wedge no-step cdcl-twlo-cp S' \wedge twl-struct-invs S' \wedge twl-stgy-invs S' \wedge twl-list-invs S\} \rightarrow_f \{((brk, T), (brk', T')). (T, T') \in twl-st-l \text{ None} \wedge brk = brk' \wedge twl-list-invs T \wedge clauses-to-update-l T = \{\#\} \wedge (get-conflict-l T \neq \text{None} \longrightarrow count-decided (get-trail-l T) = 0) \wedge twl-struct-invs T' \wedge twl-stgy-invs T'\} \rangle nres-rel$
 $\langle \text{is } \langle - \in ?R \rightarrow_f ?I \rangle \text{ is } \langle - \in ?R \rightarrow_f \langle ?J \rangle nres-rel \rangle \rangle$
(proof)

1.3.3 Full Strategy

definition *cdcl-twlo-stgy-prog-l-inv* :: $\langle' v twl-st-l \Rightarrow \text{bool} \times 'v twl-st-l \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle cdcl-twlo-stgy-prog-l-inv S_0 \equiv \lambda(brk, T). \exists S_0' T'. (T, T') \in twl-st-l \text{ None} \wedge (S_0, S_0') \in twl-st-l \text{ None} \wedge twl-struct-invs T' \wedge twl-stgy-invs T' \wedge (brk \longrightarrow final-twl-state T') \wedge cdcl-twlo-stgy^{**} S_0' T' \wedge clauses-to-update-l T = \{\#\} \wedge (\neg brk \longrightarrow get-conflict-l T = \text{None}) \rangle$

definition *cdcl-twlo-stgy-prog-l* :: $\langle' v twl-st-l \Rightarrow 'v twl-st-l nres \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle cdcl-twlo-stgy-prog-l S_0 =$
 $\text{do } \{$
 $\text{do } \{$
 $\text{do } \{$
 $(brk, T) \leftarrow WHILE_T cdcl-twlo-stgy-prog-l-inv S_0$
 $(\lambda(brk, -). \neg brk)$
 $(\lambda(brk, S).$
 $\text{do } \{$
 $T \leftarrow unit-propagation-outer-loop-l S;$
 $cdcl-twlo-prog-l T$
 $\})$
 $(\text{False}, S_0);$
 $RETURN T$
 $\}$
 $\}$
 \rangle

lemma *cdcl-twlo-stgy-prog-l-spec*:
 $\langle (cdcl-twlo-stgy-prog-l, cdcl-twlo-stgy-prog) \in \{(S, S'). (S, S') \in twl-st-l \text{ None} \wedge twl-list-invs S \wedge clauses-to-update-l S = \{\#\} \wedge twl-struct-invs S' \wedge twl-stgy-invs S'\} \rightarrow_f \{((T, T'). (T, T') \in twl-st-l \text{ None} \wedge twl-list-invs T \wedge twl-struct-invs T' \wedge twl-stgy-invs T') \wedge True\} \rangle nres-rel$
 $\langle \text{is } \langle - \in ?R \rightarrow_f ?I \rangle \text{ is } \langle - \in ?R \rightarrow_f \langle ?J \rangle nres-rel \rangle \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *refine-pair-to-SPEC*:

```

fixes f ::  $\langle' s \Rightarrow ' s \text{ nres}\rangle$  and g ::  $\langle' b \Rightarrow ' b \text{ nres}\rangle$ 
assumes  $\langle(f, g) \in \{(S, S'). (S, S') \in H \wedge R S S'\} \rightarrow_f \{\{(S, S'). (S, S') \in H' \wedge P' S\}\} \text{nres-rel}$ 
  (is  $\langle - \in ?R \rightarrow_f ?I \rangle$ )
assumes  $\langle R S S' \rangle$  and [simp]:  $\langle(S, S') \in H\rangle$ 
shows  $\langle f S \leq \Downarrow \{(S, S'). (S, S') \in H' \wedge P' S\} (g S') \rangle$ 
⟨proof⟩

definition cdcl-tw-l-stgy-prog-l-pre where
⟨cdcl-tw-l-stgy-prog-l-pre S S' ⟷
   $\langle(S, S') \in \text{twl-st-l None} \wedge \text{twl-struct-invs } S' \wedge \text{twl-stgy-invs } S' \wedge$ 
  clauses-to-update-l S = {#}  $\wedge$  get-conflict-l S = None  $\wedge$  twl-list-invs S⟩

lemma cdcl-tw-l-stgy-prog-l-spec-final:
assumes
⟨cdcl-tw-l-stgy-prog-l-pre S S'⟩
shows
⟨cdcl-tw-l-stgy-prog-l S ≤  $\Downarrow (\text{twl-st-l None}) (\text{conclusive-TWL-run } S')$ ⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma cdcl-tw-l-stgy-prog-l-spec-final':
assumes
⟨cdcl-tw-l-stgy-prog-l-pre S S'⟩
shows
⟨cdcl-tw-l-stgy-prog-l S ≤  $\Downarrow \{(S, T). (S, T) \in \text{twl-st-l None} \wedge \text{twl-list-invs } S \wedge$ 
  twl-struct-invs S'  $\wedge$  twl-stgy-invs S'⟩ (conclusive-TWL-run S')⟩
⟨proof⟩

definition cdcl-tw-l-stgy-prog-break-l ::  $\langle' v \text{ twl-st-l} \Rightarrow ' v \text{ twl-st-l nres}\rangle$  where
⟨cdcl-tw-l-stgy-prog-break-l S0 =
  do {
    b ← SPEC(λ-. True);
    (b, brk, T) ← WHILET λ(b, S). cdcl-tw-l-stgy-prog-l-inv S0 S
    (λ(b, brk, -). b  $\wedge$   $\neg$ brk)
    (λ(-, brk, S). do {
      T ← unit-propagation-outer-loop-l S;
      T ← cdcl-tw-l-o-prog-l T;
      b ← SPEC(λ-. True);
      RETURN (b, T)
    })
    (b, False, S0);
  if brk then RETURN T
  else cdcl-tw-l-stgy-prog-l T
}⟩

lemma cdcl-tw-l-stgy-prog-break-l-spec:
⟨(cdcl-tw-l-stgy-prog-break-l, cdcl-tw-l-stgy-prog-break) ∈
   $\{(S, S'). (S, S') \in \text{twl-st-l None} \wedge \text{twl-list-invs } S \wedge$ 
  clauses-to-update-l S = {#}  $\wedge$ 
  twl-struct-invs S'  $\wedge$  twl-stgy-invs S'⟩ →f
⟨{(T, T'). (T, T') ∈ {(T, T'). (T, T') ∈ twl-st-l None}  $\wedge$  twl-list-invs T  $\wedge$ 
  twl-struct-invs T'  $\wedge$  twl-stgy-invs T'}  $\wedge$  True}⟩ nres-rel
  (is  $\langle - \in ?R \rightarrow_f ?I \rangle$  is  $\langle - \in ?R \rightarrow_f (?J) \text{nres-rel} \rangle$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma cdcl-tw-l-stgy-prog-break-l-spec-final:
assumes

```

```

⟨cdcl-twlr-stgy-prog-l-pre S S'⟩
shows
⟨cdcl-twlr-stgy-prog-break-l S ≤ ↓ (twl-st-l None) (conclusive-TWL-run S')⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

```

end
theory Watched-Literals-List-Restart
imports Watched-Literals-List Watched-Literals-Algorithm-Restart
begin

```

Unlike most other refinements steps we have done, we don't try to refine our specification to our code directly: We first introduce an intermediate transition system which is closer to what we want to implement. Then we refine it to code.

This invariant abstract over the restart operation on the trail. There can be a backtracking on the trail and there can be a renumbering of the indexes.

```

inductive valid-trail-reduction for M M' :: ⟨('v , 'c) ann-lits⟩ where
backtrack-red:

```

```

⟨valid-trail-reduction M M'⟩
if
⟨(Decided K # M'', M2) ∈ set (get-all-ann-decomposition M)⟩ and
⟨map lit-of M'' = map lit-of M'⟩ and
⟨map is-decided M'' = map is-decided M'⟩ |
keep-red:
⟨valid-trail-reduction M M'⟩
if
⟨map lit-of M = map lit-of M'⟩ and
⟨map is-decided M = map is-decided M'⟩

```

```

lemma valid-trail-reduction-simps: ⟨valid-trail-reduction M M' ⟷
(∃K M'' M2. (Decided K # M'', M2) ∈ set (get-all-ann-decomposition M) ∧
map lit-of M'' = map lit-of M' ∧ map is-decided M'' = map is-decided M' ∧
length M' = length M'') ∨
map lit-of M = map lit-of M' ∧ map is-decided M = map is-decided M' ∧ length M = length M')
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma trail-changes-same-decomp:

```

```

assumes
M'-lit: ⟨map lit-of M' = map lit-of ysa @ L # map lit-of zsa⟩ and
M'-dec: ⟨map is-decided M' = map is-decided ysa @ False # map is-decided zsa⟩
obtains C' M2 M1 where ⟨M' = M2 @ Propagated L C' # M1⟩ and
⟨map lit-of M2 = map lit-of ysa⟩ and
⟨map is-decided M2 = map is-decided ysa⟩ and
⟨map lit-of M1 = map lit-of zsa⟩ and
⟨map is-decided M1 = map is-decided zsa⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma
assumes
⟨map lit-of M = map lit-of M'⟩ and
⟨map is-decided M = map is-decided M'⟩
shows
trail-renumber-count-dec:
⟨count-decided M = count-decided M'⟩ and
trail-renumber-get-level:
⟨get-level M L = get-level M' L⟩

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *valid-trail-reduction-Propagated-inD*:

$\langle valid\text{-}trail\text{-}reduction M M' \Rightarrow Propagated L C \in set M' \Rightarrow \exists C'. Propagated L C' \in set M \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *valid-trail-reduction-Propagated-inD2*:

$\langle valid\text{-}trail\text{-}reduction M M' \Rightarrow length M = length M' \Rightarrow Propagated L C \in set M \Rightarrow \exists C'. Propagated L C' \in set M' \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-all-ann-decomposition-change-annotation-exists*:

assumes
 $\langle (Decided K \# M', M2') \in set (get\text{-}all\text{-}ann\text{-}decomposition M2) \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle map lit\text{-}of M1 = map lit\text{-}of M2' \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle map is\text{-}decided M1 = map is\text{-}decided M2' \rangle$
shows $\langle \exists M'' M2'. (Decided K \# M'', M2') \in set (get\text{-}all\text{-}ann\text{-}decomposition M1) \wedge map lit\text{-}of M'' = map lit\text{-}of M' \wedge map is\text{-}decided M'' = map is\text{-}decided M' \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *valid-trail-reduction-trans*:

assumes
 $M1\text{-}M2: \langle valid\text{-}trail\text{-}reduction M1 M2 \rangle$ **and**
 $M2\text{-}M3: \langle valid\text{-}trail\text{-}reduction M2 M3 \rangle$
shows $\langle valid\text{-}trail\text{-}reduction M1 M3 \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *valid-trail-reduction-length-leD*: $\langle valid\text{-}trail\text{-}reduction M M' \Rightarrow length M' \leq length M \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *valid-trail-reduction-level0-iff*:

assumes *valid*: $\langle valid\text{-}trail\text{-}reduction M M' \rangle$ **and** *n-d*: $\langle no\text{-}dup M \rangle$
shows $\langle (L \in lits\text{-}of\text{-}l M \wedge get\text{-}level M L = 0) \longleftrightarrow (L \in lits\text{-}of\text{-}l M' \wedge get\text{-}level M' L = 0) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *map-lit-of-eq-defined-litD*: $\langle map lit\text{-}of M = map lit\text{-}of M' \Rightarrow defined\text{-}lit M = defined\text{-}lit M' \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *map-lit-of-eq-no-dupD*: $\langle map lit\text{-}of M = map lit\text{-}of M' \Rightarrow no\text{-}dup M = no\text{-}dup M' \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Remarks about the predicate:

- The cases $\forall L E E'. Propagated L E \in set M' \rightarrow Propagated L E' \in set M \rightarrow E = (0::'b) \rightarrow E' \neq (0::'c) \rightarrow P$ are already covered by the invariants (where *P* means that there is clause which was already present before).

inductive *cdcl-twlr-restart-l* :: $\langle 'v twl-st-l \Rightarrow 'v twl-st-l \Rightarrow bool \rangle$ **where**
restart-trail:

$\langle cdcl\text{-}twl\text{-}restart\text{-}l (M, N, None, NE, UE, \{\#\}, Q)$
 $(M', N', None, NE + mset '\# NE', UE + mset '\# UE', \{\#\}, Q') \rangle$
if
 $\langle valid\text{-}trail\text{-}reduction M M' \rangle$ **and**

```

⟨init-clss-lf N = init-clss-lf N' + NE'⟩ and
⟨learned-clss-lf N' + UE' ⊆# learned-clss-lf N⟩ and
⟨∀ E ∈ # (NE' + UE'). ∃ L ∈ set E. L ∈ lits-of-l M ∧ get-level M L = 0⟩ and
⟨∀ L E E'. Propagated L E ∈ set M' → Propagated L E' ∈ set M → E > 0 → E' > 0 →
E ∈ # dom-m N' ∧ N' ∝ E = N ∝ E'⟩ and
⟨∀ L E E'. Propagated L E ∈ set M' → Propagated L E' ∈ set M → E = 0 → E' ≠ 0 →
mset (N ∝ E') ∈ # NE + mset '# NE' + UE + mset '# UE'⟩ and
⟨∀ L E E'. Propagated L E ∈ set M' → Propagated L E' ∈ set M → E' = 0 → E = 0⟩ and
⟨0 ∉# dom-m N'⟩ and
⟨if length M = length M' then Q = Q' else Q' = {#}⟩

```

lemma cdcl-twlr-restart-l-list-invs:

assumes

⟨cdcl-twlr-restart-l S T⟩ and

⟨twl-list-invs S⟩

shows

⟨twl-list-invs T⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-twlr-restart-l-list-invs:

assumes

⟨cdcl-twlr-restart-l** S T⟩ and

⟨twl-list-invs S⟩

shows

⟨twl-list-invs T⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma cdcl-twlr-restart-l-cdcl-twlr-restart:

assumes ST: ⟨(S, T) ∈ twl-st-l None⟩ and

list-invs: ⟨twl-list-invs S⟩ and

struct-invs: ⟨twl-struct-invs T⟩

shows ⟨SPEC (cdcl-twlr-restart-l S) ≤ ↓ {(S, S')}. (S, S') ∈ twl-st-l None ∧ twl-list-invs S ∧
clauses-to-update-l S = {#}⟩

(SPEC (cdcl-twlr-restart T))

⟨proof⟩

definition (in -) restart-abs-l-pre :: ⟨'v twl-st-l ⇒ bool ⇒ bool⟩ **where**

⟨restart-abs-l-pre S brk ↔

(∃ S'. (S, S') ∈ twl-st-l None ∧ restart-prog-pre S' brk

∧ twl-list-invs S ∧ clauses-to-update-l S = {#}))

context twl-restart-ops

begin

definition restart-required-l :: 'v twl-st-l ⇒ nat ⇒ bool nres **where**

⟨restart-required-l S n = SPEC (λb. b → size (get-learned-clss-l S) > f n)⟩

definition restart-abs-l

:: 'v twl-st-l ⇒ nat ⇒ bool ⇒ ('v twl-st-l × nat) nres

where

⟨restart-abs-l S n brk = do {

ASSERT(restart-abs-l-pre S brk);

b ← restart-required-l S n;

```

 $b2 \leftarrow SPEC(\lambda(- :: bool). True);$ 
 $\text{if } b \wedge b2 \wedge \neg brk \text{ then do } \{$ 
 $\quad T \leftarrow SPEC(\lambda T. cdcl-twlr-restart-l S T);$ 
 $\quad RETURN(T, n + 1)$ 
 $\}$ 
 $\text{else}$ 
 $\quad \text{if } b \wedge \neg brk \text{ then do } \{$ 
 $\quad \quad T \leftarrow SPEC(\lambda T. cdcl-twlr-restart-l S T);$ 
 $\quad \quad RETURN(T, n + 1)$ 
 $\quad \}$ 
 $\quad \text{else}$ 
 $\quad \quad RETURN(S, n)$ 
 $\}$ 

```

lemma (in -)[twl-st-l]:

$\langle (S, S') \in twl-st-l \text{ None} \Rightarrow get-learned-clss S' = twl-clause-of \# (get-learned-clss-l S) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma restart-required-l-restart-required:

$\langle (uncurry restart-required-l, uncurry restart-required) \in$
 $\quad \{(S, S'). (S, S') \in twl-st-l \text{ None} \wedge twl-list-invs S\} \times_f nat-rel \rightarrow_f$
 $\quad \langle bool-rel \rangle nres-rel \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma restart-abs-l-restart-prog:

$\langle (uncurry2 restart-abs-l, uncurry2 restart-prog) \in$
 $\quad \{(S, S'). (S, S') \in twl-st-l \text{ None} \wedge twl-list-invs S \wedge clauses-to-update-l S = \{\#\}\}$
 $\quad \times_f nat-rel \times_f bool-rel \rightarrow_f$
 $\quad \langle \{(S, S'). (S, S') \in twl-st-l \text{ None} \wedge twl-list-invs S \wedge clauses-to-update-l S = \{\#\}\}$
 $\quad \times_f nat-rel \rangle nres-rel \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition cdcl-twlr-stgy-restart-abs-l-inv where

$\langle cdcl-twlr-stgy-restart-abs-l-inv S_0 brk T n \equiv$
 $\quad (\exists S_0' T'.$
 $\quad \quad (S_0, S_0') \in twl-st-l \text{ None} \wedge$
 $\quad \quad (T, T') \in twl-st-l \text{ None} \wedge$
 $\quad \quad cdcl-twlr-stgy-restart-prog-inv S_0' brk T' n \wedge$
 $\quad \quad clauses-to-update-l T = \{\#\} \wedge$
 $\quad \quad twl-list-invs T))$

definition cdcl-twlr-stgy-restart-abs-l :: 'v twl-st-l \Rightarrow 'v twl-st-l nres where

$\langle cdcl-twlr-stgy-restart-abs-l S_0 =$
 $\quad \text{do } \{$
 $\quad \quad (brk, T, -) \leftarrow WHILE_T \lambda(brk, T, n). cdcl-twlr-stgy-restart-abs-l-inv S_0 brk T n$
 $\quad \quad (\lambda(brk, -). \neg brk)$
 $\quad \quad (\lambda(brk, S, n).$
 $\quad \quad \text{do } \{$
 $\quad \quad \quad T \leftarrow unit-propagation-outer-loop-l S;$
 $\quad \quad \quad (brk, T) \leftarrow cdcl-twlr-o-prog-l T;$
 $\quad \quad \quad (T, n) \leftarrow restart-abs-l T n brk;$
 $\quad \quad \quad RETURN(brk, T, n)$
 $\quad \quad \}$
 $\quad \quad (False, S_0, 0);$

```

RETURN T
}

lemma cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-abs-l-cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-abs-l:
⟨cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-abs-l, cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-prog) ∈
{(S, S'). (S, S') ∈ twl-st-l None ∧ twl-list-invs S ∧
clauses-to-update-l S = {#} } →f
⟨{(S, S'). (S, S') ∈ twl-st-l None ∧ twl-list-invs S}⟩ nres-rel
⟨proof⟩

```

end

We here start the refinement towards an executable version of the restarts. The idea of the restart is the following:

1. We backtrack to level 0. This simplifies further steps.
2. We first move all clause annotating a literal to *NE* or *UE*.
3. Then, we move remaining clauses that are watching the some literal at level 0.
4. Now we can safely deleting any remaining learned clauses.
5. Once all that is done, we have to recalculate the watch lists (and can on the way GC the set of clauses).

Handle true clauses from the trail

```

lemma in-set-mset-eq-in:
⟨i ∈ set A ⇒ mset A = B ⇒ i ∈# B⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

Our transformation will be chains of a weaker version of restarts, that don't update the watch lists and only keep partial correctness of it.

```

lemma cdcl-twl-restart-l-cdcl-twl-restart-l-is-cdcl-twl-restart-l:
assumes
ST: ⟨cdcl-twl-restart-l S T⟩ and TU: ⟨cdcl-twl-restart-l T U⟩ and
n-d: ⟨no-dup (get-trail-l S)⟩
shows ⟨cdcl-twl-restart-l S U⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-twl-restart-l-no-dup:
assumes
ST: ⟨cdcl-twl-restart-l** S T⟩ and
n-d: ⟨no-dup (get-trail-l S)⟩
shows ⟨no-dup (get-trail-l T)⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma tranclp-cdcl-twl-restart-l-cdcl-is-cdcl-twl-restart-l:
assumes
ST: ⟨cdcl-twl-restart-l++ S T⟩ and
n-d: ⟨no-dup (get-trail-l S)⟩
shows ⟨cdcl-twl-restart-l S T⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *valid-trail-reduction-refl*: ⟨*valid-trail-reduction a a
 ⟨*proof*⟩*

Auxiliary definition This definition states that the domain of the clauses is reduced, but the remaining clauses are not changed.

definition *reduce-dom-clauses* **where**
 ⟨*reduce-dom-clauses N N'* ⟷
 ⟨ $\forall C. C \in \# \text{dom-m } N' \rightarrow C \in \# \text{dom-m } N \wedge \text{fmlookup } N C = \text{fmlookup } N' C$ ⟩

lemma *reduce-dom-clauses-fdrop[simp]*: ⟨*reduce-dom-clauses N (fmdrop C N)*⟩
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *reduce-dom-clauses-refl[simp]*: ⟨*reduce-dom-clauses N N*⟩
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *reduce-dom-clauses-trans*:
 ⟨*reduce-dom-clauses N N' ⟷ reduce-dom-clauses N' N'a ⟷ reduce-dom-clauses N N'a*⟩
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition *valid-trail-reduction-eq* **where**
 ⟨*valid-trail-reduction-eq M M' ⟷ valid-trail-reduction M M' ∧ length M = length M'*⟩

lemma *valid-trail-reduction-eq-alt-def*:
 ⟨*valid-trail-reduction-eq M M' ⟷ map lit-of M = map lit-of M' ∧*
map is-decided M = map is-decided M'⟩
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *valid-trail-reduction-change-annot*:
 ⟨*valid-trail-reduction (M @ Propagated L C # M')*
(M @ Propagated L 0 # M')⟩
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *valid-trail-reduction-eq-change-annot*:
 ⟨*valid-trail-reduction-eq (M @ Propagated L C # M')*
(M @ Propagated L 0 # M')⟩
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *valid-trail-reduction-eq-refl*: ⟨*valid-trail-reduction-eq M M*⟩
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *valid-trail-reduction-eq-get-level*:
 ⟨*valid-trail-reduction-eq M M' ⟷ get-level M = get-level M'*⟩
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *valid-trail-reduction-eq-lits-of-l*:
 ⟨*valid-trail-reduction-eq M M' ⟷ lits-of-l M = lits-of-l M'*⟩
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *valid-trail-reduction-eq-trans*:
 ⟨*valid-trail-reduction-eq M M' ⟷ valid-trail-reduction-eq M' M'' ⟷*
valid-trail-reduction-eq M M''⟩
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition *no-dup-reasons-invs-wl* **where**

```

<no-dup-reasons-invs-wl S  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  (distinct-mset (mark-of '# filter-mset ( $\lambda C.$  is-proped  $C \wedge$  mark-of  $C > 0$ ) (mset (get-trail-l  $S$ ))))>

inductive different-annot-all-killed where
propa-changed:
  <different-annot-all-killed N NUE (Propagated L C) (Propagated L C')>
  if < $C \neq C'$ > and < $C' = 0$ > and
    < $C \in \# \text{dom-}m N \Rightarrow \text{mset}(N \times C) \in \# \text{NUE}$ > |
propa-not-changed:
  <different-annot-all-killed N NUE (Propagated L C) (Propagated L C)> |
decided-not-changed:
  <different-annot-all-killed N NUE (Decided L) (Decided L)>

lemma different-annot-all-killed-refl[iff]:
  <different-annot-all-killed N NUE z z  $\longleftrightarrow$  is-proped  $z \vee$  is-decided  $z$ >
  <proof>
```

abbreviation different-annots-all-killed **where**
 $\text{different-annots-all-killed } N \text{ NUE} \equiv \text{list-all2 } (\text{different-annot-all-killed } N \text{ NUE})$

lemma different-annots-all-killed-refl:
 <different-annots-all-killed N NUE M M>
 <proof>

Refinement towards code Once of the first thing we do, is removing clauses we know to be true. We do in two ways:

- along the trail (at level 0); this makes sure that annotations are kept;
- then along the watch list.

This is (obviously) not complete but is faster by avoiding iterating over all clauses. Here are the rules we want to apply for our very limited inprocessing:

inductive remove-one-annot-true-clause :: <'v twl-st-l \Rightarrow 'v twl-st-l \Rightarrow bool> **where**
remove-irred-trail:
 <remove-one-annot-true-clause (M @ Propagated L C # M', N, D, NE, UE, W, Q)
 (M @ Propagated L 0 # M', fmdrop C N, D, add-mset (mset (N \times C)) NE, UE, W, Q)>
if
 <get-level (M @ Propagated L C # M') L = 0> **and**
 < $C > 0$ > **and**
 < $C \in \# \text{dom-}m N$ > **and**
 < $\text{irred } N \ C$ > |
remove-red-trail:
 <remove-one-annot-true-clause (M @ Propagated L C # M', N, D, NE, UE, W, Q)
 (M @ Propagated L 0 # M', fmdrop C N, D, NE, add-mset (mset (N \times C)) UE, W, Q)>
if
 <get-level (M @ Propagated L C # M') L = 0> **and**
 < $C > 0$ > **and**
 < $C \in \# \text{dom-}m N$ > **and**
 < $\neg \text{irred } N \ C$ > |
remove-irred:
 <remove-one-annot-true-clause (M, N, D, NE, UE, W, Q)
 (M, fmdrop C N, D, add-mset (mset (N \times C)) NE, UE, W, Q)>

if

```

⟨ $L \in \text{lits-of-l } M$ ⟩ and  

⟨ $\text{get-level } M \ L = 0$ ⟩ and  

⟨ $C \in \# \text{ dom-m } N$ ⟩ and  

⟨ $L \in \text{set } (N \propto C)$ ⟩ and  

⟨ $\text{irred } N \ C$ ⟩ and  

⟨ $\forall L. \text{Propagated } L \ C \notin \text{set } M$ ⟩ |  

delete:  

⟨ $\text{remove-one-annot-true-clause } (M, N, D, NE, UE, W, Q)$ ⟩  

⟨ $(M, \text{fmdrop } C \ N, D, NE, UE, W, Q)$ ⟩  

if  

⟨ $C \in \# \text{ dom-m } N$ ⟩ and  

⟨ $\neg \text{irred } N \ C$ ⟩ and  

⟨ $\forall L. \text{Propagated } L \ C \notin \text{set } M$ ⟩

```

Remarks:

1. $\forall L. \text{Propagated } L \ C \notin \text{set } M$ is overkill. However, I am currently unsure how I want to handle it (either as $\text{Propagated } (N \propto C ! 0) \ C \notin \text{set } M$ or as “the trail contains only zero anyway”).

lemma *Ex-ex-eq-Ex*: ⟨($\exists NE'. (\exists b. NE' = \{\#b\} \wedge P b \ NE') \wedge Q \ NE'$) \longleftrightarrow ($\exists b. P b \ \{\#b\} \wedge Q \ \{\#b\}$)⟩
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *in-set-definedD*:
⟨ $\text{Propagated } L' \ C \in \text{set } M' \implies \text{defined-lit } M' \ L'$ ⟩
⟨ $\text{Decided } L' \in \text{set } M' \implies \text{defined-lit } M' \ L'$ ⟩
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (*in conflict-driven-clause-learning_W*) *trail-no-annotation-reuse*:
assumes
struct-invs: ⟨ $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } S$ ⟩ **and**
LC: ⟨ $\text{Propagated } L \ C \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S)$ ⟩ **and**
LC': ⟨ $\text{Propagated } L' \ C \in \text{set } (\text{trail } S)$ ⟩
shows $L = L'$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *remove-one-annot-true-clause-cdcl-twlr-restart-l*:
assumes
rem: ⟨ $\text{remove-one-annot-true-clause } S \ T$ ⟩ **and**
lst-invs: ⟨ $\text{twl-list-invs } S$ ⟩ **and**
SS': ⟨ $(S, S') \in \text{twl-st-l None}$ ⟩ **and**
struct-invs: ⟨ $\text{twl-struct-invs } S'$ ⟩ **and**
conflict: ⟨ $\text{get-conflict-l } S = \text{None}$ ⟩ **and**
upd: ⟨ $\text{clauses-to-update-l } S = \{\#\}$ ⟩ **and**
n-d: ⟨ $\text{no-dup } (\text{get-trail-l } S)$ ⟩
shows ⟨ $\text{cdcl-twlr-restart-l } S \ T$ ⟩
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *is-annot-iff-annotates-first*:
assumes
ST: ⟨ $(S, T) \in \text{twl-st-l None}$ ⟩ **and**
list-invs: ⟨ $\text{twl-list-invs } S$ ⟩ **and**
struct-invs: ⟨ $\text{twl-struct-invs } T$ ⟩ **and**

$C0: \langle C > 0 \rangle$
shows
 $\langle (\exists L. \text{Propagated } L C \in \text{set}(\text{get-trail-l } S)) \longleftrightarrow$
 $((\text{length}(\text{get-clauses-l } S \propto C) > 2 \rightarrow$
 $\text{Propagated}(\text{get-clauses-l } S \propto C ! 0) \text{ } C \in \text{set}(\text{get-trail-l } S)) \wedge$
 $((\text{length}(\text{get-clauses-l } S \propto C) \leq 2 \rightarrow$
 $\text{Propagated}(\text{get-clauses-l } S \propto C ! 0) \text{ } C \in \text{set}(\text{get-trail-l } S)) \vee$
 $\text{Propagated}(\text{get-clauses-l } S \propto C ! 1) \text{ } C \in \text{set}(\text{get-trail-l } S))) \rangle$
 $(\text{is } \langle ?A \longleftrightarrow ?B \rangle)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trail-length-ge2*:
assumes
 $ST: \langle (S, T) \in \text{twl-st-l } \text{None} \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{list-invs}: \langle \text{twl-list-invs } S \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{struct-invs}: \langle \text{twl-struct-invs } T \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{LaC}: \langle \text{Propagated } La \text{ } C \in \text{set}(\text{get-trail-l } S) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $C0: \langle C > 0 \rangle$
shows
 $\langle \text{length}(\text{get-clauses-l } S \propto C) \geq 2 \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is-annot-no-other-true-lit*:
assumes
 $ST: \langle (S, T) \in \text{twl-st-l } \text{None} \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{list-invs}: \langle \text{twl-list-invs } S \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{struct-invs}: \langle \text{twl-struct-invs } T \rangle \text{ and}$
 $C0: \langle C > 0 \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{LaC}: \langle \text{Propagated } La \text{ } C \in \text{set}(\text{get-trail-l } S) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $LC: \langle L \in \text{set}(\text{get-clauses-l } S \propto C) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $L: \langle L \in \text{lits-of-l } (\text{get-trail-l } S) \rangle$
shows
 $\langle La = L \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle \text{length}(\text{get-clauses-l } S \propto C) > 2 \implies L = \text{get-clauses-l } S \propto C ! 0 \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *remove-one-annot-true-clause-cdcl-twl-restart-l2*:
assumes
 $\text{rem}: \langle \text{remove-one-annot-true-clause } S \text{ } T \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{lst-invs}: \langle \text{twl-list-invs } S \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{conflict}: \langle \text{get-conflict-l } S = \text{None} \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{upd}: \langle \text{clauses-to-update-l } S = \{\#\} \rangle \text{ and}$
 $n-d: \langle (S, T') \in \text{twl-st-l } \text{None} \rangle \langle \text{twl-struct-invs } T' \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{cdcl-twl-restart-l } S \text{ } T \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *remove-one-annot-true-clause-get-conflict-l*:
 $\langle \text{remove-one-annot-true-clause } S \text{ } T \implies \text{get-conflict-l } T = \text{get-conflict-l } S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtranclp-remove-one-annot-true-clause-get-conflict-l*:
 $\langle \text{remove-one-annot-true-clause}^{**} \text{ } S \text{ } T \implies \text{get-conflict-l } T = \text{get-conflict-l } S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *remove-one-annot-true-clause-clauses-to-update-l*:
 $\langle \text{remove-one-annot-true-clause } S \text{ } T \implies \text{clauses-to-update-l } T = \text{clauses-to-update-l } S \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtranclp-remove-one-annot-true-clause-clauses-to-update-l*:
 $\langle remove-one-annot-true-clause^{**} S T \implies clauses-to-update-l T = clauses-to-update-l S \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl-twlr restart-l-invs*:
assumes $ST: \langle (S, T) \in twl-st-l None \rangle$ **and**
 $list\text{-}invs: \langle twl-list\text{-}invs S \rangle$ **and**
 $struct\text{-}invs: \langle twl-struct\text{-}invs T \rangle$ **and** $\langle cdcl-twlr restart-l S S' \rangle$
shows $\exists T'. (S', T') \in twl-st-l None \wedge twl-list\text{-}invs S' \wedge$
 $clauses\text{-}to\text{-}update-l S' = \{\#\} \wedge cdcl-twlr restart T T' \wedge twl-struct\text{-}invs T'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtranclp-cdcl-twlr restart-l-invs*:
assumes
 $\langle cdcl-twlr restart-l^{**} S S' \rangle$ **and**
 $ST: \langle (S, T) \in twl-st-l None \rangle$ **and**
 $list\text{-}invs: \langle twl-list\text{-}invs S \rangle$ **and**
 $struct\text{-}invs: \langle twl-struct\text{-}invs T \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle clauses\text{-}to\text{-}update-l S = \{\#\} \rangle$
shows $\exists T'. (S', T') \in twl-st-l None \wedge twl-list\text{-}invs S' \wedge$
 $clauses\text{-}to\text{-}update-l S' = \{\#\} \wedge cdcl-twlr restart^{**} T T' \wedge twl-struct\text{-}invs T'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtranclp-remove-one-annot-true-clause-cdcl-twlr restart-l2*:
assumes
 $rem: \langle remove-one-annot-true-clause^{**} S T \rangle$ **and**
 $lst\text{-}invs: \langle twl-list\text{-}invs S \rangle$ **and**
 $conflict: \langle get\text{-}conflict-l S = None \rangle$ **and**
 $upd: \langle clauses\text{-}to\text{-}update-l S = \{\#\} \rangle$ **and**
 $n\text{-}d: \langle (S, S') \in twl-st-l None \rangle \langle twl-struct\text{-}invs S' \rangle$
shows $\exists T'. cdcl-twlr restart-l^{**} S T \wedge (T, T') \in twl-st-l None \wedge cdcl-twlr restart^{**} S' T' \wedge$
 $twl-struct\text{-}invs T'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *drop-clause-add-move-init* **where**
 $\langle drop\text{-}clause\text{-}add\text{-}move\text{-}init = (\lambda(M, N0, D, NE0, UE, Q, W) C.$
 $(M, fmdrop C N0, D, add-mset (mset (N0 \propto C)) NE0, UE, Q, W)) \rangle$

lemma [*simp*]:
 $\langle get\text{-}trail-l (drop\text{-}clause\text{-}add\text{-}move\text{-}init V C) = get\text{-}trail-l V \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *drop-clause* **where**
 $\langle drop\text{-}clause = (\lambda(M, N0, D, NE0, UE, Q, W) C.$
 $(M, fmdrop C N0, D, NE0, UE, Q, W)) \rangle$

lemma [*simp*]:
 $\langle get\text{-}trail-l (drop\text{-}clause V C) = get\text{-}trail-l V \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *remove-all-annot-true-clause-one-imp*
where

```

⟨remove-all-annot-true-clause-one-imp = (λ(C, S). do {
  if C ∈# dom-m (get-clauses-l S) then
    if irred (get-clauses-l S) C
    then RETURN (drop-clause-add-move-init S C)
    else RETURN (drop-clause S C)
  else do {
    RETURN S
  }
})⟩

```

definition remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp-inv **where**

```

⟨remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp-inv S =
(λ(i, T). remove-one-annot-true-clause** S T ∧ twl-list-invs S ∧ i ≤ length (get-trail-l S) ∧
twin-list-invs S ∧
clauses-to-update-l S = clauses-to-update-l T ∧
literals-to-update-l S = literals-to-update-l T ∧
get-conflict-l T = None ∧
(∃S'. (S, S') ∈ twl-st-l None ∧ twl-struct-invs S') ∧
get-conflict-l S = None ∧ clauses-to-update-l S = {#} ∧
length (get-trail-l S) = length (get-trail-l T) ∧
(∀j < i. is-proped (rev (get-trail-l T) ! j) ∧ mark-of (rev (get-trail-l T) ! j) = 0)))⟩

```

definition remove-all-annot-true-clause-imp-inv **where**

```

⟨remove-all-annot-true-clause-imp-inv S xs =
(λ(i, T). remove-one-annot-true-clause** S T ∧ twl-list-invs S ∧ i ≤ length xs ∧
twin-list-invs S ∧ get-trail-l S = get-trail-l T ∧
(∃S'. (S, S') ∈ twl-st-l None ∧ twl-struct-invs S') ∧
get-conflict-l S = None ∧ clauses-to-update-l S = {#}))⟩

```

definition remove-all-annot-true-clause-imp-pre **where**

```

⟨remove-all-annot-true-clause-imp-pre L S ↔
(twl-list-invs S ∧ twl-list-invs S ∧
(∃S'. (S, S') ∈ twl-st-l None ∧ twl-struct-invs S') ∧
get-conflict-l S = None ∧ clauses-to-update-l S = {#}) ∧ L ∈ lits-of-l (get-trail-l S))⟩

```

definition remove-all-annot-true-clause-imp

 :: 'v literal ⇒ 'v twl-st-l ⇒ ('v twl-st-l) nres

where

```

⟨remove-all-annot-true-clause-imp = (λL S. do {
  ASSERT(remove-all-annot-true-clause-imp-pre L S);
  xs ← SPEC(λxs.
    (∀x ∈ set xs. x ∈# dom-m (get-clauses-l S) → L ∈ set ((get-clauses-l S) ∞ x)));
  (-, T) ← WHILET λ(i, T). remove-all-annot-true-clause-imp-inv S xs (i, T)
    (λ(i, T). i < length xs)
    (λ(i, T). do {
      ASSERT(i < length xs);
      if xs!i ∈# dom-m (get-clauses-l T) ∧ length ((get-clauses-l T) ∞ (xs!i)) ≠ 2
        then do {
          T ← remove-all-annot-true-clause-one-imp (xs!i, T);
          ASSERT(remove-all-annot-true-clause-imp-inv S xs (i, T));
          RETURN (i+1, T)
        }
      else
        RETURN (i+1, T)
    })
})⟩

```

```

 $(\theta, S);$ 
 $\text{RETURN } T$ 
 $\}) \rangle$ 

definition remove-one-annot-true-clause-one-imp-pre where
⟨remove-one-annot-true-clause-one-imp-pre  $i \ T \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $(\text{twl-list-invs } T \wedge i < \text{length} (\text{get-trail-l } T) \wedge$ 
 $\text{twl-list-invs } T \wedge$ 
 $(\exists S'. (T, S') \in \text{twl-st-l None} \wedge \text{twl-struct-invs } S') \wedge$ 
 $\text{get-conflict-l } T = \text{None} \wedge \text{clauses-to-update-l } T = \{\#\}) \rangle$ 

definition replace-annot-l where
⟨replace-annot-l  $L \ C =$ 
 $(\lambda(M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W).$ 
 $\text{RES } \{(M', N, D, NE, UE, Q, W) \mid M'.$ 
 $(\exists M2 M1 C. M = M2 @ \text{Propagated } L \ C \# M1 \wedge M' = M2 @ \text{Propagated } L \ 0 \# M1)\}) \rangle$ 

```

```

definition remove-and-add-cls-l where
  ⟨remove-and-add-cls-l C =
    (λ(M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W).
      RETURN (M, fmdrop C N, D,
              (if irred N C then add-mset (mset (N×C)) else id) NE,
              (if ¬irred N C then add-mset (mset (N×C)) else id) UE, Q, W)))

```

The following program removes all clauses that are annotations. However, this is not compatible with binary clauses, since we want to make sure that they should not be deleted.

```

term remove-all-annot-true-clause-imp
definition remove-one-annot-true-clause-one-imp
where
(remove-one-annot-true-clause-one-imp = ( $\lambda i S.$  do {
    ASSERT(remove-one-annot-true-clause-one-imp-pre  $i S$ );
    ASSERT(is-proped ((rev (get-trail-l  $S$ ))! $i$ ));
     $(L, C) \leftarrow SPEC(\lambda(L, C). (rev (get-trail-l  $S$ ))!i = Propagated L C);$ 
    ASSERT(Propagated  $L C \in set (get-trail-l S)$ );
    if  $C = 0$  then RETURN ( $i+1, S$ )
    else do {
        ASSERT( $C \in \# dom-m (get-clauses-l S)$ );
         $S \leftarrow replace-annot-l L C S;$ 
         $S \leftarrow remove-and-add-cls-l C S;$ 
        $//remove-all-true-clause-imp/L$;
        RETURN ( $i+1, S$ )
    }
})
```

definition $\text{remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp} :: ('v \text{ twl-st-l} \Rightarrow ('v \text{ twl-st-l}) \text{ nres})$
where
 $\text{remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp} = (\lambda S. \text{ do } \{$
 $k \leftarrow \text{SPEC}(\lambda k. (\exists M1 M2 K. (\text{Decided } K \# M1, M2) \in \text{set} (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition} (\text{get-trail-l } S))) \wedge$
 $\text{count-decided } M1 = 0 \wedge k = \text{length } M1)$
 $\vee (\text{count-decided} (\text{get-trail-l } S) = 0 \wedge k = \text{length} (\text{get-trail-l } S));$
 $(-, S) \leftarrow \text{WHILE}_T^{\text{remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp-inv}} S$
 $(\lambda(i, S). i < k)$
 $(\lambda(i, S). \text{remove-one-annot-true-clause-one-imp } i S)$
 $(0, S);$

```
RETURN S
})>
```

lemma remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp-same-length:
⟨remove-one-annot-true-clause $S T \implies \text{length}(\text{get-trail-l } S) = \text{length}(\text{get-trail-l } T)$ ⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma rtranclp-remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp-same-length:
⟨remove-one-annot-true-clause** $S T \implies \text{length}(\text{get-trail-l } S) = \text{length}(\text{get-trail-l } T)$ ⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma remove-one-annot-true-clause-map-is-decided-trail:
⟨remove-one-annot-true-clause $S U \implies$
map is-decided (get-trail-l S) = map is-decided (get-trail-l U)⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma remove-one-annot-true-clause-map-mark-of-same-or-0:
⟨remove-one-annot-true-clause $S U \implies$
mark-of (get-trail-l $S ! i$) = mark-of (get-trail-l $U ! i$) \vee mark-of (get-trail-l $U ! i$) = 0⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp-inv-trans:
⟨remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp-inv $S (i, T) \implies \text{remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp-inv } T U \implies$
remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp-inv $S U$ ⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma rtranclp-remove-one-annot-true-clause-map-is-decided-trail:
⟨remove-one-annot-true-clause** $S U \implies$
map is-decided (get-trail-l S) = map is-decided (get-trail-l U)⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma rtranclp-remove-one-annot-true-clause-map-mark-of-same-or-0:
⟨remove-one-annot-true-clause** $S U \implies$
mark-of (get-trail-l $S ! i$) = mark-of (get-trail-l $U ! i$) \vee mark-of (get-trail-l $U ! i$) = 0⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma remove-one-annot-true-clause-map-lit-of-trail:
⟨remove-one-annot-true-clause $S U \implies$
map lit-of (get-trail-l S) = map lit-of (get-trail-l U)⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma rtranclp-remove-one-annot-true-clause-map-lit-of-trail:
⟨remove-one-annot-true-clause** $S U \implies$
map lit-of (get-trail-l S) = map lit-of (get-trail-l U)⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma remove-one-annot-true-clause-reduce-dom-clauses:
⟨remove-one-annot-true-clause $S U \implies$
reduce-dom-clauses (get-clauses-l S) (get-clauses-l U)⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma rtranclp-remove-one-annot-true-clause-reduce-dom-clauses:
⟨remove-one-annot-true-clause** $S U \implies$
reduce-dom-clauses (get-clauses-l S) (get-clauses-l U)⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma *decomp-nth-eq-lit-eq*:
assumes
M = M2 @ Propagated L C' # M1 **and**
(rev M ! i = Propagated L C) **and**
(no-dup M) **and**
(i < length M)
shows *(length M1 = i) and (C = C')*
(proof)

lemma
assumes *(no-dup M)*
shows
no-dup-same-annotD:
(Propagated L C ∈ set M ⇒ Propagated L C' ∈ set M ⇒ C = C') **and**
no-dup-no-propa-and-dec:
(Propagated L C ∈ set M ⇒ Decided L ∈ set M ⇒ False)
(proof)

lemma *remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp-inv-spec*:
assumes
annot: (remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp-inv S (i+1, U)) and
i-le: (i < length (get-trail-l S)) and
L: (L ∈ lits-of-l (get-trail-l S)) and
lev0: (get-level (get-trail-l S) L = 0) and
LC: (Propagated L 0 ∈ set (get-trail-l U))
shows *(remove-all-annot-true-clause-imp L U*
 $\leq \text{SPEC} (\lambda Sa. \text{RETURN} (i + 1, Sa))$
 $\leq \text{SPEC} (\lambda s'. \text{remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp-inv} S s' \wedge$
 $(s', (i, T))$
 $\in \text{measure}$
 $(\lambda(i, -). \text{length} (\text{get-trail-l} S) - i)))$
(proof)

lemma *RETURN-le-RES-no-return*:
f ≤ SPEC (λS. g S ∈ Φ) ⇒ do {S ← f; RETURN (g S)} ≤ RES Φ
(proof)

lemma *remove-one-annot-true-clause-one-imp-spec*:
assumes
I: (remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp-inv S iT) and
cond: (case iT of (i, S) ⇒ i < length (get-trail-l S)) and
iT: (iT = (i, T)) and
proped: (is-proped (rev (get-trail-l S) ! i))
shows *(remove-one-annot-true-clause-one-imp i T*
 $\leq \text{SPEC} (\lambda s'. \text{remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp-inv} S s' \wedge$
 $(s', iT) \in \text{measure} (\lambda(i, -). \text{length} (\text{get-trail-l} S) - i)))$
(proof)

lemma *remove-one-annot-true-clause-count-dec*: *(remove-one-annot-true-clause S b ⇒*
count-decided (get-trail-l S) = count-decided (get-trail-l b))
(proof)

lemma *rtranclp-remove-one-annot-true-clause-count-dec*:
*(remove-one-annot-true-clause** S b ⇒*

count-decided (get-trail-l S) = count-decided (get-trail-l b)
(proof)

lemma *remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp-spec*:
assumes
ST: ⟨(S, T) ∈ twl-st-l None⟩ and
list-invs: ⟨twl-list-invs S⟩ and
struct-invs: ⟨twl-struct-invs T⟩ and
⟨get-conflict-l S = None⟩ and
⟨clauses-to-update-l S = {#}⟩
shows *⟨remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp S ≤ SPEC(λT. remove-one-annot-true-clause** S T)⟩*
(proof)

lemma *remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp-spec-lev0*:
assumes
ST: ⟨(S, T) ∈ twl-st-l None⟩ and
list-invs: ⟨twl-list-invs S⟩ and
struct-invs: ⟨twl-struct-invs T⟩ and
⟨get-conflict-l S = None⟩ and
⟨clauses-to-update-l S = {#}⟩ and
⟨count-decided (get-trail-l S) = 0⟩
shows *⟨remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp S ≤ SPEC(λT. remove-one-annot-true-clause** S T ∧ count-decided (get-trail-l T) = 0 ∧ (∀ L ∈ set (get-trail-l T). mark-of L = 0) ∧ length (get-trail-l S) = length (get-trail-l T))⟩*
(proof)

definition *collect-valid-indices* :: *⟨- ⇒ nat list nres⟩ where*
⟨collect-valid-indices S = SPEC (λN. True)⟩

definition *mark-to-delete-clauses-l-inv*
 $:: \langle'v \text{ twl-st-l} \Rightarrow \text{nat list} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \times \langle'v \text{ twl-st-l} \times \text{nat list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}\rangle$
where
*⟨mark-to-delete-clauses-l-inv = (λS xs0 (i, T, xs). remove-one-annot-true-clause** S T ∧ get-trail-l S = get-trail-l T ∧ (exists S'. (S, S') ∈ twl-st-l None ∧ twl-struct-invs S') ∧ twl-list-invs S ∧ get-conflict-l S = None ∧ clauses-to-update-l S = {#}))⟩*

definition *mark-to-delete-clauses-l-pre*
 $:: \langle'v \text{ twl-st-l} \Rightarrow \text{bool}\rangle$
where
⟨mark-to-delete-clauses-l-pre S ↔ (exists T. (S, T) ∈ twl-st-l None ∧ twl-struct-invs T ∧ twl-list-invs S)⟩

definition *mark-garbage-l* :: *⟨nat ⇒ 'v twl-st-l ⇒ 'v twl-st-l⟩ where*
⟨mark-garbage-l = (λC (M, N0, D, NE, UE, WS, Q). (M, fmdrop C N0, D, NE, UE, WS, Q))⟩

definition *can-delete* **where**
⟨can-delete S C b = (b → (length (get-clauses-l S ∩ C) = 2 → (Propagated (get-clauses-l S ∩ C ! 0) C ∉ set (get-trail-l S)) ∧ (Propagated (get-clauses-l S ∩ C ! 1) C ∉ set (get-trail-l S))) ∧

```

 $(length (get-clauses-l S \in C) > 2 \longrightarrow$ 
 $(\text{Propagated} (get-clauses-l S \in C ! 0) C \notin \text{set} (\text{get-trail-l } S)) \wedge$ 
 $\neg \text{irred} (\text{get-clauses-l } S) C)$ 

definition mark-to-delete-clauses-l ::  $\langle'v \text{ twl-st-l} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-l nres}\rangle$  where
⟨mark-to-delete-clauses-l =  $(\lambda S. \text{ do}$ 
  ASSERT(mark-to-delete-clauses-l-pre S);
  xs  $\leftarrow$  collect-valid-indices S;
  to-keep  $\leftarrow$  SPEC( $\lambda \cdot : nat. \text{ True}$ ); — the minimum number of clauses that should be kept.
   $(-, S, -) \leftarrow \text{ WHILE}_T^{\text{mark-to-delete-clauses-l-inv}} S \text{ xs}$ 
   $(\lambda(i, S, xs). i < length xs)$ 
   $(\lambda(i, S, xs). \text{ do} \{$ 
    if(xs!i  $\notin$  dom-m (get-clauses-l S)) then RETURN (i, S, delete-index-and-swap xs i)
    else do {
      ASSERT( $0 < length (\text{get-clauses-l } S \setminus (xs!i))$ );
      can-del  $\leftarrow$  SPEC (can-delete S (xs!i));
      ASSERT( $i < length xs$ );
      if can-del
      then
        RETURN (i, mark-garbage-l (xs!i) S, delete-index-and-swap xs i)
      else
        RETURN (i+1, S, xs)
    }
  }
  (to-keep, S, xs);
  RETURN S
)⟩

```

```

definition mark-to-delete-clauses-l-post where
⟨mark-to-delete-clauses-l-post S T  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
   $(\exists S'. (S, S') \in \text{twl-st-l None} \wedge \text{remove-one-annot-true-clause}^{**} S T \wedge$ 
  twl-list-invs S  $\wedge$  twl-struct-invs S'  $\wedge$  get-conflict-l S = None  $\wedge$ 
  clauses-to-update-l S = {#})⟩

```

```

lemma mark-to-delete-clauses-l-spec:
assumes
  ST:  $\langle(S, S') \in \text{twl-st-l None}\rangle$  and
  list-invs:  $\langle\text{twl-list-invs } S\rangle$  and
  struct-invs:  $\langle\text{twl-struct-invs } S'\rangle$  and
  confl:  $\langle\text{get-conflict-l } S = \text{None}\rangle$  and
  upd:  $\langle\text{clauses-to-update-l } S = \{\#\}\rangle$ 
shows ⟨mark-to-delete-clauses-l S  $\leq \Downarrow \text{Id} (\text{SPEC}(\lambda T. \text{remove-one-annot-true-clause}^{**} S T \wedge$ 
  get-trail-l S = get-trail-l T))⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition GC-clauses ::  $\langle \text{nat clauses-l} \Rightarrow \text{nat clauses-l} \Rightarrow (\text{nat clauses-l} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat option})) \text{ nres}\rangle$ 
where
⟨GC-clauses N N' = do {
  xs  $\leftarrow$  SPEC( $\lambda xs. \text{set-mset} (\text{dom-m } N) \subseteq \text{set } xs$ );
   $(N, N', m) \leftarrow \text{nfoldli}$ 
   $\begin{array}{c} xs \\ (\lambda(N, N', m). \text{ True}) \\ (\lambda C (N, N', m). \\ \quad \text{if } C \in \# \text{ dom-m } N \\ \quad \text{then do} \{ } \end{array}$ 
)⟩

```

```

 $C' \leftarrow SPEC(\lambda i. i \notin \# dom-m N' \wedge i \neq 0);$ 
 $RETURN (fmdrop C N, fmupd C' (N \propto C, irred N C) N', m(C \mapsto C'))$ 
}
else
    RETURN (N, N', m)
(N, N', (\lambda-. None));
RETURN (N', m)
}

```

inductive GC-remap

$\text{:: } ((a, b) \text{ fmap} \times (a \Rightarrow c \text{ option}) \times (c, b) \text{ fmap} \Rightarrow (a, b) \text{ fmap} \times (a \Rightarrow c \text{ option}) \times (c, b) \text{ fmap} \Rightarrow \text{bool})$

where

remap-cons:

$\langle GC\text{-remap} (N, m, new) (fmdrop C N, m(C \mapsto C'), fmupd C' (\text{the} (fmlookup N C)) new) \rangle$
if $\langle C' \notin \# dom-m new \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle C \in \# dom-m N \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle C \notin \# dom-m \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle C' \notin ran m \rangle$

lemma *GC-remap-ran-m-old-new:*

$\langle GC\text{-remap} (old, m, new) (old', m', new') \implies ran-m old + ran-m new = ran-m old' + ran-m new' \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *GC-remap-init-clss-l-old-new:*

$\langle GC\text{-remap} (old, m, new) (old', m', new') \implies init-clss-l old + init-clss-l new = init-clss-l old' + init-clss-l new' \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *GC-remap-learned-clss-l-old-new:*

$\langle GC\text{-remap} (old, m, new) (old', m', new') \implies learned-clss-l old + learned-clss-l new = learned-clss-l old' + learned-clss-l new' \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *GC-remap-ran-m-remap:*

$\langle GC\text{-remap} (old, m, new) (old', m', new') \implies C \in \# dom-m old \implies C \notin \# dom-m old' \implies$
 $m' C \neq \text{None} \wedge$
 $fmlookup new' (\text{the} (m' C)) = fmlookup old C \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *GC-remap-ran-m-no-rewrite-map:*

$\langle GC\text{-remap} (old, m, new) (old', m', new') \implies C \notin \# dom-m old \implies m' C = m C \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *GC-remap-ran-m-no-rewrite-fmap:*

$\langle GC\text{-remap} (old, m, new) (old', m', new') \implies C \in \# dom-m new \implies$
 $C \in \# dom-m new' \wedge fmlookup new C = fmlookup new' C \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *rtranclp-GC-remap-init-clss-l-old-new:*

$\langle GC\text{-remap}^{**} S S' \implies$
 $init-clss-l (fst S) + init-clss-l (snd (snd S)) = init-clss-l (fst S') + init-clss-l (snd (snd S')) \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *rtranclp-GC-remap-learned-clss-l-old-new*:

$\langle GC\text{-}remp}^{**} S S' \implies \begin{aligned} learned\text{-}clss\text{-}l(fst S) + learned\text{-}clss\text{-}l(snd(snd S)) = \\ learned\text{-}clss\text{-}l(fst S') + learned\text{-}clss\text{-}l(snd(snd S')) \end{aligned}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtranclp-GC-remap-ran-m-no-rewrite-fmap*:

$\langle GC\text{-}remp}^{**} S S' \implies \begin{aligned} C \in \# dom\text{-}m(snd(snd S)) \implies \\ C \in \# dom\text{-}m(snd(snd S')) \wedge fmlookup(snd(snd S)) C = fmlookup(snd(snd S')) C \end{aligned}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *GC-remap-ran-m-no-rewrite*:

$\langle GC\text{-}remp} S S' \implies \begin{aligned} C \in \# dom\text{-}m(fst S) \implies C \in \# dom\text{-}m(fst S') \implies \\ fmlookup(fst S) C = fmlookup(fst S') C \end{aligned}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *GC-remap-ran-m-lookup-kept*:

assumes

$\langle GC\text{-}remp}^{**} S y \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle GC\text{-}remp} y z \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle C \in \# dom\text{-}m(fst S) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle C \in \# dom\text{-}m(fst z) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle C \notin \# dom\text{-}m(fst y) \rangle$

shows $\langle fmlookup(fst S) C = fmlookup(fst z) C \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtranclp-GC-remap-ran-m-no-rewrite*:

$\langle GC\text{-}remp}^{**} S S' \implies \begin{aligned} C \in \# dom\text{-}m(fst S) \implies C \in \# dom\text{-}m(fst S') \implies \\ fmlookup(fst S) C = fmlookup(fst S') C \end{aligned}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *GC-remap-ran-m-no-lost*:

$\langle GC\text{-}remp} S S' \implies \begin{aligned} C \in \# dom\text{-}m(fst S') \implies C \in \# dom\text{-}m(fst S) \end{aligned}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtranclp-GC-remap-ran-m-no-lost*:

$\langle GC\text{-}remp}^{**} S S' \implies \begin{aligned} C \in \# dom\text{-}m(fst S') \implies C \in \# dom\text{-}m(fst S) \end{aligned}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *GC-remap-ran-m-no-new-lost*:

$\langle GC\text{-}remp} S S' \implies \begin{aligned} dom(fst(snd S)) \subseteq set\text{-}mset(dom\text{-}m(fst S)) \implies \\ dom(fst(snd S')) \subseteq set\text{-}mset(dom\text{-}m(fst S')) \end{aligned}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtranclp-GC-remap-ran-m-no-new-lost*:

$\langle GC\text{-}remp}^{**} S S' \implies \begin{aligned} dom(fst(snd S)) \subseteq set\text{-}mset(dom\text{-}m(fst S)) \implies \\ dom(fst(snd S')) \subseteq set\text{-}mset(dom\text{-}m(fst S')) \end{aligned}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtranclp-GC-remap-map-ran*:

assumes

$\langle GC\text{-}remp}^{**} S S' \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle (the \circ fst)(snd S) \# mset\text{-}set(dom(fst(snd S))) = dom\text{-}m(snd(snd S)) \rangle \text{ and}$

$\langle \text{finite} (\text{dom} (\text{fst} (\text{snd} S))) \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{finite} (\text{dom} (\text{fst} (\text{snd} S'))) \wedge$
 $(\text{the } \circ \circ \text{fst}) (\text{snd} S') \cdot \# \text{mset-set} (\text{dom} (\text{fst} (\text{snd} S'))) = \text{dom-m} (\text{snd} (\text{snd} S')) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtranclp-GC-remap-ran-m-no-new-map*:
 $\langle \text{GC-remap}^{**} S S' \implies C \in \# \text{dom-m} (\text{fst} S') \implies C \in \# \text{dom-m} (\text{fst} S) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtranclp-GC-remap-learned-clss-lD*:
 $\langle \text{GC-remap}^{**} (N, x, m) (N', x', m') \implies \text{learned-clss-l} N + \text{learned-clss-l} m = \text{learned-clss-l} N' + \text{learned-clss-l} m' \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtranclp-GC-remap-learned-clss-l*:
 $\langle \text{GC-remap}^{**} (x1a, \text{Map.empty}, \text{fmempty}) (\text{fmempty}, m, x1ad) \implies \text{learned-clss-l} x1ad = \text{learned-clss-l} x1a \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *remap-cons2*:
assumes
 $\langle C' \notin \# \text{dom-m} \text{ new} \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle C \in \# \text{dom-m} N \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle (\text{the } \circ \circ \text{fst}) (\text{snd} (N, m, \text{new})) \cdot \# \text{mset-set} (\text{dom} (\text{fst} (\text{snd} (N, m, \text{new})))) = \text{dom-m} (\text{snd} (\text{snd} (N, m, \text{new}))) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle \bigwedge x. x \in \# \text{dom-m} (\text{fst} (N, m, \text{new})) \implies x \notin \text{dom} (\text{fst} (\text{snd} (N, m, \text{new}))) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle \text{finite} (\text{dom} m) \rangle$
shows
 $\langle \text{GC-remap} (N, m, \text{new}) (\text{fmdrop} C N, m(C \mapsto C'), \text{fmupd} C' (\text{the} (\text{fmlookup} N C)) \text{ new}) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

inductive-cases *GC-remapE*: $\langle \text{GC-remap} S T \rangle$

lemma *rtranclp-GC-remap-finite-map*:
 $\langle \text{GC-remap}^{**} S S' \implies \text{finite} (\text{dom} (\text{fst} (\text{snd} S))) \implies \text{finite} (\text{dom} (\text{fst} (\text{snd} S')) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtranclp-GC-remap-old-dom-map*:
 $\langle \text{GC-remap}^{**} R S \implies (\bigwedge x. x \in \# \text{dom-m} (\text{fst} R) \implies x \notin \text{dom} (\text{fst} (\text{snd} R))) \implies$
 $(\bigwedge x. x \in \# \text{dom-m} (\text{fst} S) \implies x \notin \text{dom} (\text{fst} (\text{snd} S))) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *remap-cons2-rtranclp*:
assumes
 $\langle (\text{the } \circ \circ \text{fst}) (\text{snd} R) \cdot \# \text{mset-set} (\text{dom} (\text{fst} (\text{snd} R))) = \text{dom-m} (\text{snd} (\text{snd} R)) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle \bigwedge x. x \in \# \text{dom-m} (\text{fst} R) \implies x \notin \text{dom} (\text{fst} (\text{snd} R)) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle \text{finite} (\text{dom} (\text{fst} (\text{snd} R))) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{st: } \langle \text{GC-remap}^{**} R S \rangle \text{ and}$
 $C': \langle C' \notin \# \text{dom-m} (\text{snd} (\text{snd} S)) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $C: \langle C \in \# \text{dom-m} (\text{fst} S) \rangle$
shows
 $\langle \text{GC-remap}^{**} R (\text{fmdrop} C (\text{fst} S), (\text{fst} (\text{snd} S))(C \mapsto C'), \text{fmupd} C' (\text{the} (\text{fmlookup} (\text{fst} S) C)) (\text{snd} (\text{snd} S))) \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in -) fmdom-fmrestrict-set: $\langle fmdrop\ xa\ (fmrestrict-set\ s\ N) = fmrestrict-set\ (s - \{xa\})\ N \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in -) GC-clauses-GC-remap:
 $\langle GC\text{-}clauses\ N\ fmempty \leq SPEC(\lambda(N'', m). GC\text{-}remp** (N, Map.empty, fmempty) (fmempty, m, N'') \wedge 0 \notin \# dom-m N'') \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition cdcl-tw1-full-restart-l-prog where
 $\langle cdcl-tw1-full-restart-l-prog\ S = do \{$
 $\quad \text{remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp}\ S$
 $\quad ASSERT(\text{mark-to-delete-clauses-l-pre}\ S);$
 $\quad T \leftarrow \text{mark-to-delete-clauses-l}\ S;$
 $\quad ASSERT(\text{mark-to-delete-clauses-l-post}\ S\ T);$
 $\quad RETURN\ T$
 $\} \rangle$

lemma cdcl-tw1-restart-l-refl:

assumes
 $ST: \langle (S, T) \in tw1-st-l\ None \rangle \text{ and}$
 $list\text{-}invs: \langle tw1-list\text{-}invs}\ S \rangle \text{ and}$
 $struct\text{-}invs: \langle tw1-struct\text{-}invs}\ T \rangle \text{ and}$
 $conflict: \langle \text{get-conflict-l}\ S = \text{None} \rangle \text{ and}$
 $upd: \langle \text{clauses-to-update-l}\ S = \{\#\} \rangle$
shows $\langle cdcl-tw1-restart-l\ S\ S \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition cdcl-GC-clauses-pre :: $\langle 'v\ tw1-st-l \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ **where**

$\langle cdcl\text{-}GC\text{-}clauses\text{-}pre\ S \longleftrightarrow ($
 $\exists T. (S, T) \in tw1-st-l\ None \wedge$
 $tw1-list\text{-}invs}\ S \wedge tw1-struct\text{-}invs}\ T \wedge$
 $\text{get-conflict-l}\ S = \text{None} \wedge \text{clauses-to-update-l}\ S = \{\#\} \wedge$
 $\text{count-decided}(\text{get-trail-l}\ S) = 0 \wedge (\forall L \in \text{set}(\text{get-trail-l}\ S). \text{mark-of}\ L = 0)$
 $) \rangle$

definition cdcl-GC-clauses :: $\langle 'v\ tw1-st-l \Rightarrow 'v\ tw1-st-l\ nres \rangle$ **where**

$\langle cdcl\text{-}GC\text{-}clauses = (\lambda(M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q). do \{$
 $ASSERT(cdcl\text{-}GC\text{-}clauses\text{-}pre}(M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q));$
 $b \leftarrow SPEC(\lambda b. \text{True});$
 $\text{if } b \text{ then do } \{$
 $(N', -) \leftarrow SPEC(\lambda(N'', m). GC\text{-}remp** (N, Map.empty, fmempty) (fmempty, m, N'') \wedge$
 $0 \notin \# dom-m N'');$
 $RETURN (M, N', D, NE, UE, WS, Q)$
 $\}$
 $\text{else RETURN } (M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q)\}) \rangle$

lemma cdcl-GC-clauses-cdcl-tw1-restart-l:

assumes
 $ST: \langle (S, T) \in tw1-st-l\ None \rangle \text{ and}$
 $list\text{-}invs: \langle tw1-list\text{-}invs}\ S \rangle \text{ and}$
 $struct\text{-}invs: \langle tw1-struct\text{-}invs}\ T \rangle \text{ and}$
 $conflict: \langle \text{get-conflict-l}\ S = \text{None} \rangle \text{ and}$

```

upd: ⟨clauses-to-update-l S = {#}⟩ and
count-dec: ⟨count-decided (get-trail-l S) = 0⟩ and
mark: ⟨ $\forall L \in set (get-trail-l S). mark-of L = 0$ ⟩
shows ⟨cdcl-GC-clauses S  $\leq$  SPEC ( $\lambda T. cdcl-twlr restart-l S T \wedge$ 
     $get-trail-l S = get-trail-l T$ )⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma remove-one-annot-true-clause-twlr-restart-l-spec:

assumes

ST: ⟨(S, T) ∈ twl-st-l None⟩ **and**

list-invs: ⟨twl-list-invs S⟩ **and**

struct-invs: ⟨twl-struct-invs T⟩ **and**

conflict: ⟨get-conflict-l S = None⟩ **and**

upd: ⟨clauses-to-update-l S = {#}⟩

shows ⟨SPEC(remove-one-annot-true-clause** S) \leq SPEC(cdcl-twlr restart-l S)⟩

⟨proof⟩

definition (in -) cdcl-twlr-local-restart-l-spec :: ⟨'v twl-st-l \Rightarrow 'v twl-st-l nres⟩ **where**

```

⟨cdcl-twlr-local-restart-l-spec = ( $\lambda(M, N, D, NE, UE, W, Q).$  do {
     $(M, Q) \leftarrow SPEC(\lambda(M', Q'). (\exists K M2. (Decided K \# M', M2) \in set (get-all-ann-decomposition$ 
     $M) \wedge$ 
         $Q' = \{#\}) \vee (M' = M \wedge Q' = Q));$ 
    RETURN  $(M, N, D, NE, UE, W, Q)$ 
})⟩

```

definition cdcl-twlr-restart-l-prog **where**

```

⟨cdcl-twlr-restart-l-prog S = do {
    b  $\leftarrow$  SPEC( $\lambda-. True$ );
    if b then cdcl-twlr-local-restart-l-spec S else cdcl-twlr-full-restart-l-prog S
}
⟩

```

lemma cdcl-twlr-local-restart-l-spec-cdcl-twlr-restart-l:

assumes inv: ⟨restart-abs-l-pre S False⟩

shows ⟨cdcl-twlr-local-restart-l-spec S \leq SPEC (cdcl-twlr-restart-l S)⟩

⟨proof⟩

definition (in -) cdcl-twlr-local-restart-l-spec0 :: ⟨'v twl-st-l \Rightarrow 'v twl-st-l nres⟩ **where**

```

⟨cdcl-twlr-local-restart-l-spec0 = ( $\lambda(M, N, D, NE, UE, W, Q).$  do {
     $(M, Q) \leftarrow SPEC(\lambda(M', Q'). (\exists K M2. (Decided K \# M', M2) \in set (get-all-ann-decomposition$ 
     $M) \wedge$ 
         $Q' = \{#\} \wedge count-decided M' = 0) \vee (M' = M \wedge Q' = Q \wedge count-decided M' = 0));$ 
    RETURN  $(M, N, D, NE, UE, W, Q)$ 
})⟩

```

lemma cdcl-twlr-local-restart-l-spec0-cdcl-twlr-local-restart-l-spec:

⟨cdcl-twlr-local-restart-l-spec0 S \leq $\Downarrow\{(S, S'). S = S' \wedge count-decided (get-trail-l S) = 0\}$

⟨cdcl-twlr-local-restart-l-spec S)⟩

⟨proof⟩

definition cdcl-twlr-full-restart-l-GC-prog-pre

:: ⟨'v twl-st-l \Rightarrow bool

where

⟨cdcl-twlr-full-restart-l-GC-prog-pre S \longleftrightarrow

$(\exists T. (S, T) \in twl-st-l None \wedge twl-struct-invs T \wedge twl-list-invs S \wedge$

get-conflict T = None)

definition *cdcl-tw-l-full-restart-l-GC-prog* **where**
<cdcl-tw-l-full-restart-l-GC-prog S = do {
 ASSERT(cdcl-tw-l-full-restart-l-GC-prog-pre S);
 S' ← cdcl-tw-l-local-restart-l-spec0 S;
 T ← remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp S';
 ASSERT(mark-to-delete-clauses-l-pre T);
 U ← mark-to-delete-clauses-l T;
 V ← cdcl-GC-clauses U;
 ASSERT(cdcl-tw-l-restart-l S V);
 RETURN V
}>

lemma *cdcl-tw-l-full-restart-l-prog-spec:*

assumes

ST: <(S, T) ∈ twl-st-l None> and

list-invs: <twl-list-invs S> and

struct-invs: <twl-struct-invs T> and

confL: <get-conflict-l S = None> and

updL: <clauses-to-update-l S = {#}>

shows *<cdcl-tw-l-full-restart-l-prog S ≤ ↓ Id (SPEC(remove-one-annot-true-clause** S))>*
<proof>

lemma *valid-trail-reduction-count-dec-ge:*

(valid-trail-reduction M M' ⇒ count-decided M ≥ count-decided M')

<proof>

lemma *cdcl-tw-l-restart-l-count-dec-ge:*

(cdcl-tw-l-restart-l S T ⇒ count-decided (get-trail-l S) ≥ count-decided (get-trail-l T))

<proof>

lemma *valid-trail-reduction-lit-of-nth:*

(valid-trail-reduction M M' ⇒ length M = length M' ⇒ i < length M ⇒

lit-of (M ! i) = lit-of (M' ! i))

<proof>

lemma *cdcl-tw-l-restart-l-lit-of-nth:*

(cdcl-tw-l-restart-l S U ⇒ i < length (get-trail-l U) ⇒ is-proped (get-trail-l U ! i) ⇒

length (get-trail-l S) = length (get-trail-l U) ⇒

lit-of (get-trail-l S ! i) = lit-of (get-trail-l U ! i))

<proof>

lemma *valid-trail-reduction-is-decided-nth:*

(valid-trail-reduction M M' ⇒ length M = length M' ⇒ i < length M ⇒

is-decided (M ! i) = is-decided (M' ! i))

<proof>

lemma *cdcl-tw-l-restart-l-mark-of-same-or-0:*

(cdcl-tw-l-restart-l S U ⇒ i < length (get-trail-l U) ⇒ is-proped (get-trail-l U ! i) ⇒

length (get-trail-l S) = length (get-trail-l U) ⇒

(mark-of (get-trail-l U ! i) > 0 ⇒ mark-of (get-trail-l S ! i) > 0 ⇒

mset (get-clauses-l S ∞ mark-of (get-trail-l S ! i))

= mset (get-clauses-l U ∞ mark-of (get-trail-l U ! i)) ⇒ P) ⇒

(mark-of (get-trail-l U ! i) = 0 ⇒ P) ⇒ P)

<proof>

```

lemma cdcl-twlr-full-restart-l-GC-prog-cdcl-twlr-restart-l:
  assumes
    ST: ⟨(S, S') ∈ twl-st-l None⟩ and
    list-invs: ⟨twl-list-invs S⟩ and
    struct-invs: ⟨twl-struct-invs S'⟩ and
    confl: ⟨get-conflict-l S = None⟩ and
    upd: ⟨clauses-to-update-l S = {#}⟩ and
    stgy-invs: ⟨twl-stgy-invs S'⟩
  shows ⟨cdcl-twlr-full-restart-l-GC-prog S ≤ ↓ Id (SPEC (λT. cdcl-twlr-restart-l S T))⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

context twl-restart-ops
begin

```

```

definition restart-prog-l
  :: 'v twl-st-l ⇒ nat ⇒ bool ⇒ ('v twl-st-l × nat) nres
where
  ⟨restart-prog-l S n brk = do {
    ASSERT(restart-abs-l-pre S brk);
    b ← restart-required-l S n;
    b2 ← SPEC(λ-. True);
    if b2 ∧ b ∧ ¬brk then do {
      T ← cdcl-twlr-full-restart-l-GC-prog S;
      RETURN (T, n + 1)
    }
    else if b ∧ ¬brk then do {
      T ← cdcl-twlr-restart-l-prog S;
      RETURN (T, n + 1)
    }
    else
      RETURN (S, n)
  }⟩

```

```

lemma restart-prog-l-restart-abs-l:
  ⟨(uncurry2 restart-prog-l, uncurry2 restart-abs-l) ∈ Id ×f nat-rel ×f bool-rel →f ⟨Id⟩nres-rel⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition cdcl-twlr-stgy-restart-abs-early-l :: 'v twl-st-l ⇒ 'v twl-st-l nres where
  ⟨cdcl-twlr-stgy-restart-abs-early-l S0 =
  do {
    ebrk ← RES UNIV;
    (-, brk, T, n) ← WHILET λ(ebrk, brk, T, n). cdcl-twlr-stgy-restart-abs-l-inv S0 brk T n
    (λ(ebrk, brk, -). ¬brk ∧ ¬ebrk)
    (λ(-, brk, S, n).
      do {
        T ← unit-propagation-outer-loop-l S;
        (brk, T) ← cdcl-twlr-o-prog-l T;
        (T, n) ← restart-abs-l T n brk;
      ebrk ← RES UNIV;
      RETURN (ebrk, brk, T, n)
    })
    (ebrk, False, S0, 0);
  }⟩

```

```

if  $\neg brk$  then do {
   $(brk, T, -) \leftarrow WHILE_T^{\lambda(brk, T, n). cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-abs-l-inv} S_0 brk T n$ 
   $(\lambda(brk, -). \neg brk)$ 
   $(\lambda(brk, S, n).$ 
  do {
     $T \leftarrow unit-propagation-outer-loop-l S;$ 
     $(brk, T) \leftarrow cdcl-tw-l-o-prog-l T;$ 
     $(T, n) \leftarrow restart-abs-l T n brk;$ 
    RETURN  $(brk, T, n)$ 
  })
   $(False, T, n);$ 
  RETURN  $T$ 
} else RETURN  $T$ 
}

```

definition $cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-abs-bounded-l :: 'v twl-st-l \Rightarrow (bool \times 'v twl-st-l) nres$ **where**

```

< $cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-abs-bounded-l S_0 =$ 
do {
   $ebrk \leftarrow RES UNIV;$ 
   $(-, brk, T, n) \leftarrow WHILE_T^{\lambda(ebrk, brk, T, n). cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-abs-l-inv} S_0 brk T n$ 
   $(\lambda(ebrk, brk, -). \neg brk \wedge \neg ebrk)$ 
   $(\lambda(-, brk, S, n).$ 
  do {
     $T \leftarrow unit-propagation-outer-loop-l S;$ 
     $(brk, T) \leftarrow cdcl-tw-l-o-prog-l T;$ 
     $(T, n) \leftarrow restart-abs-l T n brk;$ 
  }
   $ebrk \leftarrow RES UNIV;$ 
  RETURN  $(ebrk, brk, T, n)$ 
})
 $(ebrk, False, S_0, 0);$ 
RETURN  $(brk, T)$ 
}

```

definition $cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-prog-l :: 'v twl-st-l \Rightarrow 'v twl-st-l nres$ **where**

```

< $cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-prog-l S_0 =$ 
do {
   $(brk, T, n) \leftarrow WHILE_T^{\lambda(brk, T, n). cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-abs-l-inv} S_0 brk T n$ 
   $(\lambda(brk, -). \neg brk)$ 
   $(\lambda(brk, S, n).$ 
  do {
     $T \leftarrow unit-propagation-outer-loop-l S;$ 
     $(brk, T) \leftarrow cdcl-tw-l-o-prog-l T;$ 
     $(T, n) \leftarrow restart-prog-l T n brk;$ 
  }
  RETURN  $(brk, T, n)$ 
})
 $(False, S_0, 0);$ 
RETURN  $T$ 
}

```

definition $cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-prog-early-l :: 'v twl-st-l \Rightarrow 'v twl-st-l nres$ **where**

```

< $cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-prog-early-l S_0 =$ 
do {
   $ebrk \leftarrow RES UNIV;$ 
   $(ebrk, brk, T, n) \leftarrow WHILE_T^{\lambda(ebrk, brk, T, n). cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-abs-l-inv} S_0 brk T n$ 
}

```

```

 $(\lambda(ebrk, brk, -). \neg brk \wedge \neg ebrk)$ 
 $(\lambda(ebrk, brk, S, n).$ 
 $do \{$ 
 $T \leftarrow unit-propagation-outer-loop-l S;$ 
 $(brk, T) \leftarrow cdcl-tw-l-o-prog-l T;$ 
 $(T, n) \leftarrow restart-prog-l T n brk;$ 
 $ebrk \leftarrow RES UNIV;$ 
 $RETURN (ebrk, brk, T, n)$ 
 $\})$ 
 $(ebrk, False, S_0, 0);$ 
 $if \neg brk then do \{$ 
 $(brk, T, n) \leftarrow WHILE_T^{\lambda(brk, T, n). cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-abs-l-inv S_0 brk T n}$ 
 $(\lambda(brk, -). \neg brk)$ 
 $(\lambda(brk, S, n).$ 
 $do \{$ 
 $T \leftarrow unit-propagation-outer-loop-l S;$ 
 $(brk, T) \leftarrow cdcl-tw-l-o-prog-l T;$ 
 $(T, n) \leftarrow restart-prog-l T n brk;$ 
 $RETURN (brk, T, n)$ 
 $\})$ 
 $(False, T, n);$ 
 $RETURN T$ 
 $\}$ 
 $else RETURN T$ 
 $\}$ 

```

lemma *cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-prog-early-l-cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-abs-early-l*:
 $\langle (cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-prog-early-l, cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-abs-early-l) \in \{(S, S')\}.$
 $(S, S') \in Id \wedge twl-list-invs S \wedge clauses-to-update-l S = \{\#\} \rightarrow_f \langle Id \rangle nres-rel$
 $\langle \text{is } \leftarrow \in ?R \rightarrow_f \rightarrow \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-abs-early-l-cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-abs-early-l*:
 $\langle (cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-abs-early-l, cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-prog-early) \in \{(S, S')\}.$
 $(S, S') \in twl-st-l None \wedge twl-list-invs S \wedge$
 $clauses-to-update-l S = \{\#\} \rightarrow_f \langle \{(S, S'). (S, S') \in twl-st-l None \wedge twl-list-invs S\} \rangle nres-rel$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in twl-restart) *cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-prog-early-l-cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-prog-early*:
 $\langle (cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-prog-early-l, cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-prog-early) \in \{(S, S')\}.$
 $(S, S') \in twl-st-l None \wedge twl-list-invs S \wedge clauses-to-update-l S = \{\#\} \rightarrow_f \langle \{(S, S'). (S, S') \in twl-st-l None \wedge twl-list-invs S\} \rangle nres-rel$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-prog-l-cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-abs-l*:
 $\langle (cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-prog-l, cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-abs-l) \in \{(S, S')\}.$
 $(S, S') \in Id \wedge twl-list-invs S \wedge clauses-to-update-l S = \{\#\} \rightarrow_f \langle Id \rangle nres-rel$
 $\langle \text{is } \leftarrow \in ?R \rightarrow_f \rightarrow \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in twl-restart) *cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-prog-l-cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-prog*:
 $\langle (cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-prog-l, cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-prog) \rangle$

$\in \{(S, S'). (S, S') \in \text{twl-st-l None} \wedge \text{twl-list-invs } S \wedge \text{clauses-to-update-l } S = \{\#\}\} \rightarrow_f$
 $\langle \{(S, S'). (S, S') \in \text{twl-st-l None} \wedge \text{twl-list-invs } S\} \rangle nres-rel$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-prog-bounded-l* :: '*v twl-st-l* \Rightarrow (*bool* \times '*v twl-st-l*) *nres* **where**
 $\langle cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-prog-bounded-l \ S_0 =$
 $do \{$
 $ebrk \leftarrow RES\ UNIV;$
 $(ebrk, brk, T, n) \leftarrow WHILE_T \lambda(ebrk, brk, T, n). cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-abs-l-inv\ S_0\ brk\ T\ n$
 $(\lambda(ebrk, brk, -). \neg brk \wedge \neg ebrk)$
 $(\lambda(ebrk, brk, S, n).$
 $do \{$
 $T \leftarrow unit-propagation-outer-loop-l\ S;$
 $(brk, T) \leftarrow cdcl-twl-o-prog-l\ T;$
 $(T, n) \leftarrow restart-prog-l\ T\ n\ brk;$
 $ebrk \leftarrow RES\ UNIV;$
 $RETURN\ (ebrk, brk, T, n)$
 $\})$
 $(ebrk, False, S_0, 0);$
 $RETURN\ (brk, T)$
 $\}$

lemma *cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-abs-bounded-l*-*cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-abs-bounded-l*:
 $\langle (cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-abs-bounded-l, cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-prog-bounded) \in$
 $\{(S, S'). (S, S') \in \text{twl-st-l None} \wedge \text{twl-list-invs } S \wedge$
 $\text{clauses-to-update-l } S = \{\#\}\} \rightarrow_f$
 $\langle \text{bool-rel} \times_r \{(S, S'). (S, S') \in \text{twl-st-l None} \wedge \text{twl-list-invs } S\} \rangle nres-rel$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-prog-bounded-l*-*cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-abs-bounded-l*:
 $\langle (cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-prog-bounded-l, cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-abs-bounded-l) \in \{(S, S').$
 $(S, S') \in Id \wedge \text{twl-list-invs } S \wedge \text{clauses-to-update-l } S = \{\#\}\} \rightarrow_f \langle Id \rangle nres-rel$
 $\langle \text{is } \leftarrow \in ?R \rightarrow_f \rightarrow \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in twl-restart) *cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-prog-bounded-l*-*cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-prog-bounded*:
 $\langle (cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-prog-bounded-l, cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-prog-bounded)$
 $\in \{(S, S'). (S, S') \in \text{twl-st-l None} \wedge \text{twl-list-invs } S \wedge \text{clauses-to-update-l } S = \{\#\}\} \rightarrow_f$
 $\langle \text{bool-rel} \times_r \{(S, S'). (S, S') \in \text{twl-st-l None} \wedge \text{twl-list-invs } S\} \rangle nres-rel$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

theory *Watched-Literals-Watch-List*

imports *Watched-Literals-List Weidenbach-Book-Base.Explorer*
begin

1.4 Third Refinement: Remembering watched

1.4.1 Types

```

type-synonym clauses-to-update-wl = ⟨nat multiset⟩
type-synonym 'v watcher = ⟨(nat × 'v literal × bool)⟩
type-synonym 'v watched = ⟨'v watcher list⟩
type-synonym 'v lit-queue-wl = ⟨'v literal multiset⟩

```

```

type-synonym 'v twl-st-wl =
⟨('v, nat) ann-lits × 'v clauses-l ×
 'v cconflict × 'v clauses × 'v clauses × 'v lit-queue-wl ×
 ('v literal ⇒ 'v watched)⟩

```

1.4.2 Access Functions

```

fun clauses-to-update-wl :: ⟨'v twl-st-wl ⇒ 'v literal ⇒ nat ⇒ clauses-to-update-wl⟩ where
  ⟨clauses-to-update-wl (-, N, -, -, -, -, W) L i =
    filter-mset (λi. i ∈# dom-m N) (mset (drop i (map fst (W L))))⟩

```

```

fun get-trail-wl :: ⟨'v twl-st-wl ⇒ ('v, nat) ann-lit list⟩ where
  ⟨get-trail-wl (M, -, -, -, -, -, -) = M⟩

```

```

fun literals-to-update-wl :: ⟨'v twl-st-wl ⇒ 'v lit-queue-wl⟩ where
  ⟨literals-to-update-wl (-, -, -, -, -, Q, -) = Q⟩

```

```

fun set-literals-to-update-wl :: ⟨'v lit-queue-wl ⇒ 'v twl-st-wl ⇒ 'v twl-st-wl⟩ where
  ⟨set-literals-to-update-wl Q (M, N, D, NE, UE, -, W) = (M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W)⟩

```

```

fun get-conflict-wl :: ⟨'v twl-st-wl ⇒ 'v cconflict⟩ where
  ⟨get-conflict-wl (-, -, D, -, -, -, -) = D⟩

```

```

fun get-clauses-wl :: ⟨'v twl-st-wl ⇒ 'v clauses-l⟩ where
  ⟨get-clauses-wl (M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) = N⟩

```

```

fun get-unit-learned-clss-wl :: ⟨'v twl-st-wl ⇒ 'v clauses⟩ where
  ⟨get-unit-learned-clss-wl (M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W) = UE⟩

```

```

fun get-unit-init-clss-wl :: ⟨'v twl-st-wl ⇒ 'v clauses⟩ where
  ⟨get-unit-init-clss-wl (M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W) = NE⟩

```

```

fun get-unit-clauses-wl :: ⟨'v twl-st-wl ⇒ 'v clauses⟩ where
  ⟨get-unit-clauses-wl (M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W) = NE + UE⟩

```

```

lemma get-unit-clauses-wl-alt-def:
  ⟨get-unit-clauses-wl S = get-unit-init-clss-wl S + get-unit-learned-clss-wl S⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

fun get-watched-wl :: ⟨'v twl-st-wl ⇒ ('v literal ⇒ 'v watched)⟩ where
  ⟨get-watched-wl (-, -, -, -, -, -, W) = W⟩

```

```

definition get-learned-clss-wl where
  ⟨get-learned-clss-wl S = learned-clss-lf (get-clauses-wl S)⟩

```

```

definition all-lits-of-mm :: ⟨'a clauses ⇒ 'a literal multiset⟩ where
  ⟨all-lits-of-mm Ls = Pos ‘#’ (atm-of ‘#’ (⋃ # Ls)) + Neg ‘#’ (atm-of ‘#’ (⋃ # Ls))⟩

```

```
lemma all-lits-of-mm-empty[simp]: ⟨all-lits-of-mm {#} = {#}⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
```

We cannot just extract the literals of the clauses: we cannot be sure that atoms appear *both* positively and negatively in the clauses. If we could ensure that there are no pure literals, the definition of *all-lits-of-mm* can be changed to *all-lits-of-mm Ls* = $\bigcup \# Ls$.

In this definition *K* is the blocking literal.

```
fun correctly-marked-as-binary where
  ⟨correctly-marked-as-binary N (i, K, b)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (b  $\longleftrightarrow$  (length (N  $\propto$  i) = 2))⟩

declare correctly-marked-as-binary.simps[simp del]

abbreviation distinct-watched :: ⟨'v watched  $\Rightarrow$  bool⟩ where
  ⟨distinct-watched xs  $\equiv$  distinct (map ( $\lambda(i, j, k). i$ ) xs)⟩

lemma distinct-watched-alt-def: ⟨distinct-watched xs = distinct (map fst xs)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

fun correct-watching-except :: ⟨nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'v literal  $\Rightarrow$  'v twl-st-wl  $\Rightarrow$  bool⟩ where
  ⟨correct-watching-except i j K (M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  ( $\forall L \in \#$  all-lits-of-mm (mset '# ran-mf N + (NE + UE)).  

  (L = K  $\longrightarrow$   

  distinct-watched (take i (W L) @ drop j (W L))  $\wedge$   

  (( $\forall (i, K, b) \in \#$  mset (take i (W L) @ drop j (W L)). i  $\in \#$  dom-m N  $\longrightarrow$  K  $\in$  set (N  $\propto$  i)  $\wedge$   

  K  $\neq$  L  $\wedge$  correctly-marked-as-binary N (i, K, b))  $\wedge$   

  ( $\forall (i, K, b) \in \#$  mset (take i (W L) @ drop j (W L)). b  $\longrightarrow$  i  $\in \#$  dom-m N)  $\wedge$   

  filter-mset ( $\lambda i. i \in \#$  dom-m N) (fst '# mset (take i (W L) @ drop j (W L))) = clause-to-update  

  L (M, N, D, NE, UE, {#}, {#}))  $\wedge$   

  (L  $\neq$  K  $\longrightarrow$   

  distinct-watched (W L)  $\wedge$   

  (( $\forall (i, K, b) \in \#$  mset (W L). i  $\in \#$  dom-m N  $\longrightarrow$  K  $\in$  set (N  $\propto$  i)  $\wedge$  K  $\neq$  L  $\wedge$  correctly-marked-as-binary  

  N (i, K, b))  $\wedge$   

  ( $\forall (i, K, b) \in \#$  mset (W L). b  $\longrightarrow$  i  $\in \#$  dom-m N)  $\wedge$   

  filter-mset ( $\lambda i. i \in \#$  dom-m N) (fst '# mset (W L)) = clause-to-update L (M, N, D, NE, UE,  

  {#}, {#})))⟩

fun correct-watching :: ⟨'v twl-st-wl  $\Rightarrow$  bool⟩ where
  ⟨correct-watching (M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  ( $\forall L \in \#$  all-lits-of-mm (mset '# ran-mf N + (NE + UE)).  

  distinct-watched (W L)  $\wedge$   

  ( $\forall (i, K, b) \in \#$  mset (W L). i  $\in \#$  dom-m N  $\longrightarrow$  K  $\in$  set (N  $\propto$  i)  $\wedge$  K  $\neq$  L  $\wedge$  correctly-marked-as-binary  

  N (i, K, b))  $\wedge$   

  ( $\forall (i, K, b) \in \#$  mset (W L). b  $\longrightarrow$  i  $\in \#$  dom-m N)  $\wedge$   

  filter-mset ( $\lambda i. i \in \#$  dom-m N) (fst '# mset (W L)) = clause-to-update L (M, N, D, NE, UE,  

  {#}, {#}))⟩

declare correct-watching.simps[simp del]

lemma correct-watching-except-correct-watching:
  assumes
  j: ⟨j  $\geq$  length (W K)⟩ and
  corr: ⟨correct-watching-except i j K (M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W)⟩
  shows ⟨correct-watching (M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W(K := take i (W K)))⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

fun watched-by ::  $\langle 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ literal} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ watched} \rangle$  where  

 $\langle \text{watched-by } (M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W) \mid L = WL \rangle$ 

fun update-watched ::  $\langle 'v \text{ literal} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ watched} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \rangle$  where  

 $\langle \text{update-watched } L \mid WL \mid (M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W) = (M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W(L := WL)) \rangle$ 

lemma bspec':  $\langle x \in a \Rightarrow \forall x \in a. P x \Rightarrow P x \rangle$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma correct-watching-exceptD:  

assumes  

 $\langle \text{correct-watching-except } i j L S \rangle$  and  

 $\langle L \in \# \text{ all-lits-of-mm} \rangle$   

 $\langle mset \# \text{ ran-mf} (\text{get-clauses-wl } S) + \text{get-unit-clauses-wl } S \rangle$  and  

 $\langle w : \langle w < \text{length} (\text{watched-by } S L) \rangle \langle w \geq j \rangle \langle \text{fst} (\text{watched-by } S L ! w) \in \# \text{ dom-m} (\text{get-clauses-wl } S) \rangle$   

shows  $\langle \text{fst} (\text{snd} (\text{watched-by } S L ! w)) \in \text{set} (\text{get-clauses-wl } S \propto (\text{fst} (\text{watched-by } S L ! w))) \rangle$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

declare correct-watching-except.simps[simp del]

lemma in-all-lits-of-mm-ain-atms-of-iff:  

 $\langle L \in \# \text{ all-lits-of-mm} \mid N \longleftrightarrow \text{atm-of } L \in \text{atms-of-mm } N \rangle$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma all-lits-of-mm-union:  

 $\langle \text{all-lits-of-mm } (M + N) = \text{all-lits-of-mm } M + \text{all-lits-of-mm } N \rangle$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition all-lits-of-m ::  $\langle 'a \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ literal multiset} \rangle$  where  

 $\langle \text{all-lits-of-m } Ls = Pos \# (\text{atm-of } \# Ls) + Neg \# (\text{atm-of } \# Ls) \rangle$ 

lemma all-lits-of-m-empty[simp]:  $\langle \text{all-lits-of-m } \{ \# \} = \{ \# \} \rangle$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma all-lits-of-m-empty-iff[iff]:  $\langle \text{all-lits-of-m } A = \{ \# \} \longleftrightarrow A = \{ \# \} \rangle$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma in-all-lits-of-m-ain-atms-of-iff:  $\langle L \in \# \text{ all-lits-of-m} \mid N \longleftrightarrow \text{atm-of } L \in \text{atms-of } N \rangle$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma in-clause-in-all-lits-of-m:  $\langle x \in \# C \Rightarrow x \in \# \text{ all-lits-of-m } C \rangle$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma all-lits-of-mm-add-mset:  

 $\langle \text{all-lits-of-mm } (\text{add-mset } C N) = (\text{all-lits-of-m } C) + (\text{all-lits-of-mm } N) \rangle$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma all-lits-of-m-add-mset:  

 $\langle \text{all-lits-of-m } (\text{add-mset } L C) = \text{add-mset } L (\text{add-mset } (-L) (\text{all-lits-of-m } C)) \rangle$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma all-lits-of-m-union:  

 $\langle \text{all-lits-of-m } (A + B) = \text{all-lits-of-m } A + \text{all-lits-of-m } B \rangle$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

lemma *all-lits-of-m-mono*:
 $\langle D \subseteq \# D' \implies \text{all-lits-of-m } D \subseteq \# \text{ all-lits-of-m } D' \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *in-all-lits-of-mm-uminusD*: $\langle x2 \in \# \text{ all-lits-of-mm } N \implies -x2 \in \# \text{ all-lits-of-mm } N \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *in-all-lits-of-mm-uminus-iff*: $\langle -x2 \in \# \text{ all-lits-of-mm } N \longleftrightarrow x2 \in \# \text{ all-lits-of-mm } N \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *all-lits-of-mm-diffD*:
 $\langle L \in \# \text{ all-lits-of-mm } (A - B) \implies L \in \# \text{ all-lits-of-mm } A \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *all-lits-of-mm-mono*:
 $\langle \text{set-mset } A \subseteq \text{set-mset } B \implies \text{set-mset } (\text{all-lits-of-mm } A) \subseteq \text{set-mset } (\text{all-lits-of-mm } B) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun *st-l-of-wl* :: $\langle ('v \text{ literal} \times \text{nat}) \text{ option} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-l} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{st-l-of-wl } \text{None } (M, N, D, \text{NE}, \text{UE}, Q, W) = (M, N, D, \text{NE}, \text{UE}, \{\#\}, Q) \rangle$
 $\mid \langle \text{st-l-of-wl } (\text{Some } (L, j)) (M, N, D, \text{NE}, \text{UE}, Q, W) =$
 $(M, N, D, \text{NE}, \text{UE}, (\text{if } D \neq \text{None} \text{ then } \{\#\} \text{ else clauses-to-update-wl } (M, N, D, \text{NE}, \text{UE}, Q, W)$
 $L, j,$
 $Q)) \rangle$

definition *state-wl-l* :: $\langle ('v \text{ literal} \times \text{nat}) \text{ option} \Rightarrow ('v \text{ twl-st-wl} \times 'v \text{ twl-st-l}) \text{ set} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{state-wl-l } L = \{(T, T') \mid T' = \text{st-l-of-wl } L \text{ } T\} \rangle$

fun *twl-st-of-wl* :: $\langle ('v \text{ literal} \times \text{nat}) \text{ option} \Rightarrow ('v \text{ twl-st-wl} \times 'v \text{ twl-st}) \text{ set} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{twl-st-of-wl } L = \text{state-wl-l } L \text{ } O \text{ twl-st-l } (\text{map-option } \text{fst } L) \rangle$

named-theorems *twl-st-wl* *Conversions simp rules*

lemma [*twl-st-wl*]:
assumes $\langle (S, T) \in \text{state-wl-l } L \rangle$
shows
 $\langle \text{get-trail-l } T = \text{get-trail-wl } S \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle \text{get-clauses-l } T = \text{get-clauses-wl } S \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle \text{get-conflict-l } T = \text{get-conflict-wl } S \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle L = \text{None} \implies \text{clauses-to-update-l } T = \{\#\} \rangle$
 $\langle L \neq \text{None} \implies \text{get-conflict-wl } S \neq \text{None} \implies \text{clauses-to-update-l } T = \{\#\} \rangle$
 $\langle L \neq \text{None} \implies \text{get-conflict-wl } S = \text{None} \implies \text{clauses-to-update-l } T =$
 $\text{clauses-to-update-wl } S (\text{fst } (\text{the } L)) (\text{snd } (\text{the } L)) \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle \text{literals-to-update-l } T = \text{literals-to-update-wl } S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{get-unit-learned-clauses-l } T = \text{get-unit-learned-clss-wl } S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{get-unit-init-clauses-l } T = \text{get-unit-init-clss-wl } S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{get-unit-learned-clauses-l } T = \text{get-unit-learned-clss-wl } S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{get-unit-clauses-l } T = \text{get-unit-clauses-wl } S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma [*twl-st-l*]:
 $\langle (a, a') \in \text{state-wl-l } \text{None} \implies$
 $\text{get-learned-clss-l } a' = \text{get-learned-clss-wl } a \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *remove-one-lit-from-wq-def*:
 $\langle \text{remove-one-lit-from-wq } L \ S = \text{set-clauses-to-update-l} (\text{clauses-to-update-l } S - \{\#\}) \ S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *correct-watching-set-literals-to-update[simp]*:
 $\langle \text{correct-watching} (\text{set-literals-to-update-wl } WS \ T') = \text{correct-watching } T' \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma [*twl-st-wl*]:
 $\langle \text{get-clauses-wl} (\text{set-literals-to-update-wl } W \ S) = \text{get-clauses-wl } S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{get-unit-init-clss-wl} (\text{set-literals-to-update-wl } W \ S) = \text{get-unit-init-clss-wl } S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-conflict-wl-set-literals-to-update-wl[twl-st-wl]*:
 $\langle \text{get-conflict-wl} (\text{set-literals-to-update-wl } P \ S) = \text{get-conflict-wl } S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{get-unit-clauses-wl} (\text{set-literals-to-update-wl } P \ S) = \text{get-unit-clauses-wl } S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *set-conflict-wl* :: $\langle 'v \text{ clause-l} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{set-conflict-wl} = (\lambda C \ (M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W). \ (M, N, \text{Some} (\text{mset } C), NE, UE, \{\#\}, W)) \rangle$

lemma [*twl-st-wl*]: $\langle \text{get-clauses-wl} (\text{set-conflict-wl } D \ S) = \text{get-clauses-wl } S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma [*twl-st-wl*]:
 $\langle \text{get-unit-init-clss-wl} (\text{set-conflict-wl } D \ S) = \text{get-unit-init-clss-wl } S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{get-unit-clauses-wl} (\text{set-conflict-wl } D \ S) = \text{get-unit-clauses-wl } S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *state-wl-l-mark-of-is-decided*:
 $\langle (x, y) \in \text{state-wl-l } b \implies$
 $\quad \text{get-trail-wl } x \neq [] \implies$
 $\quad \text{is-decided} (\text{hd} (\text{get-trail-l } y)) = \text{is-decided} (\text{hd} (\text{get-trail-wl } x)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *state-wl-l-mark-of-is-proped*:
 $\langle (x, y) \in \text{state-wl-l } b \implies$
 $\quad \text{get-trail-wl } x \neq [] \implies$
 $\quad \text{is-proped} (\text{hd} (\text{get-trail-l } y)) = \text{is-proped} (\text{hd} (\text{get-trail-wl } x)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

We here also update the list of watched clauses *WL*.

declare *twl-st-wl[simp]*

definition *unit-prop-body-wl-inv* **where**
 $\langle \text{unit-prop-body-wl-inv } T \ j \ i \ L \longleftrightarrow (i < \text{length} (\text{watched-by } T \ L) \wedge j \leq i \wedge$
 $\quad (\text{fst} (\text{watched-by } T \ L ! i)) \in \# \text{ dom-m} (\text{get-clauses-wl } T) \longrightarrow$
 $\quad (\exists T'. \ (T, T') \in \text{state-wl-l} (\text{Some} (L, i)) \wedge j \leq i \wedge$
 $\quad \text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-l-inv } L \ (\text{fst} (\text{watched-by } T \ L ! i))$
 $\quad (\text{remove-one-lit-from-wq} (\text{fst} (\text{watched-by } T \ L ! i)) \ T') \wedge$
 $\quad L \in \# \text{ all-lits-of-mm} (\text{mset} \ '# \text{ init-clss-lf} (\text{get-clauses-wl } T) + \text{get-unit-clauses-wl } T) \wedge$
 $\quad \text{correct-watching-except } j \ i \ L \ T)) \rangle$

lemma *unit-prop-body-wl-inv-alt-def*:
 $\langle \text{unit-prop-body-wl-inv } T \ j \ i \ L \longleftrightarrow (i < \text{length} (\text{watched-by } T \ L) \wedge j \leq i \wedge$

$(fst \ (watched-by \ T \ L \ ! \ i) \in\# \ dom-m \ (get-clauses-wl \ T) \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists \ T'. \ (T, \ T') \in state-wl-l \ (Some \ (L, \ i)) \wedge$
 $unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-l-inv \ L \ (fst \ (watched-by \ T \ L \ ! \ i))$
 $\ (remove-one-lit-from-wq \ (fst \ (watched-by \ T \ L \ ! \ i)) \ T') \wedge$
 $L \in\# \ all-lits-of-mm \ (mset \ '# \ init-clss-lf \ (get-clauses-wl \ T) + get-unit-clauses-wl \ T) \wedge$
 $correct-watching-except \ j \ i \ L \ T \wedge$
 $get-conflict-wl \ T = None \wedge$
 $length \ (get-clauses-wl \ T \propto fst \ (watched-by \ T \ L \ ! \ i)) \geq 2)))$
 $(is \ (?A = ?B))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *propagate-lit-wl-general* :: $'v \ literal \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'v \ twl-st-wl \Rightarrow 'v \ twl-st-wl$ **where**
 $\langle propagate-lit-wl-general = (\lambda L' \ C \ i \ (M, \ N, \ D, \ NE, \ UE, \ Q, \ W).$
 $let \ N = (if \ length \ (N \propto C) > 2 \ then \ N(C \leftrightarrow swap \ (N \propto C) \ 0 \ (Suc \ 0 - i)) \ else \ N) \ in$
 $(Propagated \ L' \ C \ # \ M, \ N, \ D, \ NE, \ UE, \ add-mset \ (-L') \ Q, \ W)) \rangle$

definition *propagate-lit-wl* :: $'v \ literal \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'v \ twl-st-wl \Rightarrow 'v \ twl-st-wl$ **where**
 $\langle propagate-lit-wl = (\lambda L' \ C \ i \ (M, \ N, \ D, \ NE, \ UE, \ Q, \ W).$
 $let \ N = N(C \leftrightarrow swap \ (N \propto C) \ 0 \ (Suc \ 0 - i)) \ in$
 $(Propagated \ L' \ C \ # \ M, \ N, \ D, \ NE, \ UE, \ add-mset \ (-L') \ Q, \ W)) \rangle$

definition *propagate-lit-wl-bin* :: $'v \ literal \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'v \ twl-st-wl \Rightarrow 'v \ twl-st-wl$ **where**
 $\langle propagate-lit-wl-bin = (\lambda L' \ C \ i \ (M, \ N, \ D, \ NE, \ UE, \ Q, \ W).$
 $(Propagated \ L' \ C \ # \ M, \ N, \ D, \ NE, \ UE, \ add-mset \ (-L') \ Q, \ W)) \rangle$

definition *keep-watch* **where**
 $\langle keep-watch = (\lambda L \ i \ j \ (M, \ N, \ D, \ NE, \ UE, \ Q, \ W).$
 $(M, \ N, \ D, \ NE, \ UE, \ Q, \ W(L := (W \ L)[i := W \ L \ ! \ j]))) \rangle$

lemma *length-watched-by-keep-watch[twl-st-wl]*:
 $\langle length \ (watched-by \ (keep-watch \ L \ i \ j \ S) \ K) = length \ (watched-by \ S \ K) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *watched-by-keep-watch-neq[twl-st-wl, simp]*:
 $\langle w < length \ (watched-by \ S \ L) \implies watched-by \ (keep-watch \ L \ j \ w \ S) \ L \ ! \ w = watched-by \ S \ L \ ! \ w \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *watched-by-keep-watch-eq[twl-st-wl, simp]*:
 $\langle j < length \ (watched-by \ S \ L) \implies watched-by \ (keep-watch \ L \ j \ w \ S) \ L \ ! \ j = watched-by \ S \ L \ ! \ w \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *update-clause-wl* :: $'v \ literal \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'v \ twl-st-wl \Rightarrow$
 $(nat \times nat \times 'v \ twl-st-wl) \ nres$ **where**
 $\langle update-clause-wl = (\lambda (L:'v \ literal) \ C \ b \ j \ w \ i \ f \ (M, \ N, \ D, \ NE, \ UE, \ Q, \ W). \ do \{$
 $let \ K' = (N \propto C) \ ! \ f;$
 $let \ N' = N(C \leftrightarrow swap \ (N \propto C) \ i \ f);$
 $RETURN \ (j, \ w+1, \ (M, \ N', \ D, \ NE, \ UE, \ Q, \ W(K' := W \ K' @ [(C, \ L, \ b)])))$
 $\}) \rangle$

definition *update-blit-wl* :: $'v \ literal \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'v \ literal \Rightarrow 'v \ twl-st-wl \Rightarrow$
 $(nat \times nat \times 'v \ twl-st-wl) \ nres$ **where**
 $\langle update-blit-wl = (\lambda (L:'v \ literal) \ C \ b \ j \ w \ K \ (M, \ N, \ D, \ NE, \ UE, \ Q, \ W). \ do \{$
 $RETURN \ (j+1, \ w+1, \ (M, \ N, \ D, \ NE, \ UE, \ Q, \ W(L := (W \ L)[j:=(C, \ K, \ b)])))$
 $\}) \rangle$

definition *unit-prop-body-wl-find-unwatched-inv* **where**
 $\langle \text{unit-prop-body-wl-find-unwatched-inv } f \text{ } C \text{ } S \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{get-clauses-wl } S \propto C \neq [] \wedge$
 $(f = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow (\forall L \in \#mset (\text{unwatched-l} (\text{get-clauses-wl } S \propto C)). - L \in \text{lits-of-l} (\text{get-trail-wl } S)))\rangle$

abbreviation *remaining-nondom-wl* **where**
 $\langle \text{remaining-nondom-wl } w \text{ } L \text{ } S \equiv$
 $(\text{if get-conflict-wl } S = \text{None}$
 $\text{then size} (\text{filter-mset} (\lambda(i, -). i \notin \# \text{dom-m} (\text{get-clauses-wl } S)) (\text{mset} (\text{drop } w (\text{watched-by } S L)))) \text{ else } 0)\rangle$

definition *unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-loop-inv* **where**
 $\langle \text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-loop-inv } L = (\lambda(j, w, S).$
 $(\exists S'. (S, S') \in \text{state-wl-l} (\text{Some } (L, w)) \wedge j \leq w \wedge$
 $\text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-l-inv } L (S', \text{remaining-nondom-wl } w \text{ } L \text{ } S) \wedge$
 $\text{correct-watching-except } j \text{ } w \text{ } L \text{ } S \wedge w \leq \text{length} (\text{watched-by } S \text{ } L)))\rangle$

lemma *correct-watching-except-correct-watching-except-Suc-Suc-keep-watch*:
assumes
 $j \text{-} w: \langle j \leq w \rangle \text{ and}$
 $w \text{-} le: \langle w < \text{length} (\text{watched-by } S \text{ } L) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{corr: } \langle \text{correct-watching-except } j \text{ } w \text{ } L \text{ } S \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{correct-watching-except } (\text{Suc } j) (\text{Suc } w) \text{ } L (\text{keep-watch } L \text{ } j \text{ } w \text{ } S) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *correct-watching-except-update-blit*:
assumes
 $\text{corr: } \langle \text{correct-watching-except } i \text{ } j \text{ } L (a, b, c, d, e, f, g(L := (g \text{ } L)[j' := (x1, C, b')])) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $C': \langle C' \in \# \text{all-lits-of-mm} (\text{mset} '\# \text{ran-mf } b + (d + e)) \rangle$
 $\langle C' \in \text{set} (b \propto x1) \rangle$
 $\langle C' \neq L \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{corr-watched: } \langle \text{correctly-marked-as-binary } b (x1, C', b') \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{correct-watching-except } i \text{ } j \text{ } L (a, b, c, d, e, f, g(L := (g \text{ } L)[j' := (x1, C', b')])) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *correct-watching-except-correct-watching-except-Suc-notin*:
assumes
 $\langle \text{fst} (\text{watched-by } S \text{ } L ! \text{ } w) \notin \# \text{dom-m} (\text{get-clauses-wl } S) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $j \text{-} w: \langle j \leq w \rangle \text{ and}$
 $w \text{-} le: \langle w < \text{length} (\text{watched-by } S \text{ } L) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{corr: } \langle \text{correct-watching-except } j \text{ } w \text{ } L \text{ } S \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{correct-watching-except } j \text{ } (\text{Suc } w) \text{ } L (\text{keep-watch } L \text{ } j \text{ } w \text{ } S) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *correct-watching-except-correct-watching-except-update-clause*:
assumes
 $\text{corr: } \langle \text{correct-watching-except } (\text{Suc } j) (\text{Suc } w) \text{ } L$
 $(M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W(L := (W \text{ } L)[j := WL ! w])) \text{ and}$
 $j \text{-} w: \langle j \leq w \rangle \text{ and}$
 $w \text{-} le: \langle w < \text{length} (W \text{ } L) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $L': \langle L' \in \# \text{all-lits-of-mm} (\text{mset} '\# \text{ran-mf } N + (NE + UE)) \rangle$
 $\langle L' \in \text{set} (N \propto x1) \rangle \text{ and}$

L - L : $\langle L \in \# \text{all-lits-of-mm} (\{\#\text{mset} (\text{fst } x). x \in \# \text{ran-m } N\#} + (NE + UE)) \rangle \text{ and}$
 L : $\langle L \neq N \propto x_1 ! xa \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{dom}: \langle x_1 \in \# \text{dom-m } N \rangle \text{ and}$
 $i\text{-xa}: \langle i < \text{length } (N \propto x_1) \rangle \langle xa < \text{length } (N \propto x_1) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $[\text{simp}]: \langle W L ! w = (x_1, x_2, b) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $N\text{-i}: \langle N \propto x_1 ! i = L \rangle \langle N \propto x_1 ! (1 - i) \neq L \rangle \langle N \propto x_1 ! xa \neq L \rangle \text{ and}$
 $N\text{-xa}: \langle N \propto x_1 ! xa \neq N \propto x_1 ! i \rangle \langle N \propto x_1 ! xa \neq N \propto x_1 ! (\text{Suc } 0 - i) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $i\text{-2}: \langle i < 2 \rangle \text{ and } \langle xa \geq 2 \rangle \text{ and}$
 $L\text{-neq}: \langle L' \neq N \propto x_1 ! xa \rangle — \text{The new blocking literal is not the new watched literal.}$
 $\text{shows } \langle \text{correct-watching-except } j \text{ (Suc } w) \rangle L$
 $(M, N(x_1 \hookrightarrow \text{swap } (N \propto x_1) i xa), D, NE, UE, Q, W)$
 $(L := (W L)[j := (x_1, x_2, b)]),$
 $N \propto x_1 ! xa := W(N \propto x_1 ! xa) @ [(x_1, L', b)])$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-loop-pre* **where**

$\langle \text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-loop-pre } L = (\lambda(j, w, S).$
 $w < \text{length } (\text{watched-by } S L) \wedge j \leq w \wedge$
 $\text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-loop-inv } L (j, w, S) \rangle$

It was too hard to align the programi unto a refinable form directly.

definition *unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-wl-int* :: $\langle 'v \text{ literal} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow$

$(\text{nat} \times \text{nat} \times 'v \text{ twl-st-wl}) \text{ nres} \rangle \text{ where}$

$\langle \text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-wl-int } L j w S = \text{do } \{$
 $\text{ASSERT}(\text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-loop-pre } L (j, w, S));$
 $\text{let } (C, K, b) = (\text{watched-by } S L) ! w;$
 $\text{let } S = \text{keep-watch } L j w S;$
 $\text{ASSERT}(\text{unit-prop-body-wl-inv } S j w L);$
 $\text{let } \text{val-}K = \text{polarity } (\text{get-trail-wl } S) K;$
 $\text{if } \text{val-}K = \text{Some True}$
 $\text{then RETURN } (j+1, w+1, S)$
 $\text{else do } \{ — \text{Now the costly operations:}$
 $\text{if } C \notin \# \text{dom-m } (\text{get-clauses-wl } S)$
 $\text{then RETURN } (j, w+1, S)$
 $\text{else do } \{$
 $\text{let } i = (\text{if } ((\text{get-clauses-wl } S) \propto C) ! 0 = L \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } 1);$
 $\text{let } L' = ((\text{get-clauses-wl } S) \propto C) ! (1 - i);$
 $\text{let } \text{val-}L' = \text{polarity } (\text{get-trail-wl } S) L';$
 $\text{if } \text{val-}L' = \text{Some True}$
 $\text{then update-blit-wl } L C b j w L' S$
 $\text{else do } \{$
 $f \leftarrow \text{find-unwatched-l } (\text{get-trail-wl } S) (\text{get-clauses-wl } S \propto C);$
 $\text{ASSERT } (\text{unit-prop-body-wl-find-unwatched-inv } f C S);$
 $\text{case } f \text{ of}$
 $\text{None} \Rightarrow \text{do } \{$
 $\text{if } \text{val-}L' = \text{Some False}$
 $\text{then do } \{ \text{RETURN } (j+1, w+1, \text{set-conflict-wl } (\text{get-clauses-wl } S \propto C) S) \}$
 $\text{else do } \{ \text{RETURN } (j+1, w+1, \text{propagate-lit-wl-general } L' C i S) \}$
 $\}$
 $| \text{ Some } f \Rightarrow \text{do } \{$
 $\text{let } K = \text{get-clauses-wl } S \propto C ! f;$
 $\text{let } \text{val-}L' = \text{polarity } (\text{get-trail-wl } S) K;$
 $\text{if } \text{val-}L' = \text{Some True}$
 $\text{then update-blit-wl } L C b j w K S$
 $\text{else update-clause-wl } L C b j w i f S$
 $\}$

```

        }
    }
}
}
```

definition *propagate-proper-bin-case* **where**

```

⟨propagate-proper-bin-case L L' S C ⟩  

C ∈# dom-m (get-clauses-wl S) ∧ length ((get-clauses-wl S) ∞ C) = 2 ∧  

set (get-clauses-wl S ∞ C) = {L, L'} ∧ L ≠ L'
```

definition *unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-wl* :: ⟨'v *literal* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ 'v *twl-st-wl* ⇒

(*nat* × *nat* × 'v *twl-st-wl*) *nres*⟩ **where**

```

⟨unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-wl L j w S = do {
    ASSERT(unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-loop-pre L (j, w, S));
    let (C, K, b) = (watched-by S L) ! w;
    let S = keep-watch L j w S;
    ASSERT(unit-prop-body-wl-inv S j w L);
    let val-K = polarity (get-trail-wl S) K;
    if val-K = Some True
    then RETURN (j+1, w+1, S)
    else do {
        if b then do {
            ASSERT(propagate-proper-bin-case L K S C);
            if val-K = Some False
            then RETURN (j+1, w+1, set-conflict-wl (get-clauses-wl S ∞ C) S)
            else do { — This is non-optimal (memory access: relax invariant!):
                let i = (if ((get-clauses-wl S) ∞ C) ! 0 = L then 0 else 1);
                RETURN (j+1, w+1, propagate-lit-wl-bin K C i S)}
        } — Now the costly operations:
        else if C ∈# dom-m (get-clauses-wl S)
        then RETURN (j, w+1, S)
        else do {
            let i = (if ((get-clauses-wl S) ∞ C) ! 0 = L then 0 else 1);
            let L' = (((get-clauses-wl S) ∞ C) ! (1 - i));
            let val-L' = polarity (get-trail-wl S) L';
            if val-L' = Some True
            then update-blit-wl L C b j w L' S
            else do {
                f ← find-unwatched-l (get-trail-wl S) (get-clauses-wl S ∞ C);
                ASSERT (unit-prop-body-wl-find-unwatched-inv f C S);
                case f of
                    None ⇒ do {
                        if val-L' = Some False
                        then do {RETURN (j+1, w+1, set-conflict-wl (get-clauses-wl S ∞ C) S)}
                        else do {RETURN (j+1, w+1, propagate-lit-wl L' C i S)}
                    }
                | Some f ⇒ do {
                    let K = get-clauses-wl S ∞ C ! f;
                    let val-L' = polarity (get-trail-wl S) K;
                    if val-L' = Some True
                    then update-blit-wl L C b j w K S
                    else update-clause-wl L C b j w i f S
                }
            }
        }
    }
}
```

}

lemma [twl-st-wl]: $\langle \text{get-clauses-wl} (\text{keep-watch } L j w S) = \text{get-clauses-wl } S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-wl-int-alt-def:
 $\langle \text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-wl-int } L j w S = \text{do} \{$

ASSERT(unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-loop-pre } L (j, w, S));
let (C, K, b) = (watched-by S L) ! w;
let b' = (C \notin dom-m (get-clauses-wl S));
if b' then do {
let S = keep-watch L j w S;
ASSERT(unit-prop-body-wl-inv S j w L);
let K = K;
let val-K = polarity (get-trail-wl S) K in
if val-K = Some True
then RETURN (j+1, w+1, S)
else — Now the costly operations:
RETURN (j, w+1, S)
}
else do {
let S' = keep-watch L j w S;
ASSERT(unit-prop-body-wl-inv S' j w L);
K \leftarrow SPEC(= K);
let val-K = polarity (get-trail-wl S') K in
if val-K = Some True
then RETURN (j+1, w+1, S')
else do { — Now the costly operations:
let i = (if ((get-clauses-wl S') \propto C) ! 0 = L then 0 else 1);
let L' = ((get-clauses-wl S') \propto C) ! (1 - i);
let val-L' = polarity (get-trail-wl S') L';
if val-L' = Some True
then update-blit-wl L C b j w L' S'
else do {
f \leftarrow find-unwatched-l (get-trail-wl S') (get-clauses-wl S' \propto C);
ASSERT (unit-prop-body-wl-find-unwatched-inv f C S');
case f of
None \Rightarrow do {
if val-L' = Some False
then do {RETURN (j+1, w+1, set-conflict-wl (get-clauses-wl S' \propto C) S')}
else do {RETURN (j+1, w+1, propagate-lit-wl-general L' C i S')}
}
| Some f \Rightarrow do {
let K = get-clauses-wl S' \propto C ! f;
let val-L' = polarity (get-trail-wl S') K;
if val-L' = Some True
then update-blit-wl L C b j w K S'
else update-clause-wl L C b j w i f S'
}
}
}
}
}
}
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.4.3 The Functions

Inner Loop

lemma clause-to-update-mapsto-upd-If:

assumes

$i: \langle i \in \# \text{ dom-}m N \rangle$

shows

$\langle \text{clause-to-update } L (M, N(i \hookrightarrow C'), C, NE, UE, WS, Q) =$
 $(\text{if } L \in \text{set} (\text{watched-l } C')$
 $\text{then add-mset } i (\text{remove1-mset } i (\text{clause-to-update } L (M, N, C, NE, UE, WS, Q)))$
 $\text{else remove1-mset } i (\text{clause-to-update } L (M, N, C, NE, UE, WS, Q))) \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-l-with-skip-alt-def:

$\langle \text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-l-with-skip } L (S', n) = \text{do} \{$

$\text{ASSERT} (\text{clauses-to-update-l } S' \neq \{\#\} \vee 0 < n);$

$\text{ASSERT} (\text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-l-inv } L (S', n));$

$b \leftarrow \text{SPEC} (\lambda b. (b \longrightarrow 0 < n) \wedge (\neg b \longrightarrow \text{clauses-to-update-l } S' \neq \{\#\}));$

$\text{if } \neg b$

$\text{then do} \{$

$\text{ASSERT} (\text{clauses-to-update-l } S' \neq \{\#\});$

$X2 \leftarrow \text{select-from-clauses-to-update } S';$

$\text{ASSERT} (\text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-l-inv } L (\text{snd } X2) (\text{fst } X2));$

$x \leftarrow \text{SPEC} (\lambda K. K \in \text{set} (\text{get-clauses-l } (\text{fst } X2) \propto \text{snd } X2));$

$\text{let } v = \text{polarity} (\text{get-trail-l } (\text{fst } X2)) x;$

$\text{if } v = \text{Some True} \text{ then let } T = \text{fst } X2 \text{ in RETURN } (T, \text{if get-conflict-l } T = \text{None} \text{ then } n \text{ else } 0)$

$\text{else let } v = \text{if get-clauses-l } (\text{fst } X2) \propto \text{snd } X2 ! 0 = L \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } 1;$

$\quad \quad \quad \text{va} = \text{get-clauses-l } (\text{fst } X2) \propto \text{snd } X2 ! (1 - v); \text{vaa} = \text{polarity} (\text{get-trail-l } (\text{fst } X2)) \text{ va}$
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{in}$

$\quad \quad \quad \text{if vaa} = \text{Some True}$

$\text{then let } T = \text{fst } X2 \text{ in RETURN } (T, \text{if get-conflict-l } T = \text{None} \text{ then } n \text{ else } 0)$

$\text{else do} \{$

$\quad \quad \quad x \leftarrow \text{find-unwatched-l} (\text{get-trail-l } (\text{fst } X2)) (\text{get-clauses-l } (\text{fst } X2) \propto \text{snd } X2);$

$\quad \quad \quad \text{case } x \text{ of}$

$\quad \quad \quad \text{None} \Rightarrow$

$\quad \quad \quad \text{if vaa} = \text{Some False}$

$\quad \quad \quad \text{then let } T = \text{set-conflict-l} (\text{get-clauses-l } (\text{fst } X2) \propto \text{snd } X2) (\text{fst } X2)$

$\quad \quad \quad \text{in RETURN } (T, \text{if get-conflict-l } T = \text{None} \text{ then } n \text{ else } 0)$

$\quad \quad \quad \text{else let } T = \text{propagate-lit-l } \text{va} (\text{snd } X2) \text{ v } (\text{fst } X2)$

$\quad \quad \quad \text{in RETURN } (T, \text{if get-conflict-l } T = \text{None} \text{ then } n \text{ else } 0)$

$\quad \quad \quad | \text{ Some a} \Rightarrow \text{do} \{$

$\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad x \leftarrow \text{ASSERT} (a < \text{length} (\text{get-clauses-l } (\text{fst } X2) \propto \text{snd } X2));$

$\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \text{let } K = (\text{get-clauses-l } (\text{fst } X2) \propto (\text{snd } X2))!a;$

$\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \text{let val-}K = \text{polarity} (\text{get-trail-l } (\text{fst } X2)) K;$

$\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \text{if val-}K = \text{Some True}$

$\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \text{then let } T = \text{fst } X2 \text{ in RETURN } (T, \text{if get-conflict-l } T = \text{None} \text{ then } n \text{ else } 0)$

$\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \text{else do} \{$

$\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad T \leftarrow \text{update-clause-l } (\text{snd } X2) \text{ v a } (\text{fst } X2);$

$\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \text{RETURN } (T, \text{if get-conflict-l } T = \text{None} \text{ then } n \text{ else } 0)$

$\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad }$

$\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad }$

$\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad }$

$\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad }$

$\quad \quad }$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma keep-watch-st-wl[twl-st-wl]:
  ⟨get-unit-clauses-wl (keep-watch L j w S) = get-unit-clauses-wl S⟩
  ⟨get-conflict-wl (keep-watch L j w S) = get-conflict-wl S⟩
  ⟨get-trail-wl (keep-watch L j w S) = get-trail-wl S⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
declare twl-st-wl[simp]

lemma correct-watching-except-correct-watching-except-propagate-lit-wl:
assumes
  corr: ⟨correct-watching-except j w L S⟩ and
  i-le: ⟨Suc 0 < length (get-clauses-wl S ∝ C)⟩ and
  C: ⟨C ∈# dom-m (get-clauses-wl S)⟩
shows ⟨correct-watching-except j w L (propagate-lit-wl-general L' C i S)⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-wl-int-alt-def2:
  ⟨unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-wl-int L j w S = do {
    ASSERT(unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-loop-pre L (j, w, S));
    let (C, K, b) = (watched-by S L) ! w;
    let S = keep-watch L j w S;
    ASSERT(unit-prop-body-wl-inv S j w L);
    let val-K = polarity (get-trail-wl S) K;
    if val-K = Some True
    then RETURN (j+1, w+1, S)
    else do { — Now the costly operations:
      if b then
        if C ∉# dom-m (get-clauses-wl S)
        then RETURN (j, w+1, S)
        else do {
          let i = (if ((get-clauses-wl S) ∝ C) ! 0 = L then 0 else 1);
          let L' = ((get-clauses-wl S) ∝ C) ! (1 - i);
          let val-L' = polarity (get-trail-wl S) L';
          if val-L' = Some True
          then update-blit-wl L C b j w L' S
          else do {
            f ← find-unwatched-l (get-trail-wl S) (get-clauses-wl S ∝ C);
            ASSERT (unit-prop-body-wl-find-unwatched-inv f C S);
            case f of
              None ⇒ do {
                if val-L' = Some False
                then do {RETURN (j+1, w+1, set-conflict-wl (get-clauses-wl S ∝ C) S)}
                else do {RETURN (j+1, w+1, propagate-lit-wl-general L' C i S)}
              }
              | Some f ⇒ do {
                let K = get-clauses-wl S ∝ C ! f;
                let val-L' = polarity (get-trail-wl S) K;
                if val-L' = Some True
                then update-blit-wl L C b j w K S
                else update-clause-wl L C b j w i f S
              }
            }
          }
        }
      }
    }
  }
}

```

```

if  $C \notin \# \text{ dom-}m (\text{get-clauses-wl } S)$ 
then RETURN  $(j, w+1, S)$ 
else do {
  let  $i = (\text{if } ((\text{get-clauses-wl } S) \propto C) ! 0 = L \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } 1);$ 
  let  $L' = ((\text{get-clauses-wl } S) \propto C) ! (1 - i);$ 
  let  $\text{val-}L' = \text{polarity} (\text{get-trail-wl } S) L';$ 
  if  $\text{val-}L' = \text{Some True}$ 
  then update-blit-wl  $L C b j w L' S$ 
  else do {
     $f \leftarrow \text{find-unwatched-l} (\text{get-trail-wl } S) (\text{get-clauses-wl } S \propto C);$ 
    ASSERT  $(\text{unit-prop-body-wl-find-unwatched-inv } f C S);$ 
    case  $f$  of
      None  $\Rightarrow$  do {
        if  $\text{val-}L' = \text{Some False}$ 
        then do {RETURN  $(j+1, w+1, \text{set-conflict-wl} (\text{get-clauses-wl } S \propto C) S)$ }
        else do {RETURN  $(j+1, w+1, \text{propagate-lit-wl-general } L' C i S)$ }
      }
      | Some  $f \Rightarrow$  do {
        let  $K = \text{get-clauses-wl } S \propto C ! f;$ 
        let  $\text{val-}L' = \text{polarity} (\text{get-trail-wl } S) K;$ 
        if  $\text{val-}L' = \text{Some True}$ 
        then update-blit-wl  $L C b j w K S$ 
        else update-clause-wl  $L C b j w i f S$ 
      }
    }
  }
}
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-wl-alt-def:
⟨unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-wl L j w S = do {
  ASSERT(unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-loop-pre L (j, w, S));
  let (C, K, b) = (watched-by S L) ! w;
  let S = keep-watch L j w S;
  ASSERT(unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-loop-pre L (j, w, S));
  let val-K = polarity (get-trail-wl S) K;
  if val-K = Some True
  then RETURN (j+1, w+1, S)
  else do {
    if b then do {
      if False
      then RETURN (j, w+1, S)
      else
        if False — val-L' = Some True
        then RETURN (j, w+1, S)
        else do {
          f ← RETURN (None :: nat option);
          case f of
            None ⇒ do {
              ASSERT(propagate-proper-bin-case L K S C);
              if val-K = Some False
              then RETURN (j+1, w+1, set-conflict-wl (get-clauses-wl S ∘ C) S)
              else do {
                let i = (if ((get-clauses-wl S) ∘ C) ! 0 = L then 0 else 1);
                RETURN (j+1, w+1, propagate-lit-wl-bin K C i S)}}
            }})
  }⟩

```

```

        }
        | - ⇒ RETURN (j, w+1, S)
    }
} — Now the costly operations:
else if C ≠# dom-m (get-clauses-wl S)
then RETURN (j, w+1, S)
else do {
let i = (if ((get-clauses-wl S) ⊂ C) ! 0 = L then 0 else 1);
let L' = ((get-clauses-wl S) ⊂ C) ! (1 - i);
let val-L' = polarity (get-trail-wl S) L';
if val-L' = Some True
then update-blit-wl L C b j w L' S
else do {
f ← find-unwatched-l (get-trail-wl S) (get-clauses-wl S ⊂ C);
ASSERT (unit-prop-body-wl-find-unwatched-inv f C S);
case f of
None ⇒ do {
if val-L' = Some False
then do {RETURN (j+1, w+1, set-conflict-wl (get-clauses-wl S ⊂ C) S)}
else do {RETURN (j+1, w+1, propagate-lit-wl L' C i S)}
}
| Some f ⇒ do {
let K = get-clauses-wl S ⊂ C ! f;
let val-L' = polarity (get-trail-wl S) K;
if val-L' = Some True
then update-blit-wl L C b j w K S
else update-clause-wl L C b j w i f S
}
}
}
}
}
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma

```

fixes S :: ⟨'v twl-st-wl⟩ and S' :: ⟨'v twl-st-l⟩ and L :: ⟨'v literal⟩ and w :: nat
defines [simp]: ⟨C' ≡ fst (watched-by S L ! w)⟩
defines
[simp]: ⟨T ≡ remove-one-lit-from-wq C' S'⟩
defines
[simp]: ⟨C'' ≡ get-clauses-l S' ⊂ C'⟩
assumes
S-S': ⟨(S, S') ∈ state-wl-l (Some (L, w))⟩ and
w-le: ⟨w < length (watched-by S L)⟩ and
j-w: ⟨j ≤ w⟩ and
corr-w: ⟨correct-watching-except j w L S⟩ and
inner-loop-inv: ⟨unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-loop-inv L (j, w, S)⟩ and
n: ⟨n = size (filter-mset (λ(i, -). i ≠# dom-m (get-clauses-wl S)) (mset (drop w (watched-by S L))))⟩
and
conf-S: ⟨get-conflict-wl S = None⟩
shows unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-wl-wl-int: ⟨unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-wl L j w S ≤
↓ Id (unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-wl-int L j w S)⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma

```

fixes S :: \ $\langle'v twl-st-wl\rangle$  and S' :: \ $\langle'v twl-st-l\rangle$  and L :: \ $\langle'v literal\rangle$  and w :: nat
defines [simp]:  $C' \equiv \text{fst}(\text{watched-by } S L ! w)$ 
defines
[simp]:  $T \equiv \text{remove-one-lit-from-wq } C' S'$ 

defines
[simp]:  $C'' \equiv \text{get-clauses-l } S' \propto C'$ 
assumes
S-S':  $\langle(S, S') \in \text{state-wl-l } (\text{Some } (L, w))\rangle$  and
w-le:  $\langle w < \text{length } (\text{watched-by } S L)\rangle$  and
j-w:  $\langle j \leq w\rangle$  and
corr-w:  $\langle \text{correct-watching-except } j w L S\rangle$  and
inner-loop-inv:  $\langle \text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-loop-inv } L (j, w, S)\rangle$  and
n:  $\langle n = \text{size } (\text{filter-mset } (\lambda(i, -). i \notin \text{dom-m } (\text{get-clauses-wl } S))) (\text{mset } (\text{drop } w (\text{watched-by } S L)))\rangle$ 
and
conflict-S:  $\langle \text{get-conflict-wl } S = \text{None}\rangle$ 
shows unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-wl-int-spec:  $\langle \text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-wl-int } L j w S \leq$ 
 $\Downarrow \{((i, j, T'), (T, n)).$ 
 $(T', T) \in \text{state-wl-l } (\text{Some } (L, j)) \wedge$ 
 $\text{correct-watching-except } i j L T' \wedge$ 
 $j \leq \text{length } (\text{watched-by } T' L) \wedge$ 
 $\text{length } (\text{watched-by } S L) = \text{length } (\text{watched-by } T' L) \wedge$ 
 $i \leq j \wedge$ 
 $(\text{get-conflict-wl } T' = \text{None} \longrightarrow$ 
 $n = \text{size } (\text{filter-mset } (\lambda(i, -). i \notin \text{dom-m } (\text{get-clauses-wl } T'))) (\text{mset } (\text{drop } j (\text{watched-by } T' L)))) \wedge$ 
 $(\text{get-conflict-wl } T' \neq \text{None} \longrightarrow n = 0)\}$ 
 $(\text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-l-with-skip } L (S', n)) \text{ (is } \langle ?propa \rangle \text{ is } \langle - \leq \Downarrow ?unit \rightarrow \rangle \text{) and}$ 
unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-wl-update:
 $\langle \text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-l-inv } L C' T \implies$ 
 $\text{mset } ' \# (\text{ran-mf } ((\text{get-clauses-wl } S) (C' \hookrightarrow (\text{swap } (\text{get-clauses-wl } S \propto C') 0$ 
 $(1 - (\text{if } (\text{get-clauses-wl } S) \propto C' ! 0 = L \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } 1)))))) =$ 
 $\text{mset } ' \# (\text{ran-mf } (\text{get-clauses-wl } S)) \text{ (is } \langle - \implies ?eq \rangle \text{)}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma
fixes S :: \ $\langle'v twl-st-wl\rangle$  and S' :: \ $\langle'v twl-st-l\rangle$  and L :: \ $\langle'v literal\rangle$  and w :: nat
defines [simp]:  $C' \equiv \text{fst}(\text{watched-by } S L ! w)$ 
defines
[simp]:  $T \equiv \text{remove-one-lit-from-wq } C' S'$ 

defines
[simp]:  $C'' \equiv \text{get-clauses-l } S' \propto C'$ 
assumes
S-S':  $\langle(S, S') \in \text{state-wl-l } (\text{Some } (L, w))\rangle$  and
w-le:  $\langle w < \text{length } (\text{watched-by } S L)\rangle$  and
j-w:  $\langle j \leq w\rangle$  and
corr-w:  $\langle \text{correct-watching-except } j w L S\rangle$  and
inner-loop-inv:  $\langle \text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-loop-inv } L (j, w, S)\rangle$  and
n:  $\langle n = \text{size } (\text{filter-mset } (\lambda(i, -). i \notin \text{dom-m } (\text{get-clauses-wl } S))) (\text{mset } (\text{drop } w (\text{watched-by } S L)))\rangle$ 
and
conflict-S:  $\langle \text{get-conflict-wl } S = \text{None}\rangle$ 
shows unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-wl-spec:  $\langle \text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-wl } L j w S \leq$ 
 $\Downarrow \{((i, j, T'), (T, n)).$ 
 $(T', T) \in \text{state-wl-l } (\text{Some } (L, j)) \wedge$ 

```

$\text{correct-watching-except } i \ j \ L \ T' \wedge$
 $j \leq \text{length}(\text{watched-by } T' L) \wedge$
 $\text{length}(\text{watched-by } S L) = \text{length}(\text{watched-by } T' L) \wedge$
 $i \leq j \wedge$
 $(\text{get-conflict-wl } T' = \text{None} \longrightarrow$
 $n = \text{size}(\text{filter-mset}(\lambda(i, -). i \notin \text{dom-m}(\text{get-clauses-wl } T')) (\text{mset}(\text{drop } j (\text{watched-by } T' L)))) \wedge$
 $(\text{get-conflict-wl } T' \neq \text{None} \longrightarrow n = 0)\}$
 $(\text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-l-with-skip } L (S', n))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-loop*
 $:: \langle 'v \text{ literal} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \times \text{nat} \times 'v \text{ twl-st-wl}) \text{ nres} \rangle \text{ where}$
 $\langle \text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-loop } L \ S_0 = \text{do} \{$
 $\text{let } n = \text{length}(\text{watched-by } S_0 \ L);$
 $\text{WHILE}_T \text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-loop-inv } L$
 $(\lambda(j, w, S). w < n \wedge \text{get-conflict-wl } S = \text{None})$
 $(\lambda(j, w, S). \text{do} \{$
 $\text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-wl } L \ j \ w \ S$
 $\})$
 $(0, 0, S_0)$
 $\}$

lemma *correct-watching-except-correct-watching-cut-watch*:
assumes *corr*: $\langle \text{correct-watching-except } j \ w \ L (a, b, c, d, e, f, g) \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{correct-watching } (a, b, c, d, e, f, g(L := \text{take } j (g L) @ \text{drop } w (g L))) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-loop-alt-def*:
 $\langle \text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-loop } L \ S_0 = \text{do} \{$
 $\text{let } (- :: \text{nat}) = (\text{if get-conflict-wl } S_0 = \text{None} \text{ then remaining-nondom-wl } 0 \ L \ S_0 \text{ else } 0);$
 $\text{let } n = \text{length}(\text{watched-by } S_0 \ L);$
 $\text{WHILE}_T \text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-loop-inv } L$
 $(\lambda(j, w, S). w < n \wedge \text{get-conflict-wl } S = \text{None})$
 $(\lambda(j, w, S). \text{do} \{$
 $\text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-wl } L \ j \ w \ S$
 $\})$
 $(0, 0, S_0)$
 $\}$
 \rangle
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *cut-watch-list* $:: \langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ literal} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \text{ nres} \rangle \text{ where}$
 $\langle \text{cut-watch-list } j \ w \ L = (\lambda(M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W). \text{do} \{$
 $\text{ASSERT}(j \leq w \wedge j \leq \text{length}(W L) \wedge w \leq \text{length}(W L));$
 $\text{RETURN } (M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W(L := \text{take } j (W L) @ \text{drop } w (W L)))$
 $\}) \rangle$

definition *unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl* $:: \langle 'v \text{ literal} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \text{ nres} \rangle \text{ where}$
 $\langle \text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl } L \ S_0 = \text{do} \{$
 $(j, w, S) \leftarrow \text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-loop } L \ S_0;$
 $\text{ASSERT}(j \leq w \wedge w \leq \text{length}(\text{watched-by } S \ L));$

```

cut-watch-list j w L S
}

lemma correct-watching-correct-watching-except00:
⟨correct-watching S ⇒ correct-watching-except 0 0 L S⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-spec:
shows ⟨(uncurry unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl, uncurry unit-propagation-inner-loop-l) ∈
{((L', T'::'v twl-st-wl), (L, T)::'v twl-st-l)). L = L' ∧ (T', T) ∈ state-wl-l (Some (L, 0)) ∧
correct-watching T'} →
⟨{(T', T). (T', T) ∈ state-wl-l None ∧ correct-watching T'}⟩ nres-rel
⟩ (is ⟨?fg ∈ ?A → ⟨?B⟩nres-rel⟩ is ⟨?fg ∈ ?A → ⟨{(T', T). - ∧ ?P T T'}⟩nres-rel⟩)
⟨proof⟩

```

Outer loop

definition *select-and-remove-from-literals-to-update-wl* :: $\langle 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow ('v \text{ twl-st-wl} \times 'v \text{ literal}) \text{ nres} \rangle$
where
 $\langle \text{select-and-remove-from-literals-to-update-wl } S = \text{SPEC}(\lambda(S', L). L \in \# \text{ literals-to-update-wl } S \wedge$
 $S' = \text{set-literals-to-update-wl } (\text{literals-to-update-wl } S - \{\#\#L\#\}) \text{ } S) \rangle$

definition *unit-propagation-outer-loop-wl-inv* **where**
 $\langle \text{unit-propagation-outer-loop-wl-inv } S \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists S'. (S, S') \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge$
 $\text{correct-watching } S \wedge$
 $\text{unit-propagation-outer-loop-l-inv } S') \rangle$

```

definition unit-propagation-outer-loop-wl :: ⟨'v twl-st-wl ⇒ 'v twl-st-wl nres⟩ where
  ⟨unit-propagation-outer-loop-wl S0 =
    WHILET unit-propagation-outer-loop-wl-inv
      (λS. literals-to-update-wl S ≠ {#})
      (λS. do {
        ASSERT(literals-to-update-wl S ≠ {#});
        (S', L) ← select-and-remove-from-literals-to-update-wl S;
        ASSERT(L ∈# all-lits-of-mm (mset '# ran-mf (get-clauses-wl S') + get-unit-clauses-wl S'));
        unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl L S'
      })
      (S0 :: 'v twl-st-wl)
  ⟩

```

```

lemma unit-propagation-outer-loop-wl-spec:
  ⟨(unit-propagation-outer-loop-wl, unit-propagation-outer-loop-l)
  ∈ {((T'::'v twl-st-wl, T).
    (T', T) ∈ state-wl-l None ∧
    correct-watching T')} →f
  {((T', T).
    (T', T) ∈ state-wl-l None ∧
    correct-watching T')}⟩nres-rel
  (is ⟨?u ∈ ?A →f ⟨?B⟩ nres-rel⟩)
⟨proof⟩

```

Decide or Skip

definition *find-unassigned-lit-wl* :: $\langle 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ literal option nres} \rangle$ **where**

```

⟨find-unassigned-lit-wl = (λ(M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q).
  SPEC (λL.
    (L ≠ None →
      undefined-lit M (the L) ∧
      atm-of (the L) ∈ atms-of-mm (clause ‘# twl-clause-of ‘# init-clss-lf N + NE)) ∧
    (L = None → (‡ L'. undefined-lit M L' ∧
      atm-of L' ∈ atms-of-mm (clause ‘# twl-clause-of ‘# init-clss-lf N + NE)))))
⟩

```

definition decide-wl-or-skip-pre **where**

```

⟨decide-wl-or-skip-pre S ↔
  (exists S'. (S, S') ∈ state-wl-l None ∧
  decide-l-or-skip-pre S')
⟩

```

definition decide-lit-wl :: ⟨‘v literal ⇒ ‘v twl-st-wl ⇒ ‘v twl-st-wl⟩ **where**

```

⟨decide-lit-wl = (λL' (M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W).
  (Decided L' # M, N, D, NE, UE, {#– L' #}, W))
⟩

```

definition decide-wl-or-skip :: ⟨‘v twl-st-wl ⇒ (bool × ‘v twl-st-wl) nres⟩ **where**

```

⟨decide-wl-or-skip S = (do {
  ASSERT(decide-wl-or-skip-pre S);
  L ← find-unassigned-lit-wl S;
  case L of
    None ⇒ RETURN (True, S)
  | Some L ⇒ RETURN (False, decide-lit-wl L S)
})
⟩

```

lemma decide-wl-or-skip-spec:

```

⟨(decide-wl-or-skip, decide-l-or-skip)
  ∈ {(T':: ‘v twl-st-wl, T).
    (T', T) ∈ state-wl-l None ∧
    correct-watching T' ∧
    get-conflict-wl T' = None} →
  ⟨{((b', T'), (b, T)). b' = b ∧
    (T', T) ∈ state-wl-l None ∧
    correct-watching T'}⟩ nres-rel
⟩

```

(proof)

Skip or Resolve

definition tl-state-wl :: ⟨‘v twl-st-wl ⇒ ‘v twl-st-wl⟩ **where**

```

⟨tl-state-wl = (λ(M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q). (tl M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q))
⟩

```

definition resolve-cls-wl' :: ⟨‘v twl-st-wl ⇒ nat ⇒ ‘v literal ⇒ ‘v clause⟩ **where**

```

⟨resolve-cls-wl' S C L =
  remove1-mset L (remove1-mset (–L)) (the (get-conflict-wl S) ∪# (mset (get-clauses-wl S ∞ C)))
⟩

```

definition update-confl-tl-wl :: ⟨nat ⇒ ‘v literal ⇒ ‘v twl-st-wl ⇒ bool × ‘v twl-st-wl⟩ **where**

```

⟨update-confl-tl-wl = (λC L (M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q).
  let D = resolve-cls-wl' (M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) C L in
  (False, (tl M, N, Some D, NE, UE, WS, Q)))
⟩

```

definition skip-and-resolve-loop-wl-inv :: ⟨‘v twl-st-wl ⇒ bool ⇒ ‘v twl-st-wl ⇒ bool⟩ **where**

$\langle \text{skip-and-resolve-loop-wl-inv } S_0 \text{ brk } S \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists S' S'_0. (S, S') \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge$
 $(S_0, S'_0) \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge$
 $\text{skip-and-resolve-loop-inv-l } S'_0 \text{ brk } S' \wedge$
 $\text{correct-watching } S)$

definition $\text{skip-and-resolve-loop-wl} :: \langle 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-wl nres} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{skip-and-resolve-loop-wl } S_0 =$
 $\text{do } \{$
 $\text{ASSERT}(\text{get-conflict-wl } S_0 \neq \text{None});$
 $(-, S) \leftarrow$
 $\text{WHILE}_T \lambda(\text{brk}, S). \text{skip-and-resolve-loop-wl-inv } S_0 \text{ brk } S$
 $(\lambda(\text{brk}, S). \neg \text{brk} \wedge \neg \text{is-decided} (\text{hd} (\text{get-trail-wl } S)))$
 $(\lambda(-, S).$
 $\text{do } \{$
 $\text{let } D' = \text{the} (\text{get-conflict-wl } S);$
 $\text{let } (L, C) = \text{lit-and-ann-of-propagated} (\text{hd} (\text{get-trail-wl } S));$
 $\text{if } -L \notin D' \text{ then}$
 $\text{do } \{ \text{RETURN} (\text{False}, \text{tl-state-wl } S) \}$
 else
 $\text{if } \text{get-maximum-level} (\text{get-trail-wl } S) (\text{remove1-mset} (-L) D') = \text{count-decided} (\text{get-trail-wl }$
 $S)$
 then
 $\text{do } \{ \text{RETURN} (\text{update-confl-tl-wl } C L S) \}$
 else
 $\text{do } \{ \text{RETURN} (\text{True}, S) \}$
 $\}$
 $)$
 $(\text{False}, S_0);$
 $\text{RETURN } S$
 $\}$
 \rangle

lemma $\text{tl-state-wl-tl-state-l}:$
 $\langle (S, S') \in \text{state-wl-l None} \implies (\text{tl-state-wl } S, \text{tl-state-l } S') \in \text{state-wl-l None} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{skip-and-resolve-loop-wl-spec}:$
 $\langle (\text{skip-and-resolve-loop-wl}, \text{skip-and-resolve-loop-l})$
 $\in \{(T' :: 'v \text{ twl-st-wl}, T).$
 $(T', T) \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge$
 $\text{correct-watching } T' \wedge$
 $0 < \text{count-decided} (\text{get-trail-wl } T')\} \rightarrow$
 $\{\{(T', T).$
 $(T', T) \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge$
 $\text{correct-watching } T'\}\} \rangle_{\text{nres-rel}}$
 $\langle \text{is } \langle ?s \in ?A \rightarrow (?B) \text{nres-rel} \rangle \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Backtrack

definition $\text{find-decomp-wl} :: \langle 'v \text{ literal} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-wl nres} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{find-decomp-wl} = (\lambda S. (M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W).$
 $SPEC(\lambda S. \exists K M2 M1. S = (M1, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W) \wedge (\text{Decided } K \# M1, M2) \in \text{set}$
 $(\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } M) \wedge$
 $\text{get-level } M K = \text{get-maximum-level } M (\text{the } D - \{\#-L\#}) + 1)) \rangle$

```

definition find-lit-of-max-level-wl :: <'v twl-st-wl  $\Rightarrow$  'v literal  $\Rightarrow$  'v literal nres> where
  <find-lit-of-max-level-wl =  $(\lambda(M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W). L.$ 
     $SPEC(\lambda L'. L' \in \# remove1-mset (-L) (the D) \wedge get-level M L' = get-maximum-level M (the D -$ 
     $\{#-L#\}))>$ 

```

```

fun extract-shorter-conflict-wl :: <'v twl-st-wl  $\Rightarrow$  'v twl-st-wl nres> where
  <extract-shorter-conflict-wl (M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W) = SPEC( $\lambda S.$ 
     $\exists D'. D' \subseteq \# the D \wedge S = (M, N, Some D', NE, UE, Q, W) \wedge$ 
     $clause \ '# twl-clause-of '\# ran-mf N + NE + UE \models pm D' \wedge -(lit-of (hd M)) \in \# D')>$ 

```

```

declare extract-shorter-conflict-wl.simps[simp del]
lemmas extract-shorter-conflict-wl-def = extract-shorter-conflict-wl.simps

```

```

definition backtrack-wl-inv where
  <backtrack-wl-inv S  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists S'. (S, S') \in state-wl-l None \wedge$ 
  < $backtrack-l-inv S' \wedge correct-watching S)$ 
  >

```

Roughly: we get a fresh index that has not yet been used.

```

definition get-fresh-index-wl :: <'v clauses-l  $\Rightarrow$  -  $\Rightarrow$  -  $\Rightarrow$  nat nres> where
  <get-fresh-index-wl N NUE W = SPEC( $\lambda i. i > 0 \wedge i \notin \# dom-m N \wedge$ 
   $(\forall L \in \# all-lits-of-mm (mset '\# ran-mf N + NUE) . i \notin fst ' set (W L)))>$ 

```

```

definition propagate-bt-wl :: <'v literal  $\Rightarrow$  'v literal  $\Rightarrow$  'v twl-st-wl  $\Rightarrow$  'v twl-st-wl nres> where
  <propagate-bt-wl =  $(\lambda L L' (M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W). do \{$ 
     $D'' \leftarrow list-of-mset (the D);$ 
     $i \leftarrow get-fresh-index-wl N (NE + UE) W;$ 
     $let b = (length ([-L, L']) @ (remove1 (-L) (remove1 L' D''))) = 2);$ 
     $RETURN (Propagated (-L) i \# M,$ 
       $fmupd i ([-L, L'] @ (remove1 (-L) (remove1 L' D'')), False) N,$ 
       $None, NE, UE, \{#L#\}, W(-L:= W (-L) @ [(i, L', b)], L':= W L' @ [(i, -L, b)]))$ 
     $\})>$ 

```

```

definition propagate-unit-bt-wl :: <'v literal  $\Rightarrow$  'v twl-st-wl  $\Rightarrow$  'v twl-st-wl> where
  <propagate-unit-bt-wl =  $(\lambda L (M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W).$ 
   $(Propagated (-L) 0 \# M, N, None, NE, add-mset (the D) UE, \{#L#\}, W))>$ 

```

```

definition backtrack-wl :: <'v twl-st-wl  $\Rightarrow$  'v twl-st-wl nres> where
  <backtrack-wl S =
    do {
      ASSERT(backtrack-wl-inv S);
      let L = lit-of (hd (get-trail-wl S));
      S  $\leftarrow$  extract-shorter-conflict-wl S;
      S  $\leftarrow$  find-decomp-wl L S;

      if size (the (get-conflict-wl S)) > 1
      then do {
        L'  $\leftarrow$  find-lit-of-max-level-wl S L;
        propagate-bt-wl L L' S
      }
      else do {
        RETURN (propagate-unit-bt-wl L S)
      }
    }>

```

lemma *correct-watching-learn*:

assumes

- $L1 : \langle atm\text{-}of L1 \in atms\text{-}of-mm (mset \# ran\text{-}mf N + NE) \rangle \text{ and}$
- $L2 : \langle atm\text{-}of L2 \in atms\text{-}of-mm (mset \# ran\text{-}mf N + NE) \rangle \text{ and}$
- $UW : \langle atms\text{-}of (mset UW) \subseteq atms\text{-}of-mm (mset \# ran\text{-}mf N + NE) \rangle \text{ and}$
- $i\text{-dom} : \langle i \notin \# dom\text{-}m N \rangle \text{ and}$
- $\text{fresh} : \langle \bigwedge L. L \in \# all\text{-}lits\text{-}of-mm (mset \# ran\text{-}mf N + (NE + UE)) \implies i \notin \text{fst } 'set (WL) \rangle \text{ and}$
- $[\text{iff}] : \langle L1 \neq L2 \rangle \text{ and}$
- $b : \langle b \longleftrightarrow \text{length}(L1 \# L2 \# UW) = 2 \rangle$

shows

$$\langle \text{correct-watching}(K \# M, fmupd i (L1 \# L2 \# UW, b') N, D, NE, UE, Q, W (L1 := WL1 @ [(i, L2, b)], L2 := WL2 @ [(i, L1, b)])) \longleftrightarrow \text{correct-watching}(M, N, D, NE, UE, Q', W) \rangle$$

(**is** $\langle ?l \longleftrightarrow ?c \rangle$ **is** $\langle \text{correct-watching}(-, ?N, -) = \neg \rangle$)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun *equality-except-conflict-wl* :: $\langle 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ **where**

$$\langle \text{equality-except-conflict-wl}(M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) (M', N', D', NE', UE', WS', Q') \longleftrightarrow M = M' \wedge N = N' \wedge NE = NE' \wedge UE = UE' \wedge WS = WS' \wedge Q = Q' \rangle$$

fun *equality-except-trail-wl* :: $\langle 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ **where**

$$\langle \text{equality-except-trail-wl}(M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) (M', N', D', NE', UE', WS', Q') \longleftrightarrow N = N' \wedge D = D' \wedge NE = NE' \wedge UE = UE' \wedge WS = WS' \wedge Q = Q' \rangle$$

lemma *equality-except-conflict-wl-get-clauses-wl*:

$$\langle \text{equality-except-conflict-wl} S Y \implies \text{get-clauses-wl} S = \text{get-clauses-wl} Y \rangle$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equality-except-trail-wl-get-clauses-wl*:

$$\langle \text{equality-except-trail-wl} S Y \implies \text{get-clauses-wl} S = \text{get-clauses-wl} Y \rangle$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *backtrack-wl-spec*:

$$\langle (\text{backtrack-wl}, \text{backtrack-l}) \in \{(T' : 'v \text{ twl-st-wl}, T) \mid (T', T) \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge \text{correct-watching } T' \wedge \text{get-conflict-wl } T' \neq \text{None} \wedge \text{get-conflict-wl } T' \neq \text{Some } \{\#\}\} \rightarrow \langle \{(T', T)\} \mid (T', T) \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge \text{correct-watching } T'\} \rangle \text{nres-rel} \rangle$$

(**is** $\langle ?bt \in ?A \rightarrow \langle ?B \rangle \text{nres-rel} \rangle$)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Backtrack, Skip, Resolve or Decide

definition *cdcl-twl-o-prog-wl-pre* **where**

$$\langle \text{cdcl-twl-o-prog-wl-pre} S \longleftrightarrow (\exists S'. (S, S') \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge \text{correct-watching } S \wedge \text{cdcl-twl-o-prog-l-pre } S') \rangle$$

definition *cdcl-twl-o-prog-wl* :: $\langle 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow (\text{bool} \times 'v \text{ twl-st-wl}) \text{ nres} \rangle$ **where**

$$\langle \text{cdcl-twl-o-prog-wl} S =$$

```

do {
  ASSERT(cdcl-twlo-prog-wl-pre S);
  do {
    if get-conflict-wl S = None
    then decide-wl-or-skip S
    else do {
      if count-decided (get-trail-wl S) > 0
      then do {
        T ← skip-and-resolve-loop-wl S;
        ASSERT(get-conflict-wl T ≠ None ∧ get-conflict-wl T ≠ Some {#});
        U ← backtrack-wl T;
        RETURN (False, U)
      }
      else do {RETURN (True, S)}
    }
  }
}

```

lemma cdcl-twlo-prog-wl-spec:

$\langle (cdcl-twlo-prog-wl, cdcl-twlo-prog-l) \in \{(S::'v twl-st-wl, S':'v twl-st-l)\}.$
 $(S, S') \in state-wl-l \text{ None } \wedge$
 $\text{correct-watching } S \rangle \rightarrow_f$
 $\langle \{((brk::bool, T::'v twl-st-wl), brk':bool, T':'v twl-st-l).$
 $(T, T') \in state-wl-l \text{ None } \wedge$
 $brk = brk' \wedge$
 $\text{correct-watching } T \rangle nres-rel$
 $(\mathbf{is} \langle ?o \in ?A \rightarrow_f \langle ?B \rangle nres-rel \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Full Strategy

definition cdcl-twlo-stgy-prog-wl-inv :: $\langle 'v twl-st-wl \Rightarrow \text{bool} \times 'v twl-st-wl \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle cdcl-twlo-stgy-prog-wl-inv S_0 \equiv \lambda(brk, T).$
 $(\exists T' S_0'. (T, T') \in state-wl-l \text{ None } \wedge$
 $(S_0, S_0') \in state-wl-l \text{ None } \wedge$
 $cdcl-twlo-stgy-prog-l-inv S_0' (brk, T')) \rangle$

definition cdcl-twlo-stgy-prog-wl :: $\langle 'v twl-st-wl \Rightarrow 'v twl-st-wl nres \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle cdcl-twlo-stgy-prog-wl S_0 =$
 $\text{do } \{$
 $(brk, T) \leftarrow \text{WHILE}_T cdcl-twlo-stgy-prog-wl-inv S_0$
 $(\lambda(brk, -). \neg brk)$
 $(\lambda(brk, S). \text{do } \{$
 $T \leftarrow \text{unit-propagation-outer-loop-wl } S;$
 $cdcl-twlo-prog-wl T$
 $\})$
 $(False, S_0);$
 $\text{RETURN } T$
 $\}$

theorem cdcl-twlo-stgy-prog-wl-spec:

$\langle (cdcl-twlo-stgy-prog-wl, cdcl-twlo-stgy-prog-l) \in \{(S::'v twl-st-wl, S')\}.$
 $(S, S') \in state-wl-l \text{ None } \wedge$

$\text{correct-watching } S \} \rightarrow$
 $\langle \text{state-wl-l None} \rangle \text{nres-rel}$
(is $\langle ?o \in ?A \rightarrow \langle ?B \rangle \text{nres-rel} \rangle$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem $\text{cdcl-twl-stgy-prog-wl-spec}'$:
 $\langle \text{cdcl-twl-stgy-prog-wl}, \text{cdcl-twl-stgy-prog-wl} \rangle \in \{(S::'v \text{ twl-st-wl}, S') \cdot$
 $(S, S') \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge \text{correct-watching } S \} \rightarrow$
 $\{\{(S::'v \text{ twl-st-wl}, S') \cdot$
 $(S, S') \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge \text{correct-watching } S\} \rangle \text{nres-rel}$
(is $\langle ?o \in ?A \rightarrow \langle ?B \rangle \text{nres-rel} \rangle$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\text{cdcl-twl-stgy-prog-wl-pre}$ **where**
 $\langle \text{cdcl-twl-stgy-prog-wl-pre } S \ U \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists T. (S, T) \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge \text{cdcl-twl-stgy-prog-l-pre } T \ U \wedge \text{correct-watching } S)$

lemma $\text{cdcl-twl-stgy-prog-wl-spec-final}$:

assumes

$\langle \text{cdcl-twl-stgy-prog-wl-pre } S \ S' \rangle$

shows

$\langle \text{cdcl-twl-stgy-prog-wl } S \leq \Downarrow (\text{state-wl-l None} \ O \ \text{twl-st-l None}) \ (\text{conclusive-TWL-run } S') \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\text{cdcl-twl-stgy-prog-break-wl} :: \langle 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-wl nres} \rangle$ **where**

$\langle \text{cdcl-twl-stgy-prog-break-wl } S_0 =$

do {

$b \leftarrow \text{SPEC}(\lambda -. \text{ True});$

$(b, \text{brk}, T) \leftarrow \text{WHILE}_T \lambda(-, S). \text{cdcl-twl-stgy-prog-wl-inv } S_0 \ S$

$(\lambda(b, \text{brk}, -). b \wedge \neg \text{brk})$

$(\lambda(-, \text{brk}, S). \text{do }$

$T \leftarrow \text{unit-propagation-outer-loop-wl } S;$

$T \leftarrow \text{cdcl-twl-o-prog-wl } T;$

$b \leftarrow \text{SPEC}(\lambda -. \text{ True});$

$\text{RETURN } (b, T)$

})

$(b, \text{False}, S_0);$

$\text{if brk then RETURN } T$

$\text{else cdcl-twl-stgy-prog-wl } T$

}

theorem $\text{cdcl-twl-stgy-prog-break-wl-spec}'$:

$\langle \text{cdcl-twl-stgy-prog-break-wl}, \text{cdcl-twl-stgy-prog-break-l} \rangle \in \{(S::'v \text{ twl-st-wl}, S') \cdot$

$(S, S') \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge \text{correct-watching } S \} \rightarrow_f$

$\{\{(S::'v \text{ twl-st-wl}, S') \cdot (S, S') \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge \text{correct-watching } S\} \rangle \text{nres-rel}$

(is $\langle ?o \in ?A \rightarrow_f \langle ?B \rangle \text{nres-rel} \rangle$)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem $\text{cdcl-twl-stgy-prog-break-wl-spec}$:

$\langle \text{cdcl-twl-stgy-prog-break-wl}, \text{cdcl-twl-stgy-prog-break-l} \rangle \in \{(S::'v \text{ twl-st-wl}, S') \cdot$

$(S, S') \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge$

$\text{correct-watching } S \} \rightarrow_f$

$\langle \text{state-wl-l None} \rangle \text{nres-rel}$

(is $\langle ?o \in ?A \rightarrow_f \langle ?B \rangle \text{nres-rel} \rangle$)

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma cdcl-twlv-stgy-prog-break-wl-spec-final:
  assumes
    ⟨cdcl-twlv-stgy-prog-wl-pre S S'⟩
  shows
    ⟨cdcl-twlv-stgy-prog-break-wl S ≤ ⊥ (state-wl-l None O twlv-st-l None) (conclusive-TWL-run S')⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

end
theory Watched-Literals-Watch-List-Restart
  imports Watched-Literals-List-Restart Watched-Literals-Watch-List
begin

```

To ease the proof, we introduce the following “alternative” definitions, that only considers variables that are present in the initial clauses (which are never deleted from the set of clauses, but only moved to another component).

```

fun correct-watching' :: ⟨'v twlv-st-wl ⇒ bool⟩ where
  ⟨correct-watching' (M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W) ⟷
    (forall L ∈# all-lits-of-mm (mset ‘# init-clss-if N + NE).
      distinct-watched (W L) ∧
      (forall (i, K, b) ∈# mset (W L).
        i ∈# dom-m N → K ∈ set (N ∝ i) ∧ K ≠ L ∧ correctly-marked-as-binary N (i, K, b)) ∧
      (forall (i, K, b) ∈# mset (W L).
        b → i ∈# dom-m N) ∧
      filter-mset (λi. i ∈# dom-m N) (fst ‘# mset (W L)) = clause-to-update L (M, N, D, NE, UE,
      {#}, {#}))⟩

fun correct-watching'' :: ⟨'v twlv-st-wl ⇒ bool⟩ where
  ⟨correct-watching'' (M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W) ⟷
    (forall L ∈# all-lits-of-mm (mset ‘# init-clss-if N + NE).
      distinct-watched (W L) ∧
      (forall (i, K, b) ∈# mset (W L).
        i ∈# dom-m N → K ∈ set (N ∝ i) ∧ K ≠ L) ∧
      filter-mset (λi. i ∈# dom-m N) (fst ‘# mset (W L)) = clause-to-update L (M, N, D, NE, UE,
      {#}, {#}))⟩

```

```

lemma correct-watching'-correct-watching'': ⟨correct-watching' S ⇒ correct-watching'' S⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

declare correct-watching'.simp[simp del] correct-watching''.simp[simp del]

```

```

definition remove-all-annot-true-clause-imp-wl-inv
  :: ⟨'v twlv-st-wl ⇒ - ⇒ nat × 'v twlv-st-wl ⇒ bool
where
  ⟨remove-all-annot-true-clause-imp-wl-inv S xs = (λ(i, T).
    correct-watching'' S ∧ correct-watching'' T ∧
    (exists S' T'. (S, S') ∈ state-wl-l None ∧ (T, T') ∈ state-wl-l None ∧
    remove-all-annot-true-clause-imp-inv S' xs (i, T'))))⟩

```

```

definition remove-all-annot-true-clause-one-imp-wl
where
  ⟨remove-all-annot-true-clause-one-imp-wl = (λ(C, S). do {
    if C ∈# dom-m (get-clauses-wl S) then
      if irred (get-clauses-wl S) C
    ...})⟩

```

```

then RETURN (drop-clause-add-move-init S C)
else RETURN (drop-clause S C)
else do {
  RETURN S
}
})>

```

definition remove-all-annot-true-clause-imp-wl
 $\text{:: } \langle'v \text{ literal} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow ('v \text{ twl-st-wl}) \text{ nres}\rangle$

where

```

⟨remove-all-annot-true-clause-imp-wl = (λL S. do {
  let xs = get-watched-wl S L;
  (-, T) ← WHILET λ(i, T). remove-all-annot-true-clause-imp-wl-inv S xs (i, T)
  (λ(i, T). i < length xs)
  (λ(i, T). do {
    ASSERT(i < length xs);
    let (C, -, -) = xs!i;
    if C ∈# dom-m (get-clauses-wl T) ∧ length ((get-clauses-wl T) ∖ C) ≠ 2
    then do {
      T ← remove-all-annot-true-clause-one-imp-wl (C, T);
      RETURN (i+1, T)
    }
    else
      RETURN (i+1, T)
  })
  (0, S);
  RETURN T
})>

```

lemma reduce-dom-clauses-fmdrop:
 $\text{⟨reduce-dom-clauses } N0 N \implies \text{reduce-dom-clauses } N0 (\text{fmdrop } C N)\rangle$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma correct-watching-fmdrop:
assumes
 $\text{irred: } \neg \text{irred } N C \text{ and}$
 $C: \langle C \in# \text{dom-m } N \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle \text{correct-watching}' (M', N, D, NE, UE, Q, W) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $C2: \langle \text{length } (N \setminus C) \neq 2 \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{correct-watching}' (M, \text{fmdrop } C N, D, NE, UE, Q, W) \rangle$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma correct-watching''-fmdrop:
assumes
 $\text{irred: } \neg \text{irred } N C \text{ and}$
 $C: \langle C \in# \text{dom-m } N \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle \text{correct-watching}'' (M', N, D, NE, UE, Q, W) \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{correct-watching}'' (M, \text{fmdrop } C N, D, NE, UE, Q, W) \rangle$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma correct-watching''-fmdrop':
assumes
 $\text{irred: } \langle \text{irred } N C \rangle \text{ and}$

$C: \langle C \in \# \text{dom-}m N \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle \text{correct-watching}''(M', N, D, NE, UE, Q, W) \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{correct-watching}''(M, \text{fmdrop } C N, D, \text{add-mset } (\text{mset}(N \propto C)) \text{ NE, UE, Q, W}) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{correct-watching}''\text{-fmdrop}''$:
assumes
 $\text{irred}: \langle \neg \text{irred } N C \rangle$ **and**
 $C: \langle C \in \# \text{dom-}m N \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle \text{correct-watching}''(M', N, D, NE, UE, Q, W) \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{correct-watching}''(M, \text{fmdrop } C N, D, NE, \text{add-mset } (\text{mset}(N \propto C)) \text{ UE, Q, W}) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\text{remove-one-annot-true-clause-one-imp-wl-pre}$ **where**
 $\langle \text{remove-one-annot-true-clause-one-imp-wl-pre } i T \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists T'. (T, T') \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge$
 $\text{remove-one-annot-true-clause-one-imp-pre } i T' \wedge$
 $\text{correct-watching}'' T) \rangle$

definition $\text{remove-one-annot-true-clause-one-imp-wl}$
 $:: \langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \times 'v \text{ twl-st-wl}) \text{ nres} \rangle$
where
 $\langle \text{remove-one-annot-true-clause-one-imp-wl} = (\lambda i S. \text{do} \{$
 $\text{ASSERT}(\text{remove-one-annot-true-clause-one-imp-wl-pre } i S);$
 $\text{ASSERT}(\text{is-proped } (\text{rev } (\text{get-trail-wl } S) ! i));$
 $(L, C) \leftarrow \text{SPEC}(\lambda(L, C). (\text{rev } (\text{get-trail-wl } S))!i = \text{Propagated } L C);$
 $\text{ASSERT}(\text{Propagated } L C \in \text{set } (\text{get-trail-wl } S));$
 $\text{if } C = 0 \text{ then RETURN } (i+1, S)$
 $\text{else do} \{$
 $\text{ASSERT}(C \in \# \text{dom-}m (\text{get-clauses-wl } S));$
 $S \leftarrow \text{replace-annot-l } L C S;$
 $S \leftarrow \text{remove-and-add-cls-l } C S;$
 $\quad S \leftarrow \text{remove-all-annot-true-clause-imp-wl } L S;$
 $\quad \text{RETURN } (i+1, S)$
 $\}$
 $\}) \rangle$

lemma $\text{remove-one-annot-true-clause-one-imp-wl}\text{-remove-one-annot-true-clause-one-imp}$:
 $\langle \text{uncurry remove-one-annot-true-clause-one-imp-wl}, \text{uncurry remove-one-annot-true-clause-one-imp}$
 $\in \text{nat-rel} \times_f \{(S, T). (S, T) \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge \text{correct-watching}'' S\} \rightarrow_f$
 $\langle \text{nat-rel} \times_f \{(S, T). (S, T) \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge \text{correct-watching}'' S\} \rangle \text{nres-rel}$
(is $\langle - \in - \times_f ?A \rightarrow_f \neg \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\text{remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp-wl-inv}$ **where**
 $\langle \text{remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp-wl-inv } S = (\lambda(i, T).$
 $(\exists S' T'. (S, S') \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge (T, T') \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge$
 $\text{correct-watching}'' S \wedge \text{correct-watching}'' T \wedge$
 $\text{remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp-inv } S' (i, T')) \rangle$

definition $\text{remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp-wl} :: \langle 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow ('v \text{ twl-st-wl}) \text{ nres} \rangle$
where
 $\langle \text{remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp-wl} = (\lambda S. \text{do} \{$
 $k \leftarrow \text{SPEC}(\lambda k. (\exists M1 M2 K. (\text{Decided } K \# M1, M2) \in \text{set } (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } (\text{get-trail-wl } S))) \wedge$

```

  count-decided  $M1 = 0 \wedge k = \text{length } M1$ )
 $\vee (\text{count-decided} (\text{get-trail-wl } S) = 0 \wedge k = \text{length} (\text{get-trail-wl } S)))$ ;
 $(-, S) \leftarrow \text{WHILE}_T^{\text{remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp-wl-inv } S}$ 
 $(\lambda(i, S). i < k)$ 
 $(\lambda(i, S). \text{remove-one-annot-true-clause-one-imp-wl } i S)$ 
 $(0, S);$ 
 $\text{RETURN } S$ 
})}

```

lemma $\text{remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp-wl-remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp}$:
 $\langle (\text{remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp-wl}, \text{remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp})$
 $\in \{(S, T). (S, T) \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge \text{correct-watching}'' S\} \rightarrow_f$
 $\langle \{(S, T). (S, T) \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge \text{correct-watching}'' S\} \rangle_{\text{nres-rel}}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\text{collect-valid-indices-wl} :: \langle 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow \text{nat list nres} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{collect-valid-indices-wl } S = \text{SPEC} (\lambda N. \text{True}) \rangle$

definition $\text{mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-inv}$
 $:: \langle 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow \text{nat list} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \times 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \times \text{nat list} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$
where
 $\langle \text{mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-inv} = (\lambda S \text{ xs0} (i, T, xs).$
 $\exists S' T'. (S, S') \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge (T, T') \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge$
 $\text{mark-to-delete-clauses-l-inv } S' \text{ xs0 } (i, T', xs) \wedge$
 $\text{correct-watching}' S) \rangle$

definition $\text{mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-pre} :: \langle 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$
where
 $\langle \text{mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-pre } S \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists T. (S, T) \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge \text{mark-to-delete-clauses-l-pre } T) \rangle$

definition $\text{mark-garbage-wl} :: \langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{mark-garbage-wl} = (\lambda C (M, N0, D, NE, UE, WS, Q). (M, fmdrop } C N0, D, NE, UE, WS, Q)) \rangle$

definition $\text{mark-to-delete-clauses-wl} :: \langle 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-wl nres} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{mark-to-delete-clauses-wl} = (\lambda S. \text{do} \{$
 $\text{ASSERT}(\text{mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-pre } S);$
 $xs \leftarrow \text{collect-valid-indices-wl } S;$
 $l \leftarrow \text{SPEC}(\lambda :: \text{nat}. \text{True});$
 $(-, S, -) \leftarrow \text{WHILE}_T^{\text{mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-inv } S \text{ xs}}$
 $(\lambda(i, S, xs). i < \text{length } xs)$
 $(\lambda(i, T, xs). \text{do} \{$
 $\text{if}(xs!i \notin \text{dom-m} (\text{get-clauses-wl } T)) \text{ then RETURN } (i, T, \text{delete-index-and-swap } xs \ i)$
 $\text{else do} \{$
 $\text{ASSERT}(0 < \text{length} (\text{get-clauses-wl } T \propto (xs!i)));$
 $\text{can-del} \leftarrow \text{SPEC}(\lambda b. b \rightarrow$
 $(\text{Propagated } (\text{get-clauses-wl } T \propto (xs!i)!0) (xs!i) \notin \text{set } (\text{get-trail-wl } T)) \wedge$
 $\neg \text{irred } (\text{get-clauses-wl } T) (xs!i) \wedge \text{length } (\text{get-clauses-wl } T \propto (xs!i)) \neq 2);$
 $\text{ASSERT}(i < \text{length } xs);$
 if can-del
 then
 $\text{RETURN } (i, \text{mark-garbage-wl } (xs!i) \ T, \text{delete-index-and-swap } xs \ i)$
 else
 $\text{RETURN } (i+1, T, xs)$
 $\})$

```

        })
      (l, S, xs);
      RETURN S
    })>

```

lemma *mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-mark-to-delete-clauses-l*:
 $\langle \text{mark-to-delete-clauses-wl}, \text{mark-to-delete-clauses-l} \rangle$
 $\in \{(S, T). (S, T) \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge \text{correct-watching}' S\} \rightarrow_f$
 $\langle \{(S, T). (S, T) \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge \text{correct-watching}' S\} \rangle nres\text{-rel}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

This is only a specification and must be implemented. There are two ways to do so:

1. clean the watch lists and then iterate over all clauses to rebuild them.
2. iterate over the watch list and check whether the clause index is in the domain or not.

It is not clear which is faster (but option 1 requires only 1 memory access per clause instead of two). The first option is implemented in SPASS-SAT. The latter version (partly) in radical.

definition *rewatch-clauses* :: $\langle 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} nres \rangle$ **where**
 $\text{rewatch-clauses} = (\lambda(M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W). \text{SPEC}(\lambda(M', N', D', NE', UE', Q', W')).$
 $(M, N, D, NE, UE, Q) = (M', N', D', NE', UE', Q') \wedge$
 $\text{correct-watching}(M, N', D, NE, UE, Q, W')) \rangle$

definition *mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-post* **where**
 $\langle \text{mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-post} S T \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists S' T'. (S, S') \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge (T, T') \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge$
 $\text{mark-to-delete-clauses-l-post } S' T' \wedge \text{correct-watching } S \wedge$
 $\text{correct-watching } T) \rangle$

definition *cdcl-twl-full-restart-wl-prog* :: $\langle 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} nres \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{cdcl-twl-full-restart-wl-prog } S = \text{do} \{$
 $\quad \text{— remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp-wl } S$
 $\quad \text{ASSERT}(\text{mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-pre } S);$
 $\quad T \leftarrow \text{mark-to-delete-clauses-wl } S;$
 $\quad \text{ASSERT}(\text{mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-post } S T);$
 $\quad \text{RETURN } T$
 $\} \rangle$

lemma *correct-watching-correct-watching*: $\langle \text{correct-watching } S \implies \text{correct-watching}' S \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in -) [twl-st-l, simp]:
 $\langle (Sa, x) \in \text{twl-st-l None} \implies \text{get-all-learned-clss } x = \text{mset} \# (\text{get-learned-clss-l } Sa) + \text{get-unit-learned-clauses-l } Sa \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl-twl-full-restart-wl-prog-final-rel*:
assumes
 $S\text{-}Sa: \langle (S, Sa) \in \{(S, T). (S, T) \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge \text{correct-watching}' S\} \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{pre-}Sa: \langle \text{mark-to-delete-clauses-l-pre } Sa \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{pre-}S: \langle \text{mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-pre } S \rangle \text{ and}$
 $T\text{-}Ta: \langle (T, Ta) \in \{(S, T). (S, T) \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge \text{correct-watching}' S\} \rangle \text{ and}$

pre-l: ⟨mark-to-delete-clauses-l-post Sa Ta⟩
shows ⟨mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-post S T⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma cdcl-twlfull-restart-wl-prog-final-rel':
assumes
S-Sa: ⟨(S, Sa) ∈ {(S, T). (S, T) ∈ state-wl-l None ∧ correct-watching S}⟩ **and**
pre-Sa: ⟨mark-to-delete-clauses-l-pre Sa⟩ **and**
pre-S: ⟨mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-pre S⟩ **and**
T-Ta: ⟨(T, Ta) ∈ {(S, T). (S, T) ∈ state-wl-l None ∧ correct-watching' S}⟩ **and**
pre-l: ⟨mark-to-delete-clauses-l-post Sa Ta⟩
shows ⟨mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-post S T⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma cdcl-twlfull-restart-wl-prog-cdclfull-twlfrestart-l-prog:
⟨cdcl-twlfull-restart-wl-prog, cdcl-twlfull-restart-l-prog)
∈ {(S, T). (S, T) ∈ state-wl-l None ∧ correct-watching S} →_f
⟨{(S, T). (S, T) ∈ state-wl-l None ∧ correct-watching S}⟩ nres-rel
⟨proof⟩

definition (in -) cdcl-twlf-local-restart-wl-spec :: ⟨'v twl-st-wl ⇒ 'v twl-st-wl nres⟩ **where**
⟨cdcl-twlf-local-restart-wl-spec = ($\lambda(M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W).$ do {
 $(M, Q) \leftarrow SPEC(\lambda(M', Q')). (\exists K M2. (Decided K \# M', M2) \in set (get-all-ann-decomposition M)) \wedge$
 $Q' = \{\#\}) \vee (M' = M \wedge Q' = Q));$
RETURN (M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W)
}⟩

lemma cdcl-twlf-local-restart-wl-spec-cdcl-twlf-local-restart-l-spec:
⟨cdcl-twlf-local-restart-wl-spec, cdcl-twlf-local-restart-l-spec)
∈ {(S, T). (S, T) ∈ state-wl-l None ∧ correct-watching S} →_f
⟨{(S, T). (S, T) ∈ state-wl-l None ∧ correct-watching S}⟩ nres-rel
⟨proof⟩

definition cdcl-twlf-restart-wl-prog **where**
⟨cdcl-twlf-restart-wl-prog S = do {
 $b \leftarrow SPEC(\lambda-. True);$
 $if b then cdcl-twlf-local-restart-wl-spec S else cdcl-twlf-full-restart-wl-prog S$
}⟩

lemma cdcl-twlf-restart-wl-prog-cdcl-twlf-restart-l-prog:
⟨cdcl-twlf-restart-wl-prog, cdcl-twlf-restart-l-prog)
∈ {(S, T). (S, T) ∈ state-wl-l None ∧ correct-watching S} →_f
⟨{(S, T). (S, T) ∈ state-wl-l None ∧ correct-watching S}⟩ nres-rel
⟨proof⟩

definition (in -) restart-abs-wl-pre :: ⟨'v twl-st-wl ⇒ bool ⇒ bool⟩ **where**
⟨restart-abs-wl-pre S brk ↔
 $(\exists S'. (S, S') \in state-wl-l None \wedge restart-abs-l-pre S' brk$
 $\wedge correct-watching S)$ ⟩

context twlf-restart-ops
begin

definition (in *twl-restart-ops*) *restart-required-wl* :: $\langle'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \text{ nres}\rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{restart-required-wl } S \text{ } n = \text{SPEC} (\lambda b. b \rightarrow f n < \text{size} (\text{get-learned-clss-wl } S))\rangle$

definition (in *twl-restart-ops*) *cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-abs-wl-inv*
 $\langle \text{:: } \langle'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}\rangle \text{ where}\rangle$
 $\langle \text{cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-abs-wl-inv } S_0 \text{ brk } T \text{ } n \equiv$
 $(\exists S_0' \text{ } T'.$
 $(S_0, S_0') \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge$
 $(T, T') \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge$
 $\text{cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-abs-wl-inv } S_0' \text{ brk } T' \text{ } n \wedge$
 $\text{correct-watching } T)\rangle$

end

context *twl-restart-ops*

begin

definition *cdcl-GC-clauses-pre-wl* :: $\langle'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow \text{bool}\rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{cdcl-GC-clauses-pre-wl } S \longleftrightarrow ($
 $\exists T. (S, T) \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge$
 $\text{correct-watching'' } S \wedge$
 $\text{cdcl-GC-clauses-pre } T$
 $)\rangle$

definition *cdcl-GC-clauses-wl* :: $\langle'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \text{ nres}\rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{cdcl-GC-clauses-wl} = (\lambda(M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q). \text{do}\{$
 $\text{ASSERT}(\text{cdcl-GC-clauses-pre-wl } (M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q));$
 $\text{let } b = \text{True};$
 $\text{if } b \text{ then do }\{$
 $(N', -) \leftarrow \text{SPEC} (\lambda(N'', m). \text{GC-remap}^{**} (N, \text{Map.empty}, \text{fmempty}) (\text{fmempty}, m, N'') \wedge$
 $0 \notin \# \text{dom-m } N'');$
 $Q \leftarrow \text{SPEC} (\lambda Q. \text{correct-watching'} (M, N', D, NE, UE, WS, Q));$
 $\text{RETURN } (M, N', D, NE, UE, WS, Q)$
 $\}$
 $\text{else RETURN } (M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q)\})\rangle$

lemma *cdcl-GC-clauses-wl-cdcl-GC-clauses*:

$\langle (\text{cdcl-GC-clauses-wl}, \text{cdcl-GC-clauses}) \in \{(S \text{::} 'v \text{ twl-st-wl}, S') \cdot$
 $(S, S') \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge \text{correct-watching'' } S\} \rightarrow_f \langle\{(S \text{::} 'v \text{ twl-st-wl}, S') \cdot$
 $(S, S') \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge \text{correct-watching'} S\}\rangle \text{nres-rel}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *cdcl-tw-l-full-restart-wl-GC-prog-post* :: $\langle'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow \text{bool}\rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{cdcl-tw-l-full-restart-wl-GC-prog-post } S \text{ } T \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists S' \text{ } T'. (S, S') \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge (T, T') \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge$
 $\text{cdcl-tw-l-full-restart-l-GC-prog-pre } S' \wedge$
 $\text{cdcl-tw-l-restart-l } S' \text{ } T' \wedge \text{correct-watching'} T \wedge$
 $\text{set-mset} (\text{all-lits-of-mm} (\text{mset} \# \text{init-clss-lf} (\text{get-clauses-wl } T) + \text{get-unit-init-clss-wl } T)) =$
 $\text{set-mset} (\text{all-lits-of-mm} (\text{mset} \# \text{ran-mf} (\text{get-clauses-wl } T) + \text{get-unit-clauses-wl } T)))\rangle$

definition (in *-*) *cdcl-tw-l-local-restart-wl-spec0* :: $\langle'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \text{ nres}\rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{cdcl-tw-l-local-restart-wl-spec0} = (\lambda(M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W). \text{do}\{$
 $(M, Q) \leftarrow \text{SPEC} (\lambda(M', Q'). (\exists K M2. (\text{Decided } K \# M', M2) \in \text{set} (\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } M) \wedge$
 $Q' = \{\#\} \wedge \text{count-decided } M' = 0) \vee (M' = M \wedge Q' = Q \wedge \text{count-decided } M' = 0));$
 $\text{RETURN } (M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W)\rangle$

}))

definition *mark-to-delete-clauses-wl2-inv*
 $:: \langle' v twl-st-wl \Rightarrow nat\ list \Rightarrow nat \times 'v twl-st-wl \times nat\ list \Rightarrow bool$

where

$\langle mark-to-delete-clauses-wl2-inv = (\lambda S\ xs0\ (i,\ T,\ xs).\$
 $\exists S'\ T'.\ (S,\ S') \in state-wl-l\ None \wedge (T,\ T') \in state-wl-l\ None \wedge$
 $mark-to-delete-clauses-l-inv\ S'\ xs0\ (i,\ T',\ xs) \wedge$
 $correct-watching''\ S)$

definition *mark-to-delete-clauses-wl2* :: $\langle' v twl-st-wl \Rightarrow 'v twl-st-wl\ nres$ **where**

$\langle mark-to-delete-clauses-wl2 = (\lambda S.\ do\{$

ASSERT(*mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-pre* *S*);

xs \leftarrow *collect-valid-indices-wl* *S*;

l \leftarrow *SPEC*($\lambda__:\ nat.\ True$);

(*-*, *S*, *-*) \leftarrow *WHILE_T**mark-to-delete-clauses-wl2-inv* *S* *xs*

$(\lambda(i,\ S,\ xs).\ i < length\ xs)$

$(\lambda(i,\ T,\ xs).\ do\{$

if(*xs!**i* \notin *dom-m* (*get-clauses-wl* *T*)) *then RETURN* (*i*, *T*, *delete-index-and-swap* *xs* *i*)

else do {

ASSERT($0 < length\ (get-clauses-wl\ T \setminus (xs!i))$);

can-del \leftarrow *SPEC*($\lambda b.\ b \rightarrow$

Propagated (*get-clauses-wl* *T* $\setminus (xs!i) \setminus 0) (*xs!i*) \notin *set* (*get-trail-wl* *T*)) $\wedge$$

\neg *irred* (*get-clauses-wl* *T*) (*xs!i*) \wedge *length* (*get-clauses-wl* *T* $\setminus (xs!i)$) $\neq 2$);

ASSERT(*i* $< length\ xs);$

if can-del

then

RETURN (*i*, *mark-garbage-wl* (*xs!i*) *T*, *delete-index-and-swap* *xs* *i*)

else

RETURN (*i+1*, *T*, *xs*)

}

})

(*l*, *S*, *xs*);

RETURN *S*

}

lemma *mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-mark-to-delete-clauses-l2*:

$\langle (mark-to-delete-clauses-wl2,\ mark-to-delete-clauses-l)$
 $\in \{(S,\ T).\ (S,\ T) \in state-wl-l\ None \wedge correct-watching''\ S\} \rightarrow_f$
 $\langle \{(S,\ T).\ (S,\ T) \in state-wl-l\ None \wedge correct-watching''\ S\} \rangle nres-rel$

{proof}

definition *cdcl-twlf-full-restart-wl-GC-prog-pre*
 $:: \langle' v twl-st-wl \Rightarrow bool$

where

$\langle cdcl-twlf-full-restart-wl-GC-prog-pre\ S \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists T.\ (S,\ T) \in state-wl-l\ None \wedge correct-watching'\ S \wedge cdcl-twlf-full-restart-l-GC-prog-pre\ T)$

definition *cdcl-twlf-full-restart-wl-GC-prog* **where**

$\langle cdcl-twlf-full-restart-wl-GC-prog\ S = do\{$

ASSERT(*cdcl-twlf-full-restart-wl-GC-prog-pre* *S*);

S' \leftarrow *cdcl-twlf-local-restart-wl-spec0* *S*;

T \leftarrow *remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp-wl* *S'*;

ASSERT(*mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-pre* *T*);

```

 $U \leftarrow \text{mark-to-delete-clauses-wl2 } T;$ 
 $V \leftarrow \text{cdcl-GC-clauses-wl } U;$ 
 $\text{ASSERT}(\text{cdcl-tw1-full-restart-wl-GC-prog-post } S \ V);$ 
 $\text{RETURN } V$ 
}

```

lemma *cdcl-tw1-local-restart-wl-spec0-cdcl-tw1-local-restart-l-spec0*:
 $\langle (x, y) \in \{(S, S') \mid (S, S') \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge \text{correct-watching'' } S\} \Rightarrow$
 $\text{cdcl-tw1-local-restart-wl-spec0 } x$
 $\leq \Downarrow \{(S, S') \mid (S, S') \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge \text{correct-watching'' } S\}$
 $(\text{cdcl-tw1-local-restart-l-spec0 } y)\rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl-tw1-full-restart-wl-GC-prog-post-correct-watching*:
assumes
 $\text{pre}: \langle \text{cdcl-tw1-full-restart-l-GC-prog-pre } y \rangle \text{ and}$
 $y \text{-Va}: \langle \text{cdcl-tw1-restart-l } y \text{ Va}\rangle$
 $\langle (V, Va) \in \{(S, S') \mid (S, S') \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge \text{correct-watching' } S\} \rangle$
shows $\langle (V, Va) \in \{(S, S') \mid (S, S') \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge \text{correct-watching } S\} \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle \text{set-mset}(\text{all-lits-of-mm}(\text{mset} \# \text{init-clss-lf}(\text{get-clauses-wl } V) + \text{get-unit-init-clss-wl } V)) =$
 $\text{set-mset}(\text{all-lits-of-mm}(\text{mset} \# \text{ran-mf}(\text{get-clauses-wl } V) + \text{get-unit-clauses-wl } V))\rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl-tw1-full-restart-wl-GC-prog*:
 $\langle (\text{cdcl-tw1-full-restart-wl-GC-prog}, \text{cdcl-tw1-full-restart-l-GC-prog}) \in \{(S::'v \text{ tw1-st-wl}, S') \mid$
 $(S, S') \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge \text{correct-watching' } S\} \rangle \rightarrow_f \langle \{(S::'v \text{ tw1-st-wl}, S') \mid$
 $(S, S') \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge \text{correct-watching } S\} \rangle \text{nres-rel}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition (in tw1-restart-ops) *restart-prog-wl*
 $:: 'v \text{ tw1-st-wl} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \Rightarrow ('v \text{ tw1-st-wl} \times \text{nat}) \text{ nres}$
where
 $\langle \text{restart-prog-wl } S \ n \ \text{brk} = \text{do} \{$
 $\text{ASSERT}(\text{restart-abs-wl-pre } S \ \text{brk});$
 $b \leftarrow \text{restart-required-wl } S \ n;$
 $b2 \leftarrow \text{SPEC}(\lambda \cdot. \text{ True});$
 $\text{if } b2 \wedge b \wedge \neg \text{brk} \text{ then do} \{$
 $T \leftarrow \text{cdcl-tw1-full-restart-wl-GC-prog } S;$
 $\text{RETURN } (T, n + 1)$
 $\}$
 $\text{else if } b \wedge \neg \text{brk} \text{ then do} \{$
 $T \leftarrow \text{cdcl-tw1-restart-wl-prog } S;$
 $\text{RETURN } (T, n + 1)$
 $\}$
 else
 $\text{RETURN } (S, n)$
 $\} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl-tw1-full-restart-wl-prog-cdcl-tw1-restart-l-prog*:
 $\langle \text{uncurry2 } \text{restart-prog-wl}, \text{uncurry2 } \text{restart-prog-l} \rangle$
 $\in \{(S, T) \mid (S, T) \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge \text{correct-watching } S\} \times_f \text{nat-rel} \times_f \text{bool-rel} \rightarrow_f$
 $\langle \{(S, T) \mid (S, T) \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge \text{correct-watching } S\} \times_f \text{nat-rel} \rangle \text{nres-rel}$
 $(\text{is } \text{`-'} \in ?R \times_f - \times_f - \rightarrow_f \langle ?R \rangle \text{nres-rel})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition (in *twl-restart-ops*) *cdcl-tw_l-st_gy-restart-prog-wl*
 $\vdash \langle' v \text{ twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \text{ nres} \rangle$

where

```

⟨cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-prog-wl (S0::'v twl-st-wl) =
do {
  (brk, T, -) ← WHILETλ(brk, T, n). cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-abs-wl-inv S0 brk T n
  (λ(brk, -). ¬brk)
  (λ(brk, S, n).
    do {
      T ← unit-propagation-outer-loop-wl S;
      (brk, T) ← cdcl-twl-o-prog-wl T;
      (T, n) ← restart-prog-wl T n brk;
      RETURN (brk, T, n)
    })
  (False, S0::'v twl-st-wl, 0);
  RETURN T
}
}
```

lemma *cdcl-tw_l-st_gy-restart-prog-wl*-*cdcl-tw_l-st_gy-restart-prog-l*:
 $\langle (cdcl-tw_l-st_gy-restart-prog-wl, cdcl-tw_l-st_gy-restart-prog-l) \in \{(S, T). (S, T) \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge \text{correct-watching } S\} \rightarrow_f \langle \{(S, T). (S, T) \in \text{state-wl-l None} \wedge \text{correct-watching } S\} \rangle_{nres-rel} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{is } \langle - \in ?R \rightarrow_f \langle ?S \rangle_{nres-rel} \rangle \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition (in *twl-restart-ops*) *cdcl-tw_l-st_gy-restart-prog-early-wl*
 $\vdash \langle' v \text{ twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \text{ nres} \rangle$

where

```

⟨cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-prog-early-wl (S0::'v twl-st-wl) = do {
  ebrk ← RES UNIV;
  (-, brk, T, n) ← WHILETλ(-, brk, T, n). cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-abs-wl-inv S0 brk T n
  (λ(ebrk, brk, -). ¬brk ∧ ¬ebrk)
  (λ(-, brk, S, n).
    do {
      T ← unit-propagation-outer-loop-wl S;
      (brk, T) ← cdcl-twl-o-prog-wl T;
      (T, n) ← restart-prog-wl T n brk;
      ebrk ← RES UNIV;
      RETURN (ebrk, brk, T, n)
    })
  (ebrk, False, S0::'v twl-st-wl, 0);
  if ¬ brk then do {
    (brk, T, -) ← WHILETλ(brk, T, n). cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-abs-wl-inv S0 brk T n
    (λ(brk, -). ¬brk)
    (λ(brk, S, n).
      do {
        T ← unit-propagation-outer-loop-wl S;
        (brk, T) ← cdcl-twl-o-prog-wl T;
        (T, n) ← restart-prog-wl T n brk;
        RETURN (brk, T, n)
      })
    (False, T::'v twl-st-wl, n);
  }
}
}
```

```

    RETURN T
}
else RETURN T
}

```

lemma *cdcl-tw_l-st_{gy}-restart-prog-early-wl*-*cdcl-tw_l-st_{gy}-restart-prog-early-l*:

$\langle (cdcl-tw_l-st_gy-restart-prog-early-wl, cdcl-tw_l-st_gy-restart-prog-early-l) \in \{(S, T). (S, T) \in state-wl-l None \wedge correct-watching S\} \rightarrow_f \langle \{(S, T). (S, T) \in state-wl-l None \wedge correct-watching S\} \rangle nres-rel \rangle$

(is $\langle - \in ?R \rightarrow_f \langle ?S \rangle nres-rel \rangle$)

{proof}

theorem *cdcl-tw_l-st_{gy}-restart-prog-wl-spec*:

$\langle (cdcl-tw_l-st_gy-restart-prog-wl, cdcl-tw_l-st_gy-restart-prog-l) \in \{(S::'v tw_l-st-wl, S') \in state-wl-l None \wedge correct-watching S\} \rightarrow \langle state-wl-l None \rangle nres-rel \rangle$

(is $\langle ?o \in ?A \rightarrow \langle ?B \rangle nres-rel \rangle$)

{proof}

theorem *cdcl-tw_l-st_{gy}-restart-prog-early-wl-spec*:

$\langle (cdcl-tw_l-st_gy-restart-prog-early-wl, cdcl-tw_l-st_gy-restart-prog-early-l) \in \{(S::'v tw_l-st-wl, S') \in state-wl-l None \wedge correct-watching S\} \rightarrow \langle state-wl-l None \rangle nres-rel \rangle$

(is $\langle ?o \in ?A \rightarrow \langle ?B \rangle nres-rel \rangle$)

{proof}

definition (in twl-restart-ops) *cdcl-tw_l-st_{gy}-restart-prog-bounded-wl*

$:: \langle 'v tw_l-st-wl \Rightarrow (bool \times 'v tw_l-st-wl) nres \rangle$

where

$\langle cdcl-tw_l-st_gy-restart-prog-bounded-wl (S_0::'v tw_l-st-wl) = do \{$

$ebrk \leftarrow RES UNIV;$

$(-, brk, T, n) \leftarrow WHILE_T \lambda(-, brk, T, n). cdcl-tw_l-st_gy-restart-abs-wl-inv S_0 brk T n$

$(\lambda(ebrk, brk, -). \neg brk \wedge \neg ebrk)$

$(\lambda(-, brk, S, n).$

$do \{$

$T \leftarrow unit-propagation-outer-loop-wl S;$

$(brk, T) \leftarrow cdcl-tw_l-o-prog-wl T;$

$(T, n) \leftarrow restart-prog-wl T n brk;$

$ebrk \leftarrow RES UNIV;$

$RETURN (ebrk, brk, T, n)$

$\})$

$(ebrk, False, S_0::'v tw_l-st-wl, 0);$

$RETURN (brk, T)$

$\}$

lemma *cdcl-tw_l-st_{gy}-restart-prog-bounded-wl*-*cdcl-tw_l-st_{gy}-restart-prog-bounded-l*:

$\langle (cdcl-tw_l-st_gy-restart-prog-bounded-wl, cdcl-tw_l-st_gy-restart-prog-bounded-l) \in \{(S, T). (S, T) \in state-wl-l None \wedge correct-watching S\} \rightarrow_f \langle bool-rel \times_r \{(S, T). (S, T) \in state-wl-l None \wedge correct-watching S\} \rangle nres-rel \rangle$

(is $\langle - \in ?R \rightarrow_f \langle ?S \rangle nres-rel \rangle$)

{proof}

theorem *cdcl-tw_l-st_{gy}-restart-prog-bounded-wl-spec*:

$\langle (cdcl-tw_l-st_gy-restart-prog-bounded-wl, cdcl-tw_l-st_gy-restart-prog-bounded-l) \in \{(S::'v tw_l-st-wl, S') \in state-wl-l None \wedge correct-watching S\} \rightarrow \langle bool-rel \times_r state-wl-l None \rangle nres-rel \rangle$

```

(is ⟨?o ∈ ?A → ⟨?B⟩ nres-rel⟩)
⟨proof⟩

end

end
theory Watched-Literals-Watch-List-Domain
imports Watched-Literals-Watch-List
begin

```

We refine the implementation by adding a *domain* on the literals

1.4.4 State Conversion

Functions and Types:

```

type-synonym ann-lits-l = ⟨(nat, nat) ann-lits⟩
type-synonym clauses-to-update-ll = ⟨nat list⟩

```

1.4.5 Refinement

Set of all literals of the problem

```

definition all-lits :: ⟨('a, 'v literal list × 'b) fmap ⇒ 'v literal multiset multiset ⇒
  'v literal multiset⟩ where
  ⟨all-lits S NUE = all-lits-of-mm ((λC. mset (fst C)) ‘# ran-m S + NUE)⟩

```

```

abbreviation all-lits-st :: ⟨'v twl-st-wl ⇒ 'v literal multiset⟩ where
  ⟨all-lits-st S ≡ all-lits (get-clauses-wl S) (get-unit-clauses-wl S)⟩

```

```

definition all-atms :: ⟨- ⇒ - ⇒ 'v multiset⟩ where
  ⟨all-atms N NUE = atm-of ‘# all-lits N NUE⟩

```

```

abbreviation all-atms-st :: ⟨'v twl-st-wl ⇒ 'v multiset⟩ where
  ⟨all-atms-st S ≡ atm-of ‘# all-lits-st S⟩

```

We start in a context where we have an initial set of atoms. We later extend the locale to include a bound on the largest atom (in order to generate more efficient code).

```

context
  fixes Ain :: ⟨nat multiset⟩
begin

```

This is the *completion* of A_{in} , containing the positive and the negation of every literal of A_{in} :

```

definition Lall where ⟨Lall = poss Ain + negs Ain⟩

```

```

lemma atms-of-Lall-Ain: ⟨atms-of Lall = set-mset Ain⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition is-Lall :: ⟨nat literal multiset ⇒ bool⟩ where
  ⟨is-Lall S ↔ set-mset Lall = set-mset S⟩

```

```

definition literals-are-in-Lin :: ⟨nat clause ⇒ bool⟩ where
  ⟨literals-are-in-Lin C ↔ set-mset (all-lits-of-m C) ⊆ set-mset Lall⟩

```

```

lemma literals-are-in-Lin-empty[simp]: ⟨literals-are-in-Lin {#}⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *in-L_{all}-atm-of-in-atms-of-iff*: $\langle x \in \# \mathcal{L}_{all} \longleftrightarrow atm\text{-of } x \in atms\text{-of } \mathcal{L}_{all} \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *literals-are-in-L_{in}-add-mset*:
 $\langle literals\text{-are}\text{-in}\text{-}\mathcal{L}_{in} (add\text{-mset } L A) \longleftrightarrow literals\text{-are}\text{-in}\text{-}\mathcal{L}_{in} A \wedge L \in \# \mathcal{L}_{all} \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *literals-are-in-L_{in}-mono*:
assumes $N: \langle literals\text{-are}\text{-in}\text{-}\mathcal{L}_{in} D' \rangle$ **and** $D: \langle D \subseteq \# D' \rangle$
shows $\langle literals\text{-are}\text{-in}\text{-}\mathcal{L}_{in} D \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *literals-are-in-L_{in}-sub*:
 $\langle literals\text{-are}\text{-in}\text{-}\mathcal{L}_{in} y \implies literals\text{-are}\text{-in}\text{-}\mathcal{L}_{in} (y - z) \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *all-lits-of-m-subset-all-lits-of-mmD*:
 $\langle a \in \# b \implies set\text{-mset} (all\text{-lits}\text{-of}\text{-}m a) \subseteq set\text{-mset} (all\text{-lits}\text{-of}\text{-}mm b) \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *all-lits-of-m-remdups-mset*:
 $\langle set\text{-mset} (all\text{-lits}\text{-of}\text{-}m (remdups\text{-mset } N)) = set\text{-mset} (all\text{-lits}\text{-of}\text{-}m N) \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *literals-are-in-L_{in}-remdups[simp]*:
 $\langle literals\text{-are}\text{-in}\text{-}\mathcal{L}_{in} (remdups\text{-mset } N) = literals\text{-are}\text{-in}\text{-}\mathcal{L}_{in} N \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *uminus-A_{in}-iff*: $\langle - L \in \# \mathcal{L}_{all} \longleftrightarrow L \in \# \mathcal{L}_{all} \rangle$
(proof)

definition *literals-are-in-L_{in}-mm* :: $\langle nat \text{ clauses} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle literals\text{-are}\text{-in}\text{-}\mathcal{L}_{in}\text{-mm } C \longleftrightarrow set\text{-mset} (all\text{-lits}\text{-of}\text{-mm } C) \subseteq set\text{-mset} \mathcal{L}_{all} \rangle$

lemma *literals-are-in-L_{in}-mm-add-msetD*:
 $\langle literals\text{-are}\text{-in}\text{-}\mathcal{L}_{in}\text{-mm } (add\text{-mset } C N) \implies L \in \# C \implies L \in \# \mathcal{L}_{all} \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *literals-are-in-L_{in}-mm-add-mset*:
 $\langle literals\text{-are}\text{-in}\text{-}\mathcal{L}_{in}\text{-mm } (add\text{-mset } C N) \longleftrightarrow$
 $literals\text{-are}\text{-in}\text{-}\mathcal{L}_{in}\text{-mm } N \wedge literals\text{-are}\text{-in}\text{-}\mathcal{L}_{in} C \rangle$
(proof)

definition *literals-are-in-L_{in}-trail* :: $\langle (nat, 'mark) ann\text{-lits} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle literals\text{-are}\text{-in}\text{-}\mathcal{L}_{in}\text{-trail } M \longleftrightarrow set\text{-mset} (lit\text{-of} '\# mset M) \subseteq set\text{-mset} \mathcal{L}_{all} \rangle$

lemma *literals-are-in-L_{in}-trail-in-lits-of-l*:
 $\langle literals\text{-are}\text{-in}\text{-}\mathcal{L}_{in}\text{-trail } M \implies a \in lits\text{-of}\text{-}l M \implies a \in \# \mathcal{L}_{all} \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *literals-are-in-L_{in}-trail-uminus-in-lits-of-l*:
 $\langle literals\text{-are}\text{-in}\text{-}\mathcal{L}_{in}\text{-trail } M \implies -a \in lits\text{-of}\text{-}l M \implies a \in \# \mathcal{L}_{all} \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *literals-are-in-L_{in}-trail-uminus-in-lits-of-l-atms*:

$\langle \text{literals-are-in-}\mathcal{L}_{in}\text{-trail } M \implies -a \in \text{lits-of-}l M \implies \text{atm-of } a \in \# \mathcal{A}_{in} \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

lemma *isasat-input-ops-L_{all}-empty*[simp]:

$\langle \mathcal{L}_{all} \{ \# \} = \{ \# \} \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *L_{all}-atm-of-all-lits-of-mm*: $\langle \text{set-mset} (\mathcal{L}_{all} (\text{atm-of } ' \# \text{ all-lits-of-mm } A)) = \text{set-mset} (\text{all-lits-of-mm } A) \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *blits-in-L_{in}* :: $\langle \text{nat twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ **where**

$\langle \text{blits-in-} \mathcal{L}_{in} S \longleftrightarrow$

$\langle \forall L \in \# \mathcal{L}_{all} (\text{all-atms-st } S). \forall (i, K, b) \in \text{set} (\text{watched-by } S L). K \in \# \mathcal{L}_{all} (\text{all-atms-st } S) \rangle \rangle$

definition *literals-are-L_{in}* :: $\langle \text{nat multiset} \Rightarrow \text{nat twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ **where**

$\langle \text{literals-are-} \mathcal{L}_{in} \mathcal{A} S \equiv (\text{is-} \mathcal{L}_{all} \mathcal{A} (\text{all-lits-st } S) \wedge \text{blits-in-} \mathcal{L}_{in} S) \rangle$

lemma *literals-are-in-L_{in}-nth*:

fixes *C* :: *nat*

assumes *dom*: $\langle C \in \# \text{dom-m} (\text{get-clauses-wl } S) \rangle$ **and**

$\langle \text{literals-are-} \mathcal{L}_{in} \mathcal{A} S \rangle$

shows $\langle \text{literals-are-in-} \mathcal{L}_{in} \mathcal{A} (\text{mset} (\text{get-clauses-wl } S \propto C)) \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *literals-are-in-L_{in}-mm-in-L_{all}*:

assumes

$\langle \text{literals-are-in-} \mathcal{L}_{in} \text{-mm } \mathcal{A} (\text{mset } ' \# \text{ran-mf } xs) \rangle$ **and**

$\langle i\text{-}xs: \langle i \in \# \text{dom-m } xs \rangle \text{ and } j\text{-}xs: \langle j < \text{length } (xs \propto i) \rangle \rangle$

shows $\langle xs \propto i ! j \in \# \mathcal{L}_{all} \mathcal{A} \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *literals-are-in-L_{in}-trail-in-lits-of-l-atms*:

$\langle \text{literals-are-in-} \mathcal{L}_{in} \text{-trail } \mathcal{A}_{in} M \implies a \in \text{lits-of-}l M \implies \text{atm-of } a \in \# \mathcal{A}_{in} \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *literals-are-in-L_{in}-trail-Cons*:

$\langle \text{literals-are-in-} \mathcal{L}_{in} \text{-trail } \mathcal{A}_{in} (L \# M) \longleftrightarrow$

$\langle \text{literals-are-in-} \mathcal{L}_{in} \text{-trail } \mathcal{A}_{in} M \wedge \text{lit-of } L \in \# \mathcal{L}_{all} \mathcal{A}_{in} \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *literals-are-in-L_{in}-trail-empty*[simp]:

$\langle \text{literals-are-in-} \mathcal{L}_{in} \text{-trail } \mathcal{A} [] \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *literals-are-in-L_{in}-trail-lit-of-mset*:

$\langle \text{literals-are-in-} \mathcal{L}_{in} \text{-trail } \mathcal{A} M = \text{literals-are-in-} \mathcal{L}_{in} \mathcal{A} (\text{lit-of } ' \# \text{mset } M) \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *literals-are-in-L_{in}-in-mset-L_{all}*:

$\langle \text{literals-are-in-}\mathcal{L}_{in} \mathcal{A} C \Rightarrow L \in \# C \Rightarrow L \in \# \mathcal{L}_{all} \mathcal{A} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma literals-are-in- \mathcal{L}_{in} -in- \mathcal{L}_{all} :

assumes

$N1: \langle \text{literals-are-in-}\mathcal{L}_{in} \mathcal{A} (\text{mset } xs) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $i\text{-xs: } \langle i < \text{length } xs \rangle$

shows $\langle xs ! i \in \# \mathcal{L}_{all} \mathcal{A} \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma is- \mathcal{L}_{all} - \mathcal{L}_{all} -rewrite[simp]:

$\langle \text{is-}\mathcal{L}_{all} \mathcal{A} (\text{all-lits-of-mm } \mathcal{A}') \Rightarrow$
 $\text{set-mset}(\mathcal{L}_{all} (\text{atm-of } \# \text{all-lits-of-mm } \mathcal{A}')) = \text{set-mset}(\mathcal{L}_{all} \mathcal{A}) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma literals-are- \mathcal{L}_{in} -set-mset- \mathcal{L}_{all} [simp]:

$\langle \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{in} \mathcal{A} S \Rightarrow \text{set-mset}(\mathcal{L}_{all} (\text{all-atms-st } S)) = \text{set-mset}(\mathcal{L}_{all} \mathcal{A}) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma is- \mathcal{L}_{all} -all-lits-st- \mathcal{L}_{all} [simp]:

$\langle \text{is-}\mathcal{L}_{all} \mathcal{A} (\text{all-lits-st } S) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{set-mset}(\mathcal{L}_{all} (\text{all-atms-st } S)) = \text{set-mset}(\mathcal{L}_{all} \mathcal{A}) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{is-}\mathcal{L}_{all} \mathcal{A} (\text{all-lits } N \text{ NUE}) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{set-mset}(\mathcal{L}_{all} (\text{all-atms } N \text{ NUE})) = \text{set-mset}(\mathcal{L}_{all} \mathcal{A}) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{is-}\mathcal{L}_{all} \mathcal{A} (\text{all-lits } N \text{ NUE}) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{set-mset}(\mathcal{L}_{all} (\text{atm-of } \# \text{all-lits } N \text{ NUE})) = \text{set-mset}(\mathcal{L}_{all} \mathcal{A}) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma is- \mathcal{L}_{all} -alt-def: $\langle \text{is-}\mathcal{L}_{all} \mathcal{A} (\text{all-lits-of-mm } A) \longleftrightarrow \text{atms-of}(\mathcal{L}_{all} \mathcal{A}) = \text{atms-of-mm } A \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma in- \mathcal{L}_{all} -atm-of- \mathcal{A}_{in} : $\langle L \in \# \mathcal{L}_{all} \mathcal{A}_{in} \longleftrightarrow \text{atm-of } L \in \# \mathcal{A}_{in} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma literals-are-in- \mathcal{L}_{in} -alt-def:

$\langle \text{literals-are-in-}\mathcal{L}_{in} \mathcal{A} S \longleftrightarrow \text{atms-of } S \subseteq \text{atms-of}(\mathcal{L}_{all} \mathcal{A}) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma

assumes

$x2\text{-T: } \langle (x2, T) \in \text{state-wl-l } b \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{struct: } \langle \text{twl-struct-invs } U \rangle \text{ and}$
 $T\text{-U: } \langle (T, U) \in \text{twl-st-l } b' \rangle$

shows

$\text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{in}\text{-literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{in}\text{-trail:}$

$\langle \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{in} \mathcal{A}_{in} x2 \Rightarrow \text{literals-are-in-}\mathcal{L}_{in}\text{-trail } \mathcal{A}_{in} (\text{get-trail-wl } x2) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{is } (-\Rightarrow ?\text{trail}) \rangle \text{ and}$

$\text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{in}\text{-literals-are-in-}\mathcal{L}_{in}\text{-conflict:}$

$\langle \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{in} \mathcal{A}_{in} x2 \Rightarrow \text{get-conflict-wl } x2 \neq \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{literals-are-in-}\mathcal{L}_{in} \mathcal{A}_{in} (\text{the (get-conflict-wl } x2)) \rangle \text{ and}$

$\text{conflict-not-tautology:}$

$\langle \text{get-conflict-wl } x2 \neq \text{None} \Rightarrow \neg \text{tautology} (\text{the (get-conflict-wl } x2)) \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma literals-are-in- \mathcal{L}_{in} -trail-atm-of:

$\langle \text{literals-are-in-}\mathcal{L}_{in}\text{-trail } \mathcal{A}_{in} M \longleftrightarrow \text{atm-of } ' \text{lits-of-l } M \subseteq \text{set-mset } \mathcal{A}_{in} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{literals-are-in-}\mathcal{L}_{in}\text{-poss-remdups-mset}:$
 $\langle \text{literals-are-in-}\mathcal{L}_{in} \mathcal{A}_{in} (\text{poss} (\text{remdups-mset} (\text{atm-of } '\# C))) \longleftrightarrow \text{literals-are-in-}\mathcal{L}_{in} \mathcal{A}_{in} C \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{literals-are-in-}\mathcal{L}_{in}\text{-negs-remdups-mset}:$
 $\langle \text{literals-are-in-}\mathcal{L}_{in} \mathcal{A}_{in} (\text{negs} (\text{remdups-mset} (\text{atm-of } '\# C))) \longleftrightarrow \text{literals-are-in-}\mathcal{L}_{in} \mathcal{A}_{in} C \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\mathcal{L}_{all}\text{-atm-of-all-lits-of-m}:$
 $\langle \text{set-mset} (\mathcal{L}_{all} (\text{atm-of } '\# \text{all-lits-of-m } C)) = \text{set-mset } C \cup \text{uminus } ' \text{set-mset } C \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{atm-of-all-lits-of-mm}:$
 $\langle \text{set-mset} (\text{atm-of } '\# \text{all-lits-of-mm } bw) = \text{atms-of-mm } bw \rangle$
 $\langle \text{atm-of } ' \text{set-mset} (\text{all-lits-of-mm } bw) = \text{atms-of-mm } bw \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{in-set-all-atms-iff}:$
 $\langle y \in \# \text{all-atms } bu bw \longleftrightarrow$
 $y \in \text{atms-of-mm} (\text{mset } '\# \text{ran-mf } bu) \vee y \in \text{atms-of-mm } bw \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\mathcal{L}_{all}\text{-union}:$
 $\langle \text{set-mset} (\mathcal{L}_{all} (A + B)) = \text{set-mset} (\mathcal{L}_{all} A) \cup \text{set-mset} (\mathcal{L}_{all} B) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\mathcal{L}_{all}\text{-cong}:$
 $\langle \text{set-mset } A = \text{set-mset } B \implies \text{set-mset} (\mathcal{L}_{all} A) = \text{set-mset} (\mathcal{L}_{all} B) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{atms-of-}\mathcal{L}_{all}\text{-cong}:$
 $\langle \text{set-mset } \mathcal{A} = \text{set-mset } \mathcal{B} \implies \text{atms-of} (\mathcal{L}_{all} \mathcal{A}) = \text{atms-of} (\mathcal{L}_{all} \mathcal{B}) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\text{unit-prop-body-wl-D-inv}$
 $:: \langle \text{nat twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat literal} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle \text{ where}$
 $\langle \text{unit-prop-body-wl-D-inv } T' j w L \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{unit-prop-body-wl-inv } T' j w L \wedge \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{in} (\text{all-atms-st } T') T' \wedge L \in \# \mathcal{L}_{all} (\text{all-atms-st } T') \rangle$

- should be the definition of $\text{unit-prop-body-wl-find-unwatched-inv}$.
- the distinctiveness should probably be only a property, not a part of the definition.

definition $\text{unit-prop-body-wl-D-find-unwatched-inv}$ **where**
 $\langle \text{unit-prop-body-wl-D-find-unwatched-inv } f C S \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{unit-prop-body-wl-find-unwatched-inv } f C S \wedge$
 $(f \neq \text{None} \longrightarrow \text{the } f \geq 2 \wedge \text{the } f < \text{length} (\text{get-clauses-wl } S \propto C) \wedge$
 $\text{get-clauses-wl } S \propto C ! (\text{the } f) \neq \text{get-clauses-wl } S \propto C ! 0 \wedge$
 $\text{get-clauses-wl } S \propto C ! (\text{the } f) \neq \text{get-clauses-wl } S \propto C ! 1) \rangle$

definition $\text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-loop-D-inv}$ **where**

unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-loop-D-inv $L = (\lambda(j, w, S).$
 $\text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{in}(\text{all-atms-st } S) \wedge L \in \# \mathcal{L}_{all}(\text{all-atms-st } S) \wedge$
 $\text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-loop-inv } L(j, w, S))$

definition *unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-loop-D-pre* where
 $\langle \text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-loop-D-pre } L = (\lambda(j, w, S). \text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-loop-D-inv } L (j, w, S) \wedge \text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-loop-pre } L (j, w, S)) \rangle$

```

definition unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-wl-D
:: nat literal ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat twl-st-wl ⇒
  (nat × nat × nat twl-st-wl) nres where
⟨unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-wl-D L j w S = do {
```

- ASSERT(unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-loop-D-pre L (j, w, S));
- let (C, K, b) = (watched-by S L) ! w;
- let S = keep-watch L j w S;
- ASSERT(unit-prop-body-wl-D-inv S j w L);
- let val-K = polarity (get-trail-wl S) K;
- if val-K = Some True
- then RETURN (j+1, w+1, S)
- else do {

 - if b then do {

 - ASSERT(propagate-proper-bin-case L K S C);
 - if val-K = Some False
 - then do {RETURN (j+1, w+1, set-conflict-wl (get-clauses-wl S ∝ C) S)}
 - else do {

 - let i = (if ((get-clauses-wl S) ∝ C) ! 0 = L then 0 else 1);
 - RETURN (j+1, w+1, propagate-lit-wl-bin K C i S)

} — Now the costly operations:

- else if C ∉ dom-m (get-clauses-wl S)
- then RETURN (j, w+1, S)
- else do {

 - let i = (if ((get-clauses-wl S) ∝ C) ! 0 = L then 0 else 1);
 - let L' = ((get-clauses-wl S) ∝ C) ! (1 - i);
 - let val-L' = polarity (get-trail-wl S) L';
 - if val-L' = Some True
 - then update-blit-wl L C b j w L' S
 - else do {

 - f ← find-unwatched-l (get-trail-wl S) (get-clauses-wl S ∝ C);
 - ASSERT (unit-prop-body-wl-D-find-unwatched-inv f C S);
 - case f of

 - None ⇒ do {

 - if val-L' = Some False
 - then RETURN (j+1, w+1, set-conflict-wl (get-clauses-wl S ∝ C) S)
 - else RETURN (j+1, w+1, propagate-lit-wl L' C i S)

| Some f ⇒ do {

 - let K = get-clauses-wl S ∝ C ! f;
 - let val-L' = polarity (get-trail-wl S) K;
 - if val-L' = Some True
 - then update-blit-wl L C b j w K S
 - else update-clause-wl L C b j w i f S

}

}

}

declare *Id-refine*[*refine-vcg del*] *refine0(5)*[*refine-vcg del*]

lemma *unit-prop-body-wl-D-inv-clauses-distinct-eq*:

assumes

x[*simp*]: $\langle \text{watched-by } S K ! w = (x_1, x_2) \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle \text{unit-prop-body-wl-D-inv} (\text{keep-watch } K i w S) i w K \rangle$ **and**
 $y: \langle y < \text{length} (\text{get-clauses-wl } S \propto (\text{fst} (\text{watched-by } S K ! w))) \rangle$ **and**
 $w: \langle \text{fst} (\text{watched-by } S K ! w) \in \# \text{dom-m} (\text{get-clauses-wl} (\text{keep-watch } K i w S)) \rangle$ **and**
 $y': \langle y' < \text{length} (\text{get-clauses-wl } S \propto (\text{fst} (\text{watched-by } S K ! w))) \rangle$ **and**
 $w\text{-le}: \langle w < \text{length} (\text{watched-by } S K) \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{get-clauses-wl } S \propto x_1 ! y = \text{get-clauses-wl } S \propto x_1 ! y' \longleftrightarrow y = y' \rangle$ (**is** $\langle ?\text{eq} \longleftrightarrow ?y \rangle$)
{proof}

lemma *in-all-lits-uminus-iff*[*simp*]: $\langle (\neg xa \in \# \text{all-lits } N \text{ NUE}) = (xa \in \# \text{all-lits } N \text{ NUE}) \rangle$
{proof}

lemma *is-L_{all}-all-atms-st-all-lits-st*[*simp*]:

$\langle \text{is-L}_{\text{all}} (\text{all-atms-st } S) (\text{all-lits-st } S) \rangle$
{proof}

lemma *literals-are-L_{in}-all-atms-st*:

$\langle \text{blits-in-L}_{\text{in}} S \implies \text{literals-are-L}_{\text{in}} (\text{all-atms-st } S) S \rangle$
{proof}

lemma *blits-in-L_{in}-keep-watch*:

assumes $\langle \text{blits-in-L}_{\text{in}} (a, b, c, d, e, f, g) \rangle$ **and**
 $w: \langle w < \text{length} (\text{watched-by } (a, b, c, d, e, f, g) K) \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{blits-in-L}_{\text{in}} (a, b, c, d, e, f, g (K := (g K)[j := g K ! w])) \rangle$
{proof}

We mark as safe intro rule, since we will always be in a case where the equivalence holds, although in general the equivalence does not hold.

lemma *literals-are-L_{in}-keep-watch*[*twl-st-wl, simp, intro!*]:

$\langle \text{literals-are-L}_{\text{in}} A S \implies w < \text{length} (\text{watched-by } S K) \implies \text{literals-are-L}_{\text{in}} A (\text{keep-watch } K j w S) \rangle$
{proof}

lemma *all-lits-update-swap*[*simp*]:

$\langle x_1 \in \# \text{dom-m } x_{1aa} \implies n < \text{length} (x_{1aa} \propto x_1) \implies n' < \text{length} (x_{1aa} \propto x_1) \implies$
 $\text{all-lits} (x_{1aa}(x_1 \leftrightarrow \text{swap} (x_{1aa} \propto x_1) n n')) = \text{all-lits } x_{1aa} \rangle$
{proof}

lemma *blits-in-L_{in}-propagate*:

$\langle x_1 \in \# \text{dom-m } x_{1aa} \implies n < \text{length} (x_{1aa} \propto x_1) \implies n' < \text{length} (x_{1aa} \propto x_1) \implies$
 $\text{blits-in-L}_{\text{in}} (\text{Propagated } A x_1' \# x_{1b}, x_{1aa}$
 $(x_1 \leftrightarrow \text{swap} (x_{1aa} \propto x_1) n n'), D, x_{1c}, x_{1d},$
 $\text{add-mset } A' x_{1e}, x_{2e}) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{blits-in-L}_{\text{in}} (x_{1b}, x_{1aa}, D, x_{1c}, x_{1d}, x_{1e}, x_{2e}) \rangle$
 $\langle x_1 \in \# \text{dom-m } x_{1aa} \implies n < \text{length} (x_{1aa} \propto x_1) \implies n' < \text{length} (x_{1aa} \propto x_1) \implies$
 $\text{blits-in-L}_{\text{in}} (x_{1b}, x_{1aa}$
 $(x_1 \leftrightarrow \text{swap} (x_{1aa} \propto x_1) n n'), D, x_{1c}, x_{1d}, x_{1e}, x_{2e}) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{blits-in-L}_{\text{in}} (x_{1b}, x_{1aa}, D, x_{1c}, x_{1d}, x_{1e}, x_{2e}) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{blits-in-L}_{\text{in}}$

```

(Propagated A x1' # x1b, x1aa, D, x1c, x1d,
 add-mset A' x1e, x2e)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
 blits-in- $\mathcal{L}_{in}$  (x1b, x1aa, D, x1c, x1d, x1e, x2e)
 $\langle x1' \in \# dom\text{-}m x1aa \implies n < length(x1aa \propto x1') \implies n' < length(x1aa \propto x1') \implies$ 
 $K \in \# \mathcal{L}_{all} (all\text{-}atms\text{-}st(x1b, x1aa, D, x1c, x1d, x1e, x2e)) \implies blits\text{-}in\text{-}\mathcal{L}_{in}$ 
 $(x1a, x1aa(x1' \hookrightarrow swap(x1aa \propto x1') n n'), D, x1c, x1d,$ 
 $x1e, x2e$ 
 $(x1aa \propto x1' ! n' :=$ 
 $x2e (x1aa \propto x1' ! n') @ [(x1', K, b')]) \implies$ 
 blits-in- $\mathcal{L}_{in}$  (x1a, x1aa, D, x1c, x1d, x1e, x2e)
 $\langle K \in \# \mathcal{L}_{all} (all\text{-}atms\text{-}st(x1b, x1aa, D, x1c, x1d, x1e, x2e)) \implies$ 
 blits-in- $\mathcal{L}_{in}$  (x1a, x1aa, D, x1c, x1d,
 x1e, x2e
 $(x1aa \propto x1' ! n' := x2e (x1aa \propto x1' ! n') @ [(x1', K, b')]) \implies$ 
 blits-in- $\mathcal{L}_{in}$  (x1a, x1aa, D, x1c, x1d, x1e, x2e)
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

lemma literals-are- \mathcal{L}_{in} -set-conflict-wl:

```

(literals-are- $\mathcal{L}_{in}$  A (set-conflict-wl D S)  $\longleftrightarrow$  literals-are- $\mathcal{L}_{in}$  A S)
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

lemma blits-in- \mathcal{L}_{in} -keep-watch':

```

assumes K':  $\langle K' \in \# \mathcal{L}_{all} (all\text{-}atms\text{-}st(a, b, c, d, e, f, g)) \rangle$  and
 w: blits-in- $\mathcal{L}_{in}$  (a, b, c, d, e, f, g)
 shows blits-in- $\mathcal{L}_{in}$  (a, b, c, d, e, f, g (K := (g K)[j := (i, K', b')])))
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

lemma literals-are- \mathcal{L}_{in} -all-atms-stD[dest]:

```

(literals-are- $\mathcal{L}_{in}$  A S  $\implies$  literals-are- $\mathcal{L}_{in}$  (all-atms-st S) S)
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

lemma blits-in- \mathcal{L}_{in} -set-conflict[simp]: blits-in- \mathcal{L}_{in} (set-conflict-wl D S) = blits-in- \mathcal{L}_{in} S

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-wl-D-spec:

fixes S :: nat twl-st-wl **and** K :: nat literal **and** w :: nat

assumes

K: $\langle K \in \# \mathcal{L}_{all} \mathcal{A} \rangle$ **and**

\mathcal{A}_{in} : literals-are- \mathcal{L}_{in} A S

shows unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-wl-D K j w S \leq

$\Downarrow \{(j', n', T'), (j, n, T) \mid j' = j \wedge n' = n \wedge T = T' \wedge \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{in} \mathcal{A} T'\}$
 (unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-wl K j w S)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-wl-D-unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-wl-D:

$\langle (\text{uncurry3 unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-wl-D}, \text{uncurry3 unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-wl}) \in$
 $[\lambda(((K, j), w), S). \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{in} \mathcal{A} S \wedge K \in \# \mathcal{L}_{all} \mathcal{A}]_f$

$Id \times_r Id \times_r Id \times_r Id \rightarrow \langle \text{nat-rel} \times_r \text{nat-rel} \times_r$

$\{(T', T) \mid T = T' \wedge \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{in} \mathcal{A} T\} \rangle$ nres-rel

(is $\langle ?G1 \rangle$ **) and**

unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-wl-D-unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-wl-D-weak:

$\langle (\text{uncurry3 unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-wl-D}, \text{uncurry3 unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-wl}) \in$
 $[\lambda(((K, j), w), S). \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{in} \mathcal{A} S \wedge K \in \# \mathcal{L}_{all} \mathcal{A}]_f$

$Id \times_r Id \times_r Id \times_r Id \rightarrow \langle \text{nat-rel} \times_r \text{nat-rel} \times_r Id \rangle$ nres-rel

(is $\langle ?G2 \rangle$ **)**

(proof)

definition *unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-loop-D*
 $:: \langle \text{nat literal} \Rightarrow \text{nat twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \times \text{nat} \times \text{nat twl-st-wl}) \text{ nres} \rangle$
where
 $\langle \text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-loop-D } L S_0 = \text{do } \{$
 $\quad \text{ASSERT}(L \in \# \mathcal{L}_{\text{all}} (\text{all-atms-st } S_0));$
 $\quad \text{let } n = \text{length} (\text{watched-by } S_0 L);$
 $\quad \text{WHILE}_T \text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-loop-D-inv } L$
 $\quad (\lambda(j, w, S). w < n \wedge \text{get-conflict-wl } S = \text{None})$
 $\quad (\lambda(j, w, S). \text{do } \{$
 $\quad \quad \text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-body-wl-D } L j w S$
 $\quad \quad \})$
 $\quad (0, 0, S_0)$
 $\}$
 \rangle

lemma *unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-spec*:
assumes $\mathcal{A}_{\text{in}}: \langle \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{\text{in}} \mathcal{A} S \rangle$ **and** $K: \langle K \in \# \mathcal{L}_{\text{all}} \mathcal{A} \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-loop-D } K S \leq$
 $\Downarrow \{(j', n', T'), j, n, T) . j' = j \wedge n' = n \wedge T = T' \wedge \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{\text{in}} \mathcal{A} T'\}$
 $\quad (\text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-loop } K S)\rangle$
(proof)

definition *unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-D*
 $:: \langle \text{nat literal} \Rightarrow \text{nat twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow \text{nat twl-st-wl nres} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-loop-D } L S_0 = \text{do } \{$
 $\quad (j, w, S) \leftarrow \text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-loop-D } L S_0;$
 $\quad \text{ASSERT } (j \leq w \wedge w \leq \text{length} (\text{watched-by } S L) \wedge L \in \# \mathcal{L}_{\text{all}} (\text{all-atms-st } S_0) \wedge$
 $\quad L \in \# \mathcal{L}_{\text{all}} (\text{all-atms-st } S));$
 $\quad S \leftarrow \text{cut-watch-list } j w L S;$
 $\quad \text{RETURN } S$
 $\}$

lemma *unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-D-spec*:
assumes $\mathcal{A}_{\text{in}}: \langle \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{\text{in}} \mathcal{A} S \rangle$ **and** $K: \langle K \in \# \mathcal{L}_{\text{all}} \mathcal{A} \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-D } K S \leq$
 $\Downarrow \{(T', T) . T = T' \wedge \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{\text{in}} \mathcal{A} T\}$
 $\quad (\text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl } K S)\rangle$
(proof)

definition *unit-propagation-outer-loop-wl-D-inv* **where**
 $\langle \text{unit-propagation-outer-loop-wl-D-inv } S \longleftrightarrow$
 $\quad \text{unit-propagation-outer-loop-wl-inv } S \wedge$
 $\quad \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{\text{in}} (\text{all-atms-st } S) S\rangle$

definition *unit-propagation-outer-loop-wl-D*
 $:: \langle \text{nat twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow \text{nat twl-st-wl nres} \rangle$
where
 $\langle \text{unit-propagation-outer-loop-wl-D } S_0 =$
 $\quad \text{WHILE}_T \text{unit-propagation-outer-loop-wl-D-inv}$
 $\quad (\lambda S. \text{literals-to-update-wl } S \neq \{\#\})$
 $\quad (\lambda S. \text{do } \{$
 $\quad \quad \text{ASSERT}(\text{literals-to-update-wl } S \neq \{\#\});$
 $\quad \quad (S', L) \leftarrow \text{select-and-remove-from-literals-to-update-wl } S;$
 $\quad \quad \text{ASSERT}(L \in \# \mathcal{L}_{\text{all}} (\text{all-atms-st } S));$

$\text{unit-propagation-inner-loop-wl-D } L \ S'$
 $\})$
 $(S_0 :: \text{nat twl-st-wl})$

lemma *literals-are-L_{in}-set-lits-to-upd*[twl-st-wl, simp]:
 $\langle \text{literals-are-L}_{in} \mathcal{A} (\text{set-literals-to-update-wl } C \ S) \longleftrightarrow \text{literals-are-L}_{in} \mathcal{A} \ S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unit-propagation-outer-loop-wl-D-spec*:
assumes $\mathcal{A}_{in}: \langle \text{literals-are-L}_{in} \mathcal{A} \ S \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{unit-propagation-outer-loop-wl-D } S \leq$
 $\downarrow \{(T', T). T = T' \wedge \text{literals-are-L}_{in} \mathcal{A} T\}$
 $\text{(unit-propagation-outer-loop-wl } S)\rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unit-propagation-outer-loop-wl-D-spec'*:
shows $\langle (\text{unit-propagation-outer-loop-wl-D}, \text{unit-propagation-outer-loop-wl}) \in$
 $\{(T', T). T = T' \wedge \text{literals-are-L}_{in} \mathcal{A} T\} \rightarrow_f$
 $\langle \{(T', T). T = T' \wedge \text{literals-are-L}_{in} \mathcal{A} T\} \rangle_{nres-rel}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *skip-and-resolve-loop-wl-D-inv* **where**
 $\langle \text{skip-and-resolve-loop-wl-D-inv } S_0 \text{ brk } S \equiv$
 $\text{skip-and-resolve-loop-wl-inv } S_0 \text{ brk } S \wedge \text{literals-are-L}_{in} (\text{all-atms-st } S) \ S \rangle$

definition *skip-and-resolve-loop-wl-D*
 $:: \langle \text{nat twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow \text{nat twl-st-wl nres} \rangle$
where
 $\langle \text{skip-and-resolve-loop-wl-D } S_0 =$
 $\text{do } \{$
 $\text{ASSERT}(\text{get-conflict-wl } S_0 \neq \text{None});$
 $(-, S) \leftarrow$
 $\text{WHILE}_T \lambda(\text{brk}, S). \text{skip-and-resolve-loop-wl-D-inv } S_0 \text{ brk } S$
 $(\lambda(\text{brk}, S). \neg \text{brk} \wedge \neg \text{is-decided} (\text{hd} (\text{get-trail-wl } S)))$
 $(\lambda(\text{brk}, S).$
 $\text{do } \{$
 $\text{ASSERT}(\neg \text{brk} \wedge \neg \text{is-decided} (\text{hd} (\text{get-trail-wl } S)));$
 $\text{let } D' = \text{the} (\text{get-conflict-wl } S);$
 $\text{let } (L, C) = \text{lit-and-ann-of-propagated} (\text{hd} (\text{get-trail-wl } S));$
 $\text{if } -L \notin D' \text{ then}$
 $\text{do } \{ \text{RETURN } (\text{False}, \text{tl-state-wl } S) \}$
 else
 $\text{if } \text{get-maximum-level} (\text{get-trail-wl } S) (\text{remove1-mset} (-L) D') =$
 $\text{count-decided} (\text{get-trail-wl } S)$
 then
 $\text{do } \{ \text{RETURN } (\text{update-confl-tl-wl } C \ L \ S) \}$
 else
 $\text{do } \{ \text{RETURN } (\text{True}, S) \}$
 $\}$
 $)$
 $(\text{False}, S_0);$
 $\text{RETURN } S$
 $\}$
 \rangle

lemma *literals-are-L_{in}-tl-state-wl*[simp]:


```

⟨propagate-unit-bt-wl-D = (λL (M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W). do {
  D' ← single-of-mset (the D);
  RETURN (Propagated (-L) 0 # M, N, None, NE, add-mset {#D'#!} UE, {#L#!}, W)
})⟩

```

```

definition backtrack-wl-D :: ⟨nat twl-st-wl ⇒ nat twl-st-wl nres⟩ where
  ⟨backtrack-wl-D S =
    do {
      ASSERT(backtrack-wl-D-inv S);
      let L = lit-of (hd (get-trail-wl S));
      S ← extract-shorter-conflict-wl S;
      S ← find-decomp-wl L S;

      if size (the (get-conflict-wl S)) > 1
      then do {
        L' ← find-lit-of-max-level-wl S L;
        propagate-bt-wl-D L L' S
      }
      else do {
        propagate-unit-bt-wl-D L S
      }
    }⟩

```

```

lemma backtrack-wl-D-spec:
  fixes S :: ⟨nat twl-st-wl⟩
  assumes Ain: ⟨literals-are- $\mathcal{L}_{in}$  A S⟩ and confl: ⟨get-conflict-wl S ≠ None⟩
  shows ⟨backtrack-wl-D S ≤
    ⇝ {(T', T). T = T' ∧ literals-are- $\mathcal{L}_{in}$  A T}
    (backtrack-wl S)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Decide or Skip

```

definition find-unassigned-lit-wl-D
  :: ⟨nat twl-st-wl ⇒ (nat twl-st-wl × nat literal option) nres⟩
where
  ⟨find-unassigned-lit-wl-D S = (
    SPEC(λ((M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q), L).
    S = (M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q) ∧
    (L ≠ None →
      undefined-lit M (the L) ∧ the L ∈#  $\mathcal{L}_{all}$  (all-atms N NE) ∧
      atm-of (the L) ∈ atms-of-mm (clause ‘# twl-clause-of ‘# init-clss-lf N + NE)) ∧
    (L = None → (≠ L'. undefined-lit M L' ∧
      atm-of L' ∈ atms-of-mm (clause ‘# twl-clause-of ‘# init-clss-lf N + NE)))))
  ⟩

```

```

definition decide-wl-or-skip-D-pre :: ⟨nat twl-st-wl ⇒ bool⟩ where
  ⟨decide-wl-or-skip-D-pre S ↔
    decide-wl-or-skip-pre S ∧ literals-are- $\mathcal{L}_{in}$  (all-atms-st S) S⟩

```

```

definition decide-wl-or-skip-D
  :: ⟨nat twl-st-wl ⇒ (bool × nat twl-st-wl) nres⟩
where
  ⟨decide-wl-or-skip-D S = (do {
    ASSERT(decide-wl-or-skip-D-pre S);

```

```

 $(S, L) \leftarrow \text{find-unassigned-lit-wl-}D\ S;$ 
 $\text{case } L \text{ of}$ 
 $\quad \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{RETURN } (\text{True}, S)$ 
 $\quad \mid \text{Some } L \Rightarrow \text{RETURN } (\text{False}, \text{decide-lit-wl } L\ S)$ 
 $\}$ 
 $\rangle$ 

theorem decide-wl-or-skip-D-spec:
assumes  $\langle \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{in}\ \mathcal{A}\ S \rangle$ 
shows  $\langle \text{decide-wl-or-skip-D } S \rangle$ 
 $\leq \Downarrow \{((b', T'), b, T). b = b' \wedge T = T' \wedge \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{in}\ \mathcal{A}\ T\} \text{ (decide-wl-or-skip } S\text{)}$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

Backtrack, Skip, Resolve or Decide

definition *cdcl-twl-o-prog-wl-D-pre* **where**
 $\langle \text{cdcl-twl-o-prog-wl-D-pre } S \longleftrightarrow \text{cdcl-twl-o-prog-wl-pre } S \wedge \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{in}\ (\text{all-atms-st } S)\ S \rangle$

definition *cdcl-twl-o-prog-wl-D*
 $:: \langle \text{nat twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow (\text{bool} \times \text{nat twl-st-wl}) \text{ nres} \rangle$
where
 $\langle \text{cdcl-twl-o-prog-wl-D } S =$
 $\quad \text{do } \{$
 $\quad \quad \text{ASSERT}(\text{cdcl-twl-o-prog-wl-D-pre } S);$
 $\quad \quad \text{if get-conflict-wl } S = \text{None}$
 $\quad \quad \text{then decide-wl-or-skip-D } S$
 $\quad \quad \text{else do } \{$
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{if count-decided } (\text{get-trail-wl } S) > 0$
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{then do } \{$
 $\quad \quad \quad \quad T \leftarrow \text{skip-and-resolve-loop-wl-}D\ S;$
 $\quad \quad \quad \quad \text{ASSERT}(\text{get-conflict-wl } T \neq \text{None} \wedge \text{get-clauses-wl } S = \text{get-clauses-wl } T);$
 $\quad \quad \quad \quad U \leftarrow \text{backtrack-wl-}D\ T;$
 $\quad \quad \quad \quad \text{RETURN } (\text{False}, U)$
 $\quad \quad \quad \}$
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{else RETURN } (\text{True}, S)$
 $\quad \quad \}$
 $\quad \}$
 \rangle

theorem *cdcl-twl-o-prog-wl-D-spec*:
assumes $\langle \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{in}\ \mathcal{A}\ S \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{cdcl-twl-o-prog-wl-D } S \leq \Downarrow \{((b', T'), (b, T)). b = b' \wedge T = T' \wedge \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{in}\ \mathcal{A}\ T\} \text{ (cdcl-twl-o-prog-wl } S\text{)}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *cdcl-twl-o-prog-wl-D-spec'*:
 $\langle (\text{cdcl-twl-o-prog-wl-}D, \text{cdcl-twl-o-prog-wl}) \in$
 $\quad \{(S, S'). (S, S') \in \text{Id} \wedge \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{in}\ \mathcal{A}\ S\} \rightarrow_f$
 $\quad \langle \text{bool-rel} \times_r \{(T', T). T = T' \wedge \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{in}\ \mathcal{A}\ T\} \rangle \text{ nres-rel} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Full Strategy

definition *cdcl-twl-stgy-prog-wl-D*
 $:: \langle \text{nat twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow \text{nat twl-st-wl} \text{ nres} \rangle$
where

```

⟨cdcl-twlv-prog-wl-D S₀ =
do {
  do {
    (brk, T) ← WHILETλ(brk, T). cdcl-twlv-prog-wl-inv S₀ (brk, T) ∧ literals-are- $\mathcal{L}_{in}$  (all-atms-st T) T
    (λ(brk, -). ¬brk)
    (λ(brk, S).
      do {
        T ← unit-propagation-outer-loop-wl-D S;
        cdcl-twlv-o-prog-wl-D T
      })
    (False, S₀);
    RETURN T
  }
}
⟩

```

theorem *cdcl-twlv-prog-wl-D-spec*:

assumes ⟨literals-are- \mathcal{L}_{in} A S⟩
shows ⟨cdcl-twlv-prog-wl-D S ≤ ↓ {(T', T). T = T' ∧ literals-are- \mathcal{L}_{in} A T} (cdcl-twlv-prog-wl S)⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma *cdcl-twlv-prog-wl-D-spec'*:

⟨(cdcl-twlv-prog-wl-D, cdcl-twlv-prog-wl) ∈ {S, S'}. (S, S') ∈ Id ∧ literals-are- \mathcal{L}_{in} A S} →_f {(T', T). T = T' ∧ literals-are- \mathcal{L}_{in} A T}⟩ nres-rel
⟨proof⟩

definition *cdcl-twlv-prog-wl-D-pre* **where**

⟨cdcl-twlv-prog-wl-D-pre S U ↔ (cdcl-twlv-prog-wl-pre S U ∧ literals-are- \mathcal{L}_{in} (all-atms-st S) S)⟩

lemma *cdcl-twlv-prog-wl-D-spec-final*:

assumes ⟨cdcl-twlv-prog-wl-D-pre S S'⟩
shows ⟨cdcl-twlv-prog-wl-D S ≤ ↓ (state-wl-l None O twlv-st-l None) (conclusive-TWL-run S')⟩
⟨proof⟩

definition *cdcl-twlv-prog-break-wl-D* :: ⟨nat twlv-st-wl ⇒ nat twlv-st-wl nres⟩

where

```

⟨cdcl-twlv-prog-break-wl-D S₀ =
do {
  b ← SPEC (λ-. True);
  (b, brk, T) ← WHILETλ(b, brk, T). cdcl-twlv-prog-wl-inv S₀ (brk, T) ∧ literals-are- $\mathcal{L}_{in}$  (all-atms-st T) T
  (λ(b, brk, -). b ∧ ¬brk)
  (λ(b, brk, S).
    do {
      ASSERT(b);
      T ← unit-propagation-outer-loop-wl-D S;
      (brk, T) ← cdcl-twlv-o-prog-wl-D T;
      b ← SPEC (λ-. True);
      RETURN(b, brk, T)
    })
}
⟩

```

```

        (b, False, S0);
      if brk then RETURN T
      else cdcl-twl-stgy-prog-wl-D T
    }>

theorem cdcl-twl-stgy-prog-break-wl-D-spec:
  assumes literals-are-Lin A S
  shows cdcl-twl-stgy-prog-break-wl-D S ≤ ⊥ {((T', T). T = T' ∧ literals-are-Lin A T)}
    (cdcl-twl-stgy-prog-break-wl S)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cdcl-twl-stgy-prog-break-wl-D-spec-final:
  assumes
    cdcl-twl-stgy-prog-wl-D-pre S S'
  shows
    cdcl-twl-stgy-prog-break-wl-D S ≤ ⊥ (state-wl-l None O twl-st-l None) (conclusive-TWL-run S')
  ⟨proof⟩

```

The definition is here to be shared later.

```

definition get-propagation-reason :: (('v, 'mark) ann-lits ⇒ 'v literal ⇒ 'mark option nres) where
  get-propagation-reason M L = SPEC(λC. C ≠ None → Propagated L (the C) ∈ set M)

end
theory Watched-Literals-Watch-List-Domain-Restart
  imports Watched-Literals-Watch-List-Domain Watched-Literals-Watch-List-Restart
begin

```

```

lemma cdcl-twl-restart-get-all-init-clss:
  assumes cdcl-twl-restart S T
  shows get-all-init-clss T = get-all-init-clss S
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-twl-restart-get-all-init-clss:
  assumes cdcl-twl-restart** S T
  shows get-all-init-clss T = get-all-init-clss S
  ⟨proof⟩

```

As we have a specialised version of *correct-watching*, we defined a special version for the inclusion of the domain:

```

definition all-init-lits :: ((nat, 'v literal list × bool) fmap ⇒ 'v literal multiset multiset ⇒
  'v literal multiset) where
  all-init-lits S NUE = all-lits-of-mm ((λC. mset C) ‘# init-clss-lf S + NUE))

```

```

abbreviation all-init-lits-st :: ('v twl-st-wl ⇒ 'v literal multiset) where
  all-init-lits-st S ≡ all-init-lits (get-clauses-wl S) (get-unit-init-clss-wl S))

```

```

definition all-init-atms :: (- ⇒ - ⇒ 'v multiset) where
  all-init-atms N NUE = atm-of ‘# all-init-lits N NUE)

```

```

declare all-init-atms-def[symmetric, simp]

```

```

lemma all-init-atms-alt-def:
  set-mset (all-init-atms N NE) = atms-of-mm (mset ‘# init-clss-lf N) ∪ atms-of-mm NE
  ⟨proof⟩

```

abbreviation $\text{all-init-atms-st} :: \langle 'v \text{ twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ multiset} \rangle \text{ where}$
 $\langle \text{all-init-atms-st } S \equiv \text{atm-of } \# \text{ all-init-lits-st } S \rangle$

definition $\text{blits-in-L}_{in}' :: \langle \text{nat twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle \text{ where}$
 $\langle \text{blits-in-L}_{in}' S \longleftrightarrow (\forall L \in \# \mathcal{L}_{all} (\text{all-init-atms-st } S). \forall (i, K, b) \in \text{set} (\text{watched-by } S L). K \in \# \mathcal{L}_{all} (\text{all-init-atms-st } S)) \rangle$

definition $\text{literals-are-L}_{in}' :: \langle \text{nat multiset} \Rightarrow \text{nat twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle \text{ where}$
 $\langle \text{literals-are-L}_{in}' \mathcal{A} S \equiv \text{is-L}_{all} \mathcal{A} (\text{all-lits-of-mm } (\text{mset } \# \text{ init-clss-lf } (\text{get-clauses-wl } S) + \text{get-unit-init-clss-wl } S)) \wedge \text{blits-in-L}_{in}' S \rangle$

lemma $\mathcal{L}_{all}\text{-cong}:$
 $\langle \text{set-mset } \mathcal{A} = \text{set-mset } \mathcal{B} \implies \text{set-mset } (\mathcal{L}_{all} \mathcal{A}) = \text{set-mset } (\mathcal{L}_{all} \mathcal{B}) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{literals-are-L}_{in}'\text{-cong}:$
 $\langle \text{set-mset } \mathcal{A} = \text{set-mset } \mathcal{B} \implies \text{literals-are-L}_{in}' \mathcal{A} S = \text{literals-are-L}_{in}' \mathcal{B} S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{literals-are-L}_{in}\text{-cong}:$
 $\langle \text{set-mset } \mathcal{A} = \text{set-mset } \mathcal{B} \implies \text{literals-are-L}_{in} \mathcal{A} S = \text{literals-are-L}_{in} \mathcal{B} S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{literals-are-L}_{in}'\text{-literals-are-L}_{in}\text{-iff}:$

assumes

$Sx: \langle (S, x) \in \text{state-wl-l None} \rangle \text{ and}$
 $x-xa: \langle (x, xa) \in \text{twl-st-l None} \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{struct-invs}: \langle \text{twl-struct-invs } xa \rangle$

shows

$\langle \text{literals-are-L}_{in}' \mathcal{A} S \longleftrightarrow \text{literals-are-L}_{in} \mathcal{A} S \rangle \text{ (is ?A)}$
 $\langle \text{literals-are-L}_{in}' (\text{all-init-atms-st } S) S \longleftrightarrow \text{literals-are-L}_{in} (\text{all-atms-st } S) S \rangle \text{ (is ?B)}$
 $\langle \text{set-mset } (\text{all-init-atms-st } S) = \text{set-mset } (\text{all-atms-st } S) \rangle \text{ (is ?C)}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $GC\text{-remap-all-init-atmsD}:$

$\langle GC\text{-remap } (N, x, m) (N', x', m') \implies \text{all-init-atms } N \text{ NE} + \text{all-init-atms } m \text{ NE} = \text{all-init-atms } N' \text{ NE} + \text{all-init-atms } m' \text{ NE} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $rtranclp\text{-}GC\text{-remap-all-init-atmsD}:$

$\langle GC\text{-remap}^{**} (N, x, m) (N', x', m') \implies \text{all-init-atms } N \text{ NE} + \text{all-init-atms } m \text{ NE} = \text{all-init-atms } N' \text{ NE} + \text{all-init-atms } m' \text{ NE} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $rtranclp\text{-}GC\text{-remap-all-init-atms}:$

$\langle GC\text{-remap}^{**} (x1a, Map.\text{empty}, fmempty) (fmempty, m, x1ad) \implies \text{all-init-atms } x1ad \text{ NE} = \text{all-init-atms } x1a \text{ NE} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $GC\text{-remap-all-init-lits}:$

$\langle GC\text{-remap } (N, m, new) (N', m', new') \implies \text{all-init-lits } N \text{ NE} + \text{all-init-lits } new \text{ NE} = \text{all-init-lits } N' \text{ NE} + \text{all-init-lits } new' \text{ NE} \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtranclp-GC-remap-all-init-lits*:

$\langle GC\text{-}remap^{**} (N, m, new) (N', m', new') \implies all\text{-}init\text{-}lits N NE + all\text{-}init\text{-}lits new NE = all\text{-}init\text{-}lits N' NE + all\text{-}init\text{-}lits new' NE \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl-twlr-restart-is-L_{all}*:

assumes

$ST: \langle cdcl\text{-}twlr\text{-}restart^{**} S T \rangle \text{ and}$

$struct\text{-}invs\text{-}S: \langle twl\text{-}struct\text{-}invs S \rangle \text{ and}$

$L: \langle is\text{-}\mathcal{L}_{all} \mathcal{A} (all\text{-}lits\text{-}of\text{-}mm (clauses (get-clauses S) + unit-clss S)) \rangle$

shows $\langle is\text{-}\mathcal{L}_{all} \mathcal{A} (all\text{-}lits\text{-}of\text{-}mm (clauses (get-clauses T) + unit-clss T)) \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl-twlr-restart-is-L_{all}'*:

assumes

$ST: \langle cdcl\text{-}twlr\text{-}restart^{**} S T \rangle \text{ and}$

$struct\text{-}invs\text{-}S: \langle twl\text{-}struct\text{-}invs S \rangle \text{ and}$

$L: \langle is\text{-}\mathcal{L}_{all} \mathcal{A} (all\text{-}lits\text{-}of\text{-}mm (get-all-init-clss S)) \rangle$

shows $\langle is\text{-}\mathcal{L}_{all} \mathcal{A} (all\text{-}lits\text{-}of\text{-}mm (get-all-init-clss T)) \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition *remove-all-annot-true-clause-imp-wl-D-inv*

$:: \langle nat twl\text{-}st\text{-}wl \Rightarrow - \Rightarrow nat \times nat twl\text{-}st\text{-}wl \Rightarrow bool \rangle$

where

$\langle remove\text{-}all\text{-}annot\text{-}true\text{-}clause\text{-}imp\text{-}wl\text{-}D\text{-}inv S xs = (\lambda(i, T).$

$remove\text{-}all\text{-}annot\text{-}true\text{-}clause\text{-}imp\text{-}wl\text{-}inv S xs (i, T) \wedge$

$literals\text{-}are}\mathcal{L}_{in}' (all\text{-}init\text{-}atms\text{-}st T) T \wedge$

$all\text{-}init\text{-}atms\text{-}st S = all\text{-}init\text{-}atms\text{-}st T) \rangle$

definition *remove-all-annot-true-clause-imp-wl-D-pre*

$:: \langle nat multiset \Rightarrow nat literal \Rightarrow nat twl\text{-}st\text{-}wl \Rightarrow bool \rangle$

where

$\langle remove\text{-}all\text{-}annot\text{-}true\text{-}clause\text{-}imp\text{-}wl\text{-}D\text{-}pre \mathcal{A} L S \longleftrightarrow (L \in \# \mathcal{L}_{all} \mathcal{A} \wedge literals\text{-}are}\mathcal{L}_{in}' \mathcal{A} S) \rangle$

definition *remove-all-annot-true-clause-imp-wl-D*

$:: \langle nat literal \Rightarrow nat twl\text{-}st\text{-}wl \Rightarrow (nat twl\text{-}st\text{-}wl) nres \rangle$

where

$\langle remove\text{-}all\text{-}annot\text{-}true\text{-}clause\text{-}imp\text{-}wl\text{-}D = (\lambda L S. do \{$

$ASSERT(remove\text{-}all\text{-}annot\text{-}true\text{-}clause\text{-}imp\text{-}wl\text{-}D\text{-}pre (all\text{-}init\text{-}atms\text{-}st S)$

$L S);$

$let xs = get\text{-}watched\text{-}wl S L;$

$(-, T) \leftarrow WHILE_T \lambda(i, T). remove\text{-}all\text{-}annot\text{-}true\text{-}clause\text{-}imp\text{-}wl\text{-}D\text{-}inv S xs (i, T)$

$(\lambda(i, T). i < length xs)$

$(\lambda(i, T). do \{$

$ASSERT(i < length xs);$

$let (C, -, -) = xs ! i;$

$if C \in \# dom\text{-}m (get\text{-}clauses\text{-}wl T) \wedge length ((get\text{-}clauses\text{-}wl T) \setminus C) \neq 2$

$then do \{$

$T \leftarrow remove\text{-}all\text{-}annot\text{-}true\text{-}clause\text{-}one\text{-}imp\text{-}wl (C, T);$

$RETURN (i+1, T)$

$\}$

$else$

$RETURN (i+1, T)$

```

        })
      ( $\theta$ ,  $S$ );
    RETURN  $T$ 
})\rangle

lemma is-Lall-init-itself[iff]:
  ⟨is-Lall (all-init-atms  $x1h$   $x2h$ ) (all-init-lits  $x1h$   $x2h$ )⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma literals-are-Lin'-alt-def: ⟨literals-are-Lin' A S ↔
  is-Lall A (all-init-lits (get-clauses-wl S) (get-unit-init-clss-wl S)) ∧
  blits-in-Lin' S)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma remove-all-annot-true-clause-imp-wl-remove-all-annot-true-clause-imp:
  ⟨(uncurry remove-all-annot-true-clause-imp-wl-D, uncurry remove-all-annot-true-clause-imp-wl) ∈
  { $(L, L'). L = L' \wedge L \in \# \mathcal{L}_{all} \mathcal{A}$ } × $f$  { $(S, T). (S, T) \in Id \wedge \text{literals-are-L}_{in}' \mathcal{A} S \wedge$ 
   $\mathcal{A} = \text{all-init-atms-st } S$ } → $f$ 
  ⟨ $(S, T). (S, T) \in Id \wedge \text{literals-are-L}_{in}' \mathcal{A} S$ ⟩ $nres-rel$ 
  (is ⟨- ∈ - → $f$  ⟨?R⟩ $nres-rel$ ⟩)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition remove-one-annot-true-clause-one-imp-wl-D-pre where
  ⟨remove-one-annot-true-clause-one-imp-wl-D-pre i T ↔
  remove-one-annot-true-clause-one-imp-wl-pre i T ∧
  literals-are-Lin' (all-init-atms-st T) T⟩

definition remove-one-annot-true-clause-one-imp-wl-D
  :: ⟨nat ⇒ nat twl-st-wl ⇒ (nat × nat twl-st-wl) nres⟩
where
  ⟨remove-one-annot-true-clause-one-imp-wl-D = ( $\lambda i S. \text{do} \{$ 
    ASSERT(remove-one-annot-true-clause-one-imp-wl-D-pre i S);
    ASSERT(is-proped (rev (get-trail-wl S) !  $i$ ));
     $(L, C) \leftarrow SPEC(\lambda(L, C). (\text{rev } (\text{get-trail-wl } S))!i = Propagated L C)$ ;
    ASSERT(Propagated L C ∈ set (get-trail-wl S));
    ASSERT(atm-of L ∈ $\#$  all-init-atms-st S);
    if C = 0 then RETURN (i+1, S)
    else do {
      ASSERT( $C \in \# \text{dom-}m (\text{get-clauses-wl } S)$ );
       $T \leftarrow \text{replace-}annot-l L C S;$ 
      ASSERT(get-clauses-wl S = get-clauses-wl T);
       $T \leftarrow \text{remove-and-add-cls-l } C T;$ 
      —  $S \leftarrow \text{remove-all-annot-true-clause-imp-wl } L S;$ 
      RETURN (i+1, T)
    }
  })\rangle

lemma remove-one-annot-true-clause-one-imp-wl-pre-in-trail-in-all-init-atms-st:
assumes
  inv: ⟨remove-one-annot-true-clause-one-imp-wl-D-pre K S⟩ and
  LC-tr: ⟨Propagated L C ∈ set (get-trail-wl S)⟩
shows ⟨atm-of L ∈ $\#$  all-init-atms-st S⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma remove-one-annot-true-clause-one-imp-wl-D-remove-one-annot-true-clause-one-imp-wl:
```

```

⟨(uncurry remove-one-annot-true-clause-one-imp-wl-D,
  uncurry remove-one-annot-true-clause-one-imp-wl) ∈
  nat-rel ×f {(S, T). (S, T) ∈ Id ∧ literals-are- $\mathcal{L}_{in}'$  (all-init-atms-st S) S} →f
  ⟨nat-rel ×f {(S, T). (S, T) ∈ Id ∧ literals-are- $\mathcal{L}_{in}'$  (all-init-atms-st S) S}⟩nres-rel
  (is ⟨- ∈ - ×f ?A →f -⟩)
⟨proof⟩

```

definition *remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp-wl-D-inv* **where**

```

⟨remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp-wl-D-inv S = (λ(i, T).
  remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp-wl-inv S (i, T) ∧
  literals-are- $\mathcal{L}_{in}'$  (all-init-atms-st T) T)⟩

```

definition *remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp-wl-D* :: ⟨*nat twl-st-wl* ⇒ (*nat twl-st-wl*) *nres*

where

```

⟨remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp-wl-D = (λS. do {
  k ← SPEC(λk. (exists M1 M2 K. (Decided K ≠ M1, M2) ∈ set (get-all-ann-decomposition (get-trail-wl
  S)) ∧
    count-decided M1 = 0 ∧ k = length M1)
  ∨ (count-decided (get-trail-wl S) = 0 ∧ k = length (get-trail-wl S)));
  (-, S) ← WHILETremove-one-annot-true-clause-imp-wl-D-inv S
  (λ(i, S). i < k)
  (λ(i, S). remove-one-annot-true-clause-one-imp-wl-D i S)
  (0, S);
  RETURN S
})⟩

```

lemma *remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp-wl-D-remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp-wl*:

```

⟨(remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp-wl-D, remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp-wl) ∈
  {(S, T). (S, T) ∈ Id ∧ literals-are- $\mathcal{L}_{in}'$  (all-init-atms-st S) S} →f
  ⟨{(S, T). (S, T) ∈ Id ∧ literals-are- $\mathcal{L}_{in}'$  (all-init-atms-st S) S}⟩nres-rel
⟨proof⟩

```

definition *mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-D-pre* **where**

```

⟨mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-D-pre S ⟷
  mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-pre S ∧ literals-are- $\mathcal{L}_{in}'$  (all-init-atms-st S) S⟩

```

definition *mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-D-inv* **where**

```

⟨mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-D-inv = (λS xs0 (i, T, xs).
  mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-inv S xs0 (i, T, xs) ∧
  literals-are- $\mathcal{L}_{in}'$  (all-init-atms-st T) T)⟩

```

definition *mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-D* :: ⟨*nat twl-st-wl* ⇒ *nat twl-st-wl nreswhere*

```

⟨mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-D = (λS. do {
  ASSERT(mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-D-pre S);
  xs ← collect-valid-indices-wl S;
  l ← SPEC(λ::nat. True);
  (-, S, xs) ← WHILETmark-to-delete-clauses-wl-D-inv S xs
  (λ(i, -, xs). i < length xs)
  (λ(i, T, xs). do {
    if(xs!i ≠ dom-m (get-clauses-wl T)) then RETURN (i, T, delete-index-and-swap xs i)
    else do {
      ASSERT(0 < length (get-clauses-wl T ⊖ (xs!i)));
      ASSERT(get-clauses-wl T ⊖ (xs!i)!0 ∈#  $\mathcal{L}_{all}$  (all-init-atms-st T));
      can-del ← SPEC(λb. b →

```

```

  (Propagated (get-clauses-wl T $\propto$ (xs!i)!0) (xs!i)  $\notin$  set (get-trail-wl T))  $\wedge$ 
   $\neg$ irred (get-clauses-wl T) (xs!i)  $\wedge$  length (get-clauses-wl T $\propto$ (xs!i))  $\neq$  2);
  ASSERT(i < length xs);
  if can-del
  then
    RETURN (i, mark-garbage-wl (xs!i) T, delete-index-and-swap xs i)
  else
    RETURN (i+1, T, xs)
  }
}
(l, S, xs);
RETURN S
})>

```

lemma mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-D-mark-to-delete-clauses-wl:

```

<(mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-D, mark-to-delete-clauses-wl)  $\in$ 
  {(S, T). (S, T)  $\in$  Id  $\wedge$  literals-are-Lin' (all-init-atms-st S) S}  $\rightarrow_f$ 
  <{(S, T). (S, T)  $\in$  Id  $\wedge$  literals-are-Lin' (all-init-atms-st S) S}>nres-rel>
  {proof}>

```

definition mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-D-post **where**

```

<mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-D-post S T  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  (mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-post S T  $\wedge$  literals-are-Lin' (all-init-atms-st S) S)>

```

definition cdcl-tw_l-full-restart-wl-prog-D :: <nat tw_l-st-wl \Rightarrow nat tw_l-st-wl nres> **where**

```

<cdcl-twl-full-restart-wl-prog-D S = do {
  — S  $\leftarrow$  remove-one-annot-true-clause-imp-wl-D S;
  ASSERT(mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-D-pre S);
  T  $\leftarrow$  mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-D S;
  ASSERT (mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-post S T);
  RETURN T
}>

```

lemma cdcl-tw_l-full-restart-wl-prog-D-final-rel:

```

assumes
  <(S, Sa)  $\in$  {(S, T). (S, T)  $\in$  Id  $\wedge$  literals-are-Lin (all-atms-st S) S}> and
  <mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-D-pre S> and
  <(T, Ta)  $\in$  {(S, T). (S, T)  $\in$  Id  $\wedge$  literals-are-Lin' (all-init-atms-st S) S}> and
  post: <mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-post Sa Ta> and
  <mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-post S T>
shows <(T, Ta)  $\in$  {(S, T). (S, T)  $\in$  Id  $\wedge$  literals-are-Lin (all-atms-st S) S}>
{proof}

```

lemma mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-pre-lits':

```

<(S, T)  $\in$  {(S, T). (S, T)  $\in$  Id  $\wedge$  literals-are-Lin (all-atms-st S) S}>  $\Rightarrow$ 
  mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-pre T  $\Rightarrow$  mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-D-pre S
{proof}

```

lemma cdcl-tw_l-full-restart-wl-prog-D-cdcl-tw_l-restart-wl-prog:

```

<(cdcl-twl-full-restart-wl-prog-D, cdcl-twl-full-restart-wl-prog)  $\in$ 
  {(S, T). (S, T)  $\in$  Id  $\wedge$  literals-are-Lin (all-atms-st S) S}>  $\rightarrow_f$ 
  <{(S, T). (S, T)  $\in$  Id  $\wedge$  literals-are-Lin (all-atms-st S) S}>nres-rel>
{proof}

```

definition restart-abs-wl-D-pre :: <nat tw_l-st-wl \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow bool> **where**

```

<restart-abs-wl-D-pre S brk  $\longleftrightarrow$ 

```

$(\text{restart-abs-wl-pre } S \text{ brk} \wedge \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{in}'(\text{all-init-atms-st } S) \text{ } S)$

definition *cdcl-tw-l-local-restart-wl-D-spec*

$:: \langle \text{nat twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow \text{nat twl-st-wl nres} \rangle$

where

$\langle \text{cdcl-tw-l-local-restart-wl-D-spec} = (\lambda(M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W). \text{ do } \{$

$\text{ASSERT}(\text{restart-abs-wl-D-pre } (M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W) \text{ False});$

$(M, Q') \leftarrow \text{SPEC}(\lambda(M', Q'). (\exists K M2. (\text{Decided } K \# M', M2) \in \text{set}(\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } M)) \wedge$

$Q' = \{\#\}) \vee (M' = M \wedge Q' = Q));$

$\text{RETURN } (M, N, D, NE, UE, Q', W)$

$\}) \rangle$

lemma *cdcl-tw-l-local-restart-wl-D-spec-cdcl-tw-l-local-restart-wl-spec*:

$\langle \text{cdcl-tw-l-local-restart-wl-D-spec}, \text{cdcl-tw-l-local-restart-wl-spec} \rangle$

$\in [\lambda S. \text{restart-abs-wl-D-pre } S \text{ False}]_f \{ (S, T). (S, T) \in \text{Id} \wedge \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{in}(\text{all-atms-st } S) \text{ } S \} \rightarrow$

$\langle \{(S, T). (S, T) \in \text{Id} \wedge \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{in}(\text{all-atms-st } S) \text{ } S\} \rangle_{\text{nres-rel}}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *cdcl-tw-l-restart-wl-D-prog* **where**

$\langle \text{cdcl-tw-l-restart-wl-D-prog } S = \text{do } \{$

$b \leftarrow \text{SPEC}(\lambda-. \text{ True});$

$\text{if } b \text{ then cdcl-tw-l-local-restart-wl-D-spec } S \text{ else cdcl-tw-l-full-restart-wl-prog-D } S$

$\}) \rangle$

lemma *cdcl-tw-l-restart-wl-D-prog-final-rel*:

assumes

post: $\langle \text{restart-abs-wl-D-pre } Sa \text{ } b \rangle$ **and**

$\langle (S, Sa) \in \{(S, T). (S, T) \in \text{Id} \wedge \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{in}(\text{all-atms-st } S) \text{ } S\} \rangle$

shows $\langle (S, Sa) \in \{(S, T). (S, T) \in \text{Id} \wedge \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{in}'(\text{all-init-atms-st } S) \text{ } S\} \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cdcl-tw-l-restart-wl-D-prog-cdcl-tw-l-restart-wl-prog*:

$\langle \text{cdcl-tw-l-restart-wl-D-prog}, \text{cdcl-tw-l-restart-wl-prog} \rangle$

$\in [\lambda S. \text{restart-abs-wl-D-pre } S \text{ False}]_f \{ (S, T) \in \text{Id} \wedge \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{in}(\text{all-atms-st } S) \text{ } S \} \rightarrow$

$\langle \{(S, T). (S, T) \in \text{Id} \wedge \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{in}(\text{all-atms-st } S) \text{ } S\} \rangle_{\text{nres-rel}}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context *tw-l-restart-ops*

begin

definition *mark-to-delete-clauses-wl2-D-inv* **where**

$\langle \text{mark-to-delete-clauses-wl2-D-inv} = (\lambda S \text{ xs0 } (i, T, xs).$

$\text{mark-to-delete-clauses-wl2-inv } S \text{ xs0 } (i, T, xs) \wedge$

$\text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{in}'(\text{all-init-atms-st } T) \text{ } T\rangle$

definition *mark-to-delete-clauses-wl2-D* :: $\langle \text{nat twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow \text{nat twl-st-wl nres} \rangle$ **where**

$\langle \text{mark-to-delete-clauses-wl2-D} = (\lambda S. \text{do } \{$

$\text{ASSERT}(\text{mark-to-delete-clauses-wl2-D-pre } S);$

$xs \leftarrow \text{collect-valid-indices-wl } S;$

$l \leftarrow \text{SPEC}(\lambda-.::\text{nat}. \text{ True});$

$(-, S, xs) \leftarrow \text{WHILE}_T \text{mark-to-delete-clauses-wl2-D-inv } S \text{ xs}$

$(\lambda(i, -, xs). i < \text{length } xs)$

$(\lambda(i, T, xs). \text{do } \{$

$\text{if}(xs!i \notin \text{dom-}m(\text{get-clauses-wl } T)) \text{ then RETURN } (i, T, \text{delete-index-and-swap } xs \text{ } i)$

$\text{else do } \{$

```

ASSERT(0 < length (get-clauses-wl T $\propto$ (xs!i)));
ASSERT(get-clauses-wl T $\propto$ (xs!i)!0  $\in\# \mathcal{L}_{all}$  (all-init-atms-st T));
can-del  $\leftarrow$  SPEC( $\lambda b. b \rightarrow$ 
  (Propagated (get-clauses-wl T $\propto$ (xs!i)!0) (xs!i)  $\notin$  set (get-trail-wl T))  $\wedge$ 
   $\neg$ irred (get-clauses-wl T) (xs!i)  $\wedge$  length (get-clauses-wl T $\propto$ (xs!i))  $\neq$  2);
ASSERT(i < length xs);
if can-del
then
  RETURN (i, mark-garbage-wl (xs!i) T, delete-index-and-swap xs i)
else
  RETURN (i+1, T, xs)
}
}
(l, S, xs);
RETURN S
})>

```

lemma mark-to-delete-clauses-wl-D-mark-to-delete-clauses-wl2:
 \langle mark-to-delete-clauses-wl2-D, mark-to-delete-clauses-wl2 $\rangle \in$
 $\{(S, T). (S, T) \in Id \wedge \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{in}'(\text{all-init-atms-st } S) S\} \rightarrow_f$
 $\langle\{(S, T). (S, T) \in Id \wedge \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{in}'(\text{all-init-atms-st } S) S\}\rangle_{nres-rel}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition cdcl-GC-clauses-prog-copy-wl-entry
 $:: \langle'v clauses-l \Rightarrow 'v watched \Rightarrow 'v literal \Rightarrow$
 $'v clauses-l \Rightarrow ('v clauses-l \times 'v clauses-l) nres\rangle$

where

```

(cdcl-GC-clauses-prog-copy-wl-entry = ( $\lambda N W A N'$ . do {
  let le = length W;
  (i, N, N')  $\leftarrow$  WHILET
    ( $\lambda(i, N, N'). i < le$ )
    ( $\lambda(i, N, N'). do$  {
      ASSERT(i < length W);
      let C = fst (W ! i);
      if C  $\in\#$  dom-m N then do {
        D  $\leftarrow$  SPEC( $\lambda D. D \notin\#$  dom-m N'  $\wedge$  D  $\neq$  0);
        RETURN (i+1, fmdrop C N, fmupd D (N  $\propto$  C, irred N C) N')
      } else RETURN (i+1, N, N')
    })
  } (0, N, N');
  RETURN (N, N')
})>

```

definition clauses-pointed-to :: $\langle'v literal set \Rightarrow ('v literal \Rightarrow 'v watched) \Rightarrow nat set\rangle$
where

\langle clauses-pointed-to \mathcal{A} W $\equiv \bigcup(((\cdot) fst) ` set ` W ` \mathcal{A})\rangle$

lemma clauses-pointed-to-insert[simp]:

\langle clauses-pointed-to (insert A \mathcal{A}) W =
 $fst ` set (W A) \cup$

clauses-pointed-to \mathcal{A} W \rangle and

clauses-pointed-to-empty[simp]:

\langle clauses-pointed-to {} W = {} \rangle

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma cdcl-GC-clauses-prog-copy-wl-entry:

fixes A :: $\langle'v literal\rangle$ and WS :: $\langle'v literal \Rightarrow 'v watched\rangle$

defines [simp]: $\langle W \equiv WS A \rangle$
assumes \langle
 $\text{ran } m0 \subseteq \text{set-mset}(\text{dom-m } N0') \wedge$
 $(\forall L \in \text{dom } m0. L \notin \#(\text{dom-m } N0)) \wedge$
 $\text{set-mset}(\text{dom-m } N0) \subseteq \text{clauses-pointed-to}(\text{set-mset } \mathcal{A}) \text{ WS} \wedge$
 $0 \notin \# \text{dom-m } N0' \rangle$
shows $\langle cdcl\text{-}GC\text{-}clauses\text{-}prog\text{-}copy\text{-}wl\text{-}entry } N0 \text{ } W \text{ } A \text{ } N0' \leq$
 $SPEC(\lambda(N, N'). (\exists m. GC\text{-}remap}^{**}(N0, m0, N0')) (N, m, N') \wedge$
 $\text{ran } m \subseteq \text{set-mset}(\text{dom-m } N') \wedge$
 $(\forall L \in \text{dom } m. L \notin \#(\text{dom-m } N)) \wedge$
 $\text{set-mset}(\text{dom-m } N) \subseteq \text{clauses-pointed-to}(\text{set-mset}(\text{remove1-mset } A \mathcal{A})) \text{ WS} \wedge$
 $(\forall L \in \text{set } W. \text{fst } L \notin \# \text{dom-m } N) \wedge$
 $0 \notin \# \text{dom-m } N') \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition $cdcl\text{-}GC\text{-}clauses\text{-}prog\text{-}single\text{-}wl$

$\text{:: } ('v \text{ clauses-l} \Rightarrow ('v \text{ literal} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ watched})) \Rightarrow 'v \Rightarrow$
 $'v \text{ clauses-l} \Rightarrow ('v \text{ clauses-l} \times 'v \text{ clauses-l} \times ('v \text{ literal} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ watched})) \text{ nres}$

where

$\langle cdcl\text{-}GC\text{-}clauses\text{-}prog\text{-}single\text{-}wl} = (\lambda N \text{ WS } A \text{ } N'. \text{do } \{$
 $L \leftarrow RES \{Pos \text{ } A, Neg \text{ } A\};$
 $(N, N') \leftarrow cdcl\text{-}GC\text{-}clauses\text{-}prog\text{-}copy\text{-}wl\text{-}entry } N \text{ (WS } L) \text{ } L \text{ } N';$
 $\text{let } WS = WS(L := []);$
 $(N, N') \leftarrow cdcl\text{-}GC\text{-}clauses\text{-}prog\text{-}copy\text{-}wl\text{-}entry } N \text{ (WS } (-L)) \text{ } (-L) \text{ } N';$
 $\text{let } WS = WS(-L := []);$
 $\text{RETURN } (N, N', WS)$
 $\}) \rangle$

lemma $\text{clauses-pointed-to-remove1-if:}$

$\forall L \in \text{set } (W L). \text{fst } L \notin \# \text{dom-m } aa \Rightarrow xa \in \# \text{dom-m } aa \Rightarrow$
 $xa \in \text{clauses-pointed-to}(\text{set-mset}(\text{remove1-mset } L \mathcal{A}))$
 $(\lambda a. \text{if } a = L \text{ then } [] \text{ else } W a) \longleftrightarrow$
 $xa \in \text{clauses-pointed-to}(\text{set-mset}(\text{remove1-mset } L \mathcal{A})) \text{ W}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{clauses-pointed-to-remove1-if2:}$

$\forall L \in \text{set } (W L). \text{fst } L \notin \# \text{dom-m } aa \Rightarrow xa \in \# \text{dom-m } aa \Rightarrow$
 $xa \in \text{clauses-pointed-to}(\text{set-mset}(\mathcal{A} - \{\#L, L'\#}))$
 $(\lambda a. \text{if } a = L \text{ then } [] \text{ else } W a) \longleftrightarrow$
 $xa \in \text{clauses-pointed-to}(\text{set-mset}(\mathcal{A} - \{\#L, L'\#})) \text{ W}$
 $\forall L \in \text{set } (W L). \text{fst } L \notin \# \text{dom-m } aa \Rightarrow xa \in \# \text{dom-m } aa \Rightarrow$
 $xa \in \text{clauses-pointed-to}(\text{set-mset}(\mathcal{A} - \{\#L', L\#}))$
 $(\lambda a. \text{if } a = L \text{ then } [] \text{ else } W a) \longleftrightarrow$
 $xa \in \text{clauses-pointed-to}(\text{set-mset}(\mathcal{A} - \{\#L', L\#})) \text{ W}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{clauses-pointed-to-remove1-if2-eq:}$

$\forall L \in \text{set } (W L). \text{fst } L \notin \# \text{dom-m } aa \Rightarrow$
 $\text{set-mset}(\text{dom-m } aa) \subseteq \text{clauses-pointed-to}(\text{set-mset}(\mathcal{A} - \{\#L, L'\#}))$
 $(\lambda a. \text{if } a = L \text{ then } [] \text{ else } W a) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{set-mset}(\text{dom-m } aa) \subseteq \text{clauses-pointed-to}(\text{set-mset}(\mathcal{A} - \{\#L, L'\#})) \text{ W}$
 $\forall L \in \text{set } (W L). \text{fst } L \notin \# \text{dom-m } aa \Rightarrow$
 $\text{set-mset}(\text{dom-m } aa) \subseteq \text{clauses-pointed-to}(\text{set-mset}(\mathcal{A} - \{\#L', L\#}))$
 $(\lambda a. \text{if } a = L \text{ then } [] \text{ else } W a) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{set-mset}(\text{dom-m } aa) \subseteq \text{clauses-pointed-to}(\text{set-mset}(\mathcal{A} - \{\#L', L\#})) \text{ W}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma negs-remove-Neg: $\langle A \notin \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow negs \mathcal{A} + poss \mathcal{A} - \{\#Neg A, Pos A\} = negs \mathcal{A} + poss \mathcal{A} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma poss-remove-Pos: $\langle A \notin \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow negs \mathcal{A} + poss \mathcal{A} - \{\#Pos A, Neg A\} = negs \mathcal{A} + poss \mathcal{A} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma cdcl-GC-clauses-prog-single-wl-removed:

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall L \in set(W(Pos A)). fst L \notin dom-m aaa \Rightarrow \\ & \quad \forall L \in set(W(Neg A)). fst L \notin dom-m a \Rightarrow \\ & \quad GC\text{-remap}^{**}(aaa, ma, baa)(a, mb, b) \Rightarrow \\ & \quad set\text{-mset}(dom-m a) \subseteq clauses\text{-pointed-to}(set\text{-mset}(negs \mathcal{A} + poss \mathcal{A} - \{\#Neg A, Pos A\})) W \\ \Rightarrow & \quad xa \in \# dom-m a \Rightarrow \\ & \quad xa \in clauses\text{-pointed-to}(Neg \setminus set\text{-mset}(remove1-mset A \mathcal{A}) \cup Pos \setminus set\text{-mset}(remove1-mset A \mathcal{A})) \\ & \quad (W(Pos A := [], Neg A := [])) \\ & \forall L \in set(W(Neg A)). fst L \notin dom-m aaa \Rightarrow \\ & \quad \forall L \in set(W(Pos A)). fst L \notin dom-m a \Rightarrow \\ & \quad GC\text{-remap}^{**}(aaa, ma, baa)(a, mb, b) \Rightarrow \\ & \quad set\text{-mset}(dom-m a) \subseteq clauses\text{-pointed-to}(set\text{-mset}(negs \mathcal{A} + poss \mathcal{A} - \{\#Pos A, Neg A\})) W \\ \Rightarrow & \quad xa \in \# dom-m a \Rightarrow \\ & \quad xa \in clauses\text{-pointed-to} \\ & \quad (Neg \setminus set\text{-mset}(remove1-mset A \mathcal{A}) \cup Pos \setminus set\text{-mset}(remove1-mset A \mathcal{A})) \\ & \quad (W(Neg A := [], Pos A := [])) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma cdcl-GC-clauses-prog-single-wl:

fixes $A :: \langle 'v \rangle$ and $WS :: \langle 'v \text{ literal} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ watched} \rangle$ and
 $N0 :: \langle 'v \text{ clauses-l} \rangle$

assumes $\langle ran m \subseteq set\text{-mset}(dom-m N0) \wedge$
 $(\forall L \in dom m. L \notin (dom-m N0)) \wedge$
 $set\text{-mset}(dom-m N0) \subseteq$
 $clauses\text{-pointed-to}(set\text{-mset}(negs \mathcal{A} + poss \mathcal{A})) W \wedge$
 $0 \notin dom-m N0 \rangle$

shows

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle cdcl\text{-GC-clauses-prog-single-wl } N0 \text{ } W \text{ } A \text{ } N0' \leq \\ & \quad SPEC(\lambda(N, N', WS'). \exists m'. GC\text{-remap}^{**}(N0, m, N0')(N, m', N') \wedge \\ & \quad ran m' \subseteq set\text{-mset}(dom-m N') \wedge \\ & \quad (\forall L \in dom m'. L \notin dom-m N) \wedge \\ & \quad WS'(Pos A) = [] \wedge WS'(Neg A) = [] \wedge \\ & \quad (\forall L. L \neq Pos A \rightarrow L \neq Neg A \rightarrow W L = WS' L) \wedge \\ & \quad set\text{-mset}(dom-m N) \subseteq \\ & \quad clauses\text{-pointed-to} \\ & \quad (set\text{-mset}(negs(remove1-mset A \mathcal{A}) + poss(remove1-mset A \mathcal{A}))) WS' \wedge \\ & \quad 0 \notin dom-m N' \\ & \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition cdcl-GC-clauses-prog-wl-inv
 $:: \langle 'v \text{ multiset} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clauses-l} \Rightarrow$
 $'v \text{ multiset} \times ('v \text{ clauses-l} \times 'v \text{ clauses-l} \times ('v \text{ literal} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ watched})) \Rightarrow bool \rangle$

where

$\langle cdcl\text{-}GC\text{-}clauses\text{-}prog\text{-}wl\text{-}inv \mathcal{A} N0 = (\lambda(\mathcal{B}, (N, N', WS)). \mathcal{B} \subseteq \# \mathcal{A} \wedge (\forall A \in set\text{-}mset \mathcal{A} - set\text{-}mset \mathcal{B}. (WS(Pos A) = []) \wedge WS(Neg A) = [])) \wedge 0 \notin \# dom\text{-}m N' \wedge (\exists m. GC\text{-}remap}^{**}(N0, (\lambda_. None), fmempty) (N, m, N') \wedge ran m \subseteq set\text{-}mset(dom\text{-}m N') \wedge (\forall L \in dom m. L \notin \# dom\text{-}m N) \wedge set\text{-}mset(dom\text{-}m N) \subseteq clauses\text{-}pointed\text{-}to(Neg ' set\text{-}mset \mathcal{B} \cup Pos ' set\text{-}mset \mathcal{B}) WS)) \rangle$

definition $cdcl\text{-}GC\text{-}clauses\text{-}prog\text{-}wl :: \langle 'v twl\text{-}st\text{-}wl \Rightarrow 'v twl\text{-}st\text{-}wl nres \rangle$ **where**

$\langle cdcl\text{-}GC\text{-}clauses\text{-}prog\text{-}wl = (\lambda(M, N0, D, NE, UE, Q, WS). do \{ ASSERT(cdcl\text{-}GC\text{-}clauses\text{-}pre\text{-}wl}(M, N0, D, NE, UE, Q, WS)); \mathcal{A} \leftarrow SPEC(\lambda A. set\text{-}mset \mathcal{A} = set\text{-}mset(all\text{-}init\text{-}atms N0 NE)); (-, (N, N', WS)) \leftarrow WHILE_T cdcl\text{-}GC\text{-}clauses\text{-}prog\text{-}wl\text{-}inv \mathcal{A} N0 (\lambda(\mathcal{B}, _). \mathcal{B} \neq \{\#\}) (\lambda(\mathcal{B}, (N, N', WS)). do \{ ASSERT(\mathcal{B} \neq \{\#\}); A \leftarrow SPEC(\lambda A. A \in \# \mathcal{B}); (N, N', WS) \leftarrow cdcl\text{-}GC\text{-}clauses\text{-}prog\text{-}single\text{-}wl N WS A N'; RETURN(remove1\text{-}mset A \mathcal{B}, (N, N', WS)) \}) (\mathcal{A}, (N0, fmempty, WS)); RETURN(M, N', D, NE, UE, Q, WS) \}) \rangle$

lemma $cdcl\text{-}GC\text{-}clauses\text{-}prog\text{-}wl$:

assumes $((M, N0, D, NE, UE, Q, WS), S) \in state\text{-}wl\text{-}l None \wedge correct\text{-}watching''(M, N0, D, NE, UE, Q, WS) \wedge cdcl\text{-}GC\text{-}clauses\text{-}pre S \wedge set\text{-}mset(dom\text{-}m N0) \subseteq clauses\text{-}pointed\text{-}to(Neg ' set\text{-}mset(all\text{-}init\text{-}atms N0 NE) \cup Pos ' set\text{-}mset(all\text{-}init\text{-}atms N0 NE)) WS)$

shows

$\langle cdcl\text{-}GC\text{-}clauses\text{-}prog\text{-}wl(M, N0, D, NE, UE, Q, WS) \leq (SPEC(\lambda(M', N', D', NE', UE', Q', WS')). (M', D', NE', UE', Q') = (M, D, NE, UE, Q) \wedge (\exists m. GC\text{-}remap}^{**}(N0, (\lambda_. None), fmempty) (fmempty, m, N') \wedge 0 \notin \# dom\text{-}m N' \wedge (\forall L \in \# all\text{-}init\text{-}lits N0 NE. WS' L = []))) \rangle$

(proof)

lemma $all\text{-}init\text{-}atms\text{-}fmdrop\text{-}add\text{-}mset\text{-}unit$:

$\langle C \in \# dom\text{-}m baa \implies irred baa C \implies all\text{-}init\text{-}atms(fmdrop C baa)(add\text{-}mset(mset(baa \propto C)) da) = all\text{-}init\text{-}atms baa da \rangle$
 $\langle C \in \# dom\text{-}m baa \implies \neg irred baa C \implies all\text{-}init\text{-}atms(fmdrop C baa) da = all\text{-}init\text{-}atms baa da \rangle$

(proof)

lemma $cdcl\text{-}GC\text{-}clauses\text{-}prog\text{-}wl2$:

assumes $((M, N0, D, NE, UE, Q, WS), S) \in state\text{-}wl\text{-}l None \wedge correct\text{-}watching''(M, N0, D, NE, UE, Q, WS) \wedge cdcl\text{-}GC\text{-}clauses\text{-}pre S \wedge set\text{-}mset(dom\text{-}m N0) \subseteq clauses\text{-}pointed\text{-}to(Neg ' set\text{-}mset(all\text{-}init\text{-}atms N0 NE) \cup Pos ' set\text{-}mset(all\text{-}init\text{-}atms N0 NE)) WS)$ **and**

$\langle N0 = N0' \rangle$
shows
 $\langle cdcl\text{-}GC\text{-}clauses\text{-}prog\text{-}wl (M, N0, D, NE, UE, Q, WS) \leq$
 $\Downarrow \{(M', N'', D', NE', UE', Q', WS'), (N, N')\}. (M', D', NE', UE', Q') = (M, D, NE, UE, Q)$
 \wedge
 $N'' = N \wedge (\forall L \in \#all\text{-}init\text{-}lits N0 NE. WS' L = []) \wedge$
 $all\text{-}init\text{-}lits N0 NE = all\text{-}init\text{-}lits N NE' \wedge$
 $(\exists m. GC\text{-}remap}^{**} (N0, (\lambda_. None), fmempty) (fmempty, m, N))\}$
 $(SPEC(\lambda(N':(nat, 'a literal list \times bool) fmap, m).$
 $GC\text{-}remap}^{**} (N0', (\lambda_. None), fmempty) (fmempty, m, N') \wedge$
 $0 \notin \# dom\text{-}m N'))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *cdcl-twl-stgy-restart-abs-wl-D-inv* **where**

$\langle cdcl\text{-}twl\text{-}stgy\text{-}restart\text{-}abs\text{-}wl\text{-}D\text{-}inv S0 brk T n \longleftrightarrow$
 $cdcl\text{-}twl\text{-}stgy\text{-}restart\text{-}abs\text{-}wl\text{-}inv S0 brk T n \wedge$
 $literals\text{-}are\text{-}\mathcal{L}_{in} (all\text{-}atms\text{-}st T) T \rangle$

definition *cdcl-GC-clauses-pre-wl-D* :: $\langle nat twl\text{-}st\text{-}wl \Rightarrow bool \rangle$ **where**

$\langle cdcl\text{-}GC\text{-}clauses\text{-}pre\text{-}wl\text{-}D S \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists T. (S, T) \in Id \wedge literals\text{-}are\text{-}\mathcal{L}_{in}' (all\text{-}init\text{-}atms\text{-}st S) S \wedge$
 $cdcl\text{-}GC\text{-}clauses\text{-}pre\text{-}wl T$
 $) \rangle$

definition *cdcl-twl-full-restart-wl-D-GC-prog-post* :: $\langle 'v twl\text{-}st\text{-}wl \Rightarrow 'v twl\text{-}st\text{-}wl \Rightarrow bool \rangle$ **where**

$\langle cdcl\text{-}twl\text{-}full\text{-}restart\text{-}wl\text{-}D\text{-}GC\text{-}prog\text{-}post S T \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists S' T'. (S, S') \in Id \wedge (T, T') \in Id \wedge$
 $cdcl\text{-}twl\text{-}full\text{-}restart\text{-}wl\text{-}GC\text{-}prog\text{-}post S' T') \rangle$

definition *cdcl-GC-clauses-wl-D* :: $\langle nat twl\text{-}st\text{-}wl \Rightarrow nat twl\text{-}st\text{-}wl nres \rangle$ **where**

$\langle cdcl\text{-}GC\text{-}clauses\text{-}wl\text{-}D = (\lambda(M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q). do \{$
 $ASSERT(cdcl\text{-}GC\text{-}clauses\text{-}pre\text{-}wl\text{-}D (M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q));$
 $let b = True;$
 $if b then do \{$
 $(N', -) \leftarrow SPEC (\lambda(N'', m). GC\text{-}remap}^{**} (N, Map.empty, fmempty) (fmempty, m, N'') \wedge$
 $0 \notin \# dom\text{-}m N'');$
 $Q \leftarrow SPEC(\lambda Q. correct\text{-}watching' (M, N', D, NE, UE, WS, Q) \wedge$
 $blits\text{-}in\text{-}\mathcal{L}_{in}' (M, N', D, NE, UE, WS, Q));$
 $RETURN (M, N', D, NE, UE, WS, Q)$
 $\}$
 $else RETURN (M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q)\}) \rangle$

lemma *cdcl-GC-clauses-wl-D-cdcl-GC-clauses-wl*:

$\langle (cdcl\text{-}GC\text{-}clauses\text{-}wl\text{-}D, cdcl\text{-}GC\text{-}clauses\text{-}wl) \in \{(S::nat twl\text{-}st\text{-}wl, S')\}.$
 $(S, S') \in Id \wedge literals\text{-}are\text{-}\mathcal{L}_{in}' (all\text{-}init\text{-}atms\text{-}st S) S \rangle \rightarrow_f \langle \{(S::nat twl\text{-}st\text{-}wl, S')\}.$
 $(S, S') \in Id \wedge literals\text{-}are\text{-}\mathcal{L}_{in}' (all\text{-}init\text{-}atms\text{-}st S) S \rangle nres\text{-}rel$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *cdcl-twl-full-restart-wl-D-GC-prog* **where**

$\langle cdcl\text{-}twl\text{-}full\text{-}restart\text{-}wl\text{-}D\text{-}GC\text{-}prog S = do \{$
 $ASSERT(cdcl\text{-}twl\text{-}full\text{-}restart\text{-}wl\text{-}GC\text{-}prog\text{-}pre S);$
 $S' \leftarrow cdcl\text{-}twl\text{-}local\text{-}restart\text{-}wl\text{-}spec0 S;$
 $T \leftarrow remove\text{-}one\text{-}annot\text{-}true\text{-}clause\text{-}imp\text{-}wl\text{-}D S';$
 $ASSERT(mark\text{-}to\text{-}delete\text{-}clauses\text{-}wl\text{-}D\text{-}pre T);$
 $U \leftarrow mark\text{-}to\text{-}delete\text{-}clauses\text{-}wl2\text{-}D T;$

```

 $V \leftarrow cdcl\text{-}GC\text{-}clauses-wl\text{-}D U;$ 
 $\text{ASSERT}(cdcl\text{-}twl\text{-}full\text{-}restart-wl\text{-}D\text{-}GC\text{-}prog\text{-}post S V);$ 
 $\text{RETURN } V$ 
 $\}$ 

```

lemma $\mathcal{L}_{all}\text{-}all\text{-}init\text{-}atms\text{-}all\text{-}init\text{-}lits}$:
 $\langle set\text{-}mset (\mathcal{L}_{all} (all\text{-}init\text{-}atms N NE)) = set\text{-}mset (all\text{-}init\text{-}lits N NE) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\mathcal{L}_{all}\text{-}all\text{-}atms\text{-}all\text{-}lits$:
 $\langle set\text{-}mset (\mathcal{L}_{all} (all\text{-}atms N NE)) = set\text{-}mset (all\text{-}lits N NE) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $all\text{-}lits\text{-}alt\text{-}def$:
 $\langle all\text{-}lits S NUE = all\text{-}lits\text{-}of\text{-}mm (mset ' \# ran\text{-}mf S + NUE) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cdcl\text{-}twl\text{-}full\text{-}restart-wl\text{-}D\text{-}GC\text{-}prog$:
 $\langle (cdcl\text{-}twl\text{-}full\text{-}restart-wl\text{-}D\text{-}GC\text{-}prog, cdcl\text{-}twl\text{-}full\text{-}restart-wl\text{-}GC\text{-}prog) \in$
 $\{(S, T). (S, T) \in Id \wedge \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{in}'(all\text{-}init\text{-}atms\text{-}st } S) S\} \rightarrow_f$
 $\{\{(S, T). (S, T) \in Id \wedge \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{in}(all\text{-}init\text{-}atms\text{-}st } S) S\}\} nres\text{-}rel$
 $(\mathbf{is} \leftarrow \in ?R \rightarrow_f \rightarrow)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $restart\text{-}prog\text{-}wl\text{-}D :: nat twl\text{-}st\text{-}wl \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow (nat twl\text{-}st\text{-}wl \times nat) nres \text{ where}$
 $\langle restart\text{-}prog\text{-}wl\text{-}D S n brk = do \{$
 $\quad \text{ASSERT}(restart\text{-}abs\text{-}wl\text{-}D\text{-}pre S brk);$
 $\quad b \leftarrow restart\text{-}required\text{-}wl S n;$
 $\quad b2 \leftarrow SPEC(\lambda \cdot. True);$
 $\quad if b2 \wedge b \wedge \neg brk \text{ then do \{$
 $\quad \quad T \leftarrow cdcl\text{-}twl\text{-}full\text{-}restart-wl\text{-}D\text{-}GC\text{-}prog S;$
 $\quad \quad RETURN (T, n + 1)$
 $\quad \}$
 $\quad else if b \wedge \neg brk \text{ then do \{$
 $\quad \quad T \leftarrow cdcl\text{-}twl\text{-}restart-wl\text{-}D\text{-}prog S;$
 $\quad \quad RETURN (T, n + 1)$
 $\quad \}$
 $\quad else$
 $\quad \quad RETURN (S, n)$
 $\}$

lemma $restart\text{-}abs\text{-}wl\text{-}D\text{-}pre\text{-}literals\text{-}are-}\mathcal{L}_{in}'$:
assumes
 $\langle (x, y)$
 $\in \{(S, T). (S, T) \in Id \wedge \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{in}(all\text{-}atms\text{-}st } S) S\} \times_f$
 $nat\text{-}rel \times_f$
 $bool\text{-}rel \text{ and}$
 $\langle x1 = (x1a, x2) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle y = (x1, x2a) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle x1b = (x1c, x2b) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle x = (x1b, x2c) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{pre: } \langle restart\text{-}abs\text{-}wl\text{-}D\text{-}pre } x1c x2c \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle b2 \wedge b \wedge \neg x2c \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle b2a \wedge ba \wedge \neg x2a \rangle$
shows $\langle (x1c, x1a)$
 $\in \{(S, T). (S, T) \in Id \wedge \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{in}'(all\text{-}init\text{-}atms\text{-}st } S) S\} \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restart-prog-wl-D-restart-prog-wl*:

$\langle (\text{uncurry2 } \text{restart-prog-wl-D}, \text{ uncurry2 } \text{restart-prog-wl}) \in$
 $\{(S, T). (S, T) \in \text{Id} \wedge \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{\text{in}}(\text{all-atms-st } S) S\} \times_f \text{nat-rel} \times_f \text{bool-rel} \rightarrow_f$
 $\langle \{(S, T). (S, T) \in \text{Id} \wedge \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{\text{in}}(\text{all-atms-st } S) S\} \times_r \text{nat-rel} \rangle \text{nres-rel} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-prog-wl-D*

$:: \text{nat twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow \text{nat twl-st-wl nres}$

where

$\langle \text{cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-prog-wl-D } S_0 =$
 $\text{do } \{$
 $(\text{brk}, T, -) \leftarrow \text{WHILE}_T^{\lambda(\text{brk}, T, n). \text{cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-abs-wl-D-inv } S_0 \text{ brk } T n}$
 $(\lambda(\text{brk}, -). \neg \text{brk})$
 $(\lambda(\text{brk}, S, n).$
 $\text{do } \{$
 $T \leftarrow \text{unit-propagation-outer-loop-wl-D } S;$
 $(\text{brk}, T) \leftarrow \text{cdcl-tw-l-o-prog-wl-D } T;$
 $(T, n) \leftarrow \text{restart-prog-wl-D } T n \text{ brk};$
 $\text{RETURN } (\text{brk}, T, n)$
 $\})$
 $(\text{False}, S_0 :: \text{nat twl-st-wl}, 0);$
 $\text{RETURN } T$
 $\}$

theorem *cdcl-tw-l-o-prog-wl-D-spec'*:

$\langle (\text{cdcl-tw-l-o-prog-wl-D}, \text{cdcl-tw-l-o-prog-wl}) \in$
 $\{(S, S'). (S, S') \in \text{Id} \wedge \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{\text{in}}(\text{all-atms-st } S) S\} \rightarrow_f$
 $\langle (\text{bool-rel} \times_r \{(T', T). T = T' \wedge \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{\text{in}}(\text{all-atms-st } T) T\}) \rangle \text{nres-rel}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *unit-propagation-outer-loop-wl-D-spec'*:

shows $\langle (\text{unit-propagation-outer-loop-wl-D}, \text{unit-propagation-outer-loop-wl}) \in$
 $\{(T', T). T = T' \wedge \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{\text{in}}(\text{all-atms-st } T) T\} \rightarrow_f$
 $\langle \{(T', T). T = T' \wedge \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{\text{in}}(\text{all-atms-st } T) T\} \rangle \text{nres-rel}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-prog-wl-D-cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-prog-wl*:

$\langle (\text{cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-prog-wl-D}, \text{cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-prog-wl}) \in$
 $\{(S, T). (S, T) \in \text{Id} \wedge \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{\text{in}}(\text{all-atms-st } S) S\} \rightarrow_f$
 $\langle \{(S, T). (S, T) \in \text{Id} \wedge \text{literals-are-}\mathcal{L}_{\text{in}}(\text{all-atms-st } S) S\} \rangle \text{nres-rel}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-prog-early-wl-D*

$:: \text{nat twl-st-wl} \Rightarrow \text{nat twl-st-wl nres}$

where

$\langle \text{cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-prog-early-wl-D } S_0 = \text{do } \{$
 $ebrk \leftarrow \text{RES UNIV};$
 $(ebrk, brk, T, n) \leftarrow \text{WHILE}_T^{\lambda(-, brk, T, n). \text{cdcl-tw-l-stgy-restart-abs-wl-D-inv } S_0 \text{ brk } T n}$
 $(\lambda(ebrk, brk, -). \neg brk \wedge \neg ebrk)$
 $(\lambda(-, brk, S, n).$

```

do {
   $T \leftarrow \text{unit-propagation-outer-loop-wl-}D\ S;$ 
   $(brk, T) \leftarrow \text{cdcl-tw1-o-prog-wl-}D\ T;$ 
   $(T, n) \leftarrow \text{restart-prog-wl-}D\ T\ n\ brk;$ 
   $ebrk \leftarrow \text{RES UNIV};$ 
  RETURN  $(ebrk, brk, T, n)$ 
})
 $(ebrk, False, S_0::nat\ twl-st-wl, 0);$ 
if  $\neg brk$  then do {
   $(brk, T, -) \leftarrow \text{WHILE}_T \lambda(brk, T, n). \text{cdcl-tw1-stgy-restart-abs-wl-}D\text{-inv}\ S_0\ brk\ T\ n$ 
 $(\lambda(brk, -). \neg brk)$ 
 $(\lambda(brk, S, n).$ 
do {
   $T \leftarrow \text{unit-propagation-outer-loop-wl-}D\ S;$ 
   $(brk, T) \leftarrow \text{cdcl-tw1-o-prog-wl-}D\ T;$ 
   $(T, n) \leftarrow \text{restart-prog-wl-}D\ T\ n\ brk;$ 
  RETURN  $(brk, T, n)$ 
})
 $(False, T::nat\ twl-st-wl, n);$ 
  RETURN  $T$ 
}
else RETURN  $T$ 
}
}

```

lemma $\text{cdcl-tw1-stgy-restart-prog-early-wl-}D\text{-cdcl-tw1-stgy-restart-prog-early-wl}$:
 $\langle (\text{cdcl-tw1-stgy-restart-prog-early-wl-}D, \text{cdcl-tw1-stgy-restart-prog-early-wl}) \in$
 $\{(S, T). (S, T) \in \text{Id} \wedge \text{literals-are-L}_{in}(\text{all-atms-st } S) S\} \rightarrow_f$
 $\langle \{(S, T). (S, T) \in \text{Id} \wedge \text{literals-are-L}_{in}(\text{all-atms-st } S) S\} \rangle_{nres-rel}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\text{cdcl-tw1-stgy-restart-prog-bounded-wl-}D$

$:: nat\ twl-st-wl \Rightarrow (\text{bool} \times nat\ twl-st-wl) nres$

where

```

 $\langle \text{cdcl-tw1-stgy-restart-prog-bounded-wl-}D\ S_0 = \text{do } \{$ 
   $ebrk \leftarrow \text{RES UNIV};$ 
   $(ebrk, brk, T, n) \leftarrow \text{WHILE}_T \lambda(-, brk, T, n). \text{cdcl-tw1-stgy-restart-abs-wl-}D\text{-inv}\ S_0\ brk\ T\ n$ 
   $(\lambda(ebrk, brk, -). \neg brk \wedge \neg ebrk)$ 
   $(\lambda(-, brk, S, n).$ 
  do {
     $T \leftarrow \text{unit-propagation-outer-loop-wl-}D\ S;$ 
     $(brk, T) \leftarrow \text{cdcl-tw1-o-prog-wl-}D\ T;$ 
     $(T, n) \leftarrow \text{restart-prog-wl-}D\ T\ n\ brk;$ 
     $ebrk \leftarrow \text{RES UNIV};$ 
    RETURN  $(ebrk, brk, T, n)$ 
  })
   $(ebrk, False, S_0::nat\ twl-st-wl, 0);$ 
  RETURN  $(brk, T)$ 
}
}

```

lemma $\text{cdcl-tw1-stgy-restart-prog-bounded-wl-}D\text{-cdcl-tw1-stgy-restart-prog-bounded-wl}$:

$\langle (\text{cdcl-tw1-stgy-restart-prog-bounded-wl-}D, \text{cdcl-tw1-stgy-restart-prog-bounded-wl}) \in$
 $\{(S, T). (S, T) \in \text{Id} \wedge \text{literals-are-L}_{in}(\text{all-atms-st } S) S\} \rightarrow_f$
 $\langle \text{bool-rel} \times_r \{(S, T). (S, T) \in \text{Id} \wedge \text{literals-are-L}_{in}(\text{all-atms-st } S) S\} \rangle_{nres-rel}$

```
<proof>
```

```
end  
end  
theory Watched-Literals-Initialisation  
imports Watched-Literals-List  
begin
```

1.4.6 Initialise Data structure

```
type-synonym 'v twl-st-init = '>'v twl-st <math>\times</math> 'v clauses'>
```

```
fun get-trail-init :: '>'v twl-st-init => ('v, 'v clause) ann-lit list where  
'get-trail-init ((M, -, -, -, -, -, -), -) = M'
```

```
fun get-conflict-init :: '>'v twl-st-init => 'v cconflict' where  
'get-conflict-init ((-, -, -, D, -, -, -, -), -) = D'
```

```
fun literals-to-update-init :: '>'v twl-st-init => 'v clause' where  
'literals-to-update-init ((-, -, -, -, -, -, Q), -) = Q'
```

```
fun get-init-clauses-init :: '>'v twl-st-init => 'v twl-cls multiset' where  
'get-init-clauses-init ((-, N, -, -, -, -, -, -), -) = N'
```

```
fun get-learned-clauses-init :: '>'v twl-st-init => 'v twl-cls multiset' where  
'get-learned-clauses-init ((-, -, U, -, -, -, -, -), -) = U'
```

```
fun get-unit-init-clauses-init :: '>'v twl-st-init => 'v clauses' where  
'get-unit-init-clauses-init ((-, -, -, -, NE, -, -, -), -) = NE'
```

```
fun get-unit-learned-clauses-init :: '>'v twl-st-init => 'v clauses' where  
'get-unit-learned-clauses-init ((-, -, -, -, UE, -, -), -) = UE'
```

```
fun clauses-to-update-init :: '>'v twl-st-init => ('v literal <math>\times</math> 'v twl-cls) multiset where  
'clauses-to-update-init ((-, -, -, -, -, WS, -), -) = WS'
```

```
fun other-clauses-init :: '>'v twl-st-init => 'v clauses' where  
'other-clauses-init ((-, -, -, -, -, -, -), OC) = OC'
```

```
fun add-to-init-clauses :: '>'v clause-l => 'v twl-st-init => 'v twl-st-init' where  
'add-to-init-clauses C ((M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q), OC) =  
((M, add-mset (twl-clause-of C) N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q), OC)'
```

```
fun add-to-unit-init-clauses :: '>'v clause => 'v twl-st-init => 'v twl-st-init' where  
'add-to-unit-init-clauses C ((M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q), OC) =  
((M, N, U, D, add-mset C NE, UE, WS, Q), OC)'
```

```
fun set-conflict-init :: '>'v clause-l => 'v twl-st-init => 'v twl-st-init' where  
'set-conflict-init C ((M, N, U, -, NE, UE, WS, Q), OC) =  
((M, N, U, Some (mset C), add-mset (mset C) NE, UE, {#}, {#}), OC)'
```

```
fun propagate-unit-init :: '>'v literal => 'v twl-st-init => 'v twl-st-init' where  
'propagate-unit-init L ((M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q), OC) =  
((Propagated L {#L#} # M, N, U, D, add-mset {#L#} NE, UE, WS, add-mset (-L) Q), OC)'
```

```

fun add-empty-conflict-init ::  $\langle'v \text{ twl-st-init} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-init}\rangle$  where
   $\langle\text{add-empty-conflict-init } ((M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q), OC) =$ 
     $((M, N, U, \text{Some } \{\#\}, NE, UE, WS, \{\#\}), \text{add-mset } \{\#\} OC)\rangle$ 

fun add-to-clauses-init ::  $\langle'v \text{ clause-l} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-init} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-init}\rangle$  where
   $\langle\text{add-to-clauses-init } C ((M, N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q), OC) =$ 
     $((M, \text{add-mset } (\text{twl-clause-of } C) N, U, D, NE, UE, WS, Q), OC)\rangle$ 

type-synonym  $'v \text{ twl-st-l-init} = \langle'v \text{ twl-st-l} \times 'v \text{ clauses}\rangle$ 

fun get-trail-l-init ::  $\langle'v \text{ twl-st-l-init} \Rightarrow ('v, \text{nat}) \text{ ann-lit list}\rangle$  where
   $\langle\text{get-trail-l-init } ((M, -, -, -, -, -, -), -) = M\rangle$ 

fun get-conflict-l-init ::  $\langle'v \text{ twl-st-l-init} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ econflict}\rangle$  where
   $\langle\text{get-conflict-l-init } ((-, -, D, -, -, -, -), -) = D\rangle$ 

fun get-unit-clauses-l-init ::  $\langle'v \text{ twl-st-l-init} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clauses}\rangle$  where
   $\langle\text{get-unit-clauses-l-init } ((M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q), -) = NE + UE\rangle$ 

fun get-learned-unit-clauses-l-init ::  $\langle'v \text{ twl-st-l-init} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clauses}\rangle$  where
   $\langle\text{get-learned-unit-clauses-l-init } ((M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q), -) = UE\rangle$ 

fun get-clauses-l-init ::  $\langle'v \text{ twl-st-l-init} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clauses-l}\rangle$  where
   $\langle\text{get-clauses-l-init } ((M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q), -) = N\rangle$ 

fun literals-to-update-l-init ::  $\langle'v \text{ twl-st-l-init} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clause}\rangle$  where
   $\langle\text{literals-to-update-l-init } ((-, -, -, -, -, -, Q), -) = Q\rangle$ 

fun clauses-to-update-l-init ::  $\langle'v \text{ twl-st-l-init} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clauses-to-update-l}\rangle$  where
   $\langle\text{clauses-to-update-l-init } ((-, -, -, -, -, WS, -), -) = WS\rangle$ 

fun other-clauses-l-init ::  $\langle'v \text{ twl-st-l-init} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clauses}\rangle$  where
   $\langle\text{other-clauses-l-init } ((-, -, -, -, -, -, -), OC) = OC\rangle$ 

fun stateW-of-init ::  $'v \text{ twl-st-init} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ cdcl}_W\text{-restart-mset}$  where
   $\text{state}_W\text{-of-init } ((M, N, U, C, NE, UE, Q), OC) =$ 
     $(M, \text{clause } \# N + NE + OC, \text{clause } \# U + UE, C)$ 

named-theorems twl-st-init (Conversion for initial theorems)

lemma [twl-st-init]:
   $\langle\text{get-conflict-init } (S, QC) = \text{get-conflict } S\rangle$ 
   $\langle\text{get-trail-init } (S, QC) = \text{get-trail } S\rangle$ 
   $\langle\text{clauses-to-update-init } (S, QC) = \text{clauses-to-update } S\rangle$ 
   $\langle\text{literals-to-update-init } (S, QC) = \text{literals-to-update } S\rangle$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma [twl-st-init]:
   $\langle\text{clauses-to-update-init } (\text{add-to-unit-init-clauses } (\text{mset } C) T) = \text{clauses-to-update-init } T\rangle$ 
   $\langle\text{literals-to-update-init } (\text{add-to-unit-init-clauses } (\text{mset } C) T) = \text{literals-to-update-init } T\rangle$ 
   $\langle\text{get-conflict-init } (\text{add-to-unit-init-clauses } (\text{mset } C) T) = \text{get-conflict-init } T\rangle$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma [twl-st-init]:
   $\langle\text{twl-st-inv } (\text{fst } (\text{add-to-unit-init-clauses } (\text{mset } C) T)) \longleftrightarrow \text{twl-st-inv } (\text{fst } T)\rangle$ 

```

$\langle \text{valid-enqueued}(\text{fst}(\text{add-to-unit-init-clauses}(\text{mset } C) T)) \leftrightarrow \text{valid-enqueued}(\text{fst } T) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{no-duplicate-queued}(\text{fst}(\text{add-to-unit-init-clauses}(\text{mset } C) T)) \leftrightarrow \text{no-duplicate-queued}(\text{fst } T) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{distinct-queued}(\text{fst}(\text{add-to-unit-init-clauses}(\text{mset } C) T)) \leftrightarrow \text{distinct-queued}(\text{fst } T) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{confl-cands-enqueued}(\text{fst}(\text{add-to-unit-init-clauses}(\text{mset } C) T)) \leftrightarrow \text{confl-cands-enqueued}(\text{fst } T) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{propa-cands-enqueued}(\text{fst}(\text{add-to-unit-init-clauses}(\text{mset } C) T)) \leftrightarrow \text{propa-cands-enqueued}(\text{fst } T) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{twl-st-exception-inv}(\text{fst}(\text{add-to-unit-init-clauses}(\text{mset } C) T)) \leftrightarrow \text{twl-st-exception-inv}(\text{fst } T) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma [twl-st-init]:

$\langle \text{trail}(\text{state}_W\text{-of-init } T) = \text{get-trail-init } T \rangle$
 $\langle \text{get-trail}(\text{fst } T) = \text{get-trail-init}(T) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{conflicting}(\text{state}_W\text{-of-init } T) = \text{get-conflict-init } T \rangle$
 $\langle \text{init-clss}(\text{state}_W\text{-of-init } T) = \text{clauses}(\text{get-init-clauses-init } T) + \text{get-unit-init-clauses-init } T$
 $+ \text{other-clauses-init } T \rangle$
 $\langle \text{learned-clss}(\text{state}_W\text{-of-init } T) = \text{clauses}(\text{get-learned-clauses-init } T) +$
 $\text{get-unit-learned-clauses-init } T \rangle$
 $\langle \text{conflicting}(\text{state}_W\text{-of } (\text{fst } T)) = \text{conflicting}(\text{state}_W\text{-of-init } T) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{trail}(\text{state}_W\text{-of } (\text{fst } T)) = \text{trail}(\text{state}_W\text{-of-init } T) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{clauses-to-update}(\text{fst } T) = \text{clauses-to-update-init } T \rangle$
 $\langle \text{get-conflict}(\text{fst } T) = \text{get-conflict-init } T \rangle$
 $\langle \text{literals-to-update}(\text{fst } T) = \text{literals-to-update-init } T \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition twl-st-l-init :: $\langle ('v \text{ twl-st-l-init} \times 'v \text{ twl-st-init}) \text{ set} \rangle$ **where**

$\langle \text{twl-st-l-init} = \{(((M, N, C, NE, UE, WS, Q), OC), ((M', N', C', NE', UE', WS', Q'), OC')) \mid$
 $(M, M') \in \text{convert-lits-l } N \text{ (NE+UE)} \wedge$
 $((N', C', NE', UE', WS', Q'), OC') =$
 $((\text{twl-clause-of} \ '# \text{ init-clss-lf } N, \text{ twl-clause-of} \ '# \text{ learned-clss-lf } N,$
 $C, NE, UE, \{\#\}, Q), OC)\} \rangle$

lemma twl-st-l-init-alt-def:

$\langle (S, T) \in \text{twl-st-l-init} \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\text{fst } S, \text{fst } T) \in \text{twl-st-l None} \wedge \text{other-clauses-l-init } S = \text{other-clauses-init } T \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma [twl-st-init]:

assumes $\langle (S, T) \in \text{twl-st-l-init} \rangle$
shows
 $\langle \text{get-conflict-init } T = \text{get-conflict-l-init } S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{get-conflict}(\text{fst } T) = \text{get-conflict-l-init } S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{literals-to-update-init } T = \text{literals-to-update-l-init } S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{clauses-to-update-init } T = \{\#\} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{other-clauses-init } T = \text{other-clauses-l-init } S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{lits-of-l}(\text{get-trail-init } T) = \text{lits-of-l}(\text{get-trail-l-init } S) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{lit-of} \ '# \text{ mset}(\text{get-trail-init } T) = \text{lit-of} \ '# \text{ mset}(\text{get-trail-l-init } S) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition twl-struct-invs-init :: $\langle 'v \text{ twl-st-init} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ **where**

$\langle \text{twl-struct-invs-init } S \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\text{twl-st-inv}(\text{fst } S) \wedge$
 $\text{valid-enqueued}(\text{fst } S) \wedge$
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-restart-mset}.cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv}(\text{state}_W\text{-of-init } S) \wedge$
 $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-restart-mset}.no-smaller-propa(\text{state}_W\text{-of-init } S) \wedge$
 $\text{twl-st-exception-inv}(\text{fst } S) \wedge$
 $\text{no-duplicate-queued}(\text{fst } S) \wedge$
 $\text{distinct-queued}(\text{fst } S) \wedge$

```

confl-cands-enqueued (fst S) ∧
propa-cands-enqueued (fst S) ∧
(get-conflict-init S ≠ None → clauses-to-update-init S = {#} ∧ literals-to-update-init S = {#}) ∧
entailed-clss-inv (fst S) ∧
clauses-to-update-inv (fst S) ∧
past-invs (fst S))
}

lemma stateW-of-stateW-of-init:
⟨other-clauses-init W = {#} ⇒ stateW-of (fst W) = stateW-of-init W⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma twl-struct-invs-init-twl-struct-invs:
⟨other-clauses-init W = {#} ⇒ twl-struct-invs-init W ⇒ twl-struct-invs (fst W)⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma twl-struct-invs-init-add-mset:
assumes ⟨twl-struct-invs-init (S, QC)⟩ and [simp]: ⟨distinct-mset C⟩ and
count-dec: ⟨count-decided (trail (stateW-of S)) = 0⟩
shows ⟨twl-struct-invs-init (S, add-mset C QC)⟩
⟨proof⟩

fun add-empty-conflict-init-l :: ⟨'v twl-st-l-init ⇒ 'v twl-st-l-init⟩ where
add-empty-conflict-init-l-def[simp del]:
⟨add-empty-conflict-init-l ((M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q), OC) =
((M, N, Some {#}, NE, UE, WS, {#}), add-mset {#} OC)⟩

fun propagate-unit-init-l :: ⟨'v literal ⇒ 'v twl-st-l-init ⇒ 'v twl-st-l-init⟩ where
propagate-unit-init-l-def[simp del]:
⟨propagate-unit-init-l L ((M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q), OC) =
((Propagated L 0 # M, N, D, add-mset {#L#} NE, UE, WS, add-mset (-L) Q), OC)⟩

fun already-propagated-unit-init-l :: ⟨'v clause ⇒ 'v twl-st-l-init ⇒ 'v twl-st-l-init⟩ where
already-propagated-unit-init-l-def[simp del]:
⟨already-propagated-unit-init-l C ((M, N, D, NE, UE, WS, Q), OC) =
((M, N, D, add-mset C NE, UE, WS, Q), OC)⟩

fun set-conflict-init-l :: ⟨'v clause-l ⇒ 'v twl-st-l-init ⇒ 'v twl-st-l-init⟩ where
set-conflict-init-l-def[simp del]:
⟨set-conflict-init-l C ((M, N, -, NE, UE, WS, Q), OC) =
((M, N, Some (mset C), add-mset (mset C) NE, UE, {#}, {#}), OC)⟩

fun add-to-clauses-init-l :: ⟨'v clause-l ⇒ 'v twl-st-l-init ⇒ 'v twl-st-l-init nres⟩ where
add-to-clauses-init-l-def[simp del]:
⟨add-to-clauses-init-l C ((M, N, -, NE, UE, WS, Q), OC) = do {
  i ← get-fresh-index N;
  RETURN ((M, fmupd i (C, True) N, None, NE, UE, WS, Q), OC)
}⟩

fun add-to-other-init where
⟨add-to-other-init C (S, OC) = (S, add-mset (mset C) OC)⟩

```

lemma *fst-add-to-other-init* [simp]: $\langle \text{fst} (\text{add-to-other-init } a \ T) = \text{fst } T \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *init-dt-step* :: $\langle 'v \text{ clause-l} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-l-init} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-l-init nres} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{init-dt-step } C S =$
 $(\text{case get-conflict-l-init } S \text{ of}$
 $\quad \text{None} \Rightarrow$
 $\quad \text{if length } C = 0$
 $\quad \text{then RETURN (add-empty-conflict-init-l } S)$
 $\quad \text{else if length } C = 1$
 $\quad \text{then}$
 $\quad \quad \text{let } L = \text{hd } C \text{ in}$
 $\quad \quad \text{if undefined-lit (get-trail-l-init } S) \ L$
 $\quad \quad \text{then RETURN (propagate-unit-init-l } L \ S)$
 $\quad \quad \text{else if } L \in \text{lits-of-l (get-trail-l-init } S)$
 $\quad \quad \text{then RETURN (already-propagated-unit-init-l (mset } C) \ S)$
 $\quad \quad \text{else RETURN (set-conflict-init-l } C \ S)$
 $\quad \text{else}$
 $\quad \quad \text{add-to-clauses-init-l } C \ S$
 $| \ Some D \Rightarrow$
 $\quad \text{RETURN (add-to-other-init } C \ S)) \rangle$

definition *init-dt* :: $\langle 'v \text{ clause-l list} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-l-init} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ twl-st-l-init nres} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{init-dt } CS S = \text{nfoldli } CS (\lambda \cdot. \text{ True}) \ \text{init-dt-step } S \rangle$

thm *nfoldli.simps*

definition *init-dt-pre* **where**
 $\langle \text{init-dt-pre } CS SOC \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists T. (SOC, T) \in \text{twl-st-l-init} \wedge$
 $(\forall C \in \text{set } CS. \text{ distinct } C) \wedge$
 $\text{twl-struct-invs-init } T \wedge$
 $\text{clauses-to-update-l-init } SOC = \{\#\} \wedge$
 $(\forall s \in \text{set (get-trail-l-init } SOC). \neg \text{is-decided } s) \wedge$
 $(\text{get-conflict-l-init } SOC = \text{None} \longrightarrow$
 $\quad \text{literals-to-update-l-init } SOC = \text{uminus } \# \text{ lit-of } \# \text{ mset (get-trail-l-init } SOC)) \wedge$
 $\text{twl-list-invs (fst } SOC) \wedge$
 $\text{twl-stgy-invs (fst } T) \wedge$
 $(\text{other-clauses-l-init } SOC \neq \{\#\} \longrightarrow \text{get-conflict-l-init } SOC \neq \text{None})) \rangle$

lemma *init-dt-pre-ConsD*: $\langle \text{init-dt-pre } (a \ # \ CS) \ SOC \implies \text{init-dt-pre } CS \ SOC \wedge \text{distinct } a \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *init-dt-spec* **where**
 $\langle \text{init-dt-spec } CS SOC SOC' \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists T'. (SOC', T') \in \text{twl-st-l-init} \wedge$
 $\text{twl-struct-invs-init } T' \wedge$
 $\text{clauses-to-update-l-init } SOC' = \{\#\} \wedge$
 $(\forall s \in \text{set (get-trail-l-init } SOC'). \neg \text{is-decided } s) \wedge$
 $(\text{get-conflict-l-init } SOC' = \text{None} \longrightarrow$
 $\quad \text{literals-to-update-l-init } SOC' = \text{uminus } \# \text{ lit-of } \# \text{ mset (get-trail-l-init } SOC')) \wedge$
 $(\text{mset } \# \text{ mset } CS + \text{mset } \# \text{ ran-mf (get-clauses-l-init } SOC) + \text{other-clauses-l-init } SOC +$
 $\quad \text{get-unit-clauses-l-init } SOC =$
 $\quad \text{mset } \# \text{ ran-mf (get-clauses-l-init } SOC') + \text{other-clauses-l-init } SOC' +$
 $\quad \text{get-unit-clauses-l-init } SOC') \wedge$
 $\text{learned-clss-lf (get-clauses-l-init } SOC) = \text{learned-clss-lf (get-clauses-l-init } SOC') \wedge$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{get-learned-unit-clauses-l-init } SOC' = \text{get-learned-unit-clauses-l-init } SOC \wedge \\
& \text{twl-list-invs } (\text{fst } SOC') \wedge \\
& \text{twl-stgy-invs } (\text{fst } T') \wedge \\
& (\text{other-clauses-l-init } SOC' \neq \{\#\} \rightarrow \text{get-conflict-l-init } SOC' \neq \text{None}) \wedge \\
& (\{\#\} \in \# \text{ mset } CS \rightarrow \text{get-conflict-l-init } SOC' \neq \text{None}) \wedge \\
& (\text{get-conflict-l-init } SOC \neq \text{None} \rightarrow \text{get-conflict-l-init } SOC = \text{get-conflict-l-init } SOC')
\end{aligned}$$

lemma *twl-struct-invs-init-add-to-other-init*:

assumes

dist: $\langle \text{distinct } a \rangle$ **and**
lev: $\langle \text{count-decided } (\text{get-trail } (\text{fst } T)) = 0 \rangle$ **and**
invs: $\langle \text{twl-struct-invs-init } T \rangle$

shows

$\langle \text{twl-struct-invs-init } (\text{add-to-other-init } a \ T) \rangle$
(is $\text{?twl-struct-invs-init}$)

{proof}

lemma *invariants-init-state*:

assumes

lev: $\langle \text{count-decided } (\text{get-trail-init } T) = 0 \rangle$ **and**
wf: $\forall C \in \# \text{ get-clauses } (\text{fst } T). \text{ struct-wf-twl-cls } C$ **and**
MQ: $\langle \text{literals-to-update-init } T = \text{uminus } \# \text{ lit-of } \# \text{ mset } (\text{get-trail-init } T) \rangle$ **and**
WS: $\langle \text{clauses-to-update-init } T = \{\#\} \rangle$ **and**
n-d: $\langle \text{no-dup } (\text{get-trail-init } T) \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{propa-cands-enqueued } (\text{fst } T) \rangle$ **and** $\langle \text{confl-cands-enqueued } (\text{fst } T) \rangle$ **and** $\langle \text{twl-st-inv } (\text{fst } T) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{clauses-to-update-inv } (\text{fst } T) \rangle$ **and** $\langle \text{past-invs } (\text{fst } T) \rangle$ **and** $\langle \text{distinct-queued } (\text{fst } T) \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle \text{valid-enqueued } (\text{fst } T) \rangle$ **and** $\langle \text{twl-st-exception-inv } (\text{fst } T) \rangle$ **and** $\langle \text{no-duplicate-queued } (\text{fst } T) \rangle$
{proof}

lemma *twl-struct-invs-init-init-state*:

assumes

lev: $\langle \text{count-decided } (\text{get-trail-init } T) = 0 \rangle$ **and**
wf: $\forall C \in \# \text{ get-clauses } (\text{fst } T). \text{ struct-wf-twl-cls } C$ **and**
MQ: $\langle \text{literals-to-update-init } T = \text{uminus } \# \text{ lit-of } \# \text{ mset } (\text{get-trail-init } T) \rangle$ **and**
WS: $\langle \text{clauses-to-update-init } T = \{\#\} \rangle$ **and**
struct-invs: $\langle \text{cdcl}_W\text{-restart-mset}. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv } (\text{state}_W\text{-of-init } T) \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle \text{cdcl}_W\text{-restart-mset}. \text{no-smaller-propa } (\text{state}_W\text{-of-init } T) \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle \text{entailed-cls-inv } (\text{fst } T) \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle \text{get-conflict-init } T \neq \text{None} \rightarrow \text{clauses-to-update-init } T = \{\#\} \wedge \text{literals-to-update-init } T = \{\#\} \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{twl-struct-invs-init } T \rangle$
{proof}

lemma *twl-struct-invs-init-add-to-unit-init-clauses*:

assumes

dist: $\langle \text{distinct } a \rangle$ **and**
lev: $\langle \text{count-decided } (\text{get-trail } (\text{fst } T)) = 0 \rangle$ **and**
invs: $\langle \text{twl-struct-invs-init } T \rangle$ **and**
ex: $\langle \exists L \in \text{set } a. L \in \text{lits-of-l } (\text{get-trail-init } T) \rangle$
shows
 $\langle \text{twl-struct-invs-init } (\text{add-to-unit-init-clauses } (\text{mset } a) \ T) \rangle$
(is ?all-struct)
{proof}

lemma *twl-struct-invs-init-set-conflict-init*:

assumes

- dist*: $\langle \text{distinct } C \rangle$ **and**
- lev*: $\langle \text{count-decided} (\text{get-trail} (\text{fst } T)) = 0 \rangle$ **and**
- invs*: $\langle \text{twl-struct-invs-init } T \rangle$ **and**
- ex*: $\forall L \in \text{set } C. -L \in \text{lits-of-l} (\text{get-trail-init } T)$ **and**
- nempty*: $\langle C \neq [] \rangle$

shows

- $\langle \text{twl-struct-invs-init} (\text{set-conflict-init } C T) \rangle$
- (**is** *?all-struct*)

{proof}

lemma *twl-struct-invs-init-propagate-unit-init*:

assumes

- lev*: $\langle \text{count-decided} (\text{get-trail-init } T) = 0 \rangle$ **and**
- invs*: $\langle \text{twl-struct-invs-init } T \rangle$ **and**
- undef*: $\langle \text{undefined-lit} (\text{get-trail-init } T) L \rangle$ **and**
- confl*: $\langle \text{get-conflict-init } T = \text{None} \rangle$ **and**
- MQ*: $\langle \text{literals-to-update-init } T = \text{uminus} \# \text{lit-of} \# \text{mset} (\text{get-trail-init } T) \rangle$ **and**
- WS*: $\langle \text{clauses-to-update-init } T = \{\#\} \rangle$

shows

- $\langle \text{twl-struct-invs-init} (\text{propagate-unit-init } L T) \rangle$
- (**is** *?all-struct*)

{proof}

named-theorems *twl-st-l-init*

lemma [*twl-st-l-init*]:

- $\langle \text{clauses-to-update-l-init} (\text{already-propagated-unit-init-l } C S) = \text{clauses-to-update-l-init } S \rangle$
- $\langle \text{get-trail-l-init} (\text{already-propagated-unit-init-l } C S) = \text{get-trail-l-init } S \rangle$
- $\langle \text{get-conflict-l-init} (\text{already-propagated-unit-init-l } C S) = \text{get-conflict-l-init } S \rangle$
- $\langle \text{other-clauses-l-init} (\text{already-propagated-unit-init-l } C S) = \text{other-clauses-l-init } S \rangle$
- $\langle \text{clauses-to-update-l-init} (\text{already-propagated-unit-init-l } C S) = \text{clauses-to-update-l-init } S \rangle$
- $\langle \text{literals-to-update-l-init} (\text{already-propagated-unit-init-l } C S) = \text{literals-to-update-l-init } S \rangle$
- $\langle \text{get-clauses-l-init} (\text{already-propagated-unit-init-l } C S) = \text{get-clauses-l-init } S \rangle$
- $\langle \text{get-unit-clauses-l-init} (\text{already-propagated-unit-init-l } C S) = \text{add-mset } C (\text{get-unit-clauses-l-init } S) \rangle$
- $\langle \text{get-learned-unit-clauses-l-init} (\text{already-propagated-unit-init-l } C S) = \text{get-learned-unit-clauses-l-init } S \rangle$
- $\langle \text{get-conflict-l-init} (T, OC) = \text{get-conflict-l } T \rangle$

{proof}

lemma [*twl-st-l-init*]:

- $\langle (V, W) \in \text{twl-st-l-init} \implies$
- $\text{count-decided} (\text{get-trail-init } W) = \text{count-decided} (\text{get-trail-l-init } V) \rangle$

{proof}

lemma [*twl-st-l-init*]:

- $\langle \text{get-conflict-l} (\text{fst } T) = \text{get-conflict-l-init } T \rangle$
- $\langle \text{literals-to-update-l} (\text{fst } T) = \text{literals-to-update-l-init } T \rangle$
- $\langle \text{clauses-to-update-l} (\text{fst } T) = \text{clauses-to-update-l-init } T \rangle$

{proof}

lemma *entailed-clss-inv-add-to-unit-init-clauses*:

- $\langle \text{count-decided} (\text{get-trail-init } T) = 0 \implies C \neq [] \implies \text{hd } C \in \text{lits-of-l} (\text{get-trail-init } T) \implies$
- $\text{entailed-clss-inv} (\text{fst } T) \implies \text{entailed-clss-inv} (\text{fst} (\text{add-to-unit-init-clauses} (\text{mset } C) T)) \rangle$

{proof}

lemma *convert-lits-l-no-decision-iff*: $\langle(S, T) \in \text{convert-lits-l } M N \Rightarrow$
 $(\forall s \in \text{set } T. \neg \text{is-decided } s) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\forall s \in \text{set } S. \neg \text{is-decided } s)$
 \rangle

$\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *twl-st-l-init-no-decision-iff*:
 $\langle(S, T) \in \text{twl-st-l-init} \Rightarrow$
 $(\forall s \in \text{set } (get-trail-init } T). \neg \text{is-decided } s) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\forall s \in \text{set } (get-trail-l-init } S). \neg \text{is-decided } s)$
 \rangle

$\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *twl-st-l-init-defined-lit[twl-st-l-init]*:
 $\langle(S, T) \in \text{twl-st-l-init} \Rightarrow$
 $\text{defined-lit } (get-trail-init } T) = \text{defined-lit } (get-trail-l-init } S)$
 \rangle

$\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma [*twl-st-l-init*]:
 $\langle(S, T) \in \text{twl-st-l-init} \Rightarrow \text{get-learned-clauses-init } T = \{\#\} \longleftrightarrow \text{learned-clss-l } (get-clauses-l-init } S) = \{\#\}$
 $\langle(S, T) \in \text{twl-st-l-init} \Rightarrow \text{get-unit-learned-clauses-init } T = \{\#\} \longleftrightarrow \text{get-learned-unit-clauses-l-init } S = \{\#\}$
 \rangle
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *init-dt-pre-already-propagated-unit-init-l*:

assumes

hd-C: $\langle\text{hd } C \in \text{lits-of-l } (get-trail-l-init } S)\rangle$ **and**
pre: $\langle\text{init-dt-pre } CS S\rangle$ **and**
nempty: $\langle C \neq []\rangle$ **and**
dist-C: $\langle\text{distinct } C\rangle$ **and**
lev: $\langle\text{count-decided } (get-trail-l-init } S) = 0\rangle$

shows

$\langle\text{init-dt-pre } CS \text{ (already-propagated-unit-init-l } (\text{mset } C) S)\rangle$ (**is** ?*pre*) **and**
 $\langle\text{init-dt-spec } [C] S \text{ (already-propagated-unit-init-l } (\text{mset } C) S)\rangle$ (**is** ?*spec*)

$\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma (**in** $-$) *twl-stgy-invs-backtrack-lvl-0*:

$\langle\text{count-decided } (get-trail } T) = 0 \Rightarrow \text{twl-stgy-invs } T\rangle$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma [*twl-st-l-init*]:

$\langle\text{clauses-to-update-l-init } (\text{propagate-unit-init-l } L S) = \text{clauses-to-update-l-init } S\rangle$
 $\langle\text{get-trail-l-init } (\text{propagate-unit-init-l } L S) = \text{Propagated } L 0 \# \text{get-trail-l-init } S\rangle$
 $\langle\text{literals-to-update-l-init } (\text{propagate-unit-init-l } L S) =$
 $\quad \text{add-mset } (-L) (\text{literals-to-update-l-init } S)\rangle$
 $\langle\text{get-conflict-l-init } (\text{propagate-unit-init-l } L S) = \text{get-conflict-l-init } S\rangle$
 $\langle\text{clauses-to-update-l-init } (\text{propagate-unit-init-l } L S) = \text{clauses-to-update-l-init } S\rangle$
 $\langle\text{other-clauses-l-init } (\text{propagate-unit-init-l } L S) = \text{other-clauses-l-init } S\rangle$
 $\langle\text{get-clauses-l-init } (\text{propagate-unit-init-l } L S) = \text{get-clauses-l-init } S\rangle$
 $\langle\text{get-learned-unit-clauses-l-init } (\text{propagate-unit-init-l } L S) = \text{get-learned-unit-clauses-l-init } S\rangle$
 $\langle\text{get-unit-clauses-l-init } (\text{propagate-unit-init-l } L S) = \text{add-mset } \{\#L\#\} (\text{get-unit-clauses-l-init } S)\rangle$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *init-dt-pre-propagate-unit-init*:

assumes

- hd-C*: $\langle \text{undefined-lit} (\text{get-trail-l-init } S) L \rangle$ **and**
- pre*: $\langle \text{init-dt-pre } CS S \rangle$ **and**
- lev*: $\langle \text{count-decided} (\text{get-trail-l-init } S) = 0 \rangle$ **and**
- conflict*: $\langle \text{get-conflict-l-init } S = \text{None} \rangle$

shows

- $\langle \text{init-dt-pre } CS (\text{propagate-unit-init-l } L S) \rangle$ (**is** ?*pre*) **and**
- $\langle \text{init-dt-spec } [[L]] S (\text{propagate-unit-init-l } L S) \rangle$ (**is** ?*spec*)

(proof)

lemma [*twl-st-l-init*]:

- $\langle \text{get-trail-l-init} (\text{set-conflict-init-l } C S) = \text{get-trail-l-init } S \rangle$
- $\langle \text{literals-to-update-l-init} (\text{set-conflict-init-l } C S) = \{\#\} \rangle$
- $\langle \text{clauses-to-update-l-init} (\text{set-conflict-init-l } C S) = \{\#\} \rangle$
- $\langle \text{get-conflict-l-init} (\text{set-conflict-init-l } C S) = \text{Some} (\text{mset } C) \rangle$
- $\langle \text{get-unit-clauses-l-init} (\text{set-conflict-init-l } C S) = \text{add-mset} (\text{mset } C) (\text{get-unit-clauses-l-init } S) \rangle$
- $\langle \text{get-learned-unit-clauses-l-init} (\text{set-conflict-init-l } C S) = \text{get-learned-unit-clauses-l-init } S \rangle$
- $\langle \text{get-clauses-l-init} (\text{set-conflict-init-l } C S) = \text{get-clauses-l-init } S \rangle$
- $\langle \text{other-clauses-l-init} (\text{set-conflict-init-l } C S) = \text{other-clauses-l-init } S \rangle$

(proof)

lemma *init-dt-pre-set-conflict-init-l*:

assumes

- [*simp*]: $\langle \text{get-conflict-l-init } S = \text{None} \rangle$ **and**
- pre*: $\langle \text{init-dt-pre } (C \# CS) S \rangle$ **and**
- false*: $\langle \forall L \in \text{set } C. -L \in \text{lits-of-l} (\text{get-trail-l-init } S) \rangle$ **and**
- nempty*: $\langle C \neq [] \rangle$

shows

- $\langle \text{init-dt-pre } CS (\text{set-conflict-init-l } C S) \rangle$ (**is** ?*pre*) **and**
- $\langle \text{init-dt-spec } [C] S (\text{set-conflict-init-l } C S) \rangle$ (**is** ?*spec*)

(proof)

lemma [*twl-st-init*]:

- $\langle \text{get-trail-init} (\text{add-empty-conflict-init } T) = \text{get-trail-init } T \rangle$
- $\langle \text{get-conflict-init} (\text{add-empty-conflict-init } T) = \text{Some} \{\#\} \rangle$
- $\langle \text{clauses-to-update-init} (\text{add-empty-conflict-init } T) = \text{clauses-to-update-init } T \rangle$
- $\langle \text{literals-to-update-init} (\text{add-empty-conflict-init } T) = \{\#\} \rangle$

(proof)

lemma [*twl-st-l-init*]:

- $\langle \text{get-trail-l-init} (\text{add-empty-conflict-init-l } T) = \text{get-trail-l-init } T \rangle$
- $\langle \text{get-conflict-l-init} (\text{add-empty-conflict-init-l } T) = \text{Some} \{\#\} \rangle$
- $\langle \text{clauses-to-update-l-init} (\text{add-empty-conflict-init-l } T) = \text{clauses-to-update-l-init } T \rangle$
- $\langle \text{literals-to-update-l-init} (\text{add-empty-conflict-init-l } T) = \{\#\} \rangle$
- $\langle \text{get-unit-clauses-l-init} (\text{add-empty-conflict-init-l } T) = \text{get-unit-clauses-l-init } T \rangle$
- $\langle \text{get-learned-unit-clauses-l-init} (\text{add-empty-conflict-init-l } T) = \text{get-learned-unit-clauses-l-init } T \rangle$
- $\langle \text{get-clauses-l-init} (\text{add-empty-conflict-init-l } T) = \text{get-clauses-l-init } T \rangle$
- $\langle \text{other-clauses-l-init} (\text{add-empty-conflict-init-l } T) = \text{add-mset } \{\#\} (\text{other-clauses-l-init } T) \rangle$

(proof)

lemma *twl-struct-invs-init-add-empty-conflict-init-l*:

assumes

- lev*: $\langle \text{count-decided} (\text{get-trail } (\text{fst } T)) = 0 \rangle$ **and**
- invs*: $\langle \text{twl-struct-invs-init } T \rangle$ **and**
- WS*: $\langle \text{clauses-to-update-init } T = \{\#\} \rangle$

```

shows ⟨twl-struct-invs-init (add-empty-conflict-init T)⟩
  (is ?all-struct)
⟨proof⟩

lemma init-dt-pre-add-empty-conflict-init-l:
assumes
  confl[simp]: ⟨get-conflict-l-init S = None⟩ and
  pre: ⟨init-dt-pre ([] # CS) S⟩
shows
  ⟨init-dt-pre CS (add-empty-conflict-init-l S)⟩ (is ?pre)
  ⟨init-dt-spec [] S (add-empty-conflict-init-l S)⟩ (is ?spec)
⟨proof⟩

lemma [twl-st-l-init]:
  ⟨get-trail (fst (add-to-clauses-init a T)) = get-trail-init T⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma [twl-st-l-init]:
  ⟨other-clauses-l-init (T, OC) = OC⟩
  ⟨clauses-to-update-l-init (T, OC) = clauses-to-update-l T⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma twl-struct-invs-init-add-to-clauses-init:
assumes
  lev: ⟨count-decided (get-trail-init T) = 0⟩ and
  invs: ⟨twl-struct-invs-init T⟩ and
  confl: ⟨get-conflict-init T = None⟩ and
  MQ: ⟨literals-to-update-init T = uminus ‘# lit-of ‘# mset (get-trail-init T)⟩ and
  WS: ⟨clauses-to-update-init T = {#}⟩ and
  dist-C: ⟨distinct C⟩ and
  le-2: ⟨length C ≥ 2⟩
shows
  ⟨twl-struct-invs-init (add-to-clauses-init C T)⟩
  (is ?all-struct)
⟨proof⟩

lemma get-trail-init-add-to-clauses-init[simp]:
  ⟨get-trail-init (add-to-clauses-init a T) = get-trail-init T⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma init-dt-pre-add-to-clauses-init-l:
assumes
  D: ⟨get-conflict-l-init S = None⟩ and
  a: ⟨length a ≠ Suc 0⟩ ⟨a ≠ []⟩ and
  pre: ⟨init-dt-pre (a # CS) S⟩ and
  ⟨∀ s∈set (get-trail-l-init S). ¬ is-decided s⟩
shows
  ⟨add-to-clauses-init-l a S ≤ SPEC (init-dt-pre CS)⟩ (is ?pre) and
  ⟨add-to-clauses-init-l a S ≤ SPEC (init-dt-spec [a] S)⟩ (is ?spec)
⟨proof⟩

lemma init-dt-pre-init-dt-step:
assumes pre: ⟨init-dt-pre (a # CS) SOC⟩
shows ⟨init-dt-step a SOC ≤ SPEC (λSOC'. init-dt-pre CS SOC' ∧ init-dt-spec [a] SOC SOC')⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma [twl-st-l-init]:
  ⟨get-trail-l-init (S, OC) = get-trail-l S⟩
  ⟨literals-to-update-l-init (S, OC) = literals-to-update-l S⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma init-dt-spec-append:
  assumes
    spec1: ⟨init-dt-spec CS S T⟩ and
    spec: ⟨init-dt-spec CS' T U⟩
  shows ⟨init-dt-spec (CS @ CS') S U⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma init-dt-full:
  fixes CS :: ⟨'v literal list list⟩ and SOC :: ⟨'v twl-st-l-init⟩ and S'
  defines
    S ≡ fst SOC and
    OC ≡ snd SOC
  assumes
    ⟨init-dt-pre CS SOC⟩
  shows
    ⟨init-dt CS SOC ≤ SPEC (init-dt-spec CS SOC)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma init-dt-pre-empty-state:
  ⟨init-dt-pre [] ([][], fmempty, None, {}, {}, {}, {}, {}, {}, {})⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma twl-init-invs:
  ⟨twl-struct-invs-init ([][], {}, {}, None, {}, {}, {}, {}, {}, {}, {})⟩
  ⟨twl-list-invs ([][], fmempty, None, {}, {}, {}, {}, {}, {})⟩
  ⟨twl-stgy-invs ([][], {}, {}, None, {}, {}, {}, {}, {}, {})⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
end
theory Watched-Literals-Watch-List-Initialisation
  imports Watched-Literals-Watch-List Watched-Literals-Initialisation
begin

```

1.4.7 Initialisation

```

type-synonym 'v twl-st-wl-init = ⟨('v, nat) ann-lits × 'v clauses-l ×
  'v cconflict × 'v clauses × 'v clauses × 'v lit-queue-wl)⟩

type-synonym 'v twl-st-wl-init = ⟨'v twl-st-wl-init' × 'v clauses⟩
type-synonym 'v twl-st-wl-init-full = ⟨'v twl-st-wl × 'v clauses⟩

fun get-trail-init-wl :: ⟨'v twl-st-wl-init ⇒ ('v, nat) ann-lit list⟩ where
  ⟨get-trail-init-wl ((M, -, -, -, -, -), -) = M⟩

fun get-clauses-init-wl :: ⟨'v twl-st-wl-init ⇒ 'v clauses-l⟩ where
  ⟨get-clauses-init-wl ((-, N, -, -, -, -), OC) = N⟩

fun get-conflict-init-wl :: ⟨'v twl-st-wl-init ⇒ 'v cconflict⟩ where
  ⟨get-conflict-init-wl ((-, -, D, -, -, -), -) = D⟩

fun literals-to-update-init-wl :: ⟨'v twl-st-wl-init ⇒ 'v clause⟩ where

```

```

⟨literals-to-update-init-wl ((-, -, -, -, -, Q), -) = Q⟩

fun other-clauses-init-wl :: ⟨'v twl-st-wl-init ⇒ 'v clauses⟩ where
  ⟨other-clauses-init-wl ((-, -, -, -, -, -), OC) = OC⟩

fun add-empty-conflict-init-wl :: ⟨'v twl-st-wl-init ⇒ 'v twl-st-wl-init⟩ where
  add-empty-conflict-init-wl-def[simp del]:
    ⟨add-empty-conflict-init-wl ((M, N, D, NE, UE, Q), OC) =
      ((M, N, Some {#}, NE, UE, {#}), add-mset {#} OC)⟩

fun propagate-unit-init-wl :: ⟨'v literal ⇒ 'v twl-st-wl-init ⇒ 'v twl-st-wl-init⟩ where
  propagate-unit-init-wl-def[simp del]:
    ⟨propagate-unit-init-wl L ((M, N, D, NE, UE, Q), OC) =
      ((Propagated L 0 # M, N, D, add-mset {#L#} NE, UE, add-mset (-L) Q), OC)⟩

fun already-propagated-unit-init-wl :: ⟨'v clause ⇒ 'v twl-st-wl-init ⇒ 'v twl-st-wl-init⟩ where
  already-propagated-unit-init-wl-def[simp del]:
    ⟨already-propagated-unit-init-wl C ((M, N, D, NE, UE, Q), OC) =
      ((M, N, D, add-mset C NE, UE, Q), OC)⟩

fun set-conflict-init-wl :: ⟨'v literal ⇒ 'v twl-st-wl-init ⇒ 'v twl-st-wl-init⟩ where
  set-conflict-init-wl-def[simp del]:
    ⟨set-conflict-init-wl L ((M, N, -, NE, UE, Q), OC) =
      ((M, N, Some {#L#}, add-mset {#L#} NE, UE, {#}), OC)⟩

fun add-to-clauses-init-wl :: ⟨'v clause-l ⇒ 'v twl-st-wl-init ⇒ 'v twl-st-wl-init nres⟩ where
  add-to-clauses-init-wl-def[simp del]:
    ⟨add-to-clauses-init-wl C ((M, N, D, NE, UE, Q), OC) = do {
      i ← get-fresh-index N;
      let b = (length C = 2);
      RETURN ((M, fmupd i (C, True) N, D, NE, UE, Q), OC)
    }⟩

definition init-dt-step-wl :: ⟨'v clause-l ⇒ 'v twl-st-wl-init ⇒ 'v twl-st-wl-init nres⟩ where
  init-dt-step-wl C S =
  (case get-conflict-init-wl S of
    None ⇒
    if length C = 0
    then RETURN (add-empty-conflict-init-wl S)
    else if length C = 1
    then
      let L = hd C in
      if undefined-lit (get-trail-init-wl S) L
      then RETURN (propagate-unit-init-wl L S)
      else if L ∈ lits-of-l (get-trail-init-wl S)
      then RETURN (already-propagated-unit-init-wl (mset C) S)
      else RETURN (set-conflict-init-wl L S)
    else
      add-to-clauses-init-wl C S
  | Some D ⇒
    RETURN (add-to-other-init C S))⟩

```

```
fun st-l-of-wl-init :: ⟨'v twl-st-wl-init' ⇒ 'v twl-st-l⟩ where
⟨st-l-of-wl-init (M, N, D, NE, UE, Q) = (M, N, D, NE, UE, {#}, Q)⟩
```

```
definition state-wl-l-init' where
⟨state-wl-l-init' = {(S , S'). S' = st-l-of-wl-init S}⟩
```

```
definition init-dt-wl :: ⟨'v clause-l list ⇒ 'v twl-st-wl-init ⇒ 'v twl-st-wl-init nres⟩ where
⟨init-dt-wl CS = nfoldli CS (λ-. True) init-dt-step-wl⟩
```

```
definition state-wl-l-init :: ⟨('v twl-st-wl-init × 'v twl-st-l-init) set⟩ where
⟨state-wl-l-init = {(S, S'). (fst S, fst S') ∈ state-wl-l-init' ∧
other-clauses-init-wl S = other-clauses-l-init S'}⟩
```

```
fun all-blits-are-in-problem-init where
[simp del]: ⟨all-blits-are-in-problem-init (M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W) ⟷
(∀ L. (∀ (i, K, b) ∈# mset (W L). K ∈# all-lits-of-mm (mset '# ran-mf N + (NE + UE))))⟩
```

We assume that no clause has been deleted during initialisation. The definition is slightly redundant since $i \in# \text{dom-}m N$ is already entailed by $\text{fst } \# \text{mset } (W L) = \text{clause-to-update } L$ ($M, N, D, NE, UE, \{\#\}, \{\#\}$).

named-theorems twl-st-wl-init

```
lemma [twl-st-wl-init]:
assumes ⟨(S, S') ∈ state-wl-l-init⟩
shows
⟨get-conflict-l-init S' = get-conflict-init-wl S⟩
⟨get-trail-l-init S' = get-trail-init-wl S⟩
⟨other-clauses-l-init S' = other-clauses-init-wl S⟩
⟨count-decided (get-trail-l-init S') = count-decided (get-trail-init-wl S)⟩
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma in-clause-to-update-in-dom-mD:
⟨bb ∈# clause-to-update L (a, aa, ab, ac, ad, {#}, {#}) ⟹ bb ∈# dom-m aa⟩
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma init-dt-step-wl-init-dt-step:
assumes S-S': ⟨(S, S') ∈ state-wl-l-init⟩ and
dist: ⟨distinct C⟩
shows ⟨init-dt-step-wl C S ≤ ⇝ state-wl-l-init
(init-dt-step C S')⟩
(is ← ≤ ⇝ ?A →)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma init-dt-wl-init-dt:
assumes S-S': ⟨(S, S') ∈ state-wl-l-init⟩ and
dist: ⟨∀ C ∈ set C. distinct C⟩
shows ⟨init-dt-wl C S ≤ ⇝ state-wl-l-init
(init-dt C S')⟩
⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition init-dt-wl-pre where
⟨init-dt-wl-pre C S ⟷
(∃ S'. (S, S') ∈ state-wl-l-init ∧
init-dt-pre C S')⟩
```

definition *init-dt-wl-spec* **where**

init-dt-wl-spec $C S T \longleftrightarrow (\exists S' T'. (S, S') \in state-wl-l-init \wedge (T, T') \in state-wl-l-init \wedge init-dt-spec C S' T')$

lemma *init-dt-wl-init-dt-wl-spec*:

assumes *init-dt-wl-pre CS S*
shows *init-dt-wl CS S ≤ SPEC (init-dt-wl-spec CS S)*
{proof}

fun *correct-watching-init* :: $\lambda v twl-st-wl \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

[simp del]: *correct-watching-init* $(M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W) \longleftrightarrow all-blits-are-in-problem-init (M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W) \wedge (\forall L. distinct-watched (W L) \wedge (\forall (i, K, b) \in \#mset (W L). i \in \# dom-m N \wedge K \in set (N \propto i) \wedge K \neq L \wedge correctly-marked-as-binary N (i, K, b)) \wedge fst ' \# mset (W L) = clause-to-update L (M, N, D, NE, UE, \{\#\}, \{\#\}))$

lemma *correct-watching-init-correct-watching*:

correct-watching-init T \implies *correct-watching T*
{proof}

lemma *image-mset-Suc*: *Suc ' # {#C ∈ # M. P C#} = {#C ∈ # Suc ' # M. P (C-1)#}*
{proof}

lemma *correct-watching-init-add-unit*:

assumes *correct-watching-init (M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W)*
shows *correct-watching-init (M, N, D, add-mset C NE, UE, Q, W)*
{proof}

lemma *correct-watching-init-propagate*:

correct-watching-init ((L # M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W)) \longleftrightarrow *correct-watching-init ((M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W))*
correct-watching-init ((M, N, D, NE, UE, add-mset C Q, W)) \longleftrightarrow *correct-watching-init ((M, N, D, NE, UE, Q, W))*
{proof}

lemma *all-blits-are-in-problem-cons*[simp]:

all-blits-are-in-problem-init (Propagated L i # a, aa, ab, ac, ad, ae, b) \longleftrightarrow *all-blits-are-in-problem-init (a, aa, ab, ac, ad, ae, b)*
all-blits-are-in-problem-init (Decided L # a, aa, ab, ac, ad, ae, b) \longleftrightarrow *all-blits-are-in-problem-init (a, aa, ab, ac, ad, ae, b)*
all-blits-are-in-problem-init (a, aa, ab, ac, ad, add-mset L ae, b) \longleftrightarrow *all-blits-are-in-problem-init (a, aa, ab, ac, ad, ae, b)*
NO-MATCH None y \implies *all-blits-are-in-problem-init (a, aa, y, ac, ad, ae, b)* \longleftrightarrow *all-blits-are-in-problem-init (a, aa, None, ac, ad, ae, b)*
NO-MATCH {#} ae \implies *all-blits-are-in-problem-init (a, aa, y, ac, ad, ae, b)* \longleftrightarrow *all-blits-are-in-problem-init (a, aa, y, ac, ad, {#}, b)*
{proof}

lemma *correct-watching-init-cons*[simp]:

NO-MATCH None y \implies *correct-watching-init ((a, aa, y, ac, ad, ae, b))* \longleftrightarrow

```

correct-watching-init ((a, aa, None, ac, ad, ae, b))>
⟨NO-MATCH {#} ae ⟹ correct-watching-init ((a, aa, y, ac, ad, ae, b)) ⟷
correct-watching-init ((a, aa, y, ac, ad, {#}, b))>
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma clause-to-update-mapsto-upd-notin:

assumes

i: ⟨i ∈# dom-m N⟩

shows

```

⟨clause-to-update L (M, N(i ↣ C'), C, NE, UE, WS, Q) =
(if L ∈ set (watched-l C') 
then add-mset i (clause-to-update L (M, N, C, NE, UE, WS, Q))
else (clause-to-update L (M, N, C, NE, UE, WS, Q)))⟩
⟨clause-to-update L (M, fmupd i (C', b) N, C, NE, UE, WS, Q) =
(if L ∈ set (watched-l C') 
then add-mset i (clause-to-update L (M, N, C, NE, UE, WS, Q))
else (clause-to-update L (M, N, C, NE, UE, WS, Q)))⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma correct-watching-init-add-clause:

assumes

corr: ⟨correct-watching-init ((a, aa, None, ac, ad, Q, b))⟩ **and**

leC: ⟨2 ≤ length C⟩ **and**

i-notin[simp]: ⟨i ∈# dom-m aa⟩ **and**

dist[iff]: ⟨C ! 0 ≠ C ! Suc 0⟩

shows ⟨correct-watching-init

```

((a, fmupd i (C, red) aa, None, ac, ad, Q, b
(C ! 0 := b (C ! 0) @ [(i, C ! Suc 0, length C = 2)],
C ! Suc 0 := b (C ! Suc 0) @ [(i, C ! 0, length C = 2)])))⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

definition rewatch

:: ⟨'v clauses-l ⇒ ('v literal ⇒ 'v watched) ⇒ ('v literal ⇒ 'v watched) nres⟩

where

```

rewatch N W = do {
  xs ← SPEC(λxs. set-mset (dom-m N) ⊆ set xs ∧ distinct xs);
  nfoldli
    xs
    (λ-. True)
    (λi W. do {
      if i ∈# dom-m N
      then do {
        ASSERT(i ∈# dom-m N);
        ASSERT(length (N ∖ i) ≥ 2);
        let L1 = N ∖ i ! 0;
        let L2 = N ∖ i ! 1;
        let b = (length (N ∖ i) = 2);
        ASSERT(L1 ≠ L2);
        ASSERT(length (W L1) < size (dom-m N));
        let W = W(L1 := W L1 @ [(i, L2, b)]);
        ASSERT(length (W L2) < size (dom-m N));
        let W = W(L2 := W L2 @ [(i, L1, b)]);
        RETURN W
      }
    })
  }
else RETURN W
}

```

```

        })
      W
    }

lemma rewatch-correctness:
  assumes [simp]:  $\langle W = (\lambda \cdot. []) \rangle$  and
     $H[dest]: \langle \forall x. x \in \# dom-m N \implies distinct(N \propto x) \wedge length(N \propto x) \geq 2 \rangle$ 
  shows
     $\langle rewatch\ N\ W \leq SPEC(\lambda W. correct-watching-init\ (M,\ N,\ C,\ NE,\ UE,\ Q,\ W)) \rangle$ 
  {proof}

definition state-wl-l-init-full ::  $\langle ('v\ twl-st-wl-init-full \times 'v\ twl-st-l-init)\ set \rangle$  where
   $\langle state-wl-l-init-full = \{(S,\ S'). (fst\ S,\ fst\ S') \in state-wl-l\ None \wedge$ 
     $snd\ S = snd\ S'\} \rangle$ 

definition added-only-watched ::  $\langle ('v\ twl-st-wl-init-full \times 'v\ twl-st-wl-init)\ set \rangle$  where
   $\langle added-only-watched = \{(((M,\ N,\ D,\ NE,\ UE,\ Q,\ W), OC), ((M',\ N',\ D',\ NE',\ UE',\ Q'), OC')).$ 
     $(M,\ N,\ D,\ NE,\ UE,\ Q) = (M',\ N',\ D',\ NE',\ UE',\ Q') \wedge OC = OC'\} \rangle$ 

definition init-dt-wl-spec-full
  ::  $\langle 'v\ clause-l\ list \Rightarrow 'v\ twl-st-wl-init \Rightarrow 'v\ twl-st-wl-init-full \Rightarrow bool \rangle$ 
where
   $\langle init-dt-wl-spec-full\ C\ S\ T'' \longleftrightarrow$ 
     $(\exists S'\ T'\ (S,\ S') \in state-wl-l-init \wedge (T :: 'v\ twl-st-wl-init,\ T') \in state-wl-l-init \wedge$ 
       $init-dt-spec\ C\ S'\ T' \wedge correct-watching-init\ (fst\ T') \wedge (T'',\ T) \in added-only-watched) \rangle$ 

definition init-dt-wl-full ::  $\langle 'v\ clause-l\ list \Rightarrow 'v\ twl-st-wl-init \Rightarrow 'v\ twl-st-wl-init-full\ nres \rangle$  where
   $\langle init-dt-wl-full\ CS\ S = do\{$ 
     $((M,\ N,\ D,\ NE,\ UE,\ Q), OC) \leftarrow init-dt-wl\ CS\ S;$ 
     $W \leftarrow rewatch\ N\ (\lambda \cdot. []);$ 
     $RETURN\ ((M,\ N,\ D,\ NE,\ UE,\ Q,\ W), OC)$ 
  }
}

lemma init-dt-wl-spec-rewatch-pre:
  assumes  $\langle init-dt-wl-spec\ CS\ S\ T \rangle$  and  $\langle N = get-clauses-init-wl\ T \rangle$  and  $\langle C \in \# dom-m\ N \rangle$ 
  shows  $\langle distinct(N \propto C) \wedge length(N \propto C) \geq 2 \rangle$ 
  {proof}

lemma init-dt-wl-full-init-dt-wl-spec-full:
  assumes  $\langle init-dt-wl-pre\ CS\ S \rangle$ 
  shows  $\langle init-dt-wl-full\ CS\ S \leq SPEC\ (init-dt-wl-spec-full\ CS\ S) \rangle$ 
  {proof}

end
theory CDCL-Conflict-Minimisation
imports
  Watched-Literals-Watch-List-Domain
  WB-More-Refinement
  WB-More-Refinement-List List-Index.List-Index HOL-Imperative-HOL.Imperative-HOL
begin

```

We implement the conflict minimisation as presented by Sörensson and Biere (“Minimizing Learned Clauses”).

We refer to the paper for further details, but the general idea is to produce a series of resolution steps such that eventually (i.e., after enough resolution steps) no new literals has been introduced

in the conflict clause.

The resolution steps are only done with the reasons of the literals appearing in the trail. Hence these steps are terminating: we are “shortening” the trail we have to consider with each resolution step. Remark that the shortening refers to the length of the trail we have to consider, not the levels.

The concrete proof was harder than we initially expected. Our first proof try was to certify the resolution steps. While this worked out, adding caching on top of that turned to be rather hard, since it is not obvious how to add resolution steps in the middle of the current proof if the literal has already been removed (basically we would have to prove termination and confluence of the rewriting system). Therefore, we worked instead directly on the entailment of the literals of the conflict clause (up to the point in the trail we currently considering, which is also the termination measure). The previous try is still present in our formalisation (see *minimize-conflict-support*, which we however only use for the termination proof).

The algorithm presented above does not distinguish between literals propagated at the same level: we cannot reuse information about failures to cut branches. There is a variant of the algorithm presented above that is able to do so (Van Gelder, “Improved Conflict-Clause Minimization Leads to Improved Propositional Proof Traces”). The algorithm is however more complicated and has only been implemented in very few solvers (at least lingeling and cardinal) and is especially not part of glucose nor cryptominisat. Therefore, we have decided to not implement it: It is probably not worth it and requires some additional data structures.

```
declare cdclW-restart-mset-state[simp]
```

```
type-synonym out-learned = <nat clause-l>
```

The data structure contains the (unique) literal of highest at position one. This is useful since this is what we want to have at the end (propagation clause) and we can skip the first literal when minimising the clause.

```
definition out-learned :: <(nat, nat) ann-lits ⇒ nat clause option ⇒ out-learned ⇒ bool> where
  <out-learned M D out ⟷
    out ≠ [] ∧
    (D = None → length out = 1) ∧
    (D ≠ None → mset (tl out) = filter-mset (λL. get-level M L < count-decided M) (the D))>
```

```
definition out-learned-confl :: <(nat, nat) ann-lits ⇒ nat clause option ⇒ out-learned ⇒ bool> where
  <out-learned-confl M D out ⟷
    out ≠ [] ∧ (D ≠ None ∧ mset out = the D)>
```

```
lemma out-learned-Cons-None[simp]:
```

```
<out-learned (L # aa) None ao ⟷ out-learned aa None ao>
<proof>
```

```
lemma out-learned-tl-None[simp]:
```

```
<out-learned (tl aa) None ao ⟷ out-learned aa None ao>
<proof>
```

```
definition index-in-trail :: <('v, 'a) ann-lits ⇒ 'v literal ⇒ nat> where
  <index-in-trail M L = index (map (atm-of o lit-of) (rev M)) (atm-of L)>
```

```
lemma Propagated-in-trail-entailed:
```

```
assumes
```

```
  invs: <cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (M, N, U, D)> and
  in-trail: <Propagated L C ∈ set M>
```

shows

$\langle M \models_{as} C \text{Not } (\text{remove1-mset } L \ C) \rangle \text{ and } \langle L \in \# C \rangle \text{ and } \langle N + U \models_{pm} C \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle K \in \# \text{ remove1-mset } L \ C \implies \text{index-in-trail } M \ K < \text{index-in-trail } M \ L \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle \neg \text{tautology } C \rangle \text{ and } \langle \text{distinct-mset } C \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

This predicate corresponds to one resolution step.

inductive *minimize-conflict-support* :: $\langle ('v, 'v \text{ clause}) \ ann-lits \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$
for *M* **where**
resolve-propa:
 $\langle \text{minimize-conflict-support } M \ (\text{add-mset } (-L) \ C) \ (C + \text{remove1-mset } L \ E) \rangle$
if $\langle \text{Propagated } L \ E \in \text{set } M \rangle$ |
remdup: $\langle \text{minimize-conflict-support } M \ (\text{add-mset } L \ C) \ C \rangle$

lemma *index-in-trail-uminus*[simp]: $\langle \text{index-in-trail } M \ (-L) = \text{index-in-trail } M \ L \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

This is the termination argument of the conflict minimisation: the multiset of the levels decreases (for the multiset ordering).

definition *minimize-conflict-support-mes* :: $\langle ('v, 'v \text{ clause}) \ ann-lits \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow \text{nat multiset} \rangle$
where
 $\langle \text{minimize-conflict-support-mes } M \ C = \text{index-in-trail } M \ \# C \rangle$

context

fixes *M* :: $\langle ('v, 'v \text{ clause}) \ ann-lits \rangle \text{ and } N \ U :: \langle 'v \text{ clauses} \rangle \text{ and}$
D :: $\langle 'v \text{ clause option} \rangle$
assumes *invs*: $\langle \text{cdcl}_W\text{-restart-mset}.cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv} (M, N, U, D) \rangle$
begin

private lemma

no-dup: $\langle \text{no-dup } M \rangle \text{ and}$
consistent: $\langle \text{consistent-interp} (\text{lits-of-l } M) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *minimize-conflict-support-entailed-trail*:
assumes $\langle \text{minimize-conflict-support } M \ C \ E \rangle \text{ and } \langle M \models_{as} C \text{Not } C \rangle$
shows $\langle M \models_{as} C \text{Not } E \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtranclp-minimize-conflict-support-entailed-trail*:
assumes $\langle (\text{minimize-conflict-support } M)^{**} \ C \ E \rangle \text{ and } \langle M \models_{as} C \text{Not } C \rangle$
shows $\langle M \models_{as} C \text{Not } E \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *minimize-conflict-support-mes*:

assumes $\langle \text{minimize-conflict-support } M \ C \ E \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{minimize-conflict-support-mes } M \ E < \text{minimize-conflict-support-mes } M \ C \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-minimize-conflict-support*:

shows $\langle \text{wf } \{(C', C). \text{ minimize-conflict-support } M \ C \ C'\} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

```

lemma conflict-minimize-step:
assumes
   $\langle NU \models p \text{ add-mset } L \ C \rangle \text{ and}$ 
   $\langle NU \models p \text{ add-mset } (-L) \ D \rangle \text{ and}$ 
   $\langle \bigwedge K'. K' \in \# \ C \implies NU \models p \text{ add-mset } (-K') \ D \rangle$ 
shows  $\langle NU \models p \ D \rangle$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

This function filters the clause by the levels up the level of the given literal. This is the part the conflict clause that is considered when testing if the given literal is redundant.

```

definition filter-to-poslev where
   $\text{filter-to-poslev } M \ L \ D = \text{filter-mset } (\lambda K. \text{index-in-trail } M \ K < \text{index-in-trail } M \ L) \ D$ 

```

```

lemma filter-to-poslev-uminus[simp]:
   $\text{filter-to-poslev } M \ (-L) \ D = \text{filter-to-poslev } M \ L \ D$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma filter-to-poslev-empty[simp]:
   $\text{filter-to-poslev } M \ L \ \{\#\} = \{\#\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma filter-to-poslev-mono:
   $\text{index-in-trail } M \ K' \leq \text{index-in-trail } M \ L \implies$ 
   $\text{filter-to-poslev } M \ K' \ D \subseteq \# \text{ filter-to-poslev } M \ L \ D$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma filter-to-poslev-mono-entailment:
   $\text{index-in-trail } M \ K' \leq \text{index-in-trail } M \ L \implies$ 
   $NU \models p \text{ filter-to-poslev } M \ K' \ D \implies NU \models p \text{ filter-to-poslev } M \ L \ D$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma filter-to-poslev-mono-entailment-add-mset:
   $\text{index-in-trail } M \ K' \leq \text{index-in-trail } M \ L \implies$ 
   $NU \models p \text{ add-mset } J \ (\text{filter-to-poslev } M \ K' \ D) \implies NU \models p \text{ add-mset } J \ (\text{filter-to-poslev } M \ L \ D)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma conflict-minimize-intermediate-step:
assumes
   $\langle NU \models p \text{ add-mset } L \ C \rangle \text{ and}$ 
   $K' \in \# \ C: \langle \bigwedge K'. K' \in \# \ C \implies NU \models p \text{ add-mset } (-K') \ D \vee K' \in \# \ D \rangle$ 
shows  $\langle NU \models p \text{ add-mset } L \ D \rangle$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma conflict-minimize-intermediate-step-filter-to-poslev:
assumes
   $\text{lev-K-L}: \langle \bigwedge K'. K' \in \# \ C \implies \text{index-in-trail } M \ K' < \text{index-in-trail } M \ L \rangle \text{ and}$ 
   $\text{NU-LC}: \langle NU \models p \text{ add-mset } L \ C \rangle \text{ and}$ 
   $K' \in \# \ C: \langle \bigwedge K'. K' \in \# \ C \implies NU \models p \text{ add-mset } (-K') \ (\text{filter-to-poslev } M \ L \ D) \vee$ 
   $K' \in \# \ \text{filter-to-poslev } M \ L \ D \rangle$ 
shows  $\langle NU \models p \text{ add-mset } L \ (\text{filter-to-poslev } M \ L \ D) \rangle$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

datatype minimize-status = SEEN-FAILED | SEEN-REMovable | SEEN-UNKNOWN

```

instance minimize-status :: heap
⟨proof⟩

```

```

instantiation minimize-status :: default
begin
  definition default-minimize-status where
    <default-minimize-status = SEEN-UNKNOWN>

  instance ⟨proof⟩
end

type-synonym 'v conflict-min-analyse = ⟨('v literal × 'v clause) list⟩
type-synonym 'v conflict-min-cach = ⟨'v ⇒ minimize-status⟩

definition get-literal-and-remove-of-analyse
  :: ⟨'v conflict-min-analyse ⇒ ('v literal × 'v conflict-min-analyse) nres⟩ where
  ⟨get-literal-and-remove-of-analyse analyse =
    SPEC(λ(L, ana). L ∈# snd (hd analyse) ∧ tl ana = tl analyse ∧ ana ≠ [] ∧
    hd ana = (fst (hd analyse), snd (hd (analyse)) - {#L#}))⟩

definition mark-failed-lits
  :: ⟨- ⇒ 'v conflict-min-analyse ⇒ 'v conflict-min-cach ⇒ 'v conflict-min-cach nres⟩
where
  ⟨mark-failed-lits NU analyse cach = SPEC(λcach'.
    ( ∀ L. cach' L = SEEN-REMOVABLE → cach L = SEEN-REMOVABLE))⟩

definition conflict-min-analysis-inv
  :: ⟨('v, 'a) ann-lits ⇒ 'v conflict-min-cach ⇒ 'v clauses ⇒ 'v clause ⇒ bool⟩
where
  ⟨conflict-min-analysis-inv M cach NU D ↔
    ( ∀ L. -L ∈ lits-of-l M → cach (atm-of L) = SEEN-REMOVABLE →
      set-mset NU |=p add-mset (-L) (filter-to-poslev M L D))⟩

lemma conflict-min-analysis-inv-update-removable:
  ⟨no-dup M ⇒ -L ∈ lits-of-l M ⇒
    conflict-min-analysis-inv M (cach(atm-of L := SEEN-REMOVABLE)) NU D ↔
    conflict-min-analysis-inv M cach NU D ∧ set-mset NU |=p add-mset (-L) (filter-to-poslev M L D)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma conflict-min-analysis-inv-update-failed:
  ⟨conflict-min-analysis-inv M cach NU D ⇒
    conflict-min-analysis-inv M (cach(L := SEEN-FAILED)) NU D⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

fun conflict-min-analysis-stack
  :: ⟨('v, 'a) ann-lits ⇒ 'v clauses ⇒ 'v clause ⇒ 'v conflict-min-analyse ⇒ bool⟩
where
  ⟨conflict-min-analysis-stack M NU D [] ↔ True⟩ |
  ⟨conflict-min-analysis-stack M NU D ((L, E) # []) ↔ -L ∈ lits-of-l M⟩ |
  ⟨conflict-min-analysis-stack M NU D ((L, E) # (L', E') # analyse) ↔
    ( ∃ C. set-mset NU |=p add-mset (-L') C ∧
      ( ∀ K ∈# C - add-mset L E'. set-mset NU |=p (filter-to-poslev M L' D) + {#-K#} ∨
        K ∈# filter-to-poslev M L' D) ∧
      ( ∀ K ∈# C. index-in-trail M K < index-in-trail M L') ∧
      E' ⊆# C) ∧
    -L' ∈ lits-of-l M⟩

```

$-L \in \text{lits-of-l } M \wedge$
 $\text{index-in-trail } M L < \text{index-in-trail } M L' \wedge$
 $\text{conflict-min-analysis-stack } M \text{ NU } D ((L', E') \# \text{analyse})$

lemma *conflict-min-analysis-stack-change-hd*:
 $\langle \text{conflict-min-analysis-stack } M \text{ NU } D ((L, E) \# \text{ana}) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{conflict-min-analysis-stack } M \text{ NU } D ((L, E') \# \text{ana}) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflict-min-analysis-stack-sorted*:
 $\langle \text{conflict-min-analysis-stack } M \text{ NU } D \text{ analyse} \Rightarrow$
 $\text{sorted } (\text{map } (\text{index-in-trail } M \circ \text{fst}) \text{ analyse}) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflict-min-analysis-stack-sorted-and-distinct*:
 $\langle \text{conflict-min-analysis-stack } M \text{ NU } D \text{ analyse} \Rightarrow$
 $\text{sorted } (\text{map } (\text{index-in-trail } M \circ \text{fst}) \text{ analyse}) \wedge$
 $\text{distinct } (\text{map } (\text{index-in-trail } M \circ \text{fst}) \text{ analyse}) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflict-min-analysis-stack-distinct-fst*:
assumes $\langle \text{conflict-min-analysis-stack } M \text{ NU } D \text{ analyse} \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{distinct } (\text{map } \text{fst analyse}) \rangle$ **and** $\langle \text{distinct } (\text{map } (\text{atm-of } o \text{fst}) \text{ analyse}) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflict-min-analysis-stack-neg*:
 $\langle \text{conflict-min-analysis-stack } M \text{ NU } D \text{ analyse} \Rightarrow$
 $M \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } (\text{fst } ' \# \text{ mset analyse}) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun *conflict-min-analysis-stack-hd*
 $:: \langle ('v, 'a) \text{ ann-lits} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clauses} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ conflict-min-analyse} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$
where
 $\langle \text{conflict-min-analysis-stack-hd } M \text{ NU } D [] \longleftrightarrow \text{True} \rangle \mid$
 $\langle \text{conflict-min-analysis-stack-hd } M \text{ NU } D ((L, E) \# -) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists C. \text{set-mset } NU \models_p \text{add-mset } (-L) C \wedge$
 $(\forall K \in \# C. \text{index-in-trail } M K < \text{index-in-trail } M L) \wedge E \subseteq \# C \wedge -L \in \text{lits-of-l } M \wedge$
 $(\forall K \in \# C - E. \text{set-mset } NU \models_p (\text{filter-to-poslev } M L D) + \{\# -K \#\} \vee K \in \# \text{filter-to-poslev } M L$
 $D)) \rangle$

lemma *conflict-min-analysis-stack-tl*:
 $\langle \text{conflict-min-analysis-stack } M \text{ NU } D \text{ analyse} \Rightarrow \text{conflict-min-analysis-stack } M \text{ NU } D (\text{tl analyse}) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *lit-redundant-inv*
 $:: \langle ('v, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ ann-lits} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clauses} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ conflict-min-analyse} \Rightarrow$
 $'v \text{ conflict-min-cach} \times 'v \text{ conflict-min-analyse} \times \text{bool} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{lit-redundant-inv } M \text{ NU } D \text{ init-analyse} = (\lambda(\text{cach}, \text{analyse}, b).$
 $\text{conflict-min-analysis-inv } M \text{ cach } NU \text{ D} \wedge$
 $(\text{analyse} \neq [] \rightarrow \text{fst } (\text{hd init-analyse}) = \text{fst } (\text{last analyse})) \wedge$
 $(\text{analyse} = [] \rightarrow b \rightarrow \text{cach } (\text{atm-of } (\text{fst } (\text{hd init-analyse}))) = \text{SEEN-REMOVABLE}) \wedge$
 $\text{conflict-min-analysis-stack } M \text{ NU } D \text{ analyse} \wedge$
 $\text{conflict-min-analysis-stack-hd } M \text{ NU } D \text{ analyse}) \rangle$

definition *lit-redundant-rec-loop-inv* :: $\langle ('v, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ ann-lits} \Rightarrow$
 $'v \text{ conflict-min-cach} \times 'v \text{ conflict-min-analyse} \times \text{bool} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{lit-redundant-rec-loop-inv } M = (\lambda(\text{cach}, \text{analyse}, b).$

$(uminus o fst) \cdot \# mset analyse \subseteq \# lit-of \cdot \# mset M \wedge$
 $(\forall L \in set analyse. cach (atm-of (fst L)) = SEEN-UNKNOWN))$

definition $lit-redundant-rec :: \langle ('v, 'v clause) ann-lits \Rightarrow 'v clauses \Rightarrow 'v clause \Rightarrow$
 $'v conflict-min-cach \Rightarrow 'v conflict-min-analyse \Rightarrow$
 $('v conflict-min-cach \times 'v conflict-min-analyse \times bool) nres \rangle$

where

$\langle lit-redundant-rec M NU D cach analysis =$
 $WHILE_T lit-redundant-rec-loop-inv M$
 $(\lambda(cach, analyse, b). analyse \neq [])$
 $(\lambda(cach, analyse, b). do \{$
 $ASSERT(analyse \neq []);$
 $ASSERT(length analyse \leq length M);$
 $ASSERT(-fst (hd analyse) \in lits-of-l M);$
 $if snd (hd analyse) = \{\#\}$
 $then$
 $RETURN(cach (atm-of (fst (hd analyse))) := SEEN-REMOVABLE), tl analyse, True)$
 $else do \{$
 $(L, analyse) \leftarrow get-literal-and-remove-of-analyse analyse;$
 $ASSERT(-L \in lits-of-l M);$
 $b \leftarrow RES UNIV;$
 $if (get-level M L = 0 \vee cach (atm-of L) = SEEN-REMOVABLE \vee L \in \# D)$
 $then RETURN (cach, analyse, False)$
 $else if b \vee cach (atm-of L) = SEEN-FAILED$
 $then do \{$
 $cach \leftarrow mark-failed-lits NU analyse cach;$
 $RETURN (cach, [], False)$
 $\}$
 $else do \{$
 $ASSERT(cach (atm-of L) = SEEN-UNKNOWN);$
 $C \leftarrow get-propagation-reason M (-L);$
 $case C of$
 $Some C \Rightarrow do \{$
 $ASSERT (distinct-mset C \wedge \neg tautology C);$
 $RETURN (cach, (L, C - \{\#-L\#}) \# analyse, False)\}$
 $| None \Rightarrow do \{$
 $cach \leftarrow mark-failed-lits NU analyse cach;$
 $RETURN (cach, [], False)$
 $\}$
 $\}$
 $\}$
 $(cach, analysis, False)\rangle$

definition $lit-redundant-rec-spec$ **where**

$\langle lit-redundant-rec-spec M NU D L =$
 $SPEC(\lambda(cach, analysis, b). (b \rightarrow NU \models pm add-mset (-L) (filter-to-poslev M L D)) \wedge$
 $conflict-min-analysis-inv M cach NU D)\rangle$

lemma $WHILEIT\text{-rule-stronger-inv-keep}I'$:

assumes

$\langle wf R \rangle$ **and**

$\langle I s \rangle$ **and**

$\langle I' s \rangle$ **and**

$\langle \bigwedge s. I s \implies I' s \implies b s \implies f s \leq SPEC (\lambda s'. I' s') \rangle$ **and**

$\langle \bigwedge s. I s \implies I' s \implies b s \implies f s \leq SPEC (\lambda s'. I' s' \rightarrow (I s' \wedge (s', s) \in R)) \rangle$ **and**

$\langle \bigwedge s. I s \implies I' s \implies \neg b s \implies \Phi s \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{WHILE}_T^I b f s \leq \text{SPEC } \Phi \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lit-redundant-rec-spec*:
fixes $L :: \langle v \text{ literal} \rangle$
assumes $\text{invs}: \langle \text{cdcl}_W\text{-restart-mset}.cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv} (M, N + NE, U + UE, D') \rangle$
assumes
init-analysis: $\langle \text{init-analysis} = [(L, C)] \rangle$ **and**
in-trail: $\langle \text{Propagated } (-L) (\text{add-mset } (-L) C) \in \text{set } M \rangle$ **and**
 $\langle \text{conflict-min-analysis-inv } M \text{ cach } (N + NE + U + UE) D \rangle$ **and**
L-D: $\langle L \in \# D \rangle$ **and**
M-D: $\langle M \models_{as} \text{CNot } D \rangle$ **and**
unknown: $\langle \text{cach } (\text{atm-of } L) = \text{SEEN-UNKNOWN} \rangle$
shows
 $\langle \text{lit-redundant-rec } M (N + U) D \text{ cach init-analysis} \leq$
 $\langle \text{lit-redundant-rec-spec } M (N + U + NE + UE) D L \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *literal-redundant-spec* **where**
 $\langle \text{literal-redundant-spec } M NU D L =$
 $\text{SPEC}(\lambda(cach, analysis, b). (b \longrightarrow NU \models_{pm} \text{add-mset } (-L) (\text{filter-to-poslev } M L D)) \wedge$
 $\langle \text{conflict-min-analysis-inv } M \text{ cach } NU D \rangle)$

definition *literal-redundant* **where**
 $\langle \text{literal-redundant } M NU D \text{ cach } L = \text{do} \{$
 $\text{ASSERT}(-L \in \text{lits-of-}l M);$
 $\text{if get-level } M L = 0 \vee \text{cach } (\text{atm-of } L) = \text{SEEN-REMOVABLE}$
 $\text{then RETURN } (\text{cach}, [], \text{True})$
 $\text{else if cach } (\text{atm-of } L) = \text{SEEN-FAILED}$
 $\text{then RETURN } (\text{cach}, [], \text{False})$
 $\text{else do} \{$
 $C \leftarrow \text{get-propagation-reason } M (-L);$
 $\text{case } C \text{ of}$
 $\quad \text{Some } C \Rightarrow \text{do} \{$
 $\quad \text{ASSERT}(\text{distinct-mset } C \wedge \neg \text{tautology } C);$
 $\quad \text{lit-redundant-rec } M NU D \text{ cach } [(L, C - \{\#-L\#})]\}$
 $\quad | \text{ None} \Rightarrow \text{do} \{$
 $\quad \quad \text{RETURN } (\text{cach}, [], \text{False})$
 $\quad \}$
 $\}$
 $\}$

lemma *true-clss-cls-add-self*: $\langle NU \models p D' + D' \longleftrightarrow NU \models p D' \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-clss-cls-add-add-mset-self*: $\langle NU \models p \text{ add-mset } L (D' + D') \longleftrightarrow NU \models p \text{ add-mset } L D' \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *filter-to-poslev-remove1*:
 $\langle \text{filter-to-poslev } M L (\text{remove1-mset } K D) =$
 $(\text{if index-in-trail } M K \leq \text{index-in-trail } M L \text{ then remove1-mset } K (\text{filter-to-poslev } M L D)$
 $\text{else filter-to-poslev } M L D)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma filter-to-poslev-add-mset:
 $\langle \text{filter-to-poslev } M L (\text{add-mset } K D) =$
 $(\text{if } \text{index-in-trail } M K < \text{index-in-trail } M L \text{ then add-mset } K (\text{filter-to-poslev } M L D)$
 $\text{else filter-to-poslev } M L D) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma filter-to-poslev-conflict-min-analysis-inv:
assumes
 $L-D: \langle L \in \# D \rangle \text{ and}$
 $NU-uLD: \langle N+U \models pm \text{ add-mset } (-L) (\text{filter-to-poslev } M L D) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{inv: } \langle \text{conflict-min-analysis-inv } M \text{ cach } (N + U) D \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{conflict-min-analysis-inv } M \text{ cach } (N + U) (\text{remove1-mset } L D) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma can-filter-to-poslev-can-remove:
assumes
 $L-D: \langle L \in \# D \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle M \models as CNot D \rangle \text{ and}$
 $NU-D: \langle NU \models pm D \rangle \text{ and}$
 $NU-uLD: \langle NU \models pm \text{ add-mset } (-L) (\text{filter-to-poslev } M L D) \rangle$
shows $\langle NU \models pm \text{ remove1-mset } L D \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma literal-redundant-spec:
fixes $L :: \langle 'v \text{ literal} \rangle$
assumes $\text{invs: } \langle cdcl_W\text{-restart-mset}.cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv } (M, N + NE, U + UE, D') \rangle$
assumes
 $\text{inv: } \langle \text{conflict-min-analysis-inv } M \text{ cach } (N + NE + U + UE) D \rangle \text{ and}$
 $L-D: \langle L \in \# D \rangle \text{ and}$
 $M-D: \langle M \models as CNot D \rangle$
shows
 $\langle \text{literal-redundant } M (N + U) D \text{ cach } L \leq \text{literal-redundant-spec } M (N + U + NE + UE) D L \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition set-all-to-list **where**
 $\langle \text{set-all-to-list } e ys = \text{do } \{$
 $S \leftarrow \text{WHILE}^{\lambda(i, xs). i \leq \text{length } xs \wedge (\forall x \in \text{set } (\text{take } i xs). x = e) \wedge \text{length } xs = \text{length } ys}$
 $(\lambda(i, xs). i < \text{length } xs)$
 $(\lambda(i, xs). \text{do } \{$
 $\text{ASSERT}(i < \text{length } xs);$
 $\text{RETURN}(i+1, xs[i := e])$
 $\})$
 $(\theta, ys);$
 $\text{RETURN } (\text{snd } S)$
 $\} \rangle$

lemma
 $\langle \text{set-all-to-list } e ys \leq \text{SPEC}(\lambda xs. \text{length } xs = \text{length } ys \wedge (\forall x \in \text{set } xs. x = e)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition get-literal-and-remove-of-analyse-wl
 $:: \langle 'v \text{ clause-l} \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \times \text{nat} \times \text{nat} \times \text{nat}) \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ literal} \times (\text{nat} \times \text{nat} \times \text{nat} \times \text{nat}) \text{ list} \rangle \text{ where}$
 $\langle \text{get-literal-and-remove-of-analyse-wl } C \text{ analyse} =$
 $(\text{let } (i, k, j, ln) = \text{last analyse} \text{ in}$
 $(C ! j, \text{analyse}[\text{length analyse} - 1 := (i, k, j + 1, ln)])) \rangle$

definition *mark-failed-lits-wl*

where

$\langle \text{mark-failed-lits-wl} \text{ } NU \text{ analyse } cach = \text{SPEC}(\lambda \text{cach}' .$
 $(\forall L. \text{cach}' L = \text{SEEN-REMOVABLE} \rightarrow \text{cach } L = \text{SEEN-REMOVABLE})) \rangle$

definition *lit-redundant-rec-wl-ref* **where**

$\langle \text{lit-redundant-rec-wl-ref} \text{ } NU \text{ analyse} \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\forall (i, k, j, ln) \in \text{set analyse}. \ j \leq ln \wedge i \in \# \text{dom-m } NU \wedge i > 0 \wedge$
 $ln \leq \text{length } (NU \propto i) \wedge k < \text{length } (NU \propto i) \wedge$
 $\text{distinct } (NU \propto i) \wedge$
 $\neg \text{tautology } (\text{mset } (NU \propto i))) \wedge$
 $(\forall (i, k, j, ln) \in \text{set } (\text{butlast analyse}). \ j > 0) \rangle$

definition *lit-redundant-rec-wl-inv* **where**

$\langle \text{lit-redundant-rec-wl-inv} \text{ } M \text{ } NU \text{ } D = (\lambda(cach, \text{analyse}, b). \text{lit-redundant-rec-wl-ref } NU \text{ analyse}) \rangle$

definition *lit-redundant-reason-stack*

$\langle \text{lit-redundant-reason-stack} \text{ } L \text{ } NU \text{ } C' =$
 $(\text{if length } (NU \propto C') > 2 \text{ then } (C', 0, 1, \text{length } (NU \propto C'))$
 $\text{else if } NU \propto C' ! 0 = L \text{ then } (C', 0, 1, \text{length } (NU \propto C'))$
 $\text{else } (C', 1, 0, 1)) \rangle$

definition *lit-redundant-rec-wl* :: $\langle ('v, \text{nat}) \text{ ann-lits} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clauses-l} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow$

$- \Rightarrow - \Rightarrow - \Rightarrow$
 $(- \times - \times \text{bool}) \text{ nres} \rangle$

where

$\langle \text{lit-redundant-rec-wl} \text{ } M \text{ } NU \text{ } D \text{ } cach \text{ analysis} \text{ } - =$
 $\text{WHILE}_T \text{lit-redundant-rec-wl-inv} \text{ } M \text{ } NU \text{ } D$
 $(\lambda(cach, \text{analyse}, b). \text{analyse} \neq [])$
 $(\lambda(cach, \text{analyse}, b). \text{do} \{$
 $\text{ASSERT}(\text{analyse} \neq []);$
 $\text{ASSERT}(\text{length analyse} \leq \text{length } M);$
 $\text{let } (C, k, i, ln) = \text{last analyse};$
 $\text{ASSERT}(C \in \# \text{dom-m } NU);$
 $\text{ASSERT}(\text{length } (NU \propto C) > k);$
 $\text{ASSERT}(NU \propto C ! k \in \text{lits-of-l } M);$
 $\text{let } C = NU \propto C;$
 $\text{if } i \geq ln$
 then
 $\text{RETURN}(cach (\text{atm-of } (C ! k) := \text{SEEN-REMOVABLE}), \text{butlast analyse}, \text{True})$
 $\text{else do} \{$
 $\text{let } (L, \text{analyse}) = \text{get-literal-and-remove-of-analyse-wl } C \text{ analyse};$
 $\text{ASSERT}(\text{fst}(\text{snd}(\text{snd } (\text{last analyse}))) \neq 0);$
 $\text{ASSERT}(-L \in \text{lits-of-l } M);$
 $b \leftarrow \text{RES } (\text{UNIV});$
 $\text{if } (\text{get-level } M L = 0 \vee \text{cach } (\text{atm-of } L) = \text{SEEN-REMOVABLE} \vee L \in \# D)$
 $\text{then RETURN } (\text{cach, analyse, False})$
 $\text{else if } b \vee \text{cach } (\text{atm-of } L) = \text{SEEN-FAILED}$
 $\text{then do} \{$
 $\text{cach} \leftarrow \text{mark-failed-lits-wl } NU \text{ analyse } cach;$
 $\text{RETURN } (\text{cach, [], False})$
 $\}$

```

else do {
    ASSERT(cach (atm-of L) = SEEN-UNKNOWN);
    C' ← get-propagation-reason M (−L);
    case C' of
        Some C' ⇒ do {
            ASSERT(C' ∈# dom-m NU);
            ASSERT(length (NU ∞ C') ≥ 2);
            ASSERT (distinct (NU ∞ C') ∧ ¬tautology (mset (NU ∞ C'))));
            ASSERT(C' > 0);
            RETURN (cach, analyse @ [lit-redundant-reason-stack (−L) NU C'], False)
        }
    | None ⇒ do {
        cach ← mark-failed-lits-wl NU analyse cach;
        RETURN (cach, [], False)
    }
}
}

(cach, analysis, False)

fun convert-analysis-l where
    ⟨convert-analysis-l NU (i, k, j, le) = (−NU ∞ i ! k, mset (Misc.slice j le (NU ∞ i)))⟩

definition convert-analysis-list where
    ⟨convert-analysis-list NU analyse = map (convert-analysis-l NU) (rev analyse)⟩

lemma convert-analysis-list-empty[simp]:
    ⟨convert-analysis-list NU [] = []⟩
    ⟨convert-analysis-list NU a = [] ⟷ a = []⟩
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma trail-length-ge2:
assumes
    ST: ⟨(S, T) ∈ twl-st-l None⟩ and
    list-invs: ⟨twl-list-invs S⟩ and
    struct-invs: ⟨twl-struct-invs T⟩ and
    LaC: ⟨Propagated L C ∈ set (get-trail-l S)⟩ and
    C0: ⟨C > 0⟩
shows
    ⟨length (get-clauses-l S ∞ C) ≥ 2⟩
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma clauses-length-ge2:
assumes
    ST: ⟨(S, T) ∈ twl-st-l None⟩ and
    list-invs: ⟨twl-list-invs S⟩ and
    struct-invs: ⟨twl-struct-invs T⟩ and
    C: ⟨C ∈# dom-m (get-clauses-l S)⟩
shows
    ⟨length (get-clauses-l S ∞ C) ≥ 2⟩
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma lit-redundant-rec-wl:
fixes S :: ⟨nat twl-st-wl⟩ and S' :: ⟨nat twl-st-l⟩ and S'' :: ⟨nat twl-st⟩ and NU M analyse
defines

```

[simp]: $\langle S''' \equiv \text{state}_W\text{-of } S'' \rangle$
defines
 $\langle M \equiv \text{get-trail-wl } S \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle M' \equiv \text{trail } S''' \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle NU \equiv \text{get-clauses-wl } S \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle NU' \equiv \text{mset } ' \# \text{ ran-mf } NU \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle \text{analyse}' \equiv \text{convert-analysis-list } NU \text{ analyse} \rangle$
assumes
 $\langle S-S': \langle (S, S') \in \text{state-wl-l None} \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle S'-S'': \langle (S', S'') \in \text{twl-st-l None} \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle \text{bounds-init}: \langle \text{lit-redundant-rec-wl-ref } NU \text{ analyse} \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle \text{struct-invs}: \langle \text{twl-struct-invs } S'' \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle \text{add-inv}: \langle \text{twl-list-invs } S' \rangle$
shows
 $\langle \text{lit-redundant-rec-wl } M \text{ } NU \text{ } D \text{ } \text{cach analyse lbd} \leq \Downarrow$
 $(Id \times_r \{(analyse, analyse'). analyse' = \text{convert-analysis-list } NU \text{ analyse} \wedge$
 $\text{lit-redundant-rec-wl-ref } NU \text{ analyse}\} \times_r \text{bool-rel})$
 $(\text{lit-redundant-rec } M' \text{ } NU' \text{ } D \text{ } \text{cach analyse}')$
 $(\text{is } \leftarrow \leq \Downarrow (- \times_r ?A \times_r -) \rightarrow \text{is } \leftarrow \leq \Downarrow ?R \rightarrow)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *literal-redundant-wl where*
 $\langle \text{literal-redundant-wl } M \text{ } NU \text{ } D \text{ } \text{cach } L \text{ } \text{lbd} = \text{do } \{$
 $\text{ASSERT}(-L \in \text{lits-of-l } M);$
 $\text{if get-level } M \text{ } L = 0 \vee \text{cach } (\text{atm-of } L) = \text{SEEN-REMOVABLE}$
 $\text{then RETURN } (\text{cach}, [], \text{True})$
 $\text{else if } \text{cach } (\text{atm-of } L) = \text{SEEN-FAILED}$
 $\text{then RETURN } (\text{cach}, [], \text{False})$
 $\text{else do } \{$
 $C \leftarrow \text{get-propagation-reason } M \text{ } (-L);$
 $\text{case } C \text{ of}$
 $\quad \text{Some } C \Rightarrow \text{do } \{$
 $\quad \text{ASSERT}(C \in \# \text{ dom-m } NU);$
 $\quad \text{ASSERT}(\text{length } (NU \propto C) \geq 2);$
 $\quad \text{ASSERT}(\text{distinct } (NU \propto C) \wedge \neg \text{tautology } (\text{mset } (NU \propto C)));$
 $\quad \text{lit-redundant-rec-wl } M \text{ } NU \text{ } D \text{ } \text{cach } [\text{lit-redundant-reason-stack } (-L) \text{ } NU \text{ } C] \text{ } \text{lbd}$
 $\}$
 $\quad | \text{ None } \Rightarrow \text{do } \{$
 $\quad \quad \text{RETURN } (\text{cach}, [], \text{False})$
 $\quad \}$
 $\}$
 $\}$

lemma *literal-redundant-wl-literal-redundant:*
fixes $S :: \langle \text{nat twl-st-wl} \rangle \text{ and } S' :: \langle \text{nat twl-st-l} \rangle \text{ and } S'' :: \langle \text{nat twl-st} \rangle \text{ and } NU \text{ } M$
defines
[*simp*]: $\langle S''' \equiv \text{state}_W\text{-of } S'' \rangle$
defines
 $\langle M \equiv \text{get-trail-wl } S \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle M' \equiv \text{trail } S''' \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle NU \equiv \text{get-clauses-wl } S \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle NU' \equiv \text{mset } ' \# \text{ ran-mf } NU \rangle$
assumes
 $\langle S-S': \langle (S, S') \in \text{state-wl-l None} \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle S'-S'': \langle (S', S'') \in \text{twl-st-l None} \rangle \text{ and}$

$\langle M \equiv \text{get-trail-wl } S \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle M' \equiv \text{trail } S''' \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle NU: \text{NU} \equiv \text{get-clauses-wl } S \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle NU': \text{NU}' \equiv \text{mset } \# \text{ ran-mf } NU \rangle$
assumes
 $\text{struct-invs}: \langle \text{twl-struct-invs } S'' \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{add-inv}: \langle \text{twl-list-invs } S' \rangle \text{ and}$
 $L\text{-D}: \langle L \in \# D \rangle \text{ and}$
 $M\text{-D}: \langle M \models_{as} \text{CNot } D \rangle$
shows
 $\langle \text{literal-redundant-wl } M \text{ NU } D \text{ each } L \text{ lbd} \leq \Downarrow$
 $(Id \times_r \{(analyse, analyse')\}. analyse' = \text{convert-analysis-list } NU \text{ analyse} \wedge$
 $\text{lit-redundant-rec-wl-ref } NU \text{ analyse} \} \times_r \text{bool-rel})$
 $(\text{literal-redundant } M' \text{ NU}' \text{ D each } L)$
 $(\mathbf{is} \leftarrow \Downarrow (- \times_r ?A \times_r -) \rightarrow \mathbf{is} \leftarrow \Downarrow ?R -)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *mark-failed-lits-stack-inv* **where**
 $\langle \text{mark-failed-lits-stack-inv } NU \text{ analyse} = (\lambda \text{cach.}$
 $(\forall (i, k, j, \text{len}) \in \text{set analyse}. j \leq \text{len} \wedge \text{len} \leq \text{length } (NU \propto i) \wedge i \in \# \text{dom-m } NU \wedge$
 $k < \text{length } (NU \propto i) \wedge j > 0)) \rangle$

We mark all the literals from the current literal stack as failed, since every minimisation call will find the same minimisation problem.

definition *mark-failed-lits-stack* **where**
 $\langle \text{mark-failed-lits-stack } \mathcal{A}_{in} \text{ NU analyse } \text{cach} = \text{do} \{$
 $(-, \text{cach}) \leftarrow \text{WHILE}_T \lambda(-, \text{cach}). \text{mark-failed-lits-stack-inv } NU \text{ analyse } \text{cach}$
 $(\lambda(i, \text{cach}). i < \text{length analyse})$
 $(\lambda(i, \text{cach}). \text{do} \{$
 $\text{ASSERT}(i < \text{length analyse});$
 $\text{let } (\text{cls-idx}, -, \text{idx}, -) = \text{analyse} ! i;$
 $\text{ASSERT}(\text{atm-of } (NU \propto \text{cls-idx} ! (\text{idx} - 1)) \in \# \mathcal{A}_{in});$
 $\text{RETURN } (i + 1, \text{cach} (\text{atm-of } (NU \propto \text{cls-idx} ! (\text{idx} - 1)) := \text{SEEN-FAILED}))$
 $)$
 $(0, \text{cach});$
 $\text{RETURN } \text{cach}$
 $\}$

lemma *mark-failed-lits-stack-mark-failed-lits-wl*:

shows

$\langle (\text{uncurry2 } (\text{mark-failed-lits-stack } \mathcal{A}), \text{uncurry2 } \text{mark-failed-lits-wl}) \in$
 $[\lambda((NU, \text{analyse}), \text{cach}). \text{literals-are-in-} \mathcal{L}_{in}\text{-mm } \mathcal{A} (\text{mset } \# \text{ ran-mf } NU) \wedge$
 $\text{mark-failed-lits-stack-inv } NU \text{ analyse } \text{cach}]_f$
 $Id \times_f Id \times_f Id \rightarrow \langle Id \rangle \text{nres-rel}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end